~ (~pv-gvr) V~ (~rv~(~pv~g)) Ex 2 i) Frodo has a ring Fring: has (Frado, ring) ii) Sauron does not have any rings Not has (Sauron, ring) & ring iii) The One king rules all the other rings rules (The One King, ring) tring iv) The ring that Irodo has is the One Ring Fring: has (Frodo, ring) and ring = the One Ring V) whoever wears the ring, becomes invisible (i.e., no other ordinary human can see that person). Yperson; wears (person, ring), not sees (human, person) thuman; ordinary (human)

Vi) Bombadil Tom can see the ring-wearer, hence he is + person: wears (person, ring), sees (Tom, person) => not ordinary (Tom). i) Rp; A & A is irreflexive if Ya & A; not apa ii) Rp: A ↔ A is intransitive if Fa,B,C ← A: (apB ∧ Bp C ∧ ¬ (apC)) (ii) Rp: A & is not a partial order if any of these conditions are not met: orestexive · antisymmetric ·transitive Rp A & A is not a partial order if (JacA: not apa) OR (fa, be A: apb 1 bpa 1 a + b) OR

( 7a, B, CE A: (apb 1 bp C1 - (apc)))

```
\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}, f(a) = f(b) \Rightarrow a^3 + 1 = b^3 + 1 \Rightarrow a^3 = b^3 \Rightarrow a = b
           => this function is injective,
        f(n) is not surjective. For example, if y=7, then
          f(n) = 1 \Rightarrow n^3 + 1 = 1 \Rightarrow n^3 = 6 \Rightarrow n = \sqrt[3]{6} \notin \mathcal{I}
       ii) g: N \times N \rightarrow N, \forall (n,k) \in N \times N: g(n,k) = 2^{n_3 k} 5^{n+k}
        This function is injective: f(n_1, k_1, n_2, k_2) \in N \times N, g(n_1, k_1) = g(n_2, k_2), 2^{n_1} 3^{k_1} 5^{n_1 + k_2} = 2^{n_2} 3^{k_2} 5^{n_2 + k_2} \Longrightarrow
    { by uniqueness of prime factorisation } => N1 = N2, k1 = k2
     g(n,k) is not surjective. For example, if y = 7, then
     g(n,k)=4 \Rightarrow 2^n 3^k 5^{n+k}=7 and it is impossible for (n,k) and
     iii) h: P(N) -> P(N), YAEP(N): h(A)=N\A
      This function is injective: YAn, Az EP(N), h(A1)=h(A2)
     => N A1 = N A2 => A1 = A2.
     This function is also surjective: \forall B \in P(M) :
    h(A) = N \setminus A = B
     iV) k; N \rightarrow E, \forall n \in N; k(n) = (-1)^n
This function is not injective. \exists a, b \in \mathbb{N} : k(a) = k(b), a \neq b.

For example, a = 5, b = 7, a \neq b, but k(a) = k(5) = (-1)^5 = -1 =
```

= k(x) = k(b). This function is not surjective for  $\#y: y \neq 1$  and  $y \neq -1$  $\#x \in \mathbb{N}: k(x) = y$ .

Let's define possible events. A1: O white 4 black A2: 1 white 3 Black Az: 2 White h black A4: 3 White 1 Black A: dwawing of a white ball from the VCSSel.  $P(A_1) = \frac{C_5}{C_4} = 1/14$   $P(A|A_1) = 0$  $P(A_2) = \frac{l_3! \cdot l_5!}{l_5!} = 3/7 \quad P(A|A_2) = 1/4$  $P(A_3) = \frac{C_3^2 \cdot C_5^2}{C_8^4} = \frac{3}{7} P(A \mid A_3) = \frac{1}{2}$  $P(A_4) = \frac{C_3^3 \cdot C_5}{C_8^4} = 1/19 P(A|A_4) = 3/4$ By Bayes Theorem:

 $P(44|A) = \frac{1/14 \cdot 3/4}{3/4 \cdot 1/4 + 3/4 \cdot 1/2 + 1/4 \cdot 3/4} = \frac{1}{7} = 0.14$ 

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \\ P\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \right) \\ P\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \\ P\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \\ P\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \\ P\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \right) \\ P\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \\ P\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \right) \\ P\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \right) \\ P\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \right) \\ P\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \right) \\ P\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \right) \\ P\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial$$

ER 8 Beef: 186, 181, 176, 149, 184, 190, 158, 139, 175, 148, 152, 111 Poultry: 129, 132, 102, 606, 94, lo2, 87, 99, 170, 173 Std mean bee f 162.41 113.4 std =  $\sqrt{\frac{2(x-\overline{x})^2}{x}}$ 23,7 24.46 poultry Ho: MB = Mp (There is no difference between the calorie (the calone content of beef is higher than the calone content of pacitry) Mi; Mo > Mp t= 162.41 - 113.4  $= \frac{49.01}{103265} = 4.746.$ P(t>4.746)=0.00029 0.00024< 0.05 => We reject the null hypothesis

\* accept that the calorie content of beef is more that poultry. b) 181, 191, 186, 129, 178, 194, 139, 122, 195, 158, 158, 104 M = 161.25

6 = 31.26

Ho: 
$$M = M_{\text{Beef}}$$
 (Mix of food has not an effect)

H1:  $M \neq M_{\text{Beef}}$ . (Mix of food has an effect)

Again,  $t = \frac{M - M_{\text{Beef}}}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{162.41 - 161.25}{\sqrt{12} + \frac{31.26^2}{12}} = 0.1$ 
 $p(t > 0.1) = 0.921$ 
 $\Rightarrow \text{ we get high } p\text{-value} \Rightarrow \text{ we can accept hull hypothesis}$ 
 $\Rightarrow \text{ mix of food has not an effect in calorie of the bele of hotolog}$ .