

Article
presentation

A. Ndiaye
E. Songo

Bayesian
Learning Rule

Motivation
Principle

Applications of
the Bayesian
Learning Rule

The special case of
ridge regression

Conclusion and
Discussion

The Bayesian Learning Rule (2022)

M. E. Khan & H. Rue

Aminata Ndiaye & Edimah Songo
M2 MASH

Article
presentationA. Ndiaye
E. SongoBayesian
Learning RuleMotivation
PrincipleApplications of
the Bayesian
Learning RuleThe special case of
ridge regressionConclusion and
Discussion

- 1 Bayesian Learning Rule
 - Motivation
 - Principle
- 2 Applications of the Bayesian Learning Rule
 - The special case of ridge regression
- 3 Conclusion and Discussion

Article
presentation

A. Ndiaye
E. Songo

Bayesian
Learning Rule

Motivation
Principle

Applications of
the Bayesian
Learning Rule

The special case of
ridge regression

Conclusion and
Discussion

Motivation

- Create a unified framework to derive established and new algorithms
- Improve existent algorithms (convergence speed)
- Find new algorithms

How we proceed?

- Optimize a Bayesian objective function
- Finding the posterior distribution of the parameters of interest
- Taking more information into account by using the natural gradient

Bayesian Objective

$$q_*(\theta) = \arg \min_{q(\theta)} \mathbb{E}_q \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \ell(y_i, f_\theta(x_i)) \right] + \mathbb{D}_{KL}[q(\theta) \parallel p(\theta)]$$

Setting

- $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ a set of regular and minimal exponential family
- $\lambda_{t+1} \leftarrow \lambda_t - \rho_t \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda [\mathbb{E}_{q_t}[\bar{\ell}(\theta)] - \mathcal{H}(q_t)]$

Steps

- Choice of posterior approximation (here in the exponential family)
- Choice of approximation method for natural gradient (ex: Delta Method, etc.)

Quadratic loss for some penalty term $\delta > 0$

$$\bar{\ell}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}(y - X\theta)^T(y - X\theta) + \frac{1}{2}\delta\theta^T\theta$$
$$\theta^* = (X^T X + \delta I)^{-1} X^T y$$

Natural gradients in Ridge Regression

- **Candidate posterior:** $\mathcal{N}(m, S)$
- $\mu = E[T(\theta)]$
- **Natural gradients:**
 $\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu^{(1)}} E_q[\bar{\ell}(\theta)] = -X^T y$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu^{(2)}} E_q[\bar{\ell}(\theta)] = \frac{1}{2}(X^T X + \delta I)\mu^{(2)}$
- **Solution:** $\theta^* = m^* = (S^*)^{-1} X^T y = (X^T X + \delta I)^{-1} X^T y$

Article's takeaways

- **Research possibilities** new for state-of-the-art algorithms
- Only two choices are required (posterior and natural gradient approximations)

Drawbacks

- The article was at times difficult to understand
- Algorithms not necessarily optimal
 - Restriction to exponential families
 - Difficult to compute gradients
 - Topological instability

Potential solution to some issues

New research: *Lie-Group Bayesian Learning Rule (2023)*