

School of Computer Engineering

# Operating Systems Course Project: Comparative Analysis of Scheduling Algorithms in xv6

Instructor: Dr. Keyvan RahimiZadeh TA: MohammadJavad Jalilvand

> Release Date: 1404/03/21 Due Date: 1404/04/06

# 1 Project Overview

This project introduces CPU scheduling concepts by implementing and comparing different scheduling algorithms in the xv6 operating system. Students will modify the xv6 kernel to implement First-Come-First-Served (FCFS) and Lottery scheduling, then compare their performance with the default Round Robin scheduler.

# 2 Learning Objectives

- Understand fundamental CPU scheduling concepts
- Gain experience modifying a real operating system kernel
- Implement two scheduling algorithms in xv6 (FCFS and Lottery)
- Add necessary system calls to support the new schedulers, such as setting tickets or priorities, and retrieving process statistics.
- Design and run test workloads to evaluate scheduler performance.

## 3 Installation

• First, make sure to have all the dependencies :

```
sudo apt-get update && sudo apt-get install —yes \build-essential git qemu-system-x86
```

• After successfully installing the required programs, clone the Github repository of xv6 source code:

```
git clone https://github.com/mit-pdos/xv6-public
```

 $\bullet\,$  Now just compile the kernel and run:

make qemu

# 4 Deliverables

- Modified XV6 source code with both schedulers implemented
- Test programs demonstrating each scheduler
- Report containing:
  - Design decisions and implementation challenges
  - Performance comparison tables and graphs
  - Analysis of scheduler strengths/weaknesses
  - Discussion of fairness and starvation

# 5 Implementation Steps

These are key files you have to modify:

```
proc.c  # Scheduler implementation
proc.h  # Process structure modifications
sysproc.c  # System call implementations
syscall.c  # System call numbers
user.h  # User-space interfaces
usys.S  # System call stubs
```

Also study XV6's default Round Robin scheduler befor starting.

## 5.1 Implementing FCFS Scheduling

To implement First-Come-First-Served scheduling in XV6:

#### 1. Create a ready queue:

- Modify the process table in proc.h to add:
   uint arrival\_time; // Timestamp when process became ready
- Maintain all ready processes in a FIFO queue ordered by their arrival time

### 2. Modify the scheduler in proc.c:

- Select the process that has been waiting longest (head of queue)
- Remove preemption processes run until they block or terminate
- Update arrival time whenever:
  - Process is created (fork())
  - Process unblocks from I/O

#### 3. Handle edge cases:

- When no processes are ready, keep idle loop
- Maintain compatibility with existing process switching

# 5.2 Implementing Lottery Scheduling

For the Lottery scheduling implementation:

### 1. Add ticket management:

• Add to proc.h:

- Implement settickets() system call:
  - Validate ticket count (minimum 1 ticket)
  - Store in both tickets and original\_tickets

### 2. Modify scheduling logic:

- Calculate total tickets of all runnable processes
- Generate random number in [0, total\_tickets) range
- Select process using weighted random choice:

```
for (p = ptable.proc; p < &ptable.proc[NPROC]; p++){
    if (p->state != RUNNABLE) continue;
    if (random_val < p->tickets) {
        // Select this process
        break;
    }
    random_val -= p->tickets;
}
```

#### 3. Handle default cases:

- Assign 10 tickets to processes without explicit assignment
- Reset tickets to original values after process completion

# 5.3 Scheduling Policy Selection

Add mechanism to switch between schedulers:

### 1. Add policy flag:

Add global variable in proc.c:
 int scheduling\_policy = 0; // 0=RR, 1=FCFS, 2=LOTTERY

#### 2. Implement policy system call:

• Create setpolicy() in sysproc.c:

```
int sys_setpolicy(void) {
    int policy;
    if(argint(0, &policy) < 0) return -1;
    if(policy < 0 || policy > 2) return -1;
    scheduling_policy = policy;
    return 0;
}
```

• Add wrapper in user.h and syscall numbers

### 3. Modify scheduler dispatch:

• In scheduler main loop:

```
switch(scheduling_policy) {
    case 1: fcfs_schedule(); break;
    case 2: lottery_schedule(); break;
    default: rr_schedule(); // Original RR
}
```

# 5.4 Performance Comparison

Students should develop comparison methodology:

#### 1. Create test workloads:

- CPU-bound processes (e.g., number crunching)
- I/O-bound processes (frequent sleep() calls)
- Mixed workloads

#### 2. Measure metrics:

- Turnaround time (completion arrival)
- Waiting time (ready queue time)
- Response time (first run arrival)
- Throughput (processes completed per time unit)
- Fairness (tickets vs. CPU share in Lottery)

### 3. Analysis report:

- Present results in tables/graphs
- Compare performance under different loads
- Discuss tradeoffs between schedulers
- Analyze fairness properties

Metric	Round Robin	Lottery	MLFQ
Average Waiting Time (ticks)	120	80	65
Maximum Response Time (ticks)	40	25	15
Throughput (jobs/sec)	8	10	12

Table 1: Sample scheduler performance metrics