

# Brief Reflection on Prompting Technique Effectiveness for AI Teacher Assistant

Amir Fasil

May 7, 2025

## 1 Introduction

This reflection examines the implementation and effectiveness of different prompting techniques in an AI Teacher Assistant system. The system employs three distinct approaches: ReAct prompting, Few-Shot prompting, and Zero-Shot prompting, each triggered by specific keywords for optimal performance in different educational scenarios.

## 2 Implementation Overview

The system was designed with the following architecture:

- **ReAct Prompting:** Activated by trigger words like "verify", used for real-time fact checking and verification tasks and real-time event fact like "current", "2024".
- **Few-Shot Prompting:** Triggered by words like "noisy", classroom employed for classroom monitoring and interaction scenarios
- **Zero-Shot Prompting:** Default approach for general tasks,

## 3 Effectiveness Analysis

### 3.1 ReAct Prompting for Real-Time Verification

The ReAct (Reasoning + Acting) approach proved highly effective for fact verification tasks:

- Successfully handled real-time information requests
- Demonstrated accurate fact-checking capabilities
- Outperformed Zero-Shot prompting for time-sensitive facts

### **3.2 Few-Shot Prompting for Classroom Interaction**

The Few-Shot approach showed particular strengths in:

- Managing classroom monitoring scenarios
- Handling pedagogical question

### **3.3 Zero-Shot Prompting for General Tasks**

While versatile, Zero-Shot prompting revealed limitations:

- Performed well for general knowledge tasks
- Failed to handle real-time information requests effectively
- Demonstrated dependence on base model capabilities

## **4 System Performance**

The triggering mechanism using keywords ("verify", "noisy", "fact") proved robust:

- Correctly routed tasks to appropriate prompting methods
- Handled edge cases effectively
- Maintained consistent performance across different use cases