DL4CV – Assignment 1

Group 5

June 2025

1. What is the difference between an iteration and an epoch in this training scenario?

An **epoch** is one complete pass through the entire training dataset. An **iteration** refers to a single update of the model's parameters using a batch of data.

In this training setup, the training set size is 4200 images and the batch size is 32, Therefore the number of iterations per epoch is:

Iterations per epoch =
$$\frac{4200}{32} \approx 132$$

Each epoch consists of approximately 132 iterations (i.e., parameter updates).

2. What do the values in the last (linear) layer tell you? How are they called?

The values in the last linear layer are called **logits**. They are the raw, unnormalized prediction scores output by the model for each class. The final linear layer produces a tensor of shape [32, 2], where each row contains two logits corresponding to the two classes.

Thus, logits are tensors that encode the model's confidence in each class before normalization. A higher logit value for a class indicates greater model confidence in that class.

3. Which function do you need to apply in order to receive an actual classification result?(REVIEW)

To obtain an actual classification result from the output of the last layer, we need to apply the argmax function to the **logits**.

The logits are raw scores for each class. While applying the softmax function:

$$Softmax(x_i) = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} e^{x_j}}$$

converts them into probabilities, classification only requires the index of the highest score, which corresponds to the predicted class.

This is done using:

$$\hat{y} = \arg\max_{i} \text{ logits}_{i}$$

This selects the class with the highest logit value for each sample in the batch and returns the corresponding class label.

4. Why is Cross Entropy an adequate loss function for classification? Could you use an L2-Loss? Why/why not?

Cross Entropy is an appropriate loss function for classification tasks because it directly measures the difference between the predicted probability distribution (after applying softmax) and the true class labels. It penalizes incorrect predictions more heavily when the model is confident but wrong, which helps improve class separation and accelerates convergence during training.

L2 Loss (Mean Squared Error) is generally not suitable for classification tasks because:

- It treats class predictions as continuous values rather than probabilities.
- It does not promote learning sharp decision boundaries.
- It often leads to slower convergence and inferior performance for categorical outputs.

Therefore, Cross entropy is designed for classification and works well with probabilistic outputs. L2 loss is better suited for regression problems and is not recommended for classification.

5. In PyTorch, a Softmax function is integrated into the Cross Entropy loss. What is a Softmax function and what is its effect on the output?

The **Softmax** function is used to convert a vector of raw scores (logits) into a probability distribution over classes.

Given a vector of logits $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K]$, the softmax function computes:

$$Softmax(x_i) = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{x_j}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, K$$

This ensures that:

- All output values are in the range (0,1)
- The outputs sum to 1, forming a valid probability distribution

Effect on the output:

- It highlights the largest values in the logits vector and suppresses the smaller ones.
- The class with the highest logit receives the highest probability.

In PyTorch, the CrossEntropyLoss function includes the softmax step internally, so logits can be passed directly without applying softmax manually.

6. Explain briefly and precisely what leads to model overfitting.

Overfitting occurs when a model learns the training data too well, including its noise and irrelevant patterns, instead of generalizing to unseen data. This results in high training accuracy but poor validation or test performance.

Overfitting is typically caused by:

- A model that is too **complex** (e.g., too many layers or parameters)
- Insufficient training data
- Lack of regularization techniques such as dropout or weight decay
- Training for too many epochs, causing the model to memorize the data

In such cases, the model fails to learn generalizable features and performs poorly on new, unseen data.

7. How would an overfitting of the classifier affect the classification metrics?

When a classifier is overfitted, it performs very well on the training data but poorly on unseen validation or test data. This discrepancy negatively impacts classification metrics such as:

- Accuracy: May remain high on training data but drop significantly on test data.
- Precision and Recall: Can become unbalanced, especially if the model memorizes frequent patterns and fails on rare ones.
- F1-Score: Decreases as both precision and recall degrade on unseen data.
- Confusion Matrix: Shows more misclassifications for test data compared to training data.

Overfitting leads to optimistic performance during training but poor generalization, which is reflected in worse classification metrics on test data.

8. Which model performed better in your tests? Why did it perform better? Explain in detail.

In my experiments, the **custom CNN model (CarClassifier)** outperformed the **pretrained ResNet18** in terms of *test accuracy* and overall *classification metrics*.

Test Accuracy Comparison

• Custom CNN: 95.77%

• ResNet18: 94.13%

Metric Comparison (on Test Set)

Metric	Custom CNN	ResNet18
Precision (car)	0.95	0.92
Recall (car)	0.90	0.88
F1-score (car)	0.93	0.90
Precision (not_car)	0.96	0.95
Recall (not_car)	0.98	0.97
F1-score (not_car)	0.97	0.96

Why the Custom CNN Performed Better

- Input Size Match: The custom CNN was designed specifically for 64×64 input resolution, whereas ResNet18 was pretrained on 224×224. Resizing may have caused information loss for ResNet18.
- Training Strategy: In ResNet18, only the final layer was fine-tuned while the rest was frozen. This limited its ability to adapt. In contrast, the custom CNN was trained end-to-end.

- Overfitting Control: The custom CNN used dropout regularization, which helped it generalize better. ResNet18, being more complex, may have slightly overfit the training data.
- Task Simplicity: The classification task (car vs. not_car) is relatively simple. A lightweight custom architecture can be more effective and efficient than a deep pretrained network.

Conclusion

Despite ResNet18 being a deeper and pretrained model, the custom CNN achieved better performance. This demonstrates that for domain-specific and relatively simple tasks, a well-designed lightweight model can outperform more complex architectures if properly trained.