

Solution Manual

Collected Problem Solutions

Author Information

Amir Faridi

amirfaridi2002@gmail.com

July 15, 2025

Version 1.0

Contents

1	Domain Theoretic Foundations of Functional Programming	2
1	Introduction	3
2	PCF and its Operational Semantics	4
3	The Scott Model of PCF	5
4	Computational Adequacy	6
5	Milner's Context Lemma	7
6	The Full Abstraction Problem	8
7	Logical Relations	9
2	Type Theory and Formal Proof	10

Part 1

Domain Theoretic Foundations of Functional Programming

Chapter 1

Introduction

Chapter 2

PCF and its Operational Semantics

Problem 2.1: page 14

Show that the σ with $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$ is uniquely determined by Γ and M .

Solution

We prove this by induction on the structure.

- if $M \equiv x$ (variable), then it must be by the variable rule: $\Gamma', x : \sigma \vdash x : \sigma$; thus σ must be unique by the definition of the context Γ . ($\Gamma \equiv x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n$, where x_i are pairwise distinct variables).
- if $M \equiv Z$ (zero), then it must be derived by the zero rule: $\Gamma \vdash Z : \mathbb{N}$; thus its type is unique.
- if $M \equiv (\lambda x : \sigma. M)$, then it must be derived by the abstraction rule: $\frac{\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : \sigma. M) : \sigma \rightarrow \tau}$. By IH, M and x have unique types τ and σ , respectively. Thus, the type of the abstraction is uniquely determined as $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$.
- if $M \equiv (M(N))$, then by the application rule, we would have $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M(N) : \tau}$. By IH, M and N have unique types $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and σ , respectively. Thus, the type of the application $M(N)$ is uniquely determined as τ .
- Same goes for the other cases (*succ*, *pred*, Y_σ , and *ifz*).

Chapter 3

The Scott Model of PCF

Chapter 4

Computational Adequacy

Chapter 5

Milner's Context Lemma

Chapter 6

The Full Abstraction Problem

Chapter 7

Logical Relations

Part 2

Type Theory and Formal Proof