

# High-level concurrency concepts




**Václav Pech**

*NPRG014 2023/2024*

<http://www.vaclavpech.eu>


@vaclav\_pech

# Why concurrency?



We're all in the parallel computing business!

# # of cores



# JVM low-level machinery

Thread, Runnable, Thread Pools, Virtual  
Threads

*– to run things in parallel*

# JVM low-level machinery

Thread, Runnable, Thread Pools, Virtual Threads

*– to run things in parallel*

Synchronized blocks

Volatile

Locks

Atomic

*– to run things sequentially again*

# Threads


```
def myRunnable = new Runnable {  
    public void run() {  
        ...  
    }  
}  
  
new Thread(myRunnable).start()
```

# Thread Pool

```
def pool = Executors.newFixedThreadPool(6)
def myCallable = new Callable<T> {
    public T call() {...}
}
```


```
Future<T> f = pool.submit(myCallable)
println f.get()
```

# Thread Pool







# Thread Pool



# Fork/Join Thread Pool



# Fork/Join Thread Pool



# Virtual Threads (Java 19)

```
def t = Thread.ofVirtual.start(myRunnable)  
println f.join()
```

# Virtual Threads (Java 21)

```
def t = Thread.ofVirtual.start(myRunnable)  
println f.join()
```

```
def pool = Executors  
    .newVirtualThreadPoolExecutor()  
Future<T> f = pool.submit(myCallable)  
println f.get()
```

# Scopes (Java 21)

```
Response handle() throws ExecutionException, InterruptedException {  
    try (var scope = new StructuredTaskScope.ShutdownOnFailure()) {  
        Supplier<String> user = scope.fork(() -> findUser());  
        Supplier<Integer> order = scope.fork(() -> fetchOrder());  
  
        scope.join()           // Join both subtasks  
            .throwIfFailed(); // ... and propagate errors  
  
        // Here, both subtasks have succeeded, so compose their results  
        return new Response(user.get(), order.get());  
    }  
    //...  
}
```

# Scope

Provides virtual threads with:

- a thread pool
- a context
- *life-cycle management*
- *control for sub-groups*
- *error handling for tasks and sub-groups*

# Async the Groovy way

```
task {  
    calculation.process()  
}
```





# Async the Groovy way

```
def group = new NonDaemonPGroup(10)
```

```
group.task {  
    calculation.process()  
}
```



# Async the Groovy way

```
group.task {->...}
```

```
group.task new Runnable() {...}
```

```
group.task new Callable<V>() {...}
```



# Not implemented yet

`group.cancelAll()`

`group.onFailureRestart()`

`group.onFailureCancelAll()`

`def subgroup = group.subgroup()`



# Dealing with threads sucks!

```
public class Counter {  
    private static long count = 0;  
  
    public Counter() {  
  
        count++;  
  
    }  
}
```

# Dealing with threads sucks!

```
public class Counter {  
    private volatile static long count = 0;  
  
    public Counter() {  
  
        count++;  
  
    }  
}
```

# Dealing with threads sucks!

```
public class Counter {  
    private volatile static long count = 0;  
  
    public Counter() {  
  
        count = count + 1;  
  
    }  
}
```

# Dealing with threads sucks!

```
public class Counter {  
    private static long count = 0;  
  
    public Counter() {  
        synchronized (this) {  
            count++;  
        }  
    }  
}
```

# Dealing with threads sucks!

```
public class Counter {  
    private static long count = 0;  
  
    public Counter() {  
        synchronized (this.getClass()) {  
            count++;  
        }  
    }  
}
```



# Dealing with threads sucks!

```
public class Counter {  
    private static Long count = 0;  
  
    public Counter() {  
        synchronized (count) {  
            count++;  
        }  
    }  
}
```



# Dealing with threads sucks!

```
public class Counter {  
    private static Long count = 0;  
  
    public Counter() {  
        synchronized (count) {  
            count = new Long(count.longValue() + 1);  
        }  
    }  
}
```

# Dealing with threads sucks!

```
public class ClickCounter implements ActionListener {  
    private int numberOfClicks;  
    public ClickCounter(JButton button, int initValue) {  
        button.addActionListener(this);  
        numberOfClicks = initValue;  
    }  
    public void actionPerformed(final ActionEvent e) {  
        numberOfClicks++;  
    }  
}
```

# Dealing with threads sucks!

```
public class ClickCounter implements ActionListener {  
    private int numberOfClicks;  
    public ClickCounter(JButton button, int initValue) {  
        button.addActionListener(this);  
        numberOfClicks = initValue;   
    }  
    public void actionPerformed(final ActionEvent e) {  
        numberOfClicks++;   
    }  
}
```

# Low-level concurrency

- Monitors
- Locks – R/W, ReentrantLock
- Semaphors
- CountdownLatches
- Barriers

# Stone age of parallel SW


Dead-locks

Live-locks

Race conditions

Starvation

Shared Mutable State



# Why high-level concurrency?

Multithreaded programs today work mostly by accident!



# The root problem

Shared mutable state



# Approach 1

Shared **mutable** state

*Use read-only data*

# Approach 2

**Shared** mutable state

*Use only local thread-contained data*

# High-level abstractions

- STM
- Hierarchical decomposition
- Geometrical decomposition
- Streams
- Agents, Actors, Active objects
- Communicating Sequential Processes

# High-level abstractions

- STM
- Hierarchical decomposition
- Geometrical decomposition
- Streams
- Agents, Actors, Active objects
- Communicating Sequential Processes


# Control State Sharing

```
List registrations = []  
submissions.each {form →  
  group.task {  
    if (form.process().valid) {  
      registrations << form  
    }  
  }  
}
```

# Control State Sharing

Needs protection

```
List registrations = []  
submissions.each {form →  
  group.task {  
    if (form.process().valid) {  
      registrations << form  
    }  
  }  
}
```



# STM (Akka - Scala)

```
atomic {  
  .. // do something within a transaction  
}
```

```
atomic(maxNrOfRetries) { .. }  
atomicReadOnly { .. }
```

```
atomically {  
  .. // try to do something  
} orElse {  
  .. // if tx clash; try do do something else  
}
```

# Persistent Data Structures




Illustration taken from Rich Hickey's presentation. Copyright Rich Hickey 2009



# High-level abstractions


- STM
- Hierarchical decomposition
- Geometrical decomposition
- Streams
- Agents, Actors, Active objects
- Communicating Sequential Processes

# Hierarchical decomposition

[64, 63, 62, 61, 60, 59, 58, 57, 56, 55, 54, 53, 52, 51, 50, 49, 48, 47, 46, 45, 44, 43, 42, 41, 40, 39, 38, 37, 36, 35, 34, 33, 32, 31, 30, 29, 28, 27, 26, 25, 24, 23, 22, 21, 20, 19, 18, 17, 16, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1]															
[64, 63, 62, 61, 60, 59, 58, 57, 56, 55, 54, 53, 52, 51, 50, 49, 48, 47, 46, 45, 44, 43, 42, 41, 40, 39, 38, 37, 36, 35, 34, 33]								[32, 31, 30, 29, 28, 27, 26, 25, 24, 23, 22, 21, 20, 19, 18,							
[64, 63, 62, 61, 60, 59, 58, 57, 56, 55, 54, 53, 52, 51, 50, 49]					[48, 47, 46, 45, 44, 43, 42, 41, 40, 39, 38, 37, 36, 35, 34, 33]					[32, 31, 30, 29, 28, 27, 26, 25, 24, 23, 22, 21, 20, 19, 18,					
[64, 63, 62, 61, 60, 59, 58, 57]			[56, 55, 54, 53, 52, 51, 50, 49]			[48, 47, 46, 45, 44, 43, 42, 41]			[40, 39, 38, 37, 36, 35, 34, 33]						
			[56, 55, 54, 53]		[49, 50, 51, 52]					[40, 39, 38, 37]		[33, 34, 35, 36]			
[56, 55]				[51, 52]		[49, 50]				[40, 39]		[35, 36]		[33, 34]	

# Fork/Join

- Solve hierarchical problems
  - Divide and conquer
  - Merge sort, Quick sort
  - Tree traversal
  - File scan / search
  - ...



# Fork/Join (GPars)

```
{currentDir ->
  long count = 0;
  currentDir.eachFile {
    if (it.isDirectory()) {
      forkOffChild it
    } else {
      count++
    }
  }
  return count + childrenResults.sum(0)
}
```

Waits for children  
without blocking the  
thread!



# High-level abstractions

- STM
- Hierarchical decomposition
- Geometrical decomposition
- Streams
- Agents, Actors, Active objects
- Communicating Sequential Processes


# Geometric decomposition

images.`eachParallel` {it.process()}


documents.`sumParallel`()

candidates.`maxParallel` {it.salary}.marry()

1 thread



5 threads



# Geometric decomposition

```
registrations = submissions
```

```
  .collectParallel { form -> form.process() }
```


```
  .findAllParallel { it.valid }
```

```
registrations = submissions.parallel
```

```
  .map { form -> form.process() }
```

```
  .filter { it.valid }.collection
```

# Map - reduce





# Frequent confusion


[Questions](#)[Tags](#)[Users](#)[Badges](#)[Unanswered](#)

## parallel quick sort outdone by single threaded quicksort

---

- ▲ I've been reading , here is the example in the book using futures to implement parallel quick sort.
  - 0 But I found this function is more than twice slower than the single threaded quick sort function without using any asynchronous facilities in c++ standard library. Tested with g++ 4.8 and visual c++ 2012.
  - ▼
  - ☆ I used 10M random integers to test, and in visual c++ 2012, this function spawned 6 threads in total to perform the operation in my quad core PC.
- I am really confused about the performance. Any body can tell me why?

# GPU



# Improper use 1

```
def accumulator = 0
```

```
myCollection.eachParallel {  
    accumulator += calculate(it)  
}
```

# Do not accumulate, map-reduce!

```
def accumulator = myCollection.parallel  
    .map {calculate(it)}  
    .reduce {a, b → a + b}
```

# Improper use 2


```
new File("/file.txt").withReader{reader ->
  reader.eachParallel {
    def r1 = step1(r)
    def r2 = step2(r1)
    def r3 = step3(r2)
  }
}
```

# Unroll iterations into streams

```
def pipeline = data | step1 | step2 | step3
```

```
new File("/file.txt").withReader{reader ->
  reader.each {
    data << it
  }
}
```

# Unroll iteration



# High-level abstractions

- STM
- Hierarchical decomposition
- Geometrical decomposition
- **Streams**
- Agents, Actors, Active objects
- Communicating Sequential Processes




# Pipeline DSL

```
def toProcess = new DataflowQueue()
```

```
def validated = new DataflowQueue()
```

```
toProcess | {form -> process(form)} |  
    {processedForm -> validate(processedForm)} | validated
```


```
submissions.each {toProcess << it}
```



# Generalization

An operation can take multiple inputs and outputs

Operations addressed indirectly using channels



# Dataflow Operators

```
operator(inputs: [headers, bodies, footers],  
         outputs: [articles, summaries])
```

```
{header, body, footer ->
```


```
  def article = buildArticle(header, body, footer)
```



```
  bindOutput(0, article)
```


```
  bindOutput(1, buildSummary(article))
```

```
}
```

# Factorial










# High-level abstractions


- STM
- Hierarchical decomposition
- Geometrical decomposition
- Streams
- **Agents, Actors, Active objects**
- Communicating Sequential Processes

# Agent

Lock **Shared Mutable State** in a **Safe**



# Agent inside



# Sharing through agents

```
Agent registrations = new Agent( [] )
```

```
submissions.each {form →
```

```
  task {
```

```
    if (form.process().valid) {
```

```
      registrations.send {it << form}
```

```
    }
```

```
  }
```

```
}
```

# Actors

Agents send commands

Actors send data

*Both use direct addressing (no channels)*

# Actors

Isolated state


Active - shared threads

Async Communication

- Direct addressing
- Immutable messages

Activities:

- Create a new actor
- Send a message
- Receive a message



# Actor definition

```
class MyActor extends DynamicDispatchActor {  
    private int counter = 0  
  
    public void onMessage(String msg) {  
        this.counter += msg.size()  
    }  
    public void onMessage(Integer number) {  
        this.counter += number  
    }  
    public void onMessage(Money cash) {  
        this.counter += cash.amount  
        reply 'Thank you'  
    }  
}
```

# Sending messages

```
buddy.send 10.eur
```


```
buddy << new Book(title:'Groovy Recipes',  
                    author:'Scott Davis')
```

```
def canChat = buddy.sendAndWait 'Got time?'
```


```
buddy.sendAndContinue 'Need money!', {cash->  
    pocket.add cash  
}
```



# Actors use



# Actors patterns



# Active objects

@ActiveObject

```
class MyCounter {  
    private int counter = 0
```

@ActiveMethod

```
    def incrementBy(int value) {  
        println "Received an integer: $value"  
        this.counter += value  
    }  
}
```

# High-level abstractions

- STM
- Hierarchical decomposition
- Geometrical decomposition
- Streams
- Agents, Actors, Active objects
- Communicating Sequential Processes

# CSP

## Communicating Sequential Processes

Focus on composable processes more than on data

# Abstractions

## Tasks (aka coroutines, goroutines)

- Implemented as (pooled) threads or virtual, lightweight threads

## Data exchange between tasks

- Tasks' *promised* return value
- Dataflow variables
- Dataflow channels

# Tasks

```
requests.each {r ->  
  task {  
    r.handle()  
    println "Done processing " + r.id  
  }  
}
```

# Dataflow Variables

No race-conditions


No live-locks

Deterministic deadlocks






# Dataflow Variables / Promises



# Dataflow Variables / Promises



# DataflowVariables/Promises

```
def x = new DataflowVariable()
```


```
task { println x.get() }
```

```
task {  
    storeInDB(x.get())  
}
```

```
task { x << 10 }
```

```
x.join()
```

# Dataflow Variables / Promises



# Promises to exchange data

```
def x,y,z = new DataflowVariable()
```

```
task { z << x.get() + y.get() }
```

```
task { x << 10 }
```


```
task {
```

```
    println "I am task 3"
```

```
    y << 5
```

```
}
```

```
assert 15 == z.get()
```



# Promises as return values

```
Promise x = task { return 10 }
```

```
Promise y = task {  
    println "I am task 3"  
    return 5  
}
```

```
Promise z = task {x.get() + y.get() }  
assert 15 == z.get()
```

# Promises as return values

```
task { println "Hello" }
```

```
Promise t1 = task {  
    def data = loadDataFromDB("Joe")  
    data.optimize()  
    return data  
}
```

```
Promise t2 = task { printData(t1.get()) }  
t2.join()
```

# Kotlin coroutines

+

```
val time = measureTimeMillis {  
    val one = async { doSomethingUsefulOne() }  
    val two = async { doSomethingUsefulTwo() }  
    println("The answer is ${one.await() + two.await()}")  
}  
println("Completed in $time ms")
```





# Reactive approach

- Needed when tasks are implemented on top of system threads (*unlike virtual/lightweight threads*)
- Blocking in *Promise.get()* is too expensive

Reactive allows for callbacks to be registered on Promises

# Callbacks

```
def x = new DataflowVariable()

x.then { println it }

task {
    x.then { storeInDB(it) }
        .then { dbStatus → println dbStatus }
}

task { x << 10 }

x.join()
```

# Chaining promises

```
def h1 = download('url') then {text → text.trim()} then hash
```

# Chaining promises

```
def h1 = download('url') then {text → text.trim()} then hash
```

```
def h1 = download('url') | {text → text.trim()} | hash
```

# Error handling

```
url.then(download)  
    .then(calculateHash)  
    .then(formatResult)  
    .then(printResult, printError)  
    .then(sendNotificationEmail);
```

# CompletableFuture (Java)

```
final CompletableFuture<Integer> f = CompletableFuture.supplyAsync(() -> 10);
```

```
final CompletableFuture<Integer> f2 = f.thenApplyAsync(x -> 2 * x)  
    .handleAsync((x, e) -> 3 * x);
```

```
final CompletableFuture<Integer> f3 = f.thenComposeAsync(x -> CompletableFuture.supplyAsync(() -> 2 * x))  
    .handleAsync((x, e) -> 3 * x);
```

```
final CompletableFuture<Integer> f4 = f2.thenCombineAsync(f3, (x, y) -> x + y);
```

# Glue tasks together

```
Promise c1 = task { compile(module1) }
```

```
Promise c2 = task { compile(module2) }
```

# Glue tasks together

```
Promise c1 = task { compile(module1) }
```

```
Promise c2 = task { compile(module2) }
```

```
Promise j1 = c1.then {jar it}
```

```
Promise j2 = c2.then {jar it}
```



# Glue tasks together

```
Promise c1 = task { compile(module1) }
```

```
Promise c2 = task { compile(module2) }
```

```
Promise j1 = c1.then {jar it}
```

```
Promise j2 = c2.then {jar it}
```

```
whenAllBound(j1, j2) {m1, m2 → deploy(m1, m2)}
```

```
j1.then {pushToRepo it}
```

# Glue tasks together

```
Promise c1 = task { compile(module1) }
```

```
Promise c2 = task { compile(module2) }
```

```
Promise j1 = c1.then {jar it}
```

```
Promise j2 = c2.then {jar it}
```

```
whenAllBound(j1, j2) {m1, m2 → deploy(m1, m2)}
```

```
j1.then {pushToRepo it}
```

```
iWillSendEmailWhenJarred(j1)
```

# Glue tasks without callbacks

```
Promise c1 = task { compile(module1) }
```

```
Promise c2 = task { compile(module2) }
```

```
Promise j1 = task { jar c1.get() }
```

```
Promise j2 = task { jar c2.get() }
```

```
task { deploy(j1.get(), j2.get()) }
```

```
task {pushToRepo j1.get() }
```

```
iWillSendEmailWhenJarred(j1.get())
```

# Dataflow variables wrap-up

- *Promise* is the reading side
- Blocking read – *val*, *get()*, *await()*
- Callbacks – *then*, *|*, *whenAllBound*
- Callback handlers can be chained
- Promises are typically returned from async calls – *task*, *async*

# Abstractions


## Tasks (aka coroutines, goroutines)

- Implemented as (pooled) threads or virtual, lightweight threads


## Data exchange between tasks

- Tasks' return value
- Dataflow variables
- **Dataflow channels**


# Dataflow Variables / Promises



# Dataflow Channels



# Synchronous Channels





# Async progress indication

```
List<Promise> forms=submissions.collect {form →  
  group.task {  
    def result = form.process()  
    progressQueue << 1  
    if (result.valid) {  
      return form  
    }  
  }  
}
```

# Async result reporting

submissions.each {form →

  group.task {

    if (form.process().valid) queue << form

  }

}

# Channel Selection

```
Select alt = group.select(validForms, invalidForms)
```

```
SelectResult selectResult = alt.select() //alt.prioritySelect()
```

```
switch (selectResult.index) {  
    case 0: registrations << selectResult.value; break  
    case 1: ...  
}
```

# High-level abstractions

- STM
- Hierarchical decomposition
- Geometrical decomposition
- Streams
- Agents, Actors, Active objects
- Communicating Sequential Processes

# Summary

Parallelism is not hard, multi-threading is

# References

<http://groovy-lang.org>

<http://grails.org>

<http://groovyconsole.appspot.com/>

<http://www.manning.com/coenig2/>

<https://docs.oracle.com/en/java/javase/20/core/virtual-threads.html>

<https://akka.io/>