

Audit Report September, 2022

For

Vegas
ONE

Table of Content

Executive Summary	01
Checked Vulnerabilities	03
Techniques and Methods	04
Manual Testing	05
A. Contract - EXCHANGE	05
High Severity Issues	05
Medium Severity Issues	05
A.1 Negligence for Users With Tokens Less Than Exchange Minimum Value	05
A.2 Centralization Power of the Admin	06
Low Severity Issues	07
A.3 Missing Check for Address Zero	07
A.4 Missing Check for Token Existence	08
Informational Issues	09
A.5 Change Public Function Visibility to External Function	09
A.6 Absence of Proper Code Comment	09
A.7 General Recommendation	10
Functional Testing	11
Automated Testing	11
Closing Summary	13
About QuillAudits	14

Executive Summary

Project Name VegasONE

Overview The VegasONE Exchange smart contract allows users to exchange ethers and five ERC20 tokens (USDT, BUSD, USDC, BNB, wBTC) for the VegasONE token. The contract is designed to allow the contract admin to activate and deactivate which ERC20 tokens will be permitted for a swap for the VegasONE token. Withdrawal from the contract goes to the Administrative role which is handled by the Openzeppelin Access Control Enumerable contract.

Timeline 10 August, 2022 to 24 August, 2022.

Method Manual Review, Functional Testing, Automated Testing etc.

Scope of Audit The scope of this audit was to analyse VegasONE codebase for quality, security, and correctness.
<https://github.com/taisys-technologies/audit-exchange/blob/main/contracts/Exchange.sol>

Fixed in 7f3341ff05110c514fcc5532e5aff8b7558aa579



High

Medium

Low

Informational

	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open Issues	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged Issues	0	1	0	0
Partially Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0
Resolved Issues	0	1	3	2



Types of Severities

High

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.

Medium

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.

Low

Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

Informational

These are severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

Types of Issues

Open

Security vulnerabilities identified that must be resolved and are currently unresolved.

Resolved

These are the issues identified in the initial audit and have been successfully fixed.

Acknowledged

Vulnerabilities which have been acknowledged but are yet to be resolved.

Partially Resolved

Considerable efforts have been invested to reduce the risk/impact of the security issue, but are not completely resolved.



Checked Vulnerabilities

- ✓ Re-entrancy
- ✓ Timestamp Dependence
- ✓ Gas Limit and Loops
- ✓ Exception Disorder
- ✓ Gasless Send
- ✓ Use of tx.origin
- ✓ Compiler version not fixed
- ✓ Address hardcoded
- ✓ Divide before multiply
- ✓ Integer overflow/underflow
- ✓ Dangerous strict equalities
- ✓ Tautology or contradiction
- ✓ Return values of low-level calls
- ✓ Missing Zero Address Validation
- ✓ Private modifier
- ✓ Revert/require functions
- ✓ Using block.timestamp
- ✓ Multiple Sends
- ✓ Using SHA3
- ✓ Using suicide
- ✓ Using throw
- ✓ Using inline assembly



Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contract, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behaviour.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behaviour mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analysed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

Static Analysis

Static analysis of smart contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analysed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behaviour of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Remix IDE, Truffle, Truffle Team, Solhint, Mythril, Slither, Solidity statistic analysis.



Manual Testing

A. Contract - EXCHANGE

High Severity Issues

No issues found

Medium Severity Issues

A1. Negligence for Users With Tokens Less Than Exchange Minimum Value

Line	Function - ethToVegasONE & erc20ToVegasONE
164	<pre>function ethToVegasONE(address walletAddress) external checkIsEnableChange nonReentrant payable { uint256 amount = msg.value * ethRate; if (amount >= exchangeMinValue){ require(erc20Token[0].tokenAddress.transfer(walletAddress, amount)); } emit EthExchangeEvnet(walletAddress, msg.value, amount); }</pre>
172	<pre>uint256 vegasONEAmount = amount * token.tokenExchangeRate / token.tokenDecimals; require(token.tokenAddress.transferFrom(walletAddress, address(this), amount)); if (vegasONEAmount >= exchangeMinValue){ require(erc20Token[0].tokenAddress.transfer(walletAddress, vegasONEAmount)); }</pre>

Description

These critical functions allow for users to interact with the contract with either ethers or one of the accepted ERC20 tokens. After the mathematical computation for the exchange to occur, there is a precondition that checks that the amount of VegasONE token to be sent to the user is greater than the exchange minimum value. However, the contract fails to handle users interacting with little amount. When values less than an ether are sent to the contract, the contract receives these funds without correspondingly sending VegasONE token to the users. If a person sends 1 wBtc, which is padded with 8 zeros, 100000000.

The contract sets the token exchange rate to $1 * 1e13$, which is 10000000000000, padded with 13 zeros.

And the token decimal of wBtc is 8, which is 100000000
According to erc20ToVegasONE, the amount of vegasONEAmount is computed as follows;



```
amount * token.tokenExchangeRate / token.tokenDecimal
```

```
100000000 * 1000000000000000 / 100000000
```

```
vegasONEAmount = 1000000000000000
```

In that case, vegasONEAmount becomes less than exchangeMinValue (which is $1e18 - 1000000000000000000$).

Contract won't send any vegasToken because it ignores taking care of that instance. The user gets no VegasONE token in return.

Remediation

These functions can be designed to have a revert condition that explains the minimum amount required from users. This will inadvertently prevent receiving ethers or ERC20 tokens from users without giving them VegasONE tokens in return.

Status

Resolved

A2. Centralization Power of the Admin

Description

The contract possesses the feature of centralization of power. This feature allows for Admin to withdraw all tokens and ethers sent into the contract.

Remediation

If there is any possibility of funds being moved from exchange to any address or platform. make sure to alert users before moving funds about it on social platforms in communities giving reasons why funds are getting moved.

Status

Acknowledged



Low Severity Issues

A3. Missing Check for Address Zero

Line	Function - ethWithdraw
192	<pre>function ethWithdraw(address to, uint256 amount) external checkAdmin nonReentrant { payable(to).transfer(amount); emit EthDrawEvent(to , amount); }</pre>

Description

While the function is restricted to be called by the Admin and possesses a reentrancy prevention check, it fails to check for when address zero is passed as a parameter.

Remediation

Quality check should be added to the function to avoid sending ethers to the null address.

Status

Resolved



A4. Missing Check for Token Existence

Line	Function - setERC20TokenExchangeRate
211	<pre>function setERC20TokenExchangeRate(uint256 tokenID, uint256 rate) external checkAdmin { erc20Token[tokenID].tokenExchangeRate = rate; emit SetERC20ExchangeRateEvent(address(erc20Token[tokenID].tokenAddress), rate); } }</pre>

Description

This function aids in setting the rate for a token exchange. It fails to check the existence of a token id with the checkTokenIDExist modifier before it sets. The present implementation will allow for setting of tokens that the contract doesn't even recognize.

Remediation

If there is any possibility of funds being moved from exchange to any address or platform. make sure to alert users before moving funds about it on social platforms in communities giving reasons why funds are getting moved.

Status

Resolved



Informational Issues

A5. Change Public Function Visibility to External Function

Line	Function - isEnabledExchange
234	<pre>function isEnabledExchange() public view returns (bool) { return enableExchange; }</pre>

Description

For gas optimization, public visibility costs more than an external function and from the contract, the isEnabledExchange function was not called within the contract.

Remediation

It is recommended to declare this function as external to save gas.

Status

Resolved

A6. Absence of Proper Code Comment

Description

Proper code comment is a necessary feature of a standard smart contract because it details the motive behind the business logic and helps for quick understanding of smart contracts by prospective users and developers.

Remediation

It is recommended that the whole contract should be properly commented. The Natspec code format is mostly recommended.

Status

Resolved



A7. General Recommendations

Description

The VegasONE exchange contract inherits the reentrancy guard and access enumerable from Openzeppelin. While these contracts aid in handling the administrative role and guarding reentrancy, it is recommended to give critical look to the highlighted issues above; proper code comments, use of external visibility to save gas, check for address zero for critical functions and the function that allows for the swaps of ethers or ERC20 token to VegasONE token.

Status

Resolved



Functional Testing

- ✓ Should get the state of the isEnabledExchange
- ✓ Should revert if not the admin adding an ERC20Token to contract
- ✓ Should emit exact events expected when token is added
- ✓ Should revert when an unknown account sets ETHExchangeRate
- ✓ Should emit expected values when ethExchangeRate is set by admin
- ✓ Should revert when an unknown account sets ERC20TokenExchangeRate
- ✓ Should emit expected values when ERC20Token Exchange Rate is set by admin
- ✓ Should revert when ERC20TokenExchangeRate is set for non-exist token id.
- ✓ Should revert when an unknown account sets setContractExchangeStatus
- ✓ Should emit expected values when Exchange Status is set by admin
- ✓ Should revert when an unknown account sets setTokenExchangeStatus
- ✓ Should emit expected values when Token Exchange Status is set by admin
- ✓ Should revert when Token Exchange Status is called but token does not exist.
- ✓ Should set ExchangeMin Value when admin calls setExchangeMinValue function.
- ✓ Should reverts when accounts exchange ethers for VegasONE token but checkIsEnableChange is not active
- ✓ Should revert when no ether is sent alongside the ethToVegasONE
- ✓ Should allow accounts exchange ethers for vegasONE Token
- ✓ Should allow accounts to exchange BUSD for vegasONE Token
- ✓ Should allow accounts to exchange USDC for vegasONE Token
- ✓ Should allow accounts to exchange BNB for vegasONE Token
- ✓ Should allow accounts to exchange wBTC for vegasONE Token
- ✓ Should withdraw all ethers to an address after an exchange of ethers to vegasONE token
- ✓ Should withdraw BUSD tokens after the exchange of BUSD to vegasONE token
- ✓ Should withdraw USDC tokens after the exchange of USDC to vegasONE token

Automated Tests

No major issues were found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tools. All the other issues have been categorized above according to their level of severity



Closing Summary

In this report, we have considered the security of the VegasONE. We performed our audit according to the procedure described above.

Some issues of Medium, Low and informational severity were found, Some suggestions and best practices are also provided in order to improve the code quality and security posture. In the End, VegasOne Team Resolved almost all Issues except one Issue.

Disclaimer

QuillAudits smart contract audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of the VegasONE Platform. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contracts.

The statements made in this document should not be interpreted as investment or legal advice, nor should its authors be held accountable for decisions made based on them. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process. One audit cannot be considered enough. We recommend that the VegasONE Team put in place a bug bounty program to encourage further analysis of the smart contract by other third parties.



About QuillAudits

QuillAudits is a secure smart contracts audit platform designed by QuillHash Technologies.

We are a team of dedicated blockchain security experts and smart contract auditors determined to ensure that Smart Contract-based Web3 projects can avail the latest and best security solutions to operate in a trustworthy and risk-free ecosystem.



600+
Audits Completed



\$15B
Secured



600K
Lines of Code Audited



Follow Our Journey



Audit Report September, 2022

For

Vegas
ONE



QuillAudits

📍 Canada, India, Singapore, United Kingdom

🌐 audits.quillhash.com

✉️ audits@quillhash.com