

Audit Report April, 2023



For





Table of Content

Executive Summary	01
Checked Vulnerabilities	03
Techniques and Methods	04
Manual Testing	05
High Severity Issues	05
Medium Severity Issues	05
Low Severity Issues	٥ ٢
Low Severity issues	05
	05
Informational Issues	
Informational Issues Automated Tests	05
Informational Issues Automated Tests Functional Test	05 06

Executive Summary

Project Name Indu4.0

Overview Indu40 is an ERC20 token contract that inherits from the openzeppelin

library; ERC20Burnable, ERC20Capped, Ownable, and ERC20Permit.

The contract allows the owner to mint tokens until it meets the set cap.

Project URL https://www.indu40.io/

Timeline 19th April, 2023 to 21st April, 2023

Method Manual Review, Functional Testing, Automated Testing etc.

Scope of Audit The scope of this audit was to analyze Indu40 codebase for quality,

security, and correctness.

Contracts in Scope https://github.com/indu40/indu-erc-20-token-contract/blob/main/

contracts/Indu40.sol

Branch : Main

Commit hash: 1278a41c8343bbe27d552d2838911c8daada16a9



	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open Issues	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged Issues	0	0	0	0
Partially Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0
Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0

Types of Severities

High

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.

Medium

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.

Low

Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

Informational

These are severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

Types of Issues

Open

Security vulnerabilities identified that must be resolved and are currently unresolved.

Resolved

These are the issues identified in the initial audit and have been successfully fixed.

Acknowledged

Vulnerabilities which have been acknowledged but are yet to be resolved.

Partially Resolved

Considerable efforts have been invested to reduce the risk/impact of the security issue, but are not completely resolved.

Checked Vulnerabilities

Re-entrancy

Timestamp Dependence

Gas Limit and Loops

DoS with Block Gas Limit

Transaction-Ordering Dependence

✓ Use of tx.origin

Exception disorder

Gasless send

✓ Balance equality

Byte array

Transfer forwards all gas

BEP20 API violation

Malicious libraries

Compiler version not fixed

Redundant fallback function

Send instead of transfer

Style guide violation

Unchecked external call

Unchecked math

Unsafe type inference

Implicit visibility level

(

Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contract, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behaviour.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behaviour mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analysed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

Static Analysis

Static analysis of smart contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analysed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behaviour of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Remix IDE, Truffle, Truffle Team, Solhint, Mythril, Slither, Solidity statistic analysis.

Indu40 - Audit Report

www.quillaudits.com

Manual Testing

A. Contract - Indu40.sol

High Severity Issues

No issues were found

Medium Severity Issues

No issues were found

Low Severity Issues

No issues were found

Informational Issues

No issues were found

Functional Testing

No major issues were found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tools. All the other issues have been categorized above according to their level of severity.

```
Math.mulDiv(uint256,uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#55-135) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
            - denominator = denominator / twos (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#102)
- inverse = (3 * denominator) ^ 2 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#117)
Math.mulDiv(uint256,uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#55-135) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
- denominator = denominator / twos (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#102)
- denominator = denominator / twos (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#121)

- inverse *= 2 - denominator * inverse (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#121)

Math.mulDiv(uint256,uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#102)

- denominator = denominator / twos (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#102)

- inverse *= 2 - denominator * inverse (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#122)

Math.mulDiv(uint256,uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#102)

- inverse *= 2 - denominator / twos (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#102)

- inverse *= 2 - denominator * inverse (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#102)

Math.mulDiv(uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#123)
Math.mulDiv(uint256,uint256,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#55-135) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
- denominator = denominator / twos (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#102)
- prod0 = prod0 / twos (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#105)
- result = prod0 * inverse (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#132)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#divide-before-multiply
INFO:Detectors:
- Indu40.constructor(address,uint256,uint256) (contracts/Indu4.sol#24-32)
But does not have a function to withdraw the ether
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#contracts-that-lock-ether
 INFO:Detectors:
ERC20Permit.constructor(string).name (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/extensions/draft-ERC20Permit.sol#44) shadows:
              ERC20.name() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol#62-64) (function)
            - IERC20Metadata.name() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/extensions/IERC20Metadata.sol#17) (function)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#local-variable-shadowing
 INFO:Detectors:
ERC20Permit.permit(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint8,bytes32,bytes32) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/extensions/draft-ERC20Permit.sol#49-68)
  uses timestamp for comparisons
            Dangerous comparisons:
              require(bool, string)(block.timestamp <= deadline, ERC20Permit: expired deadline) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/extensions/draft-ERC20P
ermit.sol#58)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#block-timestamp
 Strings.toString(uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Strings.sol#18-38) uses assembly
               ^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#4)
            - ^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol#4)
            - ^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol#4)
               ^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/extensions/ERC20Burnable.sol#4)
              ^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/extensions/ERC20Capped.sol#4)
^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/extensions/IERC20Metadata.sol#4)
^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/extensions/draft-ERC20Permit.sol#4)
               ^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/extensions/draft-IERC20Permit.sol#4)
               ^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#4)
               ^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Counters.sol#4)
               ^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Strings.sol#4)
               ^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/cryptography/ECDSA.sol#4)
               ^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/cryptography/EIP712.sol#4) 
^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol#4)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#different-pragma-directives-are-used
 INFO:Detectors:
 Pragma version^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#4) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol#4) allows old versions Pragma version^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol#4) allows old versions
```

```
- %8.6 (note_modules/@epenzeppelin/contracts/access/Whamable.sol#4)
- %8.6 (note_modules/@epenzeppelin/contracts/access/Whamable.sol#4)
- %8.6 (note_modules/@epenzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/sctessions/ERC20/
```



Functional Testing

Some of the tests performed are mentioned below:

- Should get the name of the token
- Should get the symbol of the token
- Should get the decimal of the token
- Should get the capped volume size of the token contract.
- Should allow the contract owner to mint any amount of token to a recipient.
- Should fail when minting after the capped size has been met.
- Should allow token holders burn their tokens.
- Should allow spender burn allowed tokens with burnFrom function.

Closing Summary

In this report, we have considered the security of the Indu40 codebase. We performed our audit according to the procedure described above.

No Issues were Found During the Course of the Audit.

Disclaimer

QuillAudits smart contract audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of the Indu40 Platform. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contracts.

The statements made in this document should not be interpreted as investment or legal advice, nor should its authors be held accountable for decisions made based on them. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process. One audit cannot be considered enough. We recommend that the Indu40 Team put in place a bug bounty program to encourage further analysis of the smart contract by other third parties.

About QuillAudits

QuillAudits is a secure smart contracts audit platform designed by QuillHash Technologies. We are a team of dedicated blockchain security experts and smart contract auditors determined to ensure that Smart Contract-based Web3 projects can avail the latest and best security solutions to operate in a trustworthy and risk-free ecosystem.



700+ Audits Completed



\$16BSecured



700KLines of Code Audited



Follow Our Journey





















Audit Report April, 2023

For







- Canada, India, Singapore, United Kingdom
- § audits.quillhash.com
- ▼ audits@quillhash.com