



QuillAudits

# Audit Report May, 2023

For



finblox



# Table of Content

Executive Summary .....	01
Checked Vulnerabilities .....	03
Techniques and Methods .....	04
Manual Testing .....	05
<b>High Severity Issues</b>	05
<b>Medium Severity Issues</b>	05
<b>Low Severity Issues</b>	05
<b>Informational Issues</b>	05
Functional Test .....	06
Automated Tests .....	06
Closing Summary .....	07
About QuillAudits .....	08



# Executive Summary

Project Name	FBXToken
Overview	FBXToken is ERC20 token with 10B totalsupply, it inherits ERC20 functionalities from OpenZeppelin ERC20 implementation.
Timeline	April 24,2023 to April 26,2023
Method	Manual Review, Functional Testing, Automated Testing etc.
Scope of Audit	The scope of this audit was to analyze Finbloxapp's FBXToken Contract code for quality, security, and correctness. <a href="https://goerli.etherscan.io/address/0x5De597849Cf72c72f073e9085bDD0DadD8E6C199#code">https://goerli.etherscan.io/address/0x5De597849Cf72c72f073e9085bDD0DadD8E6C199#code</a>

0  
Issues Found

High

Medium

Low

Informational

	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open Issues	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged Issues	0	0	0	0
Partially Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0
Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0



## Types of Severities

### High

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.

### Medium

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.

### Low

Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

### Informational

These are severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

## Types of Issues

### Open

Security vulnerabilities identified that must be resolved and are currently unresolved.

### Resolved

These are the issues identified in the initial audit and have been successfully fixed.

### Acknowledged

Vulnerabilities which have been acknowledged but are yet to be resolved.

### Partially Resolved

Considerable efforts have been invested to reduce the risk/impact of the security issue, but are not completely resolved.



# Checked Vulnerabilities

- ✓ Re-entrancy
- ✓ Timestamp Dependence
- ✓ Gas Limit and Loops
- ✓ DoS with Block Gas Limit
- ✓ Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- ✓ Use of tx.origin
- ✓ Exception disorder
- ✓ Gasless send
- ✓ Balance equality
- ✓ Byte array
- ✓ Transfer forwards all gas
- ✓ BEP20 API violation
- ✓ Malicious libraries
- ✓ Compiler version not fixed
- ✓ Redundant fallback function
- ✓ Send instead of transfer
- ✓ Style guide violation
- ✓ Unchecked external call
- ✓ Unchecked math
- ✓ Unsafe type inference
- ✓ Implicit visibility level



# Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contract, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behaviour.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behaviour mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

## Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analysed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

## Static Analysis

Static analysis of smart contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

## Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analysed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

## Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behaviour of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

## Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Remix IDE, Truffle, Truffle Team, Solhint, Mythril, Slither, Solidity statistic analysis.



# Manual Testing

## A. Contract - FBXToken.sol

### High Severity Issues

No issues were found

### Medium Severity Issues

No issues were found

### Low Severity Issues

No issues were found

### Informational Issues

No issues were found



# Functional Testing

**Some of the tests performed are mentioned below:**

- ✓ Contract mints initialBalance amount of tokens deployer address
- ✓ Should be able to transfer tokens to other address
- ✓ Should be able to approve tokens
- ✓ Should be able to tranferFrom approved tokens
- ✓ Should be able to increase and decrease allowance
- ✓ Reverts if spender tries to transfer tokens using tranferFrom more than the allowance
- ✓ Reverts if user tries to tranfer tokens more than the amount he holds
- ✓ Should be able to pause the contract
- ✓ Should not be able to transfer when contract is paused
- ✓ Should not allow non-owners to pause, unpause and take snapshots
- ✓ Should take snapshot

## Automated Tests

No major issues were found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tools. All the other issues have been categorized above according to their level of severity.





# Closing Summary

In this report, we have considered the security of the FinBloxapp. We performed our audit according to the procedure described above.

No Issues Found, During The Audit.

## Disclaimer

QuillAudits smart contract audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of the Finbloxapp Platform. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contracts.

The statements made in this document should not be interpreted as investment or legal advice, nor should its authors be held accountable for decisions made based on them. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process. One audit cannot be considered enough. We recommend that the Finbloxapp Team put in place a bug bounty program to encourage further analysis of the smart contract by other third parties.



# About QuillAudits

QuillAudits is a secure smart contracts audit platform designed by QuillHash Technologies.

We are a team of dedicated blockchain security experts and smart contract auditors determined to ensure that Smart Contract-based Web3 projects can avail the latest and best security solutions to operate in a trustworthy and risk-free ecosystem.



**700+**  
Audits Completed



**\$16B**  
Secured



**700K**  
Lines of Code Audited



## Follow Our Journey





# Audit Report May, 2023

For



QuillAudits

📍 Canada, India, Singapore, United Kingdom

🌐 [www.quillaudits.com](http://www.quillaudits.com)

✉ [audits@quillhash.com](mailto:audits@quillhash.com)