

COVER PROTOCOL COVER FLASHLOANS SMART CONTRACT AUDIT

March 10, 2021

MixBytes()

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
DISCLAIMER.....	1
PROJECT OVERVIEW.....	1
SECURITY ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY.....	2
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	4
PROJECT DASHBOARD.....	4
2.1. CRITICAL.....	6
2.2. MAJOR.....	6
2.3. WARNING.....	6
2. FINDINGS REPORT.....	7
2.1. CRITICAL.....	7
2.2. MAJOR.....	7
MJR-1 Error while calculating the value.....	7
MJR-2 Wrongly used <code>safeApprove</code>	8
2.3. WARNING.....	9
WRN-1 No processing of function execution results.....	9
WRN-2 Event is probably missing.....	10
WRN-3 There is no check for the available amount of tokens.....	11
WRN-4 Should return zero. But instead the transaction crashes.....	12
2.4. COMMENTS.....	13
CMT-1 Using "magic" numbers.....	13
CMT-2 Hardcoded addresses.....	14
3. ABOUT MIXBYTES.....	15

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 DISCLAIMER

The audit makes no statements or warranties about utility of the code, safety of the code, suitability of the business model, investment advice, endorsement of the platform or its products, regulatory regime for the business model, or any other statements about fitness of the contracts to purpose, or their bug free status. The audit documentation is for discussion purposes only. The information presented in this report is confidential and privileged. If you are reading this report, you agree to keep it confidential, not to copy, disclose or disseminate without the agreement of Cover Protocol. If you are not the intended recipient(s) of this document, please note that any disclosure, copying or dissemination of its content is strictly forbidden.

1.2 PROJECT OVERVIEW

Cover Protocol provides peer to peer coverage with fungible tokens. It lets the market set coverage prices as opposed to a bonding curve.

1.3 SECURITY ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

At least 2 auditors are involved in the work on the audit who check the provided source code independently of each other in accordance with the methodology described below:

- 01 "Blind" audit includes:
 - > Manual code study
 - > "Reverse" research and study of the architecture of the code based on the source code only

Stage goal:
Building an independent view of the project's architecture
Finding logical flaws
- 02 Checking the code against the checklist of known vulnerabilities includes:
 - > Manual code check for vulnerabilities from the company's internal checklist
 - > The company's checklist is constantly updated based on the analysis of hacks, research and audit of the clients' code

Stage goal:
Eliminate typical vulnerabilities (e.g. reentrancy, gas limit, flashloan attacks, etc.)
- 03 Checking the logic, architecture of the security model for compliance with the desired model, which includes:
 - > Detailed study of the project documentation
 - > Examining contracts tests
 - > Examining comments in code
 - > Comparison of the desired model obtained during the study with the reversed view obtained during the blind audit

Stage goal:
Detection of inconsistencies with the desired model
- 04 Consolidation of the reports from all auditors into one common interim report document
 - > Cross check: each auditor reviews the reports of the others
 - > Discussion of the found issues by the auditors
 - > Formation of a general (merged) report

Stage goal:
Re-check all the problems for relevance and correctness of the threat level
Provide the client with an interim report
- 05 Bug fixing & re-check.
 - > Client fixes or comments on every issue
 - > Upon completion of the bug fixing, the auditors double-check each fix and set the statuses with a link to the fix

Stage goal:
Preparation of the final code version with all the fixes
- 06 Preparation of the final audit report and delivery to the customer.

Findings discovered during the audit are classified as follows:

FINDINGS SEVERITY BREAKDOWN

Level	Description	Required action
Critical	Bugs leading to assets theft, fund access locking, or any other loss funds to be transferred to any party	Immediate action to fix issue
Major	Bugs that can trigger a contract failure. Further recovery is possible only by manual modification of the contract state or replacement.	Implement fix as soon as possible
Warning	Bugs that can break the intended contract logic or expose it to DoS attacks	Take into consideration and implement fix in certain period
Comment	Other issues and recommendations reported to/acknowledged by the team	Take into consideration

Based on the feedback received from the Customer's team regarding the list of findings discovered by the Contractor, they are assigned the following statuses:

Status	Description
Fixed	Recommended fixes have been made to the project code and no longer affect its security.
Acknowledged	The project team is aware of this finding. Recommendations for this finding are planned to be resolved in the future. This finding does not affect the overall safety of the project.
No issue	Finding does not affect the overall safety of the project and does not violate the logic of its work.

1.4 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The functionality of this project will well expand the functionality of the Cover protocol. Users will now be able to use flashloans. Support for the DAI token accelerates the work of this project. The main logic is located in the CoverFlashBorrower contract. For compatibility with other applications, the project was developed according to the EIP-3156 standard.

1.5 PROJECT DASHBOARD

Client	Cover Protocol
Audit name	Cover Flashloans
Initial version	bea8cd444aa1791a97b2a81078dc887a835f7949 4f6cbd2659ae02c1fcd9d79da994684fa5c94409 b43bc15deae11db231af3fa4c0ea3843f9565db3 0a31e67acf52fafdeed42f366e88dc70c1b5592e 9cb6561f3d40f870252088d3c16c1eed24a93166
Final version	9cb6561f3d40f870252088d3c16c1eed24a93166
SLOC	245
Date	2021-02-15 - 2021-03-10
Auditors engaged	2 auditors

FILES LISTING

CoverFlashBorrower.sol	CoverFlashBorrower.sol
IERC20.sol	IERC20.sol
IYERC20.sol	IYERC20.sol
SafeERC20.sol	SafeERC20.sol
IBPool.sol	IBPool.sol
ICover.sol	ICover.sol
IERC3156FlashBorrower.sol	IERC3156FlashBorrower.sol
IERC3156FlashLender.sol	IERC3156FlashLender.sol
IFlashBorrower.sol	IFlashBorrower.sol
IProtocol.sol	IProtocol.sol
Address.sol	Address.sol
Context.sol	Context.sol
Ownable.sol	Ownable.sol

FINDINGS SUMMARY

Level	Amount
Critical	0
Major	2
Warning	4
Comment	2

CONCLUSION

Smart contracts have been audited and several suspicious places have been spotted. During the audit no critical issues were found, two issues were marked as major because they could lead to some undesired behavior, also several warnings and comments were found and fixed by the client. After working on the reported findings all of them were resolved or acknowledged. Findings list: Level Amount CRITICAL - MAJOR 1 WARNING 4 COMMENT 2 Final commit identifier with all fixes:

9cb6561f3d40f870252088d3c16c1eed24a93166

2. FINDINGS REPORT

2.1 CRITICAL

Not Found

2.2 MAJOR

MJR-1	Error while calculating the value
File	CoverFlashBorrower.sol
Severity	Major
Status	Fixed at 0a31e67a

DESCRIPTION

The `getPricePerFullShare()` function for a yDAI token contract returns the value multiplied by a factor of $1e18$.

But it doesn't divided by $1e18$:

- `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L90`
- `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L155`
- `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L182`

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended to fix the error.

MJR-2	Wrongly used <code>safeApprove</code>
File	CoverFlashBorrower.sol
Severity	Major
Status	

DESCRIPTION

At the line `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L351` contract call `safeApprove` method, however that method fails if account have remaining allowed tokens.

RECOMMENDATION

We suggest to reset approval calling

```
ERC20(token).safeApprove(recipient, 0);
```

before setting new approval

CLIENT'S COMMENTARY

No comment received from the team

2.3 WARNING

WRN-1	No processing of function execution results
File	CoverFlashBorrower.sol SafeERC20.sol
Severity	Warning
Status	Fixed at 9cb6561f

DESCRIPTION

The `CoverFlashBorrower.sol` contract uses the `approve()`, `transferFrom()` and `transfer()` functions to transfer tokens. According to the ERC20 standard, functions return boolean variables, but the result is not processed. This can be seen in the following lines:

- `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L97`
- `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L130`
- `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L143`
- `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L231`
- `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L233`
- `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L235`
- `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L264`
- `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L284`
- `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L286`
- `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L335`

At the same time, the project has a library for safe work with tokens for the ERC20 standard. This library is located here: `SafeERC20.sol`.

RECOMMENDATION

For operations with tokens, use functions from the `SafeERC20.sol` library.

WRN-2	Event is probably missing
File	CoverFlashBorrower.sol
Severity	Warning
Status	Fixed at 9cb6561f

DESCRIPTION

At the line `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L134-L137`

`CoverFlashBorrower`'s method `setFlashLender()` should probably emit an event.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended to create event: `NewFlashLender`.

WRN-3	There is no check for the available amount of tokens
File	CoverFlashBorrower.sol
Severity	Warning
Status	Fixed at 4f6cbd26

DESCRIPTION

Before taking an flashloan, you need to make sure that there are enough tokens. But now this check is not being done.

The lines: [CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L98](#) and [CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L131](#) need to be checked:

```
require (amountDaiNeeded <= flashLender.maxFlashLoan (address (dai)), " wrong amount of token");
```

Additional checks keep the source code clean.

Additional checks do not require gas consumption when making a transaction.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended to add checks for the available amount of tokens

WRN-4	Should return zero. But instead the transaction crashes
File	CoverFlashBorrower.sol
Severity	Warning
Status	Fixed at b43bc15d

DESCRIPTION

Functions are used to calculate the number of tokens. But in some cases, an arithmetic overflow occurs and the transaction is reverted. This can be avoided by doing a check and returning zero.

- At the line [CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L163](#) should be done like this:

```
if (amountDaiNeeded + flashFee < daiReceivedFromSwap) {
    totalCost = 0;
} else {
    totalCost = amountDaiNeeded - daiReceivedFromSwap + flashFee;
}
```

- At the line [CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L186](#) should be done like this:

```
if (daiReceivedFromRedeem < amountDaiNeeded + flashFee) {
    totalReturn = 0;
} else {
    totalReturn = daiReceivedFromRedeem - amountDaiNeeded - flashFee;
}
```

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended to fix the code

2.4 COMMENTS

CMT-1	Using "magic" numbers/span>
File	CoverFlashBorrower.sol
Severity	Comment
Status	Acknowledged

DESCRIPTION

The use in the source code of some unknown where taken values impairs its understanding.

On line `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L185` the value is `10000`.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that you create constants with meaningful names for using numeric values.

CLIENT'S COMMENTARY

Comment is regarding the variable naming for a view function. We could redeploy, but it's only a clarity issue, not functional.

CMT-2	Hardcoded addresses/span>
File	CoverFlashBorrower.sol
Severity	Comment
Status	Fixed at 9cb6561f

DESCRIPTION

At the lines `CoverFlashBorrower.sol#L23-L24` the DAI and yDAI addresses is hardcoded. But if the contract deployed to some other testnet it must be different.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended to make these addresses as arguments to the initialization method.

3. ABOUT MIXBYTES

MixBytes is a team of blockchain developers, auditors and analysts keen on decentralized systems. We build open-source solutions, smart contracts and blockchain protocols, perform security audits, work on benchmarking and software testing solutions, do research and tech consultancy.

BLOCKCHAINS



Ethereum



Cosmos



EOS



Substrate

TECH STACK



Python



Solidity



Rust



C++

CONTACTS



https://github.com/mixbytes/audits_public



<https://mixbytes.io/>



hello@mixbytes.io



<https://t.me/MixBytes>



<https://twitter.com/mixbytes>