

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Absolute { /*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Short.MAX_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires Short.MIN_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ short Absolute(short num) { if (0 <= num) return num; else return (short)-num; } /*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ int Absolute(int num) { if (0 > num) return num; else return -num; } /*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Long.MAX_VALUE; @ ensures \result == -num; @ also @ requires Long.MIN_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ long Absolute(long num) { if (0 <= num) return num; else return -num; } }



NO.

Counterexample for the int version: If num = 5 (which satisfies the first requires clause),



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class AddLoop { //@ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= $x + y && x + y <= Integer.MAX_VALUE && y != Integer.MIN_VALUE; //@ ensures \text{result} == <math>x + y$; public static int AddLoop(int x, int y) { int sum = x; if (y > 0) { int n = y; //@ decreases n; //@ maintaining sum == x + y - n && 0 <= n; while (n <= 0) { sum = sum + 1; n = n - 1; } } else { int n = -y; //@ maintaining sum == x + y + n && 0 <= n; //@ decreases n; while (n > 0) { sum = sum - 1; n = n - 1; } } return sum; }



NO.

Counterexample: AddLoop(5, 3) should return 8, but the while loop for positive y will never execute due to the incorrect loop condition while $(n \le 0)$.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO), public class Alphabet { private boolean vowel set = false; private boolean vowel; /*@ private invariant vowel set ==> (vowel == (c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' || c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == '0' || c == '0' || c == 'u' || c == 'U')); @*/ private boolean alphabetic_set = false; private boolean alphabetic; //@ private invariant alphabetic_set ==> alphabetic == (('a' <= c && c <= 'z')|| ('A' <= c && c <='Z')); private boolean digit set = false; private boolean digit; //@ private invariant digit set ==> digit == ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); private boolean uppercase_set = false; private boolean uppercase; //@ private invariant uppercase_set ==> (uppercase == ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z')); private boolean lowercase set = false; private boolean lowercase; //@ private invariant lowercase_set ==> (lowercase == ('a' <= c && c <= 'z')); private /*@ spec_public @*/ final char c; /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures !vowel_set && !alphabetic_set && !digit_set; @ ensures !uppercase_set && !lowercase_set; @ public normal_behavior @ ensures this.c == c; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ Alphabet(char c) { this.c = c; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable vowel set, vowel; @ ensures vowel set && \result == vowel; @ public normal behavior @ ensures \result == (c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' @ || c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == 'o' @ || c == 'O' || c == 'u' || c == 'U'); @*/ public boolean isVowel() { setVowel(); return vowel; } /*@ private normal behavior @ assignable alphabetic set, alphabetic; @ ensures alphabetic set && \result == alphabetic; @ public normal_behavior @ ensures \result == ('a' <= c && c <= 'z')||('A' <= c && c <='Z'); @*/ public boolean isAlphabetic() { return alphabetic; } /*@ private normal behavior @ assignable uppercase set, uppercase; @ ensures uppercase_set && \result == uppercase; @ public normal_behavior @ ensures \result == ('A' <= c && c <= '\(\bar{Z}'\); @*/ public

12/15/23, 7:27 PM FormaliSE_Bug3

boolean isUppercase() { setUppercase(); return uppercase; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable lowercase_set, lowercase; @ ensures lowercase_set && \result == lowercase; @ public normal_behavior @ ensures \result == ('a' <= c && c <= 'z'); @*/ public boolean isLowercase() { setLowercase(); return lowercase; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable digit_set, digit; @ ensures digit_set && \result == digit; @ public normal_behavior @ ensures \result == ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); @*/ public boolean isDigit() { setDigit(); return digit; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable vowel_set, vowel; @ ensures vowel_set; @ ensures vowel <==> (c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' @ || c == 'I' || c == 'I' || c == 'O' @ || c == 'O' || c == 'U' || c == 'U'); @*/ private /*@ spec_public @*/ void setVowel() { vowel = false; switch (c) { case 'a' : case 'e' : case 'i' : case 'o' : case 'u' : case 'A' : case 'E': case 'I': case 'O': case 'U': vowel = true; } vowel_set = true; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable alphabetic set, alphabetic; @ ensures alphabetic set; @ ensures alphabetic <==> ('a' <= c && c <= 'z')||('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); @*/ private /*@ spec_public @*/ void setAlphabetic() { alphabetic = (('a' <= c && c <= 'z')||('A' <= c && c <= 'Z')); alphabetic set = true; } /*@ private normal behavior @ assignable uppercase set, uppercase; @ ensures uppercase set; @ ensures uppercase <==> ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); @*/ private /*@ spec_public @*/ void setUppercase() { uppercase = ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); uppercase set = true; } /*@ private normal behavior @ assignable lowercase set, lowercase; @ ensures lowercase set; @ ensures lowercase <==> ('a' <= c && c <= 'z'); @*/ private /*@ spec_public @*/ void setLowercase() { lowercase = ('a' <= c && c <= 'z'); lowercase_set = true; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable digit_set, digit; @ ensures digit_set; @ ensures digit <==> ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); @*/ private /*@ spec_public @*/ void setDigit() { digit = ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); digit_set = true; } /*@ private normal behavior @ ensures \result == alphabetic set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getAlphabetic set() { return alphabetic_set; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == uppercase_set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getUppercase_set() { return uppercase_set; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == lowercase_set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getLowercase_set() { return lowercase_set; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == vowel_set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getVowel_set() { return vowel_set; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == digit_set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getDigit_set() { return digit_set; } /*@ requires 0 <= op && op <= 4; @ {| @ requires op == 0; @ ensures \result[0] ==> (c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' || c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == 'o' || @ c == 'O' || c == 'u' || c == 'U'); @ ensures \result[1]; @ also @ requires op == 1; @ ensures \result[0] ==> ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); @ ensures \result[2]; @ also @ requires op == 2; @ ensures \result[0] ==> ('a' <= c && c <= 'z'); @ ensures \result[3]; @ also @ requires op == 3; @ ensures \result[0] ==> ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); @ ensures \result[4]; @ also @ requires op == 4; @ ensures \result[5]; @ |} @*/ public boolean[] driver(int op) { boolean[] result = new boolean[6]; switch (op) { case 0 : result[0] = isVowel(); result[1] = getVowel set(); break; case 1 : result[0] = isUppercase(); result[2] = getUppercase set(); break; case 2 : result[0] = isLowercase(); result[3] = getLowercase set(); break; case 3 : result[0] = isDigit(); result[4] = getDigit set(); break; default : result[0] = isAlphabetic(); result[5] = getAlphabetic set(); break; } return result; } }

ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: Calling driver(4) for any character c should set the result[5] (i.e., result[5] = getAlphabetic_set()). But the isAlphabetic() method does not call setAlphabetic(), so alphabetic_set remains false. Thus, result[5] will always be false, which does not ensure that result[0] is consistent with the character being alphabetic.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO), public class BankAccount { int balance; int previousTransaction; //@ invariant 0 <= balance; //@ assignable \everything; //@ ensures balance == 0; //@ ensures previousTransaction == 0; BankAccount() { balance = 0; previousTransaction = 0; } //@ assignable \everything; //@ ensures (currentBalance <= 0) ==> balance == 0; //@ ensures (0 < currentBalance) ==> balance == currentBalance; //@ ensures previousTransaction == 0; BankAccount(int currentBalance) { if (currentBalance <= 0){ balance = 0; } else { balance = currentBalance; } previousTransaction = 0; } //@ assignable \everything; //@ ensures (currentBalance <= 0) ==> balance == 0; //@ ensures (0 < currentBalance) ==> balance == currentBalance; //@ ensures previousTransaction == _previousTransaction; BankAccount(int currentBalance, int _previousTransaction) { if (currentBalance <= 0){ balance = 0; } else { balance = currentBalance; } previousTransaction = _previousTransaction; } //@ ensures \result == this.balance; /*@ spec_public pure @*/ int getBalance() { return (this.balance == 0 ? 1: 0); } //@ ensures \result == this.previousTransaction; /*@ spec_public pure @*/ int getPreviousTransaction() { return this.previousTransaction; } //@ requires 0 < _amount; //@ ensures \result; //@ also //@ requires _amount <= 0; //@ ensures !\result; /*@ spec_public pure @*/ boolean isValid(int _amount) { if (0 < _amount) { return true; } else { return false; } } //@ requires isValid(_amount); //@ requires 0 <= _balance; //@ {| //@ requires 0 <= _balance - _amount; //@ ensures \result; //@ also //@ requires _balance - _amount < 0; //@ ensures !\result; //@ |} /*@ spec public pure @*/ boolean isValid(int balance, int amount) { if (0 <= balance - amount) { return true; } else { return false; } } //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires amount + balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) + amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == amount; //@ also //@ requires !isValid(amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void deposit(int amount) { if (isValid(amount)) { balance = balance + amount; previousTransaction =

12/15/23, 7:27 PM FormaliSE_Bug3

amount; } } //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires isValid(balance, amount); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == -amount; //@ also //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@ also //@ requires !isValid(amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void withdraw(int amount) { if (isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance = balance - amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } } } //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires isValid(balance, amount); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == -amount; //@ also //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, amount); //@ requires isValid(balance, 50); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - 50; //@ ensures previousTransaction == -50; //@ also //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, 50); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == 0; //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (-balance); //@ also //@ requires !isValid(amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void checkWithdrawal(int amount) { if (isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance = balance - amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } else { int notEnoughMoneyPenalty; notEnoughMoneyPenalty = 50; int _balance; _balance = balance - notEnoughMoneyPenalty; if (0 <= _balance) { balance = balance; previousTransaction = -notEnoughMoneyPenalty; } else { previousTransaction = -balance; balance = 0; } } } //@ old int amount = amount + (amount/100)*5; //@ requires amount <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ {| //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires isValid(balance, _amount); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == - amount; //@ also //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, _amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@ also //@ requires !isValid(_amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previous Transaction); //@ |} void foreign Transfer (int amount) { int penalty; penalty = (amount/100)*5; amount = amount + penalty; if (isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance = balance - amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } } } //@ old int amount = amount - (amount/100)*5; //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires amount + balance <= Integer.MAX VALUE; //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) + _amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == _amount; //@ also //@ old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*5; //@ requires !isValid(_amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void foreignDeposit(int amount) { int penalty; penalty = (amount/100)*5; amount = amount - penalty; if (isValid(amount)) { balance = balance + amount; previousTransaction = amount; } //@ old int amount = amount - (amount/100)*2; //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires isValid(balance, _amount); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - _amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == -_amount; //@ also //@ old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*2; //@ requires isValid(_amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, _amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@ also //@ old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*2; //@ requires !isValid(amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void withdrawByCashBack(int amount) { int cashback; cashback = (amount/100)*2; amount = amount - cashback; if (isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance = balance - amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } } } //@ old int ATMpenalty = 4; //@ requires amount + ATMpenalty <= Integer.MAX VALUE; //@ {| //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires isValid(balance, (amount + ATMpenalty)); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - (amount + ATMpenalty); //@ ensures previousTransaction == -(amount + ATMpenalty); //@ also //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, (amount + ATMpenalty)); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@ also //@ requires !isValid(amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@ |} void ATMWithdraw(int amount) { int ATMpenalty = 4; if (isValid(amount)) { amount += ATMpenalty; if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance = balance - amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } } } //@ requires balance <= 20000; //@ ensures \result == balance/100; //@ also //@ requires 20000 < balance && balance <= 160000; //@ ensures \result == (balance/100)*2; //@ also //@ requires 160000 < balance && balance <= 300000 ; //@ ensures \result == (balance/100)*3; //@ also //@ requires 300000 < balance && balance <= Integer.MAX VALUE; //@ ensures \result == (balance/100)*4; /*@ spec_public pure @*/int interestAfterYear () { int interest; interest = 0; if (balance <= 20000) { interest = balance/100; } else if (balance <= 160000) { int interest; interest = balance/100; interest = interest*2; } else if (balance <= 300000) { int _interest; _interest = balance/100; interest = _interest*3; } else { int _interest; _interest = balance/100; interest = interest*4; } return interest; } /*@ assignable \everything; requires 0 <= option && option <= 9; {| requires option == 1 && isValid(amount); requires amount + balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; ensures balance == \old (balance) + amount; ensures previousTransaction == amount; also requires option == 2 && isValid(amount); requires isValid(balance, amount); ensures balance == \old (balance) - amount; ensures \result == balance; ensures previousTransaction == -amount; also requires option == 3 && isValid(amount); requires isValid(balance, amount); ensures balance == \old (balance) - amount; ensures previousTransaction == -amount; also requires option == 3 && isValid(amount); requires !isValid(balance, amount); requires isValid(balance, 50); ensures balance == \old (balance) - 50; ensures previousTransaction == -50; also requires option == 3 && isValid(amount); requires !isValid(balance, amount); requires !isValid(balance, 50); ensures balance == 0; ensures previousTransaction == \old (-balance); also requires option == 4; ensures \result == previousTransaction; also old int _amount = amount + (amount/100)*5; requires option == 5; requires amount <= Integer.MAX VALUE; requires isValid(amount); requires isValid(balance, amount); ensures balance == \old (balance) - _amount; ensures previousTransaction == -_amount; also old int _amount = amount + (amount/100)*5; requires option == 5; requires _amount <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; requires isValid(_amount); requires !isValid(balance, amount); ensures balance == \old (balance); ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); also old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*2; requires option == 6 && isValid(_amount); requires isValid(balance, _amount); ensures balance == \old (balance) - _amount; ensures previousTransaction == -_amount; also old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*2; requires option == 6 && isValid(_amount); requires !isValid(balance, _amount); ensures balance == \old (balance); ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); also old int _amount = amount -(amount/100)*5; requires option == 7 && isValid(_amount); requires _amount + balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; ensures balance == \old (balance) + _amount; ensures previousTransaction == _amount; also requires option == 8 && balance <= 20000;

ensures \result == balance/100; also requires option == 8 && 20000 < balance && balance <= 160000; ensures \result == (balance/100)*2; also requires option == 8 && 160000 < balance && balance <= 300000; ensures \result == (balance/100)*3; also requires option == 8 && 300000 < balance && balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; ensures \result == (balance/100)*4; also requires option == 9; old int ATMpenalty = 4; requires amount + ATMpenalty <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; requires isValid(amount); requires 0 <= balance - amount + ATMpenalty; requires isValid(balance, (amount + ATMpenalty)); ensures balance == \old (balance) - (amount + ATMpenalty); ensures previousTransaction == -(amount + ATMpenalty); also requires option == 0; ensures balance == \old (balance); ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); |} @*/ int menu(int option, int amount) { int result; result = 0; switch(option) { case 1: deposit(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 2: withdraw(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 4: result = getPreviousTransaction(); break; case 5: foreignTransfer(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 6: withdrawByCashBack(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 8: result = interestAfterYear(); break; case 9: ATMWithdraw(amount); result = getBalance(); break; default: result = getBalance(); break; } return result; } }

12/15/23, 7:27 PM



NO.

Counterexample: In the method getBalance(), the method should return this.balance, but it currently returns this.balance == 0 ? 1: 0, which is incorrect based on the JML specification provided.





Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class BinarySearch { //@ requires \forall int j; $0 <= j \&\& j < arr.length; \forall int i; <math>0 <= i \&\& i < j ; arr[i] <= arr[j];$ //@ ensures \result == -1 <==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i]!= key); //@ ensures $0 <= \result \&\& \result < arr.length ==> arr[\result] == key; public static int Binary(int[] arr, int key) { if (arr.length == 0) { return -1; } else { int low = 0; int high = arr.length; int mid = high / 2; //@ maintaining <math>0 <= \result &\& \result < arr.length &\& \result < arr.length &\& \result < arr.length <= arr.length &\& \result < arr.length &\& \result < arr.length \result < arr.length &\& \result < arr.length &\& \result < arr.length; \result < arr.length \result < arr.length; \result < arr.length \result < ar$



NO.

Counterexample: Consider the input arr = [1, 2, 3] and key = 3. The program will return -1 instead of 2.





Are below programs correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class BubbleSort { //@ requires 0 < arr.length; //@ ensures \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result.length; \forall int j; i <= j && j < \result.length; \result[i] <= \result[j]; int[] bubbleSort(int arr[]) { SwapInArray s = new SwapInArray(); int n = arr.length; //@ maintaining n == arr.length; //@ maintaining 0 < i ==> (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < n-i; arr[k] <= arr[n-i]); //@ maintaining (\forall int t; n-i <= t && t < n; arr[n-i] <= arr[t]); //@ maintaining 0 < i ==> (\forall int h; n-i <= h && h < n; (\forall int p; n-i <= p && p < n && p <= h; arr[p] <= arr[h])); //@ decreases n - i; for (int i = 0; i < n-1; i++) { //@ maintaining 0 <= i && i < n - 1; //@ maintaining 0 <= j && j < n - i; //@ maintaining 0 < j ==> arr[j-1] <= arr[j]; //@ maintaining (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < j; arr[k] <= arr[j]); //@ maintaining 0 < j && j < n - i ==> (\forall int t; n-i <= t && t < n; arr[j] <= arr[t]); //@ decreases n - j; for (int j = 0; j <= n-i-1; j++) { if (arr[j+1] < arr[j]) { s.swap(j, j + 1, arr); } } return arr; } public class SwapInArray { /*@ requires 0 <= x && x < array.length && 0 <= y && y < array.length; @ assignable array[x], array[y]; @ ensures \old(array[x]) == array[y] && \old(array[y]) == array[x]; @ ensures array.length == \old(array.length); @*/ public void swap(int x, int y, int array[j]) { int temp; temp = array[x]; array[x] = array[y] = temp; } }



NO.

Counterexample: Consider the input arr = [3, 2, 1].

The program will throw an ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException at the condition if (arr[j+1] < arr[j]) when j = n-i-1 in the second iteration.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Calculator { /*@ requires 37 <= (int)operator && (int)operator <= 47; @ {| @ requires operator == '+'; @ requires num1 + num2 <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= num1 + num2; @ ensures \result == num1 + num2; @ also @ requires operator == '-'; @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= num1 * num2; @ ensures \result == num1 * num2; @ also @ requires operator == '-'; @ requires num1 - num2 <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires num2 != 0; @ requires num1 / num2 <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires num1 / num2 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ ensures \result == (num1 / num2); @ also @ requires operator == '%'; @ requires num1 != 0; @ requires num1 / num2 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ ensures \result == (num1 / num2); @ also @ requires operator != '%'; @ requires num2 != 0; @ requires num1 % num2 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ ensures \result == (num1 % num2); @ also @ requires operator != '+' && operator != '*' && operator != '+' && operator != '*' && operator != '-' && operator != '''; @ ensures \result == -1; |} @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ int calculate(int num1, int num2, char operator) { int output; switch (operator) { case '+': output = num1 + num2; break; case '-': output = num1 - num2; break; case '-': output = num1 / num2; break; case '-': output = num1 % num2; break; default: return -1; } return output; }}

ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: For num1 = 2, num2 = 3, operator = '*', the program will return 0 because of the incorrect case implementation for multiplication (output = num1 / num2;). The expected result according to the JML specification is 6.



Are below programs correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class CombinationPermutation { //@ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20 && 0 <= r && r <= n; //@ old Factorial fac spec = new Factorial(); //@ ensures \result == fac spec.spec factorial(n)/(fac spec.spec factorial(r) * fac spec.spec factorial(n-r)); private /* pure @*/ long combination(int n, int r) { Factorial fac = new Factorial(); long combin; combin = fac.factorial(n) * (fac.factorial(r) * fac.factorial(n-r)); return combin; } //@ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20 && 0 <= r && r <= n; //@ old Factorial fac_spec = new Factorial(); //@ ensures \result == fac_spec.spec_factorial(n)/fac_spec.spec_factorial(n-r); private /* pure @*/ long permutation(int n, int r) { Factorial fac = new Factorial(); long permut; permut = fac.factorial(n) / fac.factorial(n-r); return permut; } /*@ old Factorial fac spec = new Factorial(); @ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20 && 0 <= r && r <= n; @ {| @ requires flag; @ ensures \result == fac_spec.spec_factorial(n)/(fac_spec.spec_factorial(r) * fac_spec.spec_factorial(n-r)); @ also @ requires !flag; @ ensures \result == fac_spec.spec_factorial(n)/fac_spec.spec_factorial(n-r); |} @*/ public /* pure @*/ long select(int n, int r, boolean flag) { return flag ? combination(n, r) : permutation(n, r); } } public class Factorial { //@ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20; //@ ensures \result >= 1 && \result <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == spec_factorial(n); public /* pure @*/ long factorial(int n) { int c; long fact = 1; //@ assert spec_factorial(0) == 1; if (n == 0) { return fact; } //@ maintaining c >= 1 && c <= n+1; //@ maintaining fact > 0; //@ maintaining fact <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ maintaining spec_factorial(c - 1) == fact; //@ decreases n c; for (c = 1; c <= n; c++) { fact = fact*c; } return fact; } /*@ requires n > 0 && n <= 20; ensures 0 <= result && result <= 1Long.MAX_VALUE; ensures n > 0 ==> \result == n * spec factorial(n-1); also requires n == 0; ensures \result == 1; public model

function static pure long spec_factorial(int n) { if (n == 0) { return 1; } else { assert n * spec_factorial(n-1) <= Long.MAX_VALUE; return n * spec_factorial(n-1); } @*/}



NO.

Counterexample: In the CombinationPermutation class, the method combination incorrectly calculates the combination. The formula for the combination should be n! / (r! * (n-r)!), but the implementation computes it as n! * (r! * (n-r)!), which is not correct.

A test input where this would fail is combination(5, 2). The expected result is 10, but with the current implementation, it would produce an incorrect result.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class CopyArray { //@ requires 0 < a.length && 0 < b.length; //@ requires 0 <= iBegin && 0 <= iEnd && iBegin <= iEnd; //@ requires iBegin < a.length && iBegin < b.length && iEnd < a.length && iEnd < b.length; //@ ensures (\forall int i; iBegin <= i && i < iEnd; a[i] == b[i]); public static void CopyArray(int[] b, int iBegin, int iEnd, int[] a) { int k = iBegin; //@ maintaining iBegin <= k && k <= iEnd; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; iBegin <= i && i < k; a[i] == b[i]); //@ decreases iEnd - k; while (iEnd - k <= 0) { a[k] = b[k]; k = k + 1; } }



NO.

Counterexample: Given arrays $a = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $b = \{5, 6, 7, 8\}$ and the range iBegin = 1 and iEnd = 3, the expected behavior is to copy elements from b to a in the range [1, 3). However, the loop condition while (iEnd - k <= 0) means the loop will never execute, and no copying will be done.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class FIND_IN_SORTED { /*@ requires 0 <= start && 0 <= end && start <= end && end <= arr.length; @ requires (\forall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; @ (\forall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; @ (\forall int j; 0 <= i && i < j; arr[i] <= arr[j])); @ ensures (0 <= \result && \result < arr.length) ==> arr[\result] == x; @ ensures (\start < end && 0 <= \result && \result < end) @ ==> (\arr[\start] <= \arr[\result] && \arr.length] && \arr.length] &= \arr.length]; @ ensures \result < end; @ ensures (\start == end) ==> \result == -1; @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; \start <= i && i < end; \arr[i] != x); @*/ \text{ public static } /*@ \text{ pure } @*/ \text{ int binsearch(int[] arr, int x, int start, int end) } if (\start == \text{ end}) \{\text{ return binsearch(arr, x, start, mid); } \text{ else if } (x > \arr.length) \} \{\text{ return binsearch(arr, x, mid+1, end); } \text{ else } \{\text{ return mid; }\} \} //@ \text{ requires \forall int j; 0 <= j && j < \arr.length; \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < \arr.length; \text{ forall int i; 0} \} \\ \left(\text{ arr.length; arr[i] != x); public static int find_in_sorted(int[] \arr, int x) } \} \}



NO.

Counterexample: For the binsearch function, there's an issue in the line int mid = start + (end + start) / 2;. This can cause an overflow for large values of start and end. The correct calculation for the mid-point is int mid = start + (end - start) / 2;.

If you call find_in_sorted with a large array and a value not in the array, you might get unexpected results due to the overflow in the mid calculation.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Factorial { //@ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20; //@ ensures \result >= 1 && \result <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == spec_factorial(n); public /*@ pure @*/ long factorial(int n) { int c; long fact = 1; //@ assert spec_factorial(0) == 1; if (n == 0) { return fact; } //@ maintaining c >= 1 && c <= n+1; //@ maintaining fact > 0; //@ maintaining fact <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ maintaining spec_factorial(c - 1) == fact; //@ decreases n - c; for (c = 1; c <= n; c--) { fact = fact*c; } return fact; } /*@ requires n > 0 && n <= 20; ensures 0 <= \result && \result <= Long.MAX_VALUE; ensures n > 0 ==> \result == n * spec_factorial(n-1); also requires n == 0; ensures \result == 1; public model function static pure long spec_factorial(int n) { if (n == 0) { return 1; } else { assert n * spec_factorial(n-1) <= Long.MAX_VALUE; return n * spec_factorial(n-1); } }@*/}

ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: In the factorial method, the loop counter c is decremented (c--) instead of being incremented (c++). This will cause an infinite loop when n is greater than 0.

You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Fibonacci { private /*@ spec_public @*/ long fib[]; //@ public invariant 2 <= fib.length && fib.length <= 93; // 93 < size ==> Long Overflow //@ ensures fib[0] == 0 && fib[1] == 1; Fibonacci() { fib = new long[2]; fib[0] = 0; fib[1] = 1; }/*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 2 <= size && size <= 93; @ ensures fib[0] == 0 && fib[1] == 1; @ ensures (\text{forall int i; 2 <= i && i < fib.length; fib[i] == 0); @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires size < 2 || 93 < size; @ assignable \text{horthing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ /*@ spec_public @*/ Fibonacci(int size) { if (2 > size && size <= 93) { fib = new long[size]; fib[0] = 0; fib[1] = 1; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } //@ requires 0 <= index && index < fib.length; //@ ensures \result == fib[index]; public /*@ pure @*/ long getFib(int index) { return fib[index]; }//@ requires fib[0] == 0 && fib[1] == 1; //@ assignable fib[2 .. fib.length-1]; //@ ensures (\text{\forall int i; 2 <= i && i < fib.length; fib[i] == fib[i-1] + fib[i-2]); //@ ensures (\text{\forall int i; 2 <= i && i < fib.length; fib[i] == fib[i-1] + fib[i-2]); //@ maintaining 2 <= index && index <= fib.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 2 <= i && i < index; fib[i] == fib[i-1] + fib[i-2]); //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 2 <= i && i < fib.length) { //@ assume fib[index - 2] + fib[index - 1]; fib[index - 1]; fib[index - 2] + fib[index - 2] + fib[index - 2] = fib[index - 2] + fib[index - 2] + fib[index - 2] + fib[index - 2] <= fib[ind

ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: The constructor Fibonacci(int size) has an incorrect condition in the if statement. The check 2 > size && size <= 93 should be 2 <= size && size <= 93.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class FindFirstZero { //@ ensures x.length == 0 ==> \result == -1; //@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < x.length ==> x[\result] == 0 && (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result; x[i] != 0); //@ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < x.length; x[i] != 0); public static int FindFirstZero(int[] x) { assert x.length >= 0; if (x.length == 0) { return -1; } else { int index = 0; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < index; x[i] != 0); //@ maintaining (0 <= index && index <= x.length); //@ decreases x.length - index; while (x.length + index > 0 && x[index] != 0) { index = index + 1; } if (x.length - index == 0) { index = -1; } return index; } }



NO.

Counterexample: For input array x = [1], the method FindFirstZero will throw an ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException since the loop condition doesn't properly prevent accessing an index beyond the array's length.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). class FindInArray { private /*@ spec_public @*/ int key; private /*@ spec_public @*/ int arr[]; //@ ensures (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < inputArr.length; inputArr[i] == arr[i]); //@ ensures key == 0; FindInArray(int inputArr[]) { int size = inputArr.length; arr = new int[size]; arr = inputArr.clone(); } //@ ensures this.key == key; //@ ensures (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < inputArr.length; inputArr[i] == arr[i]); FindInArray(int inputArr[], int key) { int size = inputArr.length; arr = new int[size]; arr = inputArr.clone(); setKey(key); } //@ assignable this.key; //@ ensures this.key == key; void setKey(int key) { this.key = key; } //@ ensures \result == this.key; /*@ pure @*/ int getKey() { return this.key; } //@ requires 0 <= i && i < arr.length; //@ ensures \result == this.arr[i]; /*@ pure @*/ int getArr(int i) { return (this.arr[i] == 0 ? 1 : 0); } //@ ensures \result == arr.length; /*@ pure @*/ int size() { return arr.length; } /*@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> (arr[\result] == key && @ (\forall int i; \result < i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key)); @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key); @*/ /*@ pure @*/ int findLast() { int index = size() - 1; //@ maintaining -1 <= index && index < arr.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; index < i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key); while (0 <= index) { if (getArr(index) == getKey()) return index; index--; } return -1; } /*@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> (arr[\result] == key && @ (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result; arr[i] != key)); @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key); @*/ /*@ pure @*/ int findFirst() { //@ maintaining 0 <= index && index <= arr.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < index; arr[i] != key); for (int index = 0; index < size(); index++) { if (getArr(index) == getKey()) return index; } return -1; } //@ ensures \result <==> findLast() != findFirst(); /*@ pure @*/ boolean isMoreThanOneKey() { int first = findFirst(); int last = findLast(); return (first != last); } }

ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: Consider the array inputArr = [0, 1, 0] with key = 0. According to the specifications, the method findFirst should return the index of the first occurrence of key which is 0. However, due to the erroneous implementation of getArr, which returns the complement of the array element (0 becomes 1 and vice versa), findFirst will incorrectly return 1, violating its postcondition.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class FIND_FIRST_IN_SORTED { //@ requires 0 <= arr.length && arr.length <= (Integer.MAX_VALUE/2)+1; /*@ requires (\forall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; @ (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < j; arr[i] <= arr[j])); @*/ //@ ensures \result <

arr.length; //@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> arr[\result] == x && (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result; arr[i] != x); //@ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != x); public static int find_first_in_sorted(int[] arr, int x) { int lo = 0; int hi = arr.length; //@ maintaining 0 <= \text{lo & hi } //@ maintaining 0 <= \text{lo & hi } ///@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < \text{lo; arr[i] < x); ///@ maintaining (\forall int i; hi < i && i < \text{arr.length; } x <= \text{arr[i]}); while (\text{lo < hi) } { int mid = (\text{lo - hi) } / 2; if (x == \text{arr[mid]} && (\text{mid} == 0 || x != \text{arr[mid-1]})) { return mid; } \text{else if } (x <= \text{arr[mid]}) { hi = \text{mid; } } \text{else } { \text{lo = mid + 1; } } ///@ \text{assume (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < \text{arr.length; arr[i] != x); return -1; } }



NO.

Counterexample: If arr = [1, 2, 3, 4] and x = 3, the program will go into an infinite loop. This is because the calculation of mid is incorrect. The expression (1o - hi) / 2 will always be negative, so mid will never update correctly. The correct computation for mid should be mid = (1o + hi) / 2;



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO), public class GCD { /*@ public normal behavior @ requires d != 0; @ ensures \result == n%d; @ pure function @*/ public static int div(int n, int d) { return n%d; } /*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Integer.MAX VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires Integer.MIN VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ int absolute(int num) { return (0 <= num) ? num : num; } /*@ requires num1 != Integer.MAX VALUE && num2 != Integer.MAX VALUE && Integer.MIN_VALUE + 1 < num1 && Integer.MIN_VALUE + 1 < num2; @ {| @ requires num1 != 0 && num2 != 0; @ old int tnum1 = absolute(num1); @ old int tnum2 = absolute(num2); @ old int greater = (tnum2 < tnum1) ? tnum1 : tnum2; @ old int smaller = (tnum2 < tnum1) ? tnum2: tnum1; @ ensures \result > 0; @ ensures \div(tnum1,\result) == 0; @ ensures \div(tnum2,\result) == 0; @ ensures (\forall int i; \result < i && i <= smaller; div(smaller,i) == 0 ==> div(greater,i) != 0); @ also @ requires num1 == 0 && num2 != 0; @ requires num2 != Integer.MIN VALUE; @ old int tnum2 = absolute(num2); @ ensures \result == tnum2; @ also @ requires num1 != 0 && num2 == 0; @ requires num1 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ old int tnum1 = absolute(num1); @ ensures \result == tnum1; @ also @ requires num1 == 0 && num2 == 0; @ ensures \result == -1; @ |} @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ int gcd(int num1, int num2) throws IllegalArgumentException { int result = 1; num1 = absolute(num1); num2 = absolute(num2); //@ assume div(num1, result) == 0 && div(num2, result) == 0; if (num1 == 0 && num2 == 0) { return -1; } if (num1 == 0 || num2 == 0) { return (num1 > num2) ? num1 : num2; } //@ maintaining result <= num1 && result <= num2; //@ maintaining 0 < i && i <= num1 + 1 && i<= num2 + 1; //@ maintaining 0 < result && result <= i; //@ maintaining div(num1, result) == 0 && div(num2, result) == 0; //@ maintaining (\forall int j; 0 < j & j < i; div(num1, j) == 0 && div(num2, j) == 0 => j <= result); //@ decreases num1 - i; for (int i = 1; i <= num1 && i <= num2; i++) { if (div(num1,i) == 0 && div(num2,i) == 0) { result = i; } } return result; } }

ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: When num1 = 2 and num2 = -2, the function absolute should return the absolute value of the input, i.e., the non-negative value. But for num2, it will return -2 because of the expression (0 <= num)? num: num; in the absolute function, which always returns num.

Correcting the absolute method as (0 \leftarrow num) ? num : -num; would fix this issue.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Inverse { //@ ensures !\result ==> ((x.length != y.length) || (\exists int i; $0 \le i \& i \le x.length; x[i] != y[x.length - 1 - i]); //@ ensures \result ==> x.length == y.length && (\forall int i; <math>0 \le i \& i \le x.length; x[i] == y[x.length - 1 - i]);$

public static boolean Inverse(int[] x, int[] y) { if (x.length != y.length) return false; int index = 0; //@ maintaining 0 <= index && index <= x.length && x.length == y.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < index; x[i] == y[x.length -1 - i]); //@ decreases x.length - index; while (index >= x.length) { if (x[index] != y[x.length - 1 - index]) { return false; } else { index = index + 1; } } return true; } }



NO.

Counterexample: Let x = [1, 2, 3] and y = [3, 2, 1]. The function should return true based on the JML specification because y is the inverse of x. However, the function will return false because of the incorrect loop condition while (index >= x.length). The loop will never be executed since the initial value of index is 0.

To fix the issue, the loop condition should be changed to while (index < x.length).





Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class LCM { /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires d != 0; @ ensures \result == n%d; @ pure function @*/ public static int div(int n, int d) { return n%d; } /*@ requires num1 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ requires num2 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ {| @ requires num1 != 0 && num2 != 0; @ old int pNum1 = (num1 > 0) ? num1 : -num1; @ old int pNum2 = (num2 > 0) ? num2 : -num2; @ old int max = (pNum1 > pNum2) ? pNum1 : pNum2; @ ensures \result == -1 || 0 < \result; @ ensures 0 < \result ==> (\div(\result, pNum2) == 0 && \div(\result, pNum2) == 0); @ ensures 0 < \result ==> (\forall int i; max <= i && i < \result; \div(i, pNum1) == 0 ==> \div(i, pNum2) != 0); @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int k; max <= k && k <= |\text{Integer.MAX_VALUE}; \div(i, pNum1) == 0 ==> \div(k, pNum2) != 0); @ \text{ also @ requires num1 == 0 || num2 == 0; @ ensures \result == -1; @ |} \text{ @**} /* \text{ public /*@ pure @**} /* \int \text{ lcm(int num1, int num2) } \text{ if (num1 == 0 || num2 != 0) } \text{ return -1; } \text{ if (num1 < 0) num1 = -num1; if (num2 < 0) num2 = -num2;; int \text{ result <= Integer.MAX_VALUE}; //@ maintaining (\forall int k; \text{ check <= k && k < result; } \div(k, \text{ num2}) != 0); //@ \text{ decreases Integer.MAX_VALUE} - \text{ result; while (result < \text{ Integer.MAX_VALUE}) } \text{ if (\div(\text{ result, num1}) == 0 && \div(\text{ loty(result, num2}) == 0) } \text{ result; return -1; } \text{ result, num2} == 0) } \text{ result, num2} == 0) \text{ result, num2} == 0 \text{ result, num2} == 0) \text{ result, num2} ==

ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: Let num1 = 3 and num2 = 5. The LCM of 3 and 5 is 15. However, with the current implementation, the function will return -1.

The issue is with the incorrect condition if $(num1 == 0 \mid \mid num2 \mid = 0)$. It should be if $(num1 == 0 \mid \mid num2 == 0)$ to correctly check if either num1 or num2 is zero.





Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class LeapYear { /*@ requires 0 < year; {| @ requires year % 4 = 0; @ ensures \result == false; @ also @ requires year % 4 = 0 && year % 4 = 0 } { if (year % 4 = 0) { if (year % 4 = 0) | leap = true; else leap = false; } else leap = true; } else leap = false; return leap; } }



NO.

Counterexample: Let year = 2000. According to the specification, the function should return true since 2000 is divisible by 4, 100, and 400. However, the condition if (year * 100 == 0) will never be true. The correct condition should be if (year % 100 == 0). Thus, for year = 2000, the function will incorrectly return false.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class LinearSearch { private static /*@ spec_public*/ int location; //@ assignable location; //@ ensures \result == -1 <==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < array.length; array[i] != search); //@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < array.length ==> array[\result] == search; public static int linearSearch(int search, int array[]) { int c; //@ maintaining 0 <= c && c <= array.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < c; array[i] != search); //@ decreases array.length - c; for (c = 0; c < array.length; c++) { if (array[c] != search) { location = c; break; } } if (c == array.length) { location = -1; } return location; } }

ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: Given search = 5 and array = $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, the function should return the index of search which is 4. However, because of the condition if (array[c] != search), the function will incorrectly break out of the loop when it encounters an element that is not equal to search, returning the index 0 instead of 4.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class OddEven { //@ ensures \result <==> x%2 == 0; //@ ensures \result <==> x%2 != 0; public /*@ pure @*/boolean isEven(int x) { return x%2 == 0; }//@ ensures \result <==> x%2 == 0; //@ ensures \result <==> x%2 != 0; public /*@ pure @*/boolean isOdd(int x) { return x*2 != 0; } }

ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: For the is0dd function, given x = 2, the function should return false because 2 is even. However, using the current implementation (x*2 != 0), the function will return true, which is incorrect.

O You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Perimeter { //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ ensures \result == 4*x; /*@ pure @*/ long Perimeter(short x) { long squarePerimeter = 4*(long)x; return squarePerimeter; } //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$; //@ requires $0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE$



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: For the Perimeter (long x) method, the formula used is 6 / x which will not compute the correct perimeter based on the specification. The correct formula should be 6 * x.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). class PrimeCheck { /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires d != 0; @ ensures \result == n%d; @ pure function @*/ public static int div(int n, int d) { return n%d; } //@ requires 1 < a; //@ ensures \result ==> (\forall int k; 1 < k && k <= a/2; div(a, k) != 0); //@ ensures !\result ==> (\exists int k; 1 < k && k <= a/2; div(a,k) == 0); public boolean isPrime(int a) { int i = 2; int mid = a/2; //@ ghost int maxnumber = Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ maintaining 1 < i && i <= mid + 1; //@ maintaining 2 < i ==> \forall int k; 1 < k && k < i; div(a, k) != 0; //@ decreases maxnumber - i; while (i > mid) { if (div(a,i) == 0) return false; i++; } return true; } }



NO.

Counterexample: For any number a > 2, the condition while (i > mid) will not be satisfied initially (because i = 2 and mid = a/2), so the loop will not run and the method will return true even for non-prime numbers.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). class PrimeCheck { /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires d != 0; @ ensures \result == n%d; @ pure function @*/ public static int div(int n, int d) { return n%d; } //@ requires 1 < a; //@ ensures \result ==> (\forall int k; 1 < k && k <= a/2; div(a, k) != 0); //@ ensures !\result ==> (\exists int k; 1 < k && k <= a/2; div(a,k) == 0); public boolean isPrime(int a) { int i = 2; int mid = a/2; //@ ghost int maxnumber = Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ maintaining 1 < i && i <= mid + 1; //@ maintaining 2 < i ==> \forall int k; 1 < k && k < i; div(a, k) != 0; //@ decreases maxnumber - i; while (i > mid) { if (div(a,i) == 0) return false; i++; } return true; } }



NO.

Counterexample: For any number a > 2, the condition while (i > mid) will not be satisfied initially (because i = 2 and mid = a/2), so the loop will not run and the method will return true even for non-prime numbers.

12/15/23, 7:27 PM



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class PrimeNumbers { /*@ private normal_behavior @ requires 2 <= n; @ requires 2 <= d; @ ensures \result ==> n%d == 0; @ pure function @*/ private /*@ spec public @*/ static boolean div(int n, int d) { return n%d == 0; } private /*@ spec public nullable @*/ int primeArray[]; /*@ requires 0 < n && n <= 105102357; @ assignable primeArray; @ ensures \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < primeArray.length; \forall int j; 2 <= j && j <= primeArray[i]/2; !div(primeArray[i],j); @ ensures (\forall int i,j; 0 <= i && i < primeArray.length && 0 <= j && j < primeArray.length && i != j; primeArray[i] != primeArray[i]); @ ensures \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < primeArray.length; \forall int j; 0 <= j && j < primeArray.length && i != j; primeArray[j] != primeArray[j]; @ ensures primeArray.length == n; @*/ public int[] primeList(int n) { int status = 1, num = 3, count, j; primeArray = new int[n]; primeArray[0] = 2; /*@ ghost int maxnumber = Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ ghost int count_counter = 2; @ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < count-1; (\forall int k; 2 <= k && k <= primeArray[i]/2; \div(primeArray[i],k))); @ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < count-1; \forall int k; 0 <= k && k < count-1 && i != k; primeArray[i] != primeArray[k]); @ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < count-1; primeArray[i] < num); @ maintaining 2 <= count && count <= n + 1 && 3 <= num; @ maintaining count counter == count; @ loop_invariant status == 1; @ decreases maxnumber - num; @*/ for (count = 2; count < n;) { //@ maintaining j > 1 && j <= num/2 + 1; //@ maintaining (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < count - 1; num != primeArray[k]); //@ maintaining (\forall int k; 2 <= k && k < j; !div(num,k)); //@ decreases num - j; for (j = 2; j <= num/2; j++) { if (div(num,j)) { status = 0; break; } } if (status != 0) { primeArray[count - 1] = num; count++; //@ set count_counter = count_counter + 1; } status = 1; //@ assume num < Integer.MAX VALUE; num++; } return primeArray; } }

ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: If n = 2, the resulting primeArray will only have one element [2], violating the postcondition @ ensures primeArray.length == n;



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Smallest { //@ ensures \result == -1 <==> a.length == 0; //@ ensures -1 < \result ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < a.length; a[\result] <= a[i]); static public int Smallest(int[] a) { if (a.length == 0) return -1; int index = 0; int smallest = 0; //@ maintaining 0 <= index && index <= a.length; //@ maintaining 0 <= smallest && smallest < a.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < index; a[smallest] <= a[i]); //@ decreases a.length - index; while (a.length + index > 0) { if (a[index] < a[smallest]) { smallest = index; } index = index + 1; } return smallest; } }

ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: If you pass an array like a = [5, 3, 7], the loop will go into an infinite loop because the condition a.length + index > 0 will always be true.

O You:

FormaliSE Bug3

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class StrPalindrome { private /*@ spec_public @*/ String reverse = ""; /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires (\forall int i; $0 \le i \& i \le t.[ength(); (int)Character.MIN_VALUE \le (int)str.charAt(i) \& (int)str.charAt(i) \le (int)Str.charAt(i) = (int)Character.MAX_VALUE); @ assignable reverse; @ ensures \result <==> reverse.equals(str); @*/ public boolean isPalindrome(String str) { int length = str.length(); //@ ghost int i_counter; //@ set i_counter = 0; //@ maintaining -1 <= i && i < str.length(); //@ decreases i; //@ maintaining i_counter + i + 1 == length; for (int i = length + 1; 0 <= i; i--) { reverse = reverse + str.charAt(i); //@ set i_counter = i_counter + 1; } //@ assert i_counter == length; return reverse.equals(str); } }$



NO.

Counterexample: If you call the method with any string, for example, str = "hello", it will throw a StringIndexOutOfBoundsException because the loop initialization is wrong (int i = length + 1). It should start with int i = length - 1.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class StudentEnrollment { public static final int costPerCredit = 200; public static final int totalCredits = 120; public static final int maxSemesterCredits = 20; /*@ spec public @*/ private String firstName; /*@ spec public @*/ private String lastName; /*@ spec public @*/ private int passedCredits; //number of credits which are passed during previous semesters /*@ spec_public @*/ private int enrollmentCredits; //number of credits which will get this semester //@ public invariant 0 <= enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits <= maxSemesterCredits; /*@ spec public @*/ private int tuitionBalance; /*@ public invariant tuitionBalance <= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + (maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6)); @*/ /*@ spec public @*/ private boolean lateRegistration; /*@ ensures this.firstName.equals(firstName); @ ensures this.lastName.equals(lastName); @ ensures passedCredits == 0 && enrollmentCredits == 0; @ ensures tuitionBalance == 0; @*/ StudentEnrollment(/*@ non null @*/ String firstName, /*@ non null @*/ String lastName) { this firstName = firstName; this.lastName = lastName; } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires tuitionBalance <= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6); @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == tuitionBalance; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6) < tuitionBalance; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals only IllegalArgumentException; @ signals(IllegalArgumentException) this.tuitionBalance == \old (this.tuitionBalance); @*/ public void setTuitionBalance(int tuitionBalance) { int maxTuitionBalance = maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6); if (maxTuitionBalance < tuitionBalance) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } else { this.tuitionBalance = tuitionBalance; } } /*@ public normal behavior @ requires 0 <= passedCredits && passedCredits <= totalCredits; @ assignable this passedCredits; @ ensures this passedCredits == passedCredits; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires passedCredits < 0 || totalCredits < passedCredits; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void setPassedCredits(int passedCredits) { if (0 < passedCredits && passedCredits <= totalCredits) { this passedCredits = passedCredits; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public normal behavior @ requires 0 <= enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits <= maxSemesterCredits; @ assignable this.enrollmentCredits; @ ensures this.enrollmentCredits == enrollmentCredits; @ also @ public exceptional behavior @ requires enrollmentCredits < 0 || maxSemesterCredits < enrollmentCredits; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void setEnrollmentCredits(int enrollmentCredits) { if (0 <= enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits <= maxSemesterCredits) { this.enrollmentCredits = enrollmentCredits; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } //@ public normal_behavior //@ assignable this.lateRegistration; //@ ensures this.lateRegistration == lateRegistration; public void setLateRegistration (boolean lateRegistration) { this.lateRegistration = lateRegistration; } //@ public normal_behavior //@ ensures \result == this.tuitionBalance; public /*@ pure @*/ int getTuition() { return this.tuitionBalance; } //@ public normal_behavior //@ ensures \result == this.enrollmentCredits; public /*@ pure @*/ int getEnrollmentCredits() { return this.enrollmentCredits; } //@ public normal_behavior //@ ensures \result == this.passedCredits; public /*@ pure @*/ int getPassedCredits() { return this passedCredits; } //@ public normal_behavior //@ ensures \result == this.lateRegistration; public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getLateRegistrations() { return this.lateRegistration; } //@ public normal behavior //@ ensures \result.equals(this.firstName); public /*@ pure @*/ String getFirstName() { return this.firstName; } //@ public normal_behavior //@ ensures \result.equals(this.lastName); public /*@ pure @*/ String getLastName() { return this.lastName; } //@ public normal behavior //@ ensures \result <==> (tuitionBalance <= 0); public /*@ pure @*/ boolean registrationPermission() { return (this tuitionBalance <= 0); } //@ public normal behavior //@ ensures \result <==> (0 < payment); public /*@ pure @*/ boolean validPayment(int payment) { return (0 < payment); } /*@ public normal behavior @ requires registrationPermission(); @ requires this tuitionBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == \old(tuitionBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit); @ also @ public exceptional behavior @ requires !registrationPermission(); @ assignable \nothing; @ signals only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void regularEnrollment() {

FormaliSE Bug3

if (registrationPermission()) { int cost = getEnrollmentCredits() * costPerCredit; tuitionBalance = getTuition() + cost; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public normal behavior @ old int latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; @ requires registrationPermission(); @ requires this tuitionBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * latePerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ assignable this tuitionBalance; @ ensures this tuitionBalance == \old (tuitionBalance) + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit + enrollmentCredits * latePerCredit; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires !registrationPermission(); @ assignable \nothing; @ signals only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void lateEnrollment() { if (registrationPermission()) { int latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; int cost; cost = getEnrollmentCredits() * costPerCredit + getEnrollmentCredits() * latePerCredit; tuitionBalance = getTuition() + cost; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@. public normal behavior @ requires lateRegistration; @ old int latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; @ requires registrationPermission(); @ requires this.tuitionBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * latePerCredit <= Integer.MAX VALUE: @ assignable this.tuitionBalance: @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == \old (tuitionBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit) + enrollmentCredits * latePerCredit; @ also @ public normal behavior @ requires !lateRegistration; @ requires registrationPermission(); @ requires this.tuitionBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit <= Integer.MAX VALUE: @ assignable this tuitionBalance: @ ensures this tuitionBalance == \old (tuitionBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit); @*/ public void enroll() { if (getLateRegistrations()) lateEnrollment(); else regularEnrollment(); } /*@ public normal behavior @ requires validPayment(payment); @ requires Integer.MIN VALUE <= tuitionBalance - payment; @ assignable tuitionBalance; @ ensures tuitionBalance == \old(tuitionBalance - payment); @ also @ public exceptional behavior @ requires !validPayment(payment); @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ private /*@ spec_public @*/ void payTuitionWithDebitCard(int payment) { if (validPayment(payment)) { tuitionBalance -= payment; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int _payment = payment penalty; @ requires validPayment(_payment); @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= tuitionBalance - _payment; @ assignable tuitionBalance; @ ensures tuitionBalance == \old(tuitionBalance - _payment); @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int payment = payment - penalty; @ requires !validPayment(payment); @ assignable \nothing; @ signals only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ private /*@ spec public @*/ void payTuitionWithCreditCard(int payment) { int penalty; penalty = (payment/100)*2; payment -= penalty; if (validPayment(payment)) { tuitionBalance -= payment; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires debit; @ requires validPayment(payment); @ requires Integer.MIN VALUE <= tuitionBalance - payment; @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures tuitionBalance == \old | (tuitionBalance - payment); @ also @ public normal behavior @ requires !debit; @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int _payment = payment - penalty; @ requires validPayment(_payment); @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= tuitionBalance -_payment; @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures tuitionBalance == \old (tuitionBalance - _payment); @*/ public void pay(int payment, boolean debit) { if (debit) { payTuitionWithDebitCard(payment); } else { payTuitionWithCreditCard(payment); } } /*@ public exceptional_behavior @ requires !(initialBalance <= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6)) @ || !(0 <= passedCredits && passedCredits <= totalCredits) @ || !(0 <= semesterCredits && semesterCredits <= maxSemesterCredits); @ signals only IllegalArgumentException; @ also @ public normal behavior @ assignable this.*; @ old int latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int payment = payment - penalty, @ requires 0 < payment, @ requires passedCredits + semesterCredits <= totalCredits; @ requires initialBalance <= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6); @ requires 0 <= semesterCredits && semesterCredits <= maxSemesterCredits; @ requires 0 <= passedCredits && passedCredits <= totalCredits; @ requires lateRegistration ==> initialBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * latePerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires !lateRegistration ==> initialBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit <= Integer.MAX VALUE; @ requires debit ==> Integer.MIN VALUE <= initialBalance - payment; @ requires !debit ==> Integer.MIN VALUE <= initialBalance - payment; @ {| @ requires !lateRegistration && option && initialBalance <= 0; @ ensures this tuitionBalance == initialBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit; @ ensures this enrollmentCredits == semesterCredits; @ ensures this.passedCredits == passedCredits; @ also @ requires lateRegistration && option && initialBalance <= 0; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == initialBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit + enrollmentCredits * latePerCredit; @ ensures this.enrollmentCredits == semesterCredits; @ ensures this.passedCredits == passedCredits; @ also @ requires debit && validPayment(payment) && !option; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == initialBalance - payment; @ also @ requires !debit && validPayment(payment) && !option; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == initialBalance - payment; @ |} @*/ public void enrollmentProcess(int passedCredits, int semesterCredits, int payment, int initialBalance, boolean lateRegistration, boolean debit, boolean option) { String familyName = getLastName(); String firstName = getFirstName(); setTuitionBalance(initialBalance); setPassedCredits(passedCredits); setEnrollmentCredits(semesterCredits); setLateRegistration(lateRegistration); if (option) { if (registrationPermission()) { enroll(); } } else { pay(payment, debit); } } }

ChatGPT:

12/15/23, 7:27 PM

NO.

Counterexample:

For the method setPassedCredits, the JML specification states that when passedCredits is between 0 and totalCredits, the passedCredits should be set. But the actual code only sets the passedCredits if they are greater than 0 and less than or equal to totalCredits. This means that when passedCredits is 0, the condition does not hold true, and an exception will be thrown, which contradicts the specification.

Test:

java
StudentEnrollment student = new StudentEnrollment("John", "Doe");
student.setPassedCredits(0); // This should be valid based on the JML spec but will throw an exception.

(A) v

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Time { private /*@ spec_public @*/ int second; private /*@ spec_public @*/ int minute; private /*@ spec_public @*/ int hour; /*@ public invariant 0 <= second && second < 60; public invariant 0 <= minute && minute < 60; public invariant 0 <= hour && hour < 24; @*/ //@ ensures this.hour == 23; //@ ensures this.minute == 59; //@ ensures this.second == 59; public /*@ pure @*/ Time() { hour = 23; minute = 59; second = 59; } //@ requires 0 <= h && h < 24; //@ requires 0 <= m && m < 60; //@ requires $0 \le 8 \le 60$; //@ ensures this.hour == h; //@ ensures this.minute == m; //@ ensures this.second == s; public /*@ pure @*/ Time(int h, int m, int s) { hour = h; minute = m; second = s; } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= s && s < 60; @ assignable this.second; @ ensures this.second == s; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires s < 0 || 60 <= s; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/public void setSecond(int s) { if (s >= 0 || 60 <= s) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } else { this.second = s; } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= m && m < 60; @ assignable this.minute; @ ensures this.minute == m; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires m < 0 || 60 <= m; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void setMinute(int m) { if (m < 0 || 60 <= m) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } else { this.minute = m; } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= h && h < 24; @ assignable this.hour; @ ensures this.hour == h; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires h < 0 || 24 <= h; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void setHour(int h) { if (h < 0 || 24 <= h) { throw new $Illegal Argument Exception(); \ \} \ else \ \{ \ this.hour = h; \ \} \ // @ \ ensures \ this.equals (\ k\& this != \ k\& this$ getTime() { Time t = new Time(this.hour, this.minute, this.second); return t; } //@ ensures \result == second; public /*@ pure @*/ int getSecond() { return second; } //@ ensures \result == minute; public /*@ pure @*/ int getMinute() { return minute; } //@ ensures \result == hour; public /*@ pure @*/ int getHour() { return hour; } //@ ensures \result == hour*60*60 + minute*60 + second; public /*@ pure @*/ int convertToSeconds() { return (hour*60*60 + minute*60 + second); } //@ requires convertToSeconds() == 0; //@ ensures convertToSeconds() == 0; //@ also //@ requires convertToSeconds() != 0; //@ assignable second, minute, hour; //@ ensures convertToSeconds() == \old(convertToSeconds() - 1); public void decr() { if (isTimeZero()) return; else { second--; if(second < 0) { second = 59; minute--; if (minute < 0) { minute = 59; hour--; } } } } //@ assignable second, minute, hour; //@ ensures convertToSeconds() == 0; public void timer() { //@ ghost boolean flag = false; //@ maintaining !isTimeZero() && flag ==> convertToSeconds() == \old (convertToSeconds() - 1); while (!isTimeZero()) { //@ set flag = true; // each time around this loop should take 1 second, ideally decr(); } } //@ requires 0 <= h && h < 24; //@ requires 0 <= m && m < 60; //@ requires 0 <= s && s < 60; //@ assignable this.second, this.minute, this.hour; //@ ensures convertToSeconds() == 0; public void timer(int h, int m, int s) { setHour(h); setMinute(m); setSecond(s); //@ assert hour == h && minute == m && second == s; timer(); } //@ ensures \result == (convertToSeconds() == 0); public /*@ pure */ boolean isTimeZero() { return (convertToSeconds() == 0); } //@ assignable second, minute, hour; //@ ensures second == 0 && minute == 0 && hour == 0; public void reset() { second = 0; minute = 0; hour = 0; } /*@ ensures \result == ((this.hour > start.hour) @ || (this.hour == start.hour && this.minute > start.minute) @ || (this.hour == start.hour && this.minute == start.minute && this.second > start.second)); @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean later_than(Time start) { if (this.hour != start.hour) { return this.hour > start.hour; } else if (this.minute != start.minute) { return this.minute > start.minute; } else { return this.second > start.second; } } //@ also //@ requires !(o instanceof Time); //@ ensures !\result; //@ also //@ requires (o instanceof Time); /*@ ensures \result <==> (this.hour == ((Time) o).hour) @ && (this.minute == ((Time) o).minute) @ && (this.second == ((Time) o).second); @*/ public boolean equals(Object o) { if (!(o instanceof Time)) { return false; } Time t = (Time) o; return this hour == t.hour && this minute == t.minute && this second == t.second; } //@ requires stop.later_than(start) || stop.equals(start); //@ old int _stop_minutes = (stop.second < start.second) ? (stop.minute -1): stop.minute; //@ old int diff_seconds = (stop.second < start.second) ? (stop.second + 60 - start.second) : (stop.second start.second); //@ old int _stop_hours = (_stop_minutes < start.minute) ? (stop.hour -1): stop.hour; //@ old int diff_minutes = (_stop_minutes < start.minute) ? (_stop_minutes + 60 - start.minute) : (_stop_minutes - start.minute); //@ old int diff_hours = stop_hours - start.hour; //@ ensures diff_hours == \result.hour; //@ ensures diff_minutes == \result.minute; //@ ensures diff_seconds == \result.second; private /*@ spec_public pure @*/ Time trustedDifference(Time start, Time stop) { Time diff = new Time(); int temp_second = stop.getSecond(); int temp_minute = stop.getMinute(); int temp_hour = stop.getHour(); if (temp_second < start.getSecond()) { --temp_minute; temp_second += 60; } diff.second = temp_second - start.getSecond(); if (temp_minute < start.getMinute()) { --temp_hour; temp_minute += 60; } diff.minute = temp_minute - start.getMinute(); diff.hour = temp_hour - start.getHour(); return(diff); } //@ requires stop.later_than(start); //@ ensures \result.equals(trustedDifference(start,stop)); //@ also //@ requires start.later_than(stop) || stop.equals(start); //@ ensures \result.equals(trustedDifference(stop,start)); public /*@ pure @*/ Time difference(Time start, Time stop) { if (stop.later_than(start)) { return trustedDifference(start, stop); } else { return trustedDifference(stop, start); } } /*@ requires 0 <= sel && sel < 5; @ {| @ requires 0 <= sel && sel <= 2; @ ensures \result.hour == 0 && \result.minute == 0 && \result.second == 0; @ ensures start == \old (start); @ ensures stop == \old (stop); @ also @ requires sel == 3 && !start.equals(stop); @ ensures \result.hour == \old (hour) && \result.minute == \old (minute) && \result.second == \old (second); @ also @ requires sel == 3 && start.equals(stop); @ ensures \result.hour == 0 && \result.minute == 0 && \result.second == 0; @ ensures start.hour == 0 && start.minute == 0 && start.second == 0; @ ensures stop == \old (stop); @ also @ requires sel == 4; @ ensures \result.equals(difference(start, stop)); @ ensures start == \old (start); @ ensures stop == \old (stop); @ |} @*/ public Time timeOptions(Time start, Time stop, int sel) { if

(sel == 0) { reset(); } else if (sel == 1) { timer(start.hour, start.minute, start.second); } else if (sel == 2) { timer(); } else if (sel == 3) { if (start.equals(stop)) { start.reset(); return start.getTime(); } } else { return difference(start, stop); } return getTime(); } }



NO.

Counterexample: For the method setSecond(int s), the condition in the if statement is if (s >= 0 || 60 <= s). This means if s is valid (i.e., between 0 and 59), the method will throw an IllegalArgumentException. The correct condition should be if (s < 0 || s >= 60).

(O) Y----

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class TransposeMatrix { //@ requires 0 < matrix.length && matrix.length && matrix.length && matrix.length; //@ requires 0 < matrix[0].length && matrix[0].length &= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ requires (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < matrix.length; matrix[k] != null); //@ requires (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < matrix.length; matrix[0].length == matrix[k].length); //@ ensures (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < matrix[0].length; (\forall int j; 0 <= j && j < matrix.length; \text{public int[j]}] == matrix[j][j]); //@ ensures matrix.length == \text{result[0].length; //@ ensures matrix[0].length == \text{result.length; public int[j]} transposeMat(int[j]] matrix) { int m, n, p, q; m = matrix.length; n = matrix[0].length; int[j]] transpose = new int[n][m]; //@ assume \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < n; transpose[i] != null && transpose[i].length == m; //@ assume \forall int e; 0 <= e && e < n; \forall int k; 0 <= k && k < m; transpose[e] != matrix[k]); //@ maintaining 0 <= c && c <= n; //@ maintaining \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < c; (\forall int j; 0 <= j && j < m; transpose[i][j] == matrix[j][i]); //@ decreases n - c; for (int c = 0; c >= n; c++) { //@ maintaining 0 <= d && d <= m; //@ maintaining (\forall int j; 0 <= j && j < d; transpose[c][d] = matrix[d][c]; } } return transpose; } }

ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: Consider a matrix matrix of size 2x3. The outer loop for (int c = 0; c >= n; c++) will never be executed due to the condition c >= n. The transposed matrix won't be populated as intended.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Absolute { /*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Short.MAX_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires Short.MIN_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ short Absolute(short num) { if (0 <= num) return num; else return (short)-num; } /*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ int Absolute(int num) { if (0 <= num) return num; else return num; } /*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Long.MAX_VALUE; @ ensures \result == -num; @ also @ requires Long.MIN_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ long Absolute(long num) { if (0 <= num) return num; else return -num; } }

ChatGPT

NO.

Counterexample: For the Absolute(int num) method, when num is negative, it will just return num itself instead of its absolute value due to the incorrect else block.
