



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Absolute { /\*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Short.MAX\_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires Short.MIN\_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; \*/ public /\*@ pure @\*/ short Absolute(short num) { if (0 <= num) return num; else return ((short)-num== 0 ? (short)1 : (short)0); } /\*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Integer.MAX\_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires Integer.MIN\_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; \*/ public /\*@ pure @\*/ int Absolute(int num) { if (0 <= num) return num; else return -num; } /\*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Long.MAX\_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires Long.MIN\_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; \*/ public /\*@ pure @\*/ long Absolute(long num) { if (0 <= num) return num; else return -num; } }



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: For the method Absolute(short num), if num is -1, the result should be 1, but the method returns 0.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class AddLoop { /\*@ requires Integer.MIN\_VALUE <= x + y && x + y <= Integer.MAX\_VALUE && y != Integer.MIN\_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == x + y; public static int AddLoop(int x, int y) { int sum = x; if (y > 0) { int n = y; //@ decreases n; //@ maintaining sum == x + y - n && 0 <= n; while (n > 0) { sum = sum + 1; n = n - 1; } } else { int n = -y; //@ maintaining sum == x + y + n && 0 <= n; //@ decreases n; while (n <= 0) { sum = sum - 1; n = n - 1; } } return sum; } }



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: If you call AddLoop(0, -1), the method goes into an infinite loop because of the condition while (n <= 0) in the else branch.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Alphabet { private boolean vowel\_set = false; private boolean vowel; /\*@ private invariant vowel\_set ==> (vowel == (c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' || c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == 'o' || c == 'O' || c == 'u' || c == 'U')); @\*/ private boolean alphabetic\_set = false; private boolean alphabetic; //@ private invariant alphabetic\_set ==> alphabetic == ((c == 'a' && c <= 'z') || (c == 'A' && c <= 'Z')); private boolean digit\_set = false; private boolean digit; //@ private invariant digit\_set ==> digit == (c == '0' && c <= '9'); private boolean uppercase\_set = false; private boolean uppercase; //@ private invariant uppercase\_set ==> (uppercase == (c == 'A' && c <= 'Z')); private boolean lowercase\_set = false; private boolean lowercase; //@ private invariant lowercase\_set ==> (lowercase == (c == 'a' && c <= 'z')); private /\*@ spec\_public @\*/ final char c; /\*@ private normal\_behavior @ ensures \vowel\_set && !vowel\_set && !alphabetic\_set && !digit\_set; @ ensures !uppercase\_set && !lowercase\_set; @ public normal\_behavior @ ensures this.c == c; @\*/ public /\*@ pure @\*/ Alphabet(char c) { this.c = c; } /\*@ private normal\_behavior @ assignable vowel\_set, vowel; @ ensures vowel\_set && \result == vowel; @ public normal\_behavior @ ensures \result == (c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' || c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == 'o' || c == 'O' || c == 'u' || c == 'U'); @\*/ public boolean isVowel() { setVowel(); return vowel; } /\*@ private normal\_behavior @ assignable alphabetic\_set, alphabetic; @ ensures alphabetic\_set && \result == alphabetic; @ public normal\_behavior @ ensures \result == (c == 'a' && c <= 'z') || (c == 'A' && c <= 'Z'); @\*/ public boolean isAlphabetic() { setAlphabetic(); return alphabetic; } /\*@ private normal\_behavior @ assignable uppercase\_set, uppercase; @ ensures uppercase\_set && \result == uppercase; @ public normal\_behavior @ ensures \result == (c == 'A' && c <= 'Z'); @\*/ public boolean isUppercase() { setUppercase(); return uppercase; } /\*@ private normal\_behavior @ assignable lowercase\_set, lowercase; @ ensures lowercase\_set && \result == lowercase; @ public normal\_behavior @ ensures \result == (c == 'a' && c <= 'z'); @\*/ public boolean isLowercase() { setLowercase(); return !lowercase; } /\*@ private normal\_behavior @ assignable digit\_set, digit; @ ensures digit\_set && \result == digit; @ public normal\_behavior @ ensures \result == (c == '0' && c <= '9'); @\*/ public boolean isDigit() { setDigit(); return digit; } /\*@ private normal\_behavior @ assignable vowel\_set, vowel; @ ensures vowel\_set; @ ensures vowel ==> (c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' || c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == 'o' || c == 'O' || c == 'u' || c == 'U'); @\*/ private /\*@ spec\_public @\*/ void setVowel() { vowel = false; switch (c) { case 'a': case 'e': case 'i': case 'o': case 'u': case 'A': case 'E': case 'I': case 'O': case 'U': vowel = true; } vowel\_set = true; } /\*@ private normal\_behavior @ assignable alphabetic\_set, alphabetic; @ ensures alphabetic ==> (c == 'a' && c <= 'z') || (c == 'A' && c <= 'Z'); @\*/ private /\*@ spec\_public @\*/ void setAlphabetic() { alphabetic = ((c == 'a' && c <= 'z') || (c == 'A' && c <= 'Z')); alphabetic\_set = true; } /\*@ private normal\_behavior @ assignable uppercase\_set, uppercase; @ ensures uppercase\_set; @ ensures uppercase ==> (c == 'A' && c <= 'Z'); @\*/ private /\*@ spec\_public @\*/ void setUppercase() { uppercase = (c == 'A' && c <= 'Z'); uppercase\_set = true; } /\*@ private normal\_behavior @ assignable lowercase\_set, lowercase; @ ensures lowercase\_set; @ ensures lowercase ==> (c == 'a' && c <= 'z'); @\*/ private /\*@ spec\_public @\*/ void setLowercase() { lowercase = (c == 'a' && c <= 'z'); lowercase\_set = true; } /\*@ private normal\_behavior @ assignable digit\_set, digit; @

```

ensures digit_set; @ ensures digit <==> ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); @*/ private /*@ spec_public @*/ void setDigit() { digit = ('0' <= c && c <= '9');
digit_set = true; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == alphabetic_set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getAlphabetic_set() { return
alphabetic_set; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == uppercase_set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getUppercase_set() { return
uppercase_set; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == lowercase_set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getLowercase_set() { return
lowercase_set; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == vowel_set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getVowel_set() { return
vowel_set; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == digit_set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getDigit_set() { return digit_set; } /*@
requires 0 <= op && op <= 4; @ { | @ requires op == 0; @ ensures \result[0] ==> (c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' || c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == 'o' ||
@ c == 'O' || c == 'u' || c == 'U'); @ ensures \result[1]; @ also @ requires op == 1; @ ensures \result[0] ==> ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); @ ensures
\result[2]; @ also @ requires op == 2; @ ensures \result[0] ==> ('a' <= c && c <= 'z'); @ ensures \result[3]; @ also @ requires op == 3; @ ensures
\result[0] ==> ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); @ ensures \result[4]; @ also @ requires op == 4; @ ensures \result[5]; @ } @*/ public boolean[] driver(int op) {
boolean[] result = new boolean[6]; switch (op) { case 0 : result[0] = isVowel(); result[1] = getVowel_set(); break; case 1 : result[0] = isUppercase();
result[2] = getUppercase_set(); break; case 2 : result[0] = isLowercase(); result[3] = getLowercase_set(); break; case 3 : result[0] = isDigit();
result[4] = getDigit_set(); break; default : result[0] = isAlphabetic(); result[5] = getAlphabetic_set(); break; } return result; } }

```



NO.

Counterexample: If you call `isLowercase()` for a lowercase character, say 'a', the method will return `false` instead of `true` because of the `!lowercase` return value in the `isLowercase()` method. This contradicts the postcondition specified by JML.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```

public class BankAccount { int balance; int previousTransaction; //@ invariant 0 <= balance; //@ assignable \everything; //@ ensures balance == 0; //@
ensures previousTransaction == 0; BankAccount() { balance = 0; previousTransaction = 0; } //@ assignable \everything; //@ ensures
(currentBalance <= 0) ==> balance == 0; //@ ensures (0 < currentBalance) ==> balance == currentBalance; //@ ensures previousTransaction ==
0; BankAccount(int currentBalance) { if (currentBalance <= 0) { balance = 0; } else { balance = currentBalance; } previousTransaction = 0; } //@
assignable \everything; //@ ensures (currentBalance <= 0) ==> balance == 0; //@ ensures (0 < currentBalance) ==> balance == currentBalance;
//@ ensures previousTransaction == _previousTransaction; BankAccount(int currentBalance, int _previousTransaction) { if (currentBalance <= 0) {
balance = 0; } else { balance = currentBalance; } previousTransaction = _previousTransaction; } //@ ensures \result == this.balance; /*@
spec_public pure @*/ int getBalance() { return this.balance; } //@ ensures \result == this.previousTransaction; /*@ spec_public pure @*/ int
getPreviousTransaction() { return this.previousTransaction; } //@ requires 0 < _amount; //@ ensures \result; //@ also //@ requires _amount <= 0;
//@ ensures !\result; /*@ spec_public pure @*/ boolean isValid(int _amount) { if (0 < _amount) { return true; } else { return true; } } //@ requires
isValid(_amount); //@ requires 0 <= _balance; //@ { | //@ requires 0 <= _balance - _amount; //@ ensures \result; //@ also //@ requires _balance -
_amount < 0; //@ ensures !\result; } //@ spec_public pure @*/ boolean isValid(int _balance, int _amount) { if (0 <= _balance - _amount) {
return true; } else { return false; } } //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires amount + balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ assignable balance,
previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) + amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == amount; //@ also //@ requires
!isValid(amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void deposit(int amount) {
if (isValid(amount)) { balance = balance + amount; previousTransaction = amount; } } //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires isValid(balance,
amount); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == -
amount; //@ also //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures
previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@ also //@ requires !isValid(amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures
previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void withdraw(int amount) { if (isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance =
balance - amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } } } //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires isValid(balance, amount); //@ assignable
balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == -amount; //@ also //@
requires isValid(amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, amount); //@ requires isValid(balance, 50); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction;
//@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - 50; //@ ensures previousTransaction == -50; //@ also //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires
!isValid(balance, amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, 50); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == 0; //@ ensures
previousTransaction == \old (-balance); //@ also //@ requires !isValid(amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures
previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void checkWithdrawal(int amount) { if (isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance
= balance - amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } else { int notEnoughMoneyPenalty; notEnoughMoneyPenalty = 50; int _balance; _balance =
balance - notEnoughMoneyPenalty; if (0 <= _balance) { balance = _balance; previousTransaction = -notEnoughMoneyPenalty; } else {
previousTransaction = -balance; balance = 0; } } } } //@ old int _amount = amount + (amount/100)*5; //@ requires _amount <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ { | //@ requires isValid(_amount); //@ requires isValid(balance, _amount); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction;
//@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - _amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == -_amount; //@ also //@ requires isValid(_amount); //@
requires !isValid(balance, _amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@
also //@ requires !isValid(_amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); } }
void foreignTransfer(int amount) { int penalty; penalty = (amount/100)*5; amount = amount + penalty; if (isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance,
amount)) { balance = balance - amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } } } //@ old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*5; //@ requires
isValid(_amount); //@ requires _amount + balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance ==
\old (balance) + _amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == _amount; //@ also //@ old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*5; //@ requires
!isValid(_amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void foreignDeposit(int
amount) { int penalty; penalty = (amount/100)*5; amount = amount - penalty; if (isValid(amount)) { balance = balance + amount;
previousTransaction = amount; } } } //@ old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*2; //@ requires isValid(_amount); //@ requires isValid(balance,
_amount); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - _amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == -
_amount; //@ also //@ old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*2; //@ requires isValid(_amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, _amount); //@
ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@ also //@ old int _amount = amount -
(amount/100)*2; //@ requires !isValid(_amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old

```

```
(previousTransaction); void withdrawByCashBack(int amount) { int cashback; cashback = (amount/100)*2; amount = amount - cashback; if
(isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance = balance - amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } } } //@@ old int ATMpenalty = 4; //@@
requires amount + ATMpenalty <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@@ { } //@@ requires isValid(amount); //@@ requires isValid(balance, (amount + ATMpenalty));
//@@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - (amount + ATMpenalty); //@@ ensures previousTransaction
== -(amount + ATMpenalty); //@@ also //@@ requires isValid(amount); //@@ requires isValid(balance, (amount + ATMpenalty)); //@@ ensures balance ==
\old (balance); //@@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@@ also //@@ requires isValid(amount); //@@ ensures balance == \old
(balance); //@@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@@ { } void ATMWithdraw(int amount) { int ATMpenalty = 4; if
(isValid(amount)) { amount += ATMpenalty; if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance = balance - amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } } } //@@
requires balance <= 20000; //@@ ensures \result == balance/100; //@@ also //@@ requires 20000 < balance && balance <= 160000; //@@ ensures
\result == (balance/100)*2; //@@ also //@@ requires 160000 < balance && balance <= 300000 ; //@@ ensures \result == (balance/100)*3; //@@ also //@@
requires 300000 < balance && balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@@ ensures \result == (balance/100)*4; /*@ spec_public pure @*/int
interestAfterYear () { int interest; interest = 0; if (balance <= 20000) { interest = balance/100; } else if (balance <= 160000) { int _interest; _interest =
balance/100; interest = _interest*2; } else if (balance <= 300000) { int _interest; _interest = balance/100; interest = _interest*3; } else { int _interest;
_intest = balance/100; interest = _interest*4; } return interest; } /*@ assignable \everything; requires 0 <= option && option <= 9; { } requires option
== 1 && isValid(amount); requires amount + balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; ensures balance == \old (balance) + amount; ensures
previousTransaction == amount; also requires option == 2 && isValid(amount); requires isValid(balance, amount); ensures balance == \old
(balance) - amount; ensures \result == balance; ensures previousTransaction == -amount; also requires option == 3 && isValid(amount); requires
isValid(balance, amount); ensures balance == \old (balance) - amount; ensures previousTransaction == -amount; also requires option == 3 &&
isValid(amount); requires isValid(balance, amount); requires isValid(balance, 50); ensures balance == \old (balance) - 50; ensures
previousTransaction == -50; also requires option == 3 && isValid(amount); requires isValid(balance, amount); requires isValid(balance, 50);
ensures balance == 0; ensures previousTransaction == \old (-balance); also requires option == 4; ensures \result == previousTransaction; also old
int _amount = amount + (amount/100)*5; requires option == 5; requires _amount <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; requires isValid(_amount); requires
isValid(balance, _amount); ensures balance == \old (balance) - _amount; ensures previousTransaction == -_amount; also old int _amount =
amount + (amount/100)*5; requires option == 5; requires _amount <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; requires isValid(_amount); requires isValid(balance,
_amount); ensures balance == \old (balance); ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); also old int _amount = amount -
(amount/100)*2; requires option == 6 && isValid(_amount); requires isValid(balance, _amount); ensures balance == \old (balance) - _amount;
ensures previousTransaction == -_amount; also old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*2; requires option == 6 && isValid(_amount); requires
isValid(balance, _amount); ensures balance == \old (balance); ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); also old int _amount =
amount - (amount/100)*5; requires option == 7 && isValid(_amount); requires _amount + balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; ensures balance ==
\old (balance) + _amount; ensures previousTransaction == _amount; also requires option == 8 && balance <= 20000; ensures \result ==
balance/100; also requires option == 8 && 20000 < balance && balance <= 160000; ensures \result == (balance/100)*2; also requires option == 8
&& 160000 < balance && balance <= 300000 ; ensures \result == (balance/100)*3; also requires option == 8 && 300000 < balance && balance <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; ensures \result == (balance/100)*4; also requires option == 9; old int ATMpenalty = 4; requires amount + ATMpenalty <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; requires isValid(amount); requires 0 <= balance - amount + ATMpenalty; requires isValid(balance, (amount + ATMpenalty));
ensures balance == \old (balance) - (amount + ATMpenalty); ensures previousTransaction == -(amount + ATMpenalty); also requires option == 0;
ensures balance == \old (balance); ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); } @*/ int menu(int option, int amount) { int result;
result = 0; switch(option) { case 1: deposit(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 2: withdraw(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 3:
checkWithdrawal(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 4: result = getPreviousTransaction(); break; case 5: foreignTransfer(amount); result =
getBalance(); break; case 6: withdrawByCashBack(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 7: foreignDeposit(amount); result = getBalance();
break; case 8: result = interestAfterYear(); break; case 9: ATMWithdraw(amount); result = getBalance(); break; default: result = getBalance(); break;
} return result; } }
```



NO.

Counterexample: In the method isValid(int \_amount), for any non-positive \_amount, it always returns true which violates the specification.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class BinarySearch { //@@ requires \forall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < j ; arr[i] <= arr[j]; //@@ ensures \result == -1 <==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key); //@@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> arr[\result] == key; public static int Binary(int[] arr, int key) { if (arr.length == 0) { return -1; } else { int low = 0; int high = arr.length; int mid = high / 2; //@@ maintaining 0 <= low && low <= high && high <= arr.length && mid == low + (high - low) / 2; //@@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < low; arr[i] < key); //@@ maintaining (\forall int i; high <= i && i < arr.length; key < arr[i]); //@@ decreases high - low; while (low < high && arr[mid] != key) { if (arr[mid] < key) { low = mid + 1; } else { high = mid; } mid = low + (high + low) / 2; } if (low >= high) { return -1; } return mid; } }



NO.

Counterexample: Given the input arr = [1, 2, 3, 4] and key = 3, the function will return -1 instead of 2. This is because of the incorrect calculation of mid inside the loop. The line mid = low + (high + low) / 2; is wrong. It should be mid = (high + low) / 2;.



You:

Are below programs correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class BubbleSort { //@ requires 0 < arr.length; //@ ensures \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result.length; \forall int j; i <= j && j < \result.length; \result[i] <= \result[j]; int[] bubbleSort(int arr[]) { SwapInArray s = new SwapInArray(); int n = arr.length; //@ maintaining n == arr.length; //@ maintaining 0 <= i && i < n; //@ maintaining 0 < i ==> (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < n-i; arr[k] <= arr[n-i]); //@ maintaining (\forall int t; n-i <= t && t < n; arr[n-i] <= arr[t]); //@ maintaining 0 < i ==> (\forall int h; n-i <= h && h < n; (\forall int p; n-i <= p && p < n && p <= h; arr[p] <= arr[h])); //@ decreases n - i; for (int i = 0; i < n-1; i++) { //@ maintaining 0 <= i && i < n - 1; //@ maintaining 0 <= j && j < n - i; //@ maintaining 0 < j ==> arr[j-1] <= arr[j]; //@ maintaining (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < j; arr[k] <= arr[j]); //@ maintaining 0 < j && j < n - i ==> (\forall int t; n-i <= t && t < n; arr[j] <= arr[t]); //@ decreases n - i; for (int j = 0; j < n-i-1; j++) { if (arr[j-1] < arr[j]) { if (arr[j+1] < arr[j]) { s.swap(j, j + 1, arr); } } } return arr; } } public class SwapInArray { /\*@ requires 0 <= x && x < array.length && 0 <= y && y < array.length; @ assignable array[x], array[y]; @ ensures \old(array[x]) == array[y] && \old(array[y]) == array[x]; @ ensures array.length == \old(array.length); @\*/ public void swap(int x, int y, int array[]) { int temp; temp = array[x]; array[x] = array[y]; array[y] = temp; } }



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: For the BubbleSort class, the condition inside the inner loop for swapping elements is incorrect:

```
java
if (arr[j-1] < arr[j]) { // if (arr[j+1] < arr[j]) {
    s.swap(j, j + 1, arr);
}
```

Given the comment next to the condition, the correct condition should be `if (arr[j+1] < arr[j])`.

Given the input `arr = [2, 1]`, the function will not sort the array because the wrong condition will prevent any swapping from happening.



You:

Are below programs correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class CombinationPermutation { //@ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20 && 0 <= r && r <= n; //@ old Factorial fac\_spec = new Factorial(); //@ ensures \result == fac\_spec.spec\_factorial(n)/(fac\_spec.spec\_factorial(r) \* fac\_spec.spec\_factorial(n-r)); private /\* pure @\*/ long combination(int n, int r) { Factorial fac = new Factorial(); long combin; combin = fac.factorial(n) / (fac.factorial(r) \* fac.factorial(n-r)); return combin; } //@ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20 && 0 <= r && r <= n; //@ old Factorial fac\_spec = new Factorial(); //@ ensures \result == fac\_spec.spec\_factorial(n)/(fac\_spec.spec\_factorial(n-r)); private /\* pure @\*/ long permutation(int n, int r) { Factorial fac = new Factorial(); long permut; permut = fac.factorial(n) / fac.factorial(n-r); return permut; } /\*@ old Factorial fac\_spec = new Factorial(); @ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20 && 0 <= r && r <= n; @ {} @ requires flag; @ ensures \result == fac\_spec.spec\_factorial(n)/(fac\_spec.spec\_factorial(r) \* fac\_spec.spec\_factorial(n-r)); @ also @ requires !flag; @ ensures \result == fac\_spec.spec\_factorial(n)/(fac\_spec.spec\_factorial(n-r)); } @\*/ public /\* pure @\*/ long select(int n, int r, boolean flag) { return !flag ? combination(n, r) : permutation(n, r); } } public class Factorial { //@ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20; //@ ensures \result >= 1 && \result <= Long.MAX\_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == spec\_factorial(n); public /\* pure @\*/ long factorial(int n) { int c; long fact = 1; //@ assert spec\_factorial(0) == 1; if (n == 0) { return fact; } //@ maintaining c >= 1 && c <= n+1; //@ maintaining fact > 0; //@ maintaining fact <= Long.MAX\_VALUE; //@ maintaining spec\_factorial(c - 1) == fact; //@ decreases n - c; for (c = 1; c <= n; c++) { fact = fact\*c; } return fact; } /\*@ requires n > 0 && n <= 20; ensures 0 <= \result && \result <= Long.MAX\_VALUE; ensures n > 0 ==> \result == n \* spec\_factorial(n-1); also requires n == 0; ensures \result == 1; public model function static pure long spec\_factorial(int n) { if (n == 0) { return 1; } else { assert n \* spec\_factorial(n-1) <= Long.MAX\_VALUE; return n \* spec\_factorial(n-1); } } @\*/ }



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: In the CombinationPermutation class, the method select has the wrong condition for choosing between combination and permutation. The line:

```
java
return !flag ? combination(n, r) : permutation(n, r);
```

Given the comment next to this line, the correct line should be:

```
java
return flag ? combination(n, r) : permutation(n, r);
```



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class FIND\_FIRST\_IN\_SORTED { /\*@ requires 0 <= arr.length && arr.length <= (Integer.MAX\_VALUE/2)+1; /\*@ requires (\forallall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; @ (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < j; arr[i] <= arr[j]); @\*/ /\*@ ensures \result < arr.length; /\*@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> arr[\result] == x && (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result; arr[i] != x); /\*@ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != x); public static int find\_first\_in\_sorted(int[] arr, int x) { int lo = 0; int hi = arr.length; /\*@ maintaining 0 <= lo && lo <= arr.length; /\*@ maintaining 0 <= hi && hi <= arr.length; /\*@ maintaining lo <= hi; /\*@ maintaining (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < lo; arr[i] < x); /\*@ maintaining (\forallall int i; hi < i && i < arr.length; x <= arr[i]); while (lo < hi) { int mid = (lo + hi) / 2; // check if this is floor division if (x == arr[mid] && (mid == 0 || x == arr[mid-1])) { return mid; } else if (x <= arr[mid]) { hi = mid; } else { lo = mid + 1; } } /\*@ assume (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != x); return -1; } }



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: Given the array arr = [2, 2, 2, 2, 2] and x = 2, the program will return index 1 for the second occurrence of 2 instead of index 0 for the first occurrence.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class FIND\_IN\_SORTED { /\*@ requires 0 <= start && 0 <= end && start <= end && end <= arr.length; @ requires (\forallall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; @ (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < j; arr[i] <= arr[j]); @ ensures (0 <= \result && \result < arr.length) ==> arr[\result] == x; @ ensures (start < end && 0 <= \result && \result < end) @ ==> (arr[start] <= arr[\result] && arr[\result] <= arr[end-1]); @ ensures \result < end; @ ensures (start == end) ==> \result == -1; @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forallall int i; start <= i && i < end; arr[i] != x); @\*/ public static /\*@ pure @\*/ int binsearch(int[] arr, int x, int start, int end) { if (start == end) { return -1; } int mid = start + (end - start) / 2; // check this is floor division if (x < arr[mid]) { return (binsearch(arr, x, start, mid) == 0 ? 1 : 0); } else if (x > arr[mid]) { return binsearch(arr, x, mid+1, end); } else { return mid; } } /\*@ requires (\forallall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; \forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < j; arr[i] <= arr[j]; /\*@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> arr[\result] == x; /\*@ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != x); public static int find\_in\_sorted(int[] arr, int x) { return binsearch(arr, x, 0, arr.length); } }



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: Given the array arr = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] and x = 1, the method find\_in\_sorted will call binsearch which in turn will return 0 if x is found and 1 if x is not found in the subarray from start to mid. The code (binsearch(arr, x, start, mid) == 0 ? 1 : 0) is problematic.

So if you call find\_in\_sorted(arr, 1), it will incorrectly return 1 instead of 0.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Fibonacci { private /\*@ spec\_public @\*/ long fib[]; /\*@ public invariant 2 <= fib.length && fib.length <= 93; // 93 < size ==> Long Overflow /\*@ ensures fib[0] == 0 && fib[1] == 1; Fibonacci() { fib = new long[2]; fib[0] = 0; fib[1] = 1; } /\*@ public normal\_behavior @ requires 2 <= size && size <= 93; @ ensures fib[0] == 0 && fib[1] == 1; @ ensures (\forallall int i; 2 <= i && i < fib.length; fib[i] == 0); @ also @ public exceptional\_behavior @ requires size < 2 || 93 < size; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals\_only IllegalArgumentException; @\*/ /\*@ spec\_public @\*/ Fibonacci(int size) { if (2 <= size && size <= 93) { fib = new long[size]; fib[0] = 0; fib[1] = 1; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /\*@ requires 0 <= index && index < fib.length; /\*@ ensures \result == fib[index]; public /\*@ pure @\*/ long getFib(int index) { return fib[index]; } /\*@ requires fib[0] == 0 && fib[1] == 1; /\*@ assignable fib[2] .. fib.length-1; /\*@ ensures (\forallall int i; 2 <= i && i < fib.length; fib[i] == fib[i-1] + fib[i-2]); /\*@ ensures (\forallall int i; 2 <= i



```
&& i < fib.length; (\forall int j; 2 <= j && j < i; fib[j] < fib[i])); public void fibCompute() { int index = 2; //@ maintaining 2 <= index && index <=
fib.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 2 <= i && i < index; fib[i] == fib[i-1] + fib[i-2]); //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 2 <= i && i < index; (\forall int j; 2 <=
j && j < i; fib[j] < fib[i])); while (index < fib.length) { //@ assume fib[index - 2] + fib[index - 1] <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ assume 0 < fib[index - 2] +
fib[index - 1]; fib[index] = fib[index - 2] - fib[index - 1]; index++; //@ assume fib[index-2] < fib[index-1]; } }
```



NO.

Counterexample: In the fibCompute method, there's an error in the line `fib[index] = fib[index - 2] - fib[index - 1]`; where subtraction is used instead of addition. This will result in incorrect Fibonacci values.

For instance, with an initialized Fibonacci object of size 5:

- `fib[0] = 0`
- `fib[1] = 1` After calling `fibCompute()`:
- `fib[2] = 0 - 1 = -1` (incorrect)
- `fib[3] = 1 - (-1) = 2` (incorrect, but by coincidence matches the correct Fibonacci value)
- `fib[4] = -1 - 2 = -3` (incorrect)

The correct Fibonacci sequence starts with: 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, ....



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). 

```
public class FindFirstZero { //@ ensures x.length == 0 ==> \result == -1; //@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < x.length ==> x[\result] == 0 && (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result; x[i] != 0); //@ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < x.length; x[i] != 0); public static int FindFirstZero(int[] x) { assert x.length >= 0; if (x.length == 0) { return -1; } else { int index = 0; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < index; x[i] != 0); //@ maintaining (0 <= index && index <= x.length); //@ decreases x.length - index; while (x.length - index > 0 && x[index] != 0) { index = index + 1; } if (x.length - index != 0) { index = -1; } return index; } }
```



NO.

Counterexample: Consider an array `x` such that `x = [1, 1, 0]`.

According to the JML specification, the expected output is 2 because the first occurrence of 0 is at index 2.

However, running the given program with this input:

1. `x.length` is 3, so it does not enter the first if statement.
2. It enters the while loop until it finds the 0 at index 2.
3. The condition `x.length - index != 0` evaluates to true because `3 - 2 = 1`.
4. It sets `index` to -1 which is incorrect according to the specification.

So, for the input `x = [1, 1, 0]`, the program returns -1 instead of 2.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). 

```
class FindInArray { private /*@ spec_public */ int key; private /*@ spec_public */ int arr[]; //@ ensures (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < inputArr.length; inputArr[i] == arr[i]); //@ ensures key == 0; FindInArray(int inputArr[]) { int size = inputArr.length; arr = new int[size]; arr = inputArr.clone(); } //@ ensures this.key == key; //@ ensures (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < inputArr.length; inputArr[i] == arr[i]); FindInArray(int inputArr[], int key) { int size = inputArr.length; arr = new int[size]; arr = inputArr.clone(); setKey(key); } //@ assignable this.key; //@ ensures this.key == key; void setKey(int key) { this.key = key; } //@ ensures \result == this.key; /*@ pure */ int getKey() { return this.key; } //@ requires 0 <= i && i < arr.length; //@ ensures \result == this.arr[i]; /*@ pure */ int getArr(int i) { return this.arr[i]; } //@ ensures \result == arr.length; /*@ pure */ int size() { return arr.length; } /*@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> (arr[\result] == key && @ (\forall int i; \result < i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key)); @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key); @/* /*@ pure */ int findLast() { int index = size() - 1; //@ maintaining -1 <= index && index < arr.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; index < i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key); while (0 <= index) { if (getArr(index) != getKey()) return index; index--; } return -1; } /*@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> (arr[\result] == key && @ (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result;
```

```
arr[i] != key)); @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key); @*/ /*@ pure @*/ int findFirst() { //@ maintaining 0 <= index && index <= arr.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < index; arr[i] != key); for (int index = 0; index < size(); index++) { if (getArr(index) == getKey()) return index; } return -1; } //@ ensures \result <==> findLast() != findFirst(); /*@ pure @*/ boolean isMoreThanOneKey() { int first = findFirst(); int last = findLast(); return (first != last); } }
```



NO.

Counterexample: Consider an array inputArr such that inputArr = [2, 2] and key = 2.

According to the JML specification for the method findLast, if the last occurrence of key in the array is at index i, then all subsequent indices should not have the value key.

Running the given program with this input:

1. In the findLast method, the loop starts from the end of the array.
2. For the first iteration, at index 1, the check getArr(index) != getKey() will be false (since arr[1] = key).
3. The loop decrements index to 0.
4. Again, the check getArr(index) != getKey() will be false.
5. Finally, the loop terminates and returns -1.

The method findLast should return 1 (since the last occurrence of key is at index 1), but it incorrectly returns -1. The problem is in the condition of the while loop inside the findLast method. It should be checking if the array value at the current index is equal to the key, not the opposite.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class GCD { /\*@ public normal\_behavior @ requires d != 0; @ ensures \result == n%d; @ pure function @\*/ public static int div(int n, int d) { return n%d; } /\*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Integer.MAX\_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires Integer.MIN\_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; @\*/ public /\*@ pure @\*/ int absolute(int num) { return (0 <= num) ? num : -num; } /\*@ requires num1 != Integer.MAX\_VALUE && num2 != Integer.MAX\_VALUE && Integer.MIN\_VALUE + 1 < num1 && Integer.MIN\_VALUE + 1 < num2; @ { } @ requires num1 != 0 && num2 != 0; @ old int tnum1 = absolute(num1); @ old int tnum2 = absolute(num2); @ old int greater = (tnum2 < tnum1) ? tnum1 : tnum2; @ old int smaller = (tnum2 < tnum1) ? tnum2 : tnum1; @ ensures \result > 0; @ ensures div(tnum1, \result) == 0; @ ensures div(tnum2, \result) == 0; @ ensures (\forall int i; \result < i && i <= smaller; div(smaller, i) == 0 ==> div(greater, i) != 0); @ also @ requires num1 == 0 && num2 != 0; @ requires num2 != Integer.MIN\_VALUE; @ old int tnum2 = absolute(num2); @ ensures \result == tnum2; @ also @ requires num1 != 0 && num2 == 0; @ requires num1 != Integer.MIN\_VALUE; @ old int tnum1 = absolute(num1); @ ensures \result == tnum1; @ also @ requires num1 == 0 && num2 == 0; @ ensures \result == -1; @ } @\*/ public /\*@ pure @\*/ int gcd(int num1, int num2) throws IllegalArgumentException { int result = 1; num1 = absolute(num1); num2 = absolute(num2); //@ assume div(num1, result) == 0 && div(num2, result) == 0; if (num1 == 0 && num2 == 0) { return 0; } if (num1 == 0 || num2 == 0) { return (num1 > num2) ? num1 : num2; } //@ maintaining result <= num1 && result <= num2; //@ maintaining 0 < i && i <= num1 + 1 && i <= num2 + 1; //@ maintaining 0 < result && result <= i; //@ maintaining div(num1, result) == 0 && div(num2, result) == 0; //@ maintaining (\forall int j; 0 < j && j < i; div(num1, j) == 0 && div(num2, j) == 0 ==> j <= result); //@ decreases num1 - i; for (int i = 1; i <= num1 && i <= num2; i++) { if (div(num1, i) == 0 && div(num2, i) == 0) { result = i; } } return result; } }



NO.

Counterexample: Consider the inputs num1 = Integer.MIN\_VALUE + 1 and num2 = 0.

According to the JML specification, if num1 != 0 and num2 == 0, and num1 != Integer.MIN\_VALUE, then the result should be the absolute value of num1.

For the given inputs, the expected result should be Integer.MAX\_VALUE (because the absolute value of Integer.MIN\_VALUE + 1 is Integer.MAX\_VALUE).

However, with the current implementation, the program will return 0. This is due to the condition:

```
java
if (num1 == 0 && num2 == 0) {
    return 0;
}
```

Since the condition to check num2 == 0 occurs after this block, this condition will prematurely return 0 for the given inputs.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Inverse { //@ ensures \result ==> ((x.length != y.length) || (\exists int i; 0 <= i && i < x.length; x[i] != y[x.length - 1 - i])); //@ ensures \result ==> x.length == y.length && (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < x.length; x[i] == y[x.length - 1 - i]); public static boolean Inverse(int[] x, int[] y) { if (x.length != y.length) return true; int index = 0; //@ maintaining 0 <= index && index <= x.length && x.length == y.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < index; x[i] == y[x.length - 1 - i]); //@ decreases x.length - index; while (index < x.length) { if (x[index] != y[x.length - 1 - index]) { return false; } else { index = index + 1; } } return true; } }



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: Consider the inputs  $x = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $y = \{3, 2, 1\}$ . The arrays are inverses of each other, so the method should return true. However, with the current implementation, it will return true when the lengths are different due to:

```
java
if (x.length != y.length) return true;
```

This behavior does not match the JML specification.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class LCM { /\*@ public normal\_behavior @ requires d != 0; @ ensures \result == n%d; @ pure function @\*/ public static int div(int n, int d) { return n%d; } /\*@ requires num1 != Integer.MIN\_VALUE; @ requires num2 != Integer.MIN\_VALUE; @ {} @ requires num1 != 0 && num2 != 0; @ old int pNum1 = (num1 > 0) ? num1 : -num1; @ old int pNum2 = (num2 > 0) ? num2 : -num2; @ old int max = (pNum1 > pNum2) ? pNum1 : pNum2; @ ensures \result == -1 || 0 < \result; @ ensures 0 < \result ==> (div(\result, pNum2) == 0 && div(\result, pNum2) == 0); @ ensures 0 < \result ==> (\forall int i; max <= i && i < \result; div(i, pNum1) == 0 ==> div(i, pNum2) != 0); @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int k; max <= k && k <= Integer.MAX\_VALUE; div(k, pNum1) == 0 ==> div(k, pNum2) != 0); @ also @ requires num1 == 0 || num2 == 0; @ ensures \result == -1; @ {} @\*/ public /\*@ pure @\*/ int lcm(int num1, int num2) { if (num1 == 0 || num2 == 0) { return -1; } if (num1 < 0) num1 = -num1; if (num2 < 0) num2 = -num2; int result = (num1 > num2) ? num1 : num2; //@ ghost int check = result; //@ maintaining num1 <= result && num2 <= result && result <= Integer.MAX\_VALUE; //@ maintaining (\forall int k; check <= k && k < result; div(k, num1) == 0 ==> div(k, num2) != 0); //@ decreases Integer.MAX\_VALUE - result; while (result < Integer.MAX\_VALUE) { if (div(result, num1) == 0 && div(result, num2) == 0) { break; } result--; } if (div(result, num1) == 0 && div(result, num2) == 0) { return result; } return -1; } }



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: Consider the inputs  $\text{num1} = 3$  and  $\text{num2} = 5$ . The least common multiple (LCM) of 3 and 5 is 15. However, the program starts from the larger number, which is 5, and then decrements the result until it finds the LCM. Thus, it will never reach the correct LCM of 15.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Perimeter { //@ requires 0 < x && x <= Short.MAX\_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == 4\*x; /\*@ pure @\*/ long Perimeter(short x) { long squarePerimeter = 4 \* (long)x; return squarePerimeter; } //@ requires 0 < x && x <= Integer.MAX\_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == 5\*x; /\*@ pure @\*/ long Perimeter(int x) { long pentagonPerimeter = 5 \* (long)x; return pentagonPerimeter; } //@ requires 0 < x && 6\*x <= Long.MAX\_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == 6\*x; /\*@ pure @\*/ long Perimeter(long x) { long hexagonalPerimeter = 6 \* x; return hexagonalPerimeter; } //@ requires 0 < x && 0 < y && 2\*x + 2\*y <= Integer.MAX\_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == 2\*x + 2\*y; /\*@ pure @\*/ long Perimeter(int x, int y) { long perimeterRectangle = 2\*((long)x + (long)y); return perimeterRectangle; } //@ requires 0 < x && 0 < y && 0 < z && x + y + z <= Integer.MAX\_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == x + y + z; /\*@ pure @\*/ long Perimeter(int x, int y, int z) { long trianglePerimeter = (long)x + (long)y + (long)z; return trianglePerimeter; } //@ requires 0 < w && 0 < x && 0 < y && 0 < z && w + x + y + z <= Integer.MAX\_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == w + x + y + z; /\*@ pure @\*/ long Perimeter(int w, int x, int y, int z) { long trapeziumPerimeter = (long)w - (long)x + (long)y + (long)z; return trapeziumPerimeter; } }





NO.

Counterexample: For the `Perimeter(int w, int x, int y, int z)` method, the calculation for `trapeziumPerimeter` is incorrect. It subtracts the second parameter (`x`) from the first (`w`), which is not mentioned in the specification.

For example, if you use the input values `w = 1, x = 2, y = 3, z = 4`, the expected result according to the JML specification is `1 + 2 + 3 + 4 = 10`, but the method will return `1 - 2 + 3 + 4 = 6`.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `class PrimeCheck { /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires d != 0; @ ensures \result == n%d; @ pure function @*/ public static int div(int n, int d) { return n%d; } // @ requires 1 < a; // @ ensures \result ==> (\forallall int k; 1 < k && k <= a/2; div(a, k) != 0); // @ ensures !\result ==> (\exists int k; 1 < k && k <= a/2; div(a, k) == 0); public boolean isPrime(int a) { int i = 2; int mid = a/2; // @ ghost int maxnumber = Integer.MAX_VALUE; // @ maintaining 1 < i && i <= mid + 1; // @ maintaining 2 < i ==> \forallall int k; 1 < k && k < i; div(a, k) != 0; // @ decreases maxnumber - i; while (i <= mid) { if (div(a, i) == 0) return false; i++; } return false; } }`



NO.

Counterexample: For any prime number `a`, the method `isPrime(a)` will return `false`, contrary to the specification. This is because the method always returns `false` at the end, irrespective of the input.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class PrimeNumbers { /*@ private normal_behavior @ requires 2 <= n; @ requires 2 <= d; @ ensures \result ==> n%d == 0; @ pure function @*/ private /*@ spec_public @*/ static boolean div(int n, int d) { return n%d == 0; } private /*@ spec_public nullable @*/ int primeArray[]; /*@ requires 0 < n && n <= 105102357; @ assignable primeArray; @ ensures \forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < primeArray.length; \forallall int j; 2 <= j && j <= primeArray[i]/2; !div(primeArray[i], j); @ ensures (\forallall int i, j; 0 <= i && i < primeArray.length && 0 <= j && j < primeArray.length && i != j; primeArray[i] != primeArray[j]); @ ensures \forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < primeArray.length; \forallall int j; 0 <= j && j < primeArray.length && i != j; primeArray[i] != primeArray[j]; @ ensures primeArray.length == n; @*/ public int[] primeList(int n) { int status = 1, num = 3, count, j; primeArray = new int[n]; primeArray[0] = 2; /*@ ghost int maxnumber = Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ ghost int count_counter = 2; @ maintaining (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < count-1; (\forallall int k; 2 <= k && k <= primeArray[i]/2; !div(primeArray[i], k))); @ maintaining (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < count-1; \forallall int k; 0 <= k && k < count-1 && i != k; primeArray[i] != primeArray[k]); @ maintaining (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < count-1; primeArray[i] < num); @ maintaining 2 <= count && count <= n + 1 && 3 <= num; @ maintaining count_counter == count; @ loop_invariant status == 1; @ decreases maxnumber - num; @*/ for (count = 2; count <= n; ) { // @ maintaining j > 1 && j <= num/2 + 1; // @ maintaining (\forallall int k; 0 <= k && k < count - 1; num != primeArray[k]); // @ maintaining (\forallall int k; 2 <= k && k < j; !div(num, k)); // @ decreases num - j; for (j = 2; j > num/2; j++) { if (div(num, j)) { status = 0; break; } } if (status != 0) { primeArray[count - 1] = num; count++; // @ set count_counter = count_counter + 1; } status = 1; // @ assume num < Integer.MAX_VALUE; num++; } return primeArray; } }`



NO.

Counterexample: For `n = 2`, the program will not produce an array containing the first two prime numbers. The loop condition for `j` is incorrect: for (`j = 2; j > num/2; j++`). This loop will never execute since the condition `j > num/2` is false at the start. Thus, it will not correctly filter out non-prime numbers.



You:

```

public class StudentEnrollment { public static final int costPerCredit = 200; public static final int totalCredits = 120; public static final int
maxSemesterCredits = 20; /*@ spec_public @*/ private String firstName; /*@ spec_public @*/ private String lastName; /*@ spec_public @*/
private int passedCredits; //number of credits which are passed during previous semesters /*@ spec_public @*/ private int enrollmentCredits;
//number of credits which will get this semester //@ public invariant 0 <= enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits <= maxSemesterCredits; /*@
spec_public @*/ private int tuitionBalance; /*@ public invariant tuitionBalance <= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + (maxSemesterCredits *
((costPerCredit/100)*6)); @*/ /*@ spec_public @*/ private boolean lateRegistration; /*@ ensures this.firstName.equals(firstName); @ ensures
this.lastName.equals(lastName); @ ensures passedCredits == 0 && enrollmentCredits == 0; @ ensures tuitionBalance == 0; @*/
StudentEnrollment(/*@ non_null @*/ String firstName, /*@ non_null @*/ String lastName) { this.firstName = firstName; this.lastName = lastName; }
/*@ public normal_behavior @ requires tuitionBalance <= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6);
@ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == tuitionBalance; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires
maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6) < tuitionBalance; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only
IllegalArgumentExcep...

```

```
this.passedCredits == passedCredits; @ also @ requires lateRegistration && option && initialBalance <= 0; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance ==
initialBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit + enrollmentCredits * latePerCredit; @ ensures this.enrollmentCredits == semesterCredits; @
ensures this.passedCredits == passedCredits; @ also @ requires debit && validPayment(payment) && !option; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance ==
initialBalance - payment; @ also @ requires !debit && validPayment(_payment) && !option; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == initialBalance -
_payment; @ } @*/ public void enrollmentProcess(int passedCredits, int semesterCredits, int payment, int initialBalance, boolean lateRegistration,
boolean debit, boolean option) { String familyName = getLastName(); String firstName = getFirstName(); setTuitionBalance(initialBalance);
setPassedCredits(passedCredits); setEnrollmentCredits(semesterCredits); setLateRegistration(lateRegistration); if (option) { if
(registrationPermission()) { enroll(); } } else { pay(payment, debit); } }
```



The provided Java code represents a class `StudentEnrollment` that maintains details about a student's enrollment, including the student's name, credits (both past and current), tuition balance, and registration details. The class methods contain formal specifications using Java Modeling Language (JML) annotations.

Here's a breakdown of the significant parts of the code:

1. **Constants and Fields:** Constants such as `costPerCredit`, `totalCredits`, and `maxSemesterCredits` are defined. The class has fields like `firstName`, `lastName`, `passedCredits`, `enrollmentCredits`, `tuitionBalance`, and `lateRegistration`.
2. **Invariants:** Two invariants are defined using JML:
  - The `enrollmentCredits` should always be between 0 and `maxSemesterCredits`.
  - The `tuitionBalance` should not exceed a certain calculated value.
3. **Constructors and Setters:**
  - A constructor is provided to initialize a student's first and last name.
  - Setter methods (with specifications) are defined for `tuitionBalance`, `passedCredits`, `enrollmentCredits`, and `lateRegistration`.
4. **Getter Methods:** The class has getter methods for all the fields. They are all pure functions, meaning they don't have side effects.
5. **Behavioral Methods:**
  - `registrationPermission`: Checks if the student can register (based on tuition balance).
  - `validPayment`: Determines if the payment is valid.
  - `regularEnrollment` & `lateEnrollment`: Calculate the tuition for regular and late enrollments respectively.
  - `enroll`: Enrolls a student based on their registration status.
  - `payTuitionWithDebitCard` & `payTuitionWithCreditCard`: Handle payments with different methods.
  - `pay`: Determines the payment method and applies the appropriate logic.
6. **Specifications:**
  - The methods use JML annotations to specify their behavior, including preconditions (`requires`), postconditions (`ensures`), and exceptional behavior (`signals` and `signals_only`).
  - `normal_behavior` indicates the expected behavior of a method when its preconditions are met.
  - `exceptional_behavior` is used for methods that may throw exceptions under certain conditions.
  - The JML annotations are used to formally specify the expected behavior of methods and to reason about the correctness of the program.
7. **Comments:** The cut-off indicates that the code continues beyond what's provided. The comments are detailed and help understand the functionality and constraints of each method.

Overall, this class captures the logic for student enrollment, considering the tuition fees, the credits, and other related factors. The JML annotations provide a robust way to reason about the code's correctness and verify the program's behavior against its specification.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). 

```
public
class StudentEnrollment { public static final int costPerCredit = 200; public static final int totalCredits = 120; public static final int
maxSemesterCredits = 20; /*@ spec_public @*/ private String firstName; /*@ spec_public @*/ private String lastName; /*@ spec_public @*/
private int passedCredits; //number of credits which are passed during previous semesters /*@ spec_public @*/ private int enrollmentCredits;
//number of credits which will get this semester //@ public invariant 0 <= enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits <= maxSemesterCredits; /*@
spec_public @*/ private int tuitionBalance; /*@ public invariant tuitionBalance <= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + (maxSemesterCredits *
((costPerCredit/100)*6)); @*/ /*@ spec_public @*/ private boolean lateRegistration; /*@ ensures this.firstName.equals(firstName); @ ensures
this.lastName.equals(lastName); @ ensures passedCredits == 0 && enrollmentCredits == 0; @ ensures tuitionBalance == 0; @*/
StudentEnrollment(/*@ non_null @*/ String firstName, /*@ non_null @*/ String lastName) { this.firstName = firstName; this.lastName = lastName; }
/*@ public normal_behavior @ requires tuitionBalance <= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6);
@ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == tuitionBalance; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires
```

```

maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6) < tuitionBalance; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only
IllegalArgumentException; @ signals(IllegalArgumentException) this.tuitionBalance == \old (this.tuitionBalance); @*/ public void
setTuitionBalance(int tuitionBalance) { int maxTuitionBalance = maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits *
((costPerCredit/100)*6); if (maxTuitionBalance < tuitionBalance) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } else { this.tuitionBalance =
tuitionBalance; } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= passedCredits && passedCredits <= totalCredits; @ assignable this.passedCredits;
@ ensures this.passedCredits == passedCredits; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires passedCredits < 0 || totalCredits <
passedCredits; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void setPassedCredits(int passedCredits) { if (0 <=
passedCredits && passedCredits <= totalCredits) { this.passedCredits = passedCredits; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@
public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits <= maxSemesterCredits; @ assignable this.enrollmentCredits; @
ensures this.enrollmentCredits == enrollmentCredits; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires enrollmentCredits < 0 ||
maxSemesterCredits < enrollmentCredits; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void
setEnrollmentCredits(int enrollmentCredits) { if (0 <= enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits < maxSemesterCredits) { this.enrollmentCredits =
enrollmentCredits; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ assignable this.lateRegistration; @ ensures
this.lateRegistration == lateRegistration; public void setLateRegistration(boolean lateRegistration) { this.lateRegistration = lateRegistration; } /*@
public normal_behavior @ ensures \result == this.tuitionBalance; public /*@ pure @*/ int getTuition() { return this.tuitionBalance; } /*@ public
normal_behavior @ ensures \result == this.enrollmentCredits; public /*@ pure @*/ int getEnrollmentCredits() { return this.enrollmentCredits; } /*@
public normal_behavior @ ensures \result == this.passedCredits; public /*@ pure @*/ int getPassedCredits() { return this.passedCredits; } /*@
public normal_behavior @ ensures \result == this.lateRegistration; public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getLateRegistrations() { return
this.lateRegistration; } /*@ public normal_behavior @ ensures \result.equals(this.firstName); public /*@ pure @*/ String getFirstName() { return
this.firstName; } /*@ public normal_behavior @ ensures \result.equals(this.lastName); public /*@ pure @*/ String getLastName() { return
this.lastName; } /*@ public normal_behavior @ ensures \result <==> (tuitionBalance <= 0); public /*@ pure @*/ boolean registrationPermission() {
return (this.tuitionBalance <= 0); } /*@ public normal_behavior @ ensures \result <==> (0 < payment); public /*@ pure @*/ boolean
validPayment(int payment) { return (0 < payment); } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires registrationPermission(); @ requires
this.tuitionBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures
this.tuitionBalance == \old(tuitionBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit); @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires
!registrationPermission(); @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void regularEnrollment() { if
(registrationPermission()) { int cost = getEnrollmentCredits() * costPerCredit; tuitionBalance = getTuition() + cost; } else { throw new
IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ old int latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; @ requires registrationPermission(); @
requires this.tuitionBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * latePerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ assignable
this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == \old (tuitionBalance) + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit + enrollmentCredits * latePerCredit;
@ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires !registrationPermission(); @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/
public void lateEnrollment() { if (registrationPermission()) { int latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; int cost; cost = getEnrollmentCredits() *
costPerCredit + getEnrollmentCredits() * latePerCredit; tuitionBalance = getTuition() + cost; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@
public normal_behavior @ requires lateRegistration; @ old int latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; @ requires registrationPermission(); @
requires this.tuitionBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * latePerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ assignable
this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == \old (tuitionBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit) + enrollmentCredits * latePerCredit;
@ also @ public normal_behavior @ requires !lateRegistration; @ requires registrationPermission(); @ requires this.tuitionBalance +
maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == \old
(tuitionBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit); @*/ public void enroll() { if (getLateRegistrations()) lateEnrollment(); else regularEnrollment();
} /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires validPayment(payment); @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= tuitionBalance - payment; @ assignable
tuitionBalance; @ ensures tuitionBalance == \old(tuitionBalance - payment); @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires
!validPayment(payment); @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ private /*@ spec_public @*/ void
payTuitionWithDebitCard(int payment) { if (validPayment(payment)) { tuitionBalance -= payment; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } }
/*@ public normal_behavior @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int _payment = payment - penalty; @ requires validPayment(_payment);
@ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= tuitionBalance - _payment; @ assignable tuitionBalance; @ ensures tuitionBalance == \old(tuitionBalance -
_payment); @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int _payment = payment - penalty; @ requires
!validPayment(_payment); @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ private /*@ spec_public @*/ void
payTuitionWithCreditCard(int payment) { int penalty; penalty = (payment/100)*2; payment -= penalty; if (validPayment(payment)) { tuitionBalance -=
payment; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires debit; @ requires validPayment(payment); @
requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= tuitionBalance - payment; @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures tuitionBalance == \old (tuitionBalance -
payment); @ also @ public normal_behavior @ requires !debit; @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int _payment = payment - penalty; @
requires validPayment(_payment); @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= tuitionBalance - _payment; @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures
tuitionBalance == \old (tuitionBalance - _payment); @*/ public void pay(int payment, boolean debit) { if (debit) { payTuitionWithDebitCard(payment);
} else { payTuitionWithCreditCard(payment); } } /*@ public exceptional_behavior @ requires !(initialBalance <= maxSemesterCredits *
costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6)) @ || !(0 <= passedCredits && passedCredits <= totalCredits) @ || !(0 <=
semesterCredits && semesterCredits <= maxSemesterCredits); @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @ also @ public normal_behavior @
assignable this.*; @ old int latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int _payment = payment - penalty;
@ requires 0 < payment; @ requires passedCredits + semesterCredits <= totalCredits; @ requires initialBalance <= maxSemesterCredits *
costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6); @ requires 0 <= semesterCredits && semesterCredits <= maxSemesterCredits; @
requires 0 <= passedCredits && passedCredits <= totalCredits; @ requires lateRegistration ==> initialBalance + maxSemesterCredits *
costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * latePerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires !lateRegistration ==> initialBalance +
maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires debit ==> Integer.MIN_VALUE <= initialBalance - payment; @ requires
!debit ==> Integer.MIN_VALUE <= initialBalance - _payment; @ { } @ requires !lateRegistration && option && initialBalance <= 0; @ ensures
this.tuitionBalance == initialBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit; @ ensures this.enrollmentCredits == semesterCredits; @ ensures
this.passedCredits == passedCredits; @ also @ requires lateRegistration && option && initialBalance <= 0; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance ==
initialBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit + enrollmentCredits * latePerCredit; @ ensures this.enrollmentCredits == semesterCredits; @
ensures this.passedCredits == passedCredits; @ also @ requires debit && validPayment(payment) && !option; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance ==
initialBalance - payment; @ also @ requires !debit && validPayment(_payment) && !option; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == initialBalance -
_payment; @ { } @*/ public void enrollmentProcess(int passedCredits, int semesterCredits, int payment, int initialBalance, boolean lateRegistration,
boolean debit, boolean option) { String familyName = getLastName(); String firstName = getFirstName(); setTuitionBalance(initialBalance);
setPassedCredits(passedCredits); setEnrollmentCredits(semesterCredits); setLateRegistration(lateRegistration); if (option) { if
(registrationPermission()) { enroll(); } } else { pay(payment, debit); } } }

```



NO.

Counterexample: For the method `setEnrollmentCredits`, the requirement specifies that `enrollmentCredits` should be between 0 (inclusive) and `maxSemesterCredits` (inclusive). However, in the method implementation, the check only verifies if `enrollmentCredits` is less than `maxSemesterCredits`, which means the value `maxSemesterCredits` is not allowed, contradicting the specification.

Test:

```
java
StudentEnrollment student = new StudentEnrollment("John", "Doe");
student.setEnrollmentCredits(StudentEnrollment.maxSemesterCredits); // Should be allowed, but will throw an exception
```



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```
public class Time { private /*@ spec_public */ int second; private /*@ spec_public */ int minute; private /*@ spec_public */ int hour; /*@ public invariant 0 <= second && second < 60; public invariant 0 <= minute && minute < 60; public invariant 0 <= hour && hour < 24; */
/*@ ensures this.hour == 23; */
/*@ ensures this.minute == 59; */
/*@ ensures this.second == 59; */
public /*@ pure */ Time() { hour = 23; minute = 59; second = 59; }
/*@ requires 0 <= h && h < 24; */
/*@ requires 0 <= m && m < 60; */
/*@ requires 0 <= s && s < 60; */
/*@ ensures this.hour == h; */
/*@ ensures this.minute == m; */
/*@ ensures this.second == s; */
public /*@ pure */ Time(int h, int m, int s) { hour = h; minute = m; second = s; }
/*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= s && s < 60; @ assignable this.second; @ ensures this.second == s; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires s < 0 || 60 <= s; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; */
public void setSecond(int s) { if (s < 0 || 60 <= s) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } else { this.second = s; } }
/*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= m && m < 60; @ assignable this.minute; @ ensures this.minute == m; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires m < 0 || 60 <= m; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; */
public void setMinute(int m) { if (m < 0 || 60 > m) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } else { this.minute = m; } }
/*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= h && h < 24; @ assignable this.hour; @ ensures this.hour == h; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires h < 0 || 24 <= h; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; */
public void setHour(int h) { if (h < 0 || 24 <= h) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } else { this.hour = h; } }
/*@ ensures this.equals(\result) && this != \result; */
public /*@ pure */ Time getTime() { Time t = new Time(this.hour, this.minute, this.second); return t; }
/*@ ensures \result == second; */
public /*@ pure */ int getSecond() { return second; }
/*@ ensures \result == minute; */
public /*@ pure */ int getMinute() { return minute; }
/*@ ensures \result == hour; */
public /*@ pure */ int getHour() { return hour; }
/*@ ensures \result == hour*60*60 + minute*60 + second; */
public /*@ pure */ int convertToSeconds() { return (hour*60*60 + minute*60 + second); }
/*@ requires convertToSeconds() == 0; */
/*@ ensures convertToSeconds() == 0; */
/*@ also @ requires convertToSeconds() != 0; @ assignable second, minute, hour; @ ensures convertToSeconds() == \old(convertToSeconds() - 1); */
public void decr() { if (isTimeZero()) return; else { second--; if (second < 0) { second = 59; minute--; if (minute < 0) { minute = 59; hour--; } } } }
/*@ assignable second, minute, hour; @ ensures convertToSeconds() == 0; */
public void timer() { /*@ ghost boolean flag = false; @ maintaining !isTimeZero() && flag ==> convertToSeconds() == \old(convertToSeconds() - 1); while (!isTimeZero()) { @ set flag = true; @ each time around this loop should take 1 second, ideally decr(); } } */
/*@ requires 0 <= h && h < 24; @ requires 0 <= m && m < 60; @ requires 0 <= s && s < 60; @ assignable this.second, this.minute, this.hour; @ ensures convertToSeconds() == 0; */
public void timer(int h, int m, int s) { setHour(h); setMinute(m); setSecond(s); /*@ assert hour == h && minute == m && second == s; timer(); */
/*@ ensures \result == (convertToSeconds() == 0); */
public /*@ pure */ boolean isTimeZero() { return (convertToSeconds() == 0); }
/*@ assignable second, minute, hour; @ ensures second == 0 && minute == 0 && hour == 0; */
public void reset() { second = 0; minute = 0; hour = 0; }
/*@ ensures \result == ((this.hour > start.hour) @ || (this.hour == start.hour && this.minute > start.minute) @ || (this.hour == start.hour && this.minute == start.minute && this.second > start.second)); */
/*@ public /*@ pure */ boolean later_than(Time start) { if (this.hour != start.hour) { return this.hour > start.hour; } else if (this.minute != start.minute) { return this.minute > start.minute; } else { return this.second > start.second; } }
/*@ also @ requires !(o instanceof Time); @ ensures !\result; @ also @ requires (o instanceof Time); */
/*@ ensures \result <==> (this.hour == ((Time) o).hour) @ && (this.minute == ((Time) o).minute) @ && (this.second == ((Time) o).second); */
/*@ public boolean equals(Object o) { if (!(o instanceof Time)) { return false; }
Time t = (Time) o; return this.hour == t.hour && this.minute == t.minute && this.second == t.second; }
/*@ requires stop.later_than(start) || stop.equals(start); */
/*@ old int _stop_minutes = (stop.second < start.second) ? (stop.minute - 1) : stop.minute; */
/*@ old int diff_seconds = (stop.second < start.second) ? (stop.second + 60 - start.second) : (stop.second - start.second); */
/*@ old int _stop_hours = (_stop_minutes < start.minute) ? (stop.hour - 1) : stop.hour; */
/*@ old int diff_minutes = (_stop_minutes < start.minute) ? (_stop_minutes + 60 - start.minute) : (_stop_minutes - start.minute); */
/*@ old int diff_hours = _stop_hours - start.hour; */
/*@ ensures diff_hours == \result.hour; */
/*@ ensures diff_minutes == \result.minute; */
/*@ ensures diff_seconds == \result.second; */
private /*@ spec_public pure */ Time trustedDifference(Time start, Time stop) { Time diff = new Time(); int temp_second = stop.getSecond(); int temp_minute = stop.getMinute(); int temp_hour = stop.getHour();
if (temp_second < start.getSecond()) { --temp_minute; temp_second += 60; }
diff.second = temp_second - start.getSecond();
if (temp_minute < start.getMinute()) { --temp_hour; temp_minute += 60; }
diff.minute = temp_minute - start.getMinute();
diff.hour = temp_hour - start.getHour();
return diff; }
/*@ requires stop.later_than(start); @ ensures \result.equals(trustedDifference(stop, start)); */
/*@ also @ requires start.later_than(stop) || stop.equals(start); @ ensures \result.equals(trustedDifference(stop, start)); */
public /*@ pure */ Time difference(Time start, Time stop) { if (stop.later_than(start)) { return trustedDifference(start, stop); } else { return trustedDifference(stop, start); } }
/*@ requires 0 <= sel && sel < 5; @ { @ requires 0 <= sel && sel <= 2; @ ensures \result.hour == 0 && \result.minute == 0 && \result.second == 0; @ ensures start == \old(start); @ ensures stop == \old(stop); @ also @ requires sel == 3 && !start.equals(stop); @ ensures \result.hour == \old(hour) && \result.minute == \old(minute) && \result.second == \old(second); @ also @ requires sel == 3 && start.equals(stop); @ ensures \result.hour == 0 && \result.minute == 0 && \result.second == 0; @ ensures start.hour == 0 && start.minute == 0 && start.second == 0; @ ensures stop == \old(stop); @ also @ requires sel == 4; @ ensures \result.equals(difference(start, stop)); @ ensures start == \old(start); @ ensures stop == \old(stop); @ }
/*@ public Time timeOptions(Time start, Time stop, int sel) { if (sel == 0) { reset(); } else if (sel == 1) { timer(start.hour, start.minute, start.second); } else if (sel == 2) { timer(); } else if (sel == 3) { if (start.equals(stop)) { start.reset(); return start.getTime(); } } else { return difference(start, stop); } return getTime(); } }
```





NO.

Counterexample: In the setMinute method, the condition should be `if (m < 0 || 60 <= m)` instead of `if (m < 0 || 60 > m)`.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). (It is from Quixbugs) public class FIND\_FIRST\_IN\_SORTED { *//@ requires* 0 <= arr.length && arr.length <= (Integer.MAX\_VALUE/2)+1; */\*@ requires* (\forallall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; @ (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < j ; arr[i] <= arr[j])); *@\*/* *//@ ensures* \result < arr.length; *//@ ensures* 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> arr[\result] == x && (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result; arr[i] != x); *//@ ensures* \result == -1 ==> (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != x); public static int find\_first\_in\_sorted(int[] arr, int x) { int lo = 0; int hi = arr.length; *//@ maintaining* 0 <= lo && lo <= arr.length; *//@ maintaining* 0 <= hi && hi <= arr.length; *//@ maintaining* lo <= hi; *//@ maintaining* (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < lo; arr[i] < x); *//@ maintaining* (\forallall int i; hi < i && i < arr.length; x <= arr[i]); while (lo <= hi) { int mid = (lo + hi) / 2; *// check if this is floor division if (x == arr[mid] && (mid == 0 || x != arr[mid-1]))* { return mid; } else if (x <= arr[mid]) { hi = mid; } else { lo = mid + 1; } } *//@ assume* (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != x); return -1; } }



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: Consider the input `arr = {1,2,3}` and `x = 4`. The loop will go into an infinite loop and will never terminate because `lo` will become 2, `hi` will become 3, and `mid` will be  $(2+3)/2 = 2$  every time. The values of `lo`, `hi`, and `mid` will not change, leading to a loop that doesn't terminate.



You:

What is the repair?



ChatGPT:

To repair the given function, the loop condition should be changed from `lo <= hi` to `lo < hi`.

Also, since you're not including `hi` in the actual values considered in the search, you can initialize `hi` to `arr.length - 1` instead of `arr.length`.

Here's the repaired function:

```
java
public static int find_first_in_sorted(int[] arr, int x) {
    int lo = 0;
    int hi = arr.length - 1;

    //@ maintaining 0 <= lo && lo <= arr.length;
    //@ maintaining 0 <= hi && hi <= arr.length;
    //@ maintaining lo <= hi;
    //@ maintaining (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < lo; arr[i] < x);
    //@ maintaining (\forallall int i; hi < i && i < arr.length; x <= arr[i]);
    while (lo < hi) { // Changed condition here
        int mid = (lo + hi) / 2;
        if (x == arr[mid] && (mid == 0 || x != arr[mid-1])) {
            return mid;
        } else if (x <= arr[mid]) {
            hi = mid;
        } else {
            lo = mid + 1;
        }
    }

    if (lo < arr.length && arr[lo] == x) {
```

```

        return lo;
    }

    //@ assume (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != x);
    return -1;
}

```

Note: The additional check `if (lo <



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). (It is from Quixbugs) public class FIND\_IN\_SORTED { /\*@ requires 0 <= start && 0 <= end && start <= end && end <= arr.length; @ requires (\forall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; @ (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < j; arr[i] <= arr[j])); @ ensures (0 <= \result && \result < arr.length) ==> arr[\result] == x; @ ensures (start < end && 0 <= \result && \result < end) @ ==> (arr[start] <= arr[\result] && arr[\result] <= arr[end-1]); @ ensures \result < end; @ ensures (start == end) ==> \result == -1; @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; start <= i && i < end; arr[i] != x); @\*/ public static /\*@ pure @\*/ int binsearch(int[] arr, int x, int start, int end) { if (start == end) { return -1; } int mid = start + (end - start) / 2; // check this is floor division if (x < arr[mid]) { return binsearch(arr, x, start, mid); } else if (x > arr[mid]) { return binsearch(arr, x, mid, end); // return binsearch(arr, x, mid+1, end); } else { return mid; } } //@ requires \forall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < j; arr[i] <= arr[j]; //@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> arr[\result] == x; //@ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != x); public static int find\_in\_sorted(int[] arr, int x) { return binsearch(arr, x, 0, arr.length); } }



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: For the array arr = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] and x = 5, the method find\_in\_sorted will enter into an infinite recursion because the binsearch method will keep on calling itself with mid as the start index, and it will never converge to the solution.



You:

What is the repair? Short answer:



ChatGPT:

Repair: Change the recursive call return binsearch(arr, x, mid, end); to return binsearch(arr, x, mid + 1, end);.