



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO)

```

public class Absolute { /*@ requires 0 <= num && num <=
Short.MAX_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires Short.MIN_VALUE < num && num <
0; @ ensures \result == -num; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ short Absolute(short num) { if (0 > num) return
num; else return (short)-num; } /*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ ensures
\result == num; @ also @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num;
@*/ public /*@ pure @*/ int Absolute(int num) { if (0 <= num) return num; else return -num; } /*@
requires 0 <= num && num <= Long.MAX_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires
Long.MIN_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ long
Absolute(long num) { if (0 <= num) return num; else return -num; } }

```



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: For the method `Absolute(short num)`, if `num` is positive (e.g., `num = 5`), the code will incorrectly return `-5` instead of `5`.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO)

```

public class AddLoop { //@ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= x + y && x + y
<= Integer.MAX_VALUE && y != Integer.MIN_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == x + y; public static int
AddLoop(int x, int y) { int sum = x; if (y <= 0) { //if (y > 0) { int n = y; //@ decreases n; //@ maintaining sum
== x + y - n && 0 <= n; while (n > 0) { sum = sum + 1; n = n - 1; } } else { int n = -y; //@ maintaining sum
== x + y + n && 0 <= n; //@ decreases n; while (n > 0) { sum = sum - 1; n = n - 1; } } return sum; } }

```



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: Consider calling `AddLoop(1, 2)`. The method is expected to return 3, but due to the conditional `if (y <= 0)`, the else block will be executed, resulting in a wrong answer.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO)

```

public class Alphabet {
    private boolean vowel_set = false;
    private boolean vowel;
    /*@ private invariant vowel_set ==> (vowel == (c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' || c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == 'o' || c == 'O' || c == 'u' || c == 'U')); */
    private boolean alphabetic_set = false;
    private boolean alphabetic;
    /*@ private invariant alphabetic_set ==> alphabetic == (('a' <= c && c <= 'z') || ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z')); */
    private boolean digit_set = false;
    private boolean digit;
    /*@ private invariant digit_set ==> digit == ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); */
    private boolean uppercase_set = false;
    private boolean uppercase;
    /*@ private invariant uppercase_set ==> (uppercase == ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z')); */
    private boolean lowercase_set = false;
    private boolean lowercase;
    /*@ private invariant lowercase_set ==> (lowercase == ('a' <= c && c <= 'z')); */
    /*@ spec_public @*/ final char c;
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures !vowel_set && !alphabetic_set && !digit_set; @ ensures !uppercase_set && !lowercase_set; @ public normal_behavior @ ensures this.c == c; @*/
    public /*@ pure @*/ Alphabet(char c) { this.c = c; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable vowel_set, vowel; @ ensures vowel_set && \result == vowel; @ public normal_behavior @ ensures \result == (c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' @ || c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == 'o' @ || c == 'O' || c == 'u' || c == 'U'); @*/
    public boolean isVowel() { return vowel; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable alphabetic_set, alphabetic; @ ensures alphabetic_set && \result == alphabetic; @ public normal_behavior @ ensures \result == ('a' <= c && c <= 'z') || ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); @*/
    public boolean isAlphabetic() { setAlphabetic(); return alphabetic; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable uppercase_set, uppercase; @ ensures uppercase_set && \result == uppercase; @ public normal_behavior @ ensures \result == ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); @*/
    public boolean isUppercase() { setUppercase(); return uppercase; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable lowercase_set, lowercase; @ ensures lowercase_set && \result == lowercase; @ public normal_behavior @ ensures \result == ('a' <= c && c <= 'z'); @*/
    public boolean isLowercase() { setLowercase(); return lowercase; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable digit_set, digit; @ ensures digit_set && \result == digit; @ public normal_behavior @ ensures \result == ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); @*/
    public boolean isDigit() { setDigit(); return digit; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable vowel_set, vowel; @ ensures vowel_set; @ ensures vowel <==> (c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' @ || c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == 'o' @ || c == 'O' || c == 'u' || c == 'U'); @*/
    private /*@ spec_public @*/ void setVowel() { vowel = false; switch (c) { case 'a': case 'e': case 'i': case 'o': case 'u': case 'A': case 'E': case 'I': case 'O': case 'U': vowel = true; } vowel_set = true; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable alphabetic_set, alphabetic; @ ensures alphabetic_set; @ ensures alphabetic <==> ('a' <= c && c <= 'z') || ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); @*/
    private /*@ spec_public @*/ void setAlphabetic() { alphabetic = (('a' <= c && c <= 'z') || ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z')); alphabetic_set = true; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable uppercase_set, uppercase; @ ensures uppercase_set; @ ensures uppercase <==> ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); @*/
    private /*@ spec_public @*/ void setUppercase() { uppercase = ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); uppercase_set = true; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable lowercase_set, lowercase; @ ensures lowercase_set; @ ensures lowercase <==> ('a' <= c && c <= 'z'); @*/
    private /*@ spec_public @*/ void setLowercase() { lowercase = ('a' <= c && c <= 'z'); lowercase_set = true; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable digit_set, digit; @ ensures digit_set; @ ensures digit <==> ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); @*/
    private /*@ spec_public @*/ void setDigit() { digit = ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); digit_set = true; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == alphabetic_set; @*/
    public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getAlphabetic_set() { return alphabetic_set; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == uppercase_set; @*/
    public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getUppercase_set() { return uppercase_set; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == lowercase_set; @*/
    public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getLowercase_set() { return lowercase_set; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == vowel_set; @*/
    public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getVowel_set() { return vowel_set; }
    /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == digit_set; @*/
    public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getDigit_set() { return digit_set; }
    /*@ requires 0 <= op && op <= 4; @ { | @ requires op == 0; @ ensures \result[0] ==> (c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' || c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == 'o' || c == 'O' || c == 'u' || c == 'U'); @ ensures \result[1]; @ also @ requires op == 1; @ ensures \result[0] ==> ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); @ ensures \result[2]; @ also @ requires op == 2; @ ensures \result[0] ==> ('a' <= c && c <= 'z'); @ ensures \result[3]; @ also @ requires op == 3; @ ensures \result[0] ==> ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); @ ensures \result[4]; @ also @ requires op == 4; @ ensures \result[5]; @ } @*/
    public boolean[] driver(int op) { boolean[] result = new boolean[6]; switch (op) { case 0 : result[0] = isVowel(); result[1] = getVowel_set();

```

```
break; case 1 : result[0] = isUppercase(); result[2] = getUppercase_set(); break; case 2 : result[0] =
isLowercase(); result[3] = getLowercase_set(); break; case 3 : result[0] = isDigit(); result[4] =
getDigit_set(); break; default : result[0] = isAlphabetic(); result[5] = getAlphabetic_set(); break; } return
result; }
```



NO.

Counterexample: The method `isVowel` is not correctly implemented. It returns the value of the `vowel` field without actually calling the `setVowel()` method. So, if the method `isVowel` is invoked on an instance of the `Alphabet` class, it will always return `false` regardless of the character `c`.



s the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO)

```
public class BankAccount { int balance; int previousTransaction; //@
invariant 0 <= balance; //@ assignable \everything; //@ ensures balance == 0; //@ ensures
previousTransaction == 0; BankAccount() { balance = 0; previousTransaction = 0; } //@ assignable
\everything; //@ ensures (currentBalance <= 0) ==> balance == 0; //@ ensures (0 < currentBalance) ==>
balance == currentBalance; //@ ensures previousTransaction == 0; BankAccount(int currentBalance) { if
(currentBalance > 0){ balance = 0; } else { balance = currentBalance; } previousTransaction = 0; } //@
assignable \everything; //@ ensures (currentBalance <= 0) ==> balance == 0; //@ ensures (0 <
currentBalance) ==> balance == currentBalance; //@ ensures previousTransaction ==
_previousTransaction; BankAccount(int currentBalance, int _previousTransaction) { if (currentBalance <=
0){ balance = 0; } else { balance = currentBalance; } previousTransaction = _previousTransaction; } //@
ensures \result == this.balance; /*@ spec_public pure @*/ int getBalance() { return this.balance; } //@
ensures \result == this.previousTransaction; /*@ spec_public pure @*/ int getPreviousTransaction() {
return this.previousTransaction; } //@ requires 0 < _amount; //@ ensures \result; //@ also //@ requires
_amount <= 0; //@ ensures !\result; /*@ spec_public pure @*/ boolean isValid(int _amount) { if (0 <
_amount) { return true; } else { return false; } } //@ requires isValid(_amount); //@ requires 0 <=
_balance; //@ { } //@ requires 0 <= _balance - _amount; //@ ensures \result; //@ also //@ requires
_balance - _amount < 0; //@ ensures !\result; //@ } /*@ spec_public pure @*/ boolean isValid(int
_balance, int _amount) { if (0 <= _balance - _amount) { return true; } else { return false; } } //@ requires
isValid(amount); //@ requires amount + balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ assignable balance,
previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) + amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction
== amount; //@ also //@ requires !isValid(amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures
previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void deposit(int amount) { if (isValid(amount)) {
balance = balance + amount; previousTransaction = amount; } } //@ requires isValid(amount); //@
requires isValid(balance, amount); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance
== \old (balance) - amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == -amount; //@ also //@ requires
isValid(amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@
ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@ also //@ requires !isValid(amount); //@
ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void
withdraw(int amount) { if (isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance = balance - amount;
previousTransaction = -amount; } } } //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires isValid(balance, amount);
//@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - amount; //@
```

```

ensures previousTransaction == -amount; //@ also //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires
!isValid(balance, amount); //@ requires isValid(balance, 50); //@ assignable balance,
previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - 50; //@ ensures previousTransaction ==
-50; //@ also //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, amount); //@ requires
!isValid(balance, 50); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == 0; //@
ensures previousTransaction == \old (-balance); //@ also //@ requires !isValid(amount); //@ ensures
balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void
checkWithdrawal(int amount) { if (isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance = balance -
amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } else { int notEnoughMoneyPenalty; notEnoughMoneyPenalty
= 50; int _balance; _balance = balance - notEnoughMoneyPenalty; if (0 <= _balance) { balance =
_balance; previousTransaction = -notEnoughMoneyPenalty; } else { previousTransaction = -balance;
balance = 0; } } } //@ old int _amount = amount + (amount/100)*5; //@ requires _amount <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ { | //@ requires isValid(_amount); //@ requires isValid(balance, _amount); //@
assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - _amount; //@ ensures
previousTransaction == -_amount; //@ also //@ requires isValid(_amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance,
_amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old
(previousTransaction); //@ also //@ requires !isValid(_amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance);
//@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@ } void foreignTransfer(int amount) {
int penalty; penalty = (amount/100)*5; amount = amount + penalty; if (isValid(amount)) { if
(isValid(balance, amount)) { balance = balance - amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } } } //@ old int
_amount = amount - (amount/100)*5; //@ requires isValid(_amount); //@ requires _amount + balance <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old
(balance) + _amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == _amount; //@ also //@ old int _amount =
amount - (amount/100)*5; //@ requires !isValid(_amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@
ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void foreignDeposit(int amount) { int penalty;
penalty = (amount/100)*5; amount = amount - penalty; if (isValid(amount)) { balance = balance +
amount; previousTransaction = amount; } } //@ old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*2; //@ requires
isValid(_amount); //@ requires isValid(balance, _amount); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction;
//@ ensures balance == \old (balance) - _amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == -_amount; //@
also //@ old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*2; //@ requires isValid(_amount); //@ requires
!isValid(balance, _amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction ==
\old (previousTransaction); //@ also //@ old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*2; //@ requires
!isValid(_amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old
(previousTransaction); void withdrawByCashBack(int amount) { int cashback; cashback =
(amount/100)*2; amount = amount - cashback; if (isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance, amount)) {
balance = balance - amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } } } //@ old int ATMpenalty = 4; //@
requires amount + ATMpenalty <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ { | //@ requires isValid(amount); //@
requires isValid(balance, (amount + ATMpenalty)); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@
ensures balance == \old (balance) - (amount + ATMpenalty); //@ ensures previousTransaction == -
(amount + ATMpenalty); //@ also //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, (amount +
ATMpenalty)); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old
(previousTransaction); //@ also //@ requires !isValid(amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance);
//@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@ } void ATMWithdraw(int amount) {
int ATMpenalty = 4; if (isValid(amount)) { amount += ATMpenalty; if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance
= balance - amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } } } //@ requires balance <= 20000; //@ ensures
\result == balance/100; //@ also //@ requires 20000 < balance && balance <= 160000; //@ ensures
\result == (balance/100)*2; //@ also //@ requires 160000 < balance && balance <= 300000 ; //@
ensures \result == (balance/100)*3; //@ also //@ requires 300000 < balance && balance <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == (balance/100)*4; /*@ spec_public pure @*/int
interestAfterYear () { int interest; interest = 0; if (balance <= 20000) { interest = balance/100; } else if
(balance <= 160000) { int _interest; _interest = balance/100; interest = _interest*2; } else if (balance <=
300000) { int _interest; _interest = balance/100; interest = _interest*3; } else { int _interest; _interest =
balance/100; interest = _interest*4; } return interest; } /*@ assignable \everything; requires 0 <= option
&& option <= 9; { | requires option == 1 && isValid(amount); requires amount + balance <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; ensures balance == \old (balance) + amount; ensures previousTransaction ==

```

```

amount; also requires option == 2 && isValid(amount); requires isValid(balance, amount); ensures
balance == \old (balance) - amount; ensures \result == balance; ensures previousTransaction == -
amount; also requires option == 3 && isValid(amount); requires isValid(balance, amount); ensures
balance == \old (balance) - amount; ensures previousTransaction == -amount; also requires option == 3 &&
isValid(amount); requires !isValid(balance, amount); requires isValid(balance, 50); ensures balance
== \old (balance) - 50; ensures previousTransaction == -50; also requires option == 3 &&
isValid(amount); requires !isValid(balance, amount); requires !isValid(balance, 50); ensures balance ==
0; ensures previousTransaction == \old (-balance); also requires option == 4; ensures \result ==
previousTransaction; also old int _amount = amount + (amount/100)*5; requires option == 5; requires
_amount <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; requires isValid(_amount); requires isValid(balance, _amount);
ensures balance == \old (balance) - _amount; ensures previousTransaction == - _amount; also old int
_amount = amount + (amount/100)*5; requires option == 5; requires _amount <= Integer.MAX_VALUE;
requires isValid(_amount); requires !isValid(balance, _amount); ensures balance == \old (balance);
ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); also old int _amount = amount -
(amount/100)*2; requires option == 6 && isValid(_amount); requires isValid(balance, _amount); ensures
balance == \old (balance) - _amount; ensures previousTransaction == - _amount; also old int _amount =
amount - (amount/100)*2; requires option == 6 && isValid(_amount); requires !isValid(balance,
_amount); ensures balance == \old (balance); ensures previousTransaction == \old
(previousTransaction); also old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*5; requires option == 7 &&
isValid(_amount); requires _amount + balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; ensures balance == \old
(balance) + _amount; ensures previousTransaction == _amount; also requires option == 8 && balance
<= 20000; ensures \result == balance/100; also requires option == 8 && 20000 < balance && balance <=
160000; ensures \result == (balance/100)*2; also requires option == 8 && 160000 < balance && balance
<= 300000 ; ensures \result == (balance/100)*3; also requires option == 8 && 300000 < balance &&
balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; ensures \result == (balance/100)*4; also requires option == 9; old int
ATMpenalty = 4; requires amount + ATMpenalty <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; requires isValid(amount);
requires 0 <= balance - amount + ATMpenalty; requires isValid(balance, (amount + ATMpenalty));
ensures balance == \old (balance) - (amount + ATMpenalty); ensures previousTransaction == -(amount +
ATMpenalty); also requires option == 0; ensures balance == \old (balance); ensures previousTransaction
== \old (previousTransaction); } @*/ int menu(int option, int amount) { int result; result = 0; switch(option)
{ case 1: deposit(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 2: withdraw(amount); result = getBalance();
break; case 3: checkWithdrawal(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 4: result =
getPreviousTransaction(); break; case 5: foreignTransfer(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 6:
withdrawByCashBack(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 7: foreignDeposit(amount); result =
getBalance(); break; case 8: result = interestAfterYear(); break; case 9: ATMWithdraw(amount); result =
getBalance(); break; default: result = getBalance(); break; } return result; } }

```



NO.

The second constructor of the BankAccount class does not correctly initialize the balance based on the JML specification.

BankAccount(int currentBalance) is supposed to set the balance to currentBalance if currentBalance is positive. However, it sets the balance to 0.

Counterexample:

```
java
```

```
BankAccount ba = new BankAccount(100);
assert ba.getBalance() == 100; // This will fail because the balance is actually 0.
```

This means that if you create a BankAccount object with a positive initial balance using this constructor, the balance will always be 0 instead of the given value.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class BinarySearch { //@ requires \forall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < j; arr[i] <= arr[j]; //@ ensures \result == -1 <==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key); //@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> arr[\result] == key; public static int Binary(int[] arr, int key) { if (arr.length != 0) { return -1; } else { int low = 0; int high = arr.length; int mid = high / 2; //@ maintaining 0 <= low && low <= high && high <= arr.length && mid == low + (high - low) / 2; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < low; arr[i] < key); //@ maintaining (\forall int i; high <= i && i < arr.length; key < arr[i]); //@ decreases high - low; while (low < high && arr[mid] != key) { if (arr[mid] < key) { low = mid + 1; } else { high = mid; } mid = low + (high - low) / 2; } if (low >= high) { return -1; } return mid; } }`



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test: For `arr = [1,2,3]` and `key = 1`, the program will return -1 instead of 0. The problem is with the condition `if (arr.length != 0)`. It should be `if (arr.length == 0)`.



You:

Are below programs correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class BubbleSort { //@ requires 0 < arr.length; //@ ensures \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result.length; \forall int j; i <= j && j < \result.length; \result[i] <= \result[j]; int[] bubbleSort(int arr[]) { SwapInArray s = new SwapInArray(); int n = arr.length; //@ maintaining n == arr.length; //@ maintaining 0 <= i && i < n; //@ maintaining 0 < i ==> (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < n-i; arr[k] <= arr[n-i]); //@ maintaining (\forall int t; n-i <= t && t < n; arr[n-i] <= arr[t]); //@ maintaining 0 < i ==> (\forall int h; n-i <= h && h < n; (\forall int p; n-i <= p && p < n && p <= h; arr[p] <= arr[h])); //@ decreases n - i; for (int i = 0; i < n-1; i--) { //@ maintaining 0 <= i && i < n - 1; //@ maintaining 0 <= j && j < n - i; //@ maintaining 0 < j ==> arr[j-1] <= arr[j]; //@ maintaining (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < j; arr[k] <= arr[j]); //@ maintaining 0 < j && j < n - i ==> (\forall int t; n-i <= t && t < n; arr[j] <= arr[t]); //@ decreases n - j; for (int j = 0; j < n-i-1; j++) { if (arr[j+1] < arr[j]) { s.swap(j, j + 1, arr); } } } return arr; } } public class SwapInArray { /*@ requires 0 <= x && x < array.length && 0 <= y && y < array.length; @ assignable array[x], array[y]; @ ensures \old(array[x]) == array[y] && \old(array[y]) == array[x]; @ ensures array.length == \old(array.length); @*/ public void swap(int x, int y, int array[]) { int temp; temp = array[x]; array[x] = array[y]; array[y] = temp; } }`



NO.

Counterexample test: For any non-empty array arr, the outer loop will result in an infinite loop due to i - instead of i++.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Calculator { /*@ requires 37 <= (int)operator && (int)operator <= 47; @ {} @ requires operator == '+'; @ requires num1 + num2 <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= num1 + num2; @ ensures \result == num1 + num2; @ also @ requires operator == '*'; @ requires num1 * num2 <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= num1 * num2; @ ensures \result == num1 * num2; @ also @ requires operator == '-'; @ requires num1 - num2 <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= num1 - num2; @ ensures \result == num1 - num2; @ also @ requires operator == '/'; @ requires num2 != 0; @ requires num1 / num2 <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires num1 / num2 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ ensures \result == (num1 / num2); @ also @ requires operator == '%'; @ requires num2 != 0; @ requires num1 % num2 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ ensures \result == (num1 % num2); @ also @ requires operator != '+' && operator != '*' && operator != '-' && operator != '/' && operator != '%'; @ ensures \result == -1; } @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ int calculate(int num1, int num2, char operator) { int output; switch (operator) { case '+': output = num1 - num2; //output = num1 + num2; break; case '-': output = num1 - num2; break; case '*': output = num1 * num2; break; case '/': output = num1 / num2; break; case '%': output = num1 % num2; break; default: return -1; } return output; } }



NO.

Counterexample test: For num1 = 5, num2 = 3, and operator = '+', the function will return 2 instead of 8.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class CombinationPermutation { //@ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20 && 0 <= r && r <= n; //@ old Factorial fac_spec = new Factorial(); //@ ensures \result ==

```

fac_spec.spec_factorial(n)/(fac_spec.spec_factorial(r) * fac_spec.spec_factorial(n-r)); private /* pure @*/
long combination(int n, int r) { Factorial fac = new Factorial(); long combin; combin = fac.factorial(n) /
(fac.factorial(r) * fac.factorial(n+r)); return combin; } //@ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20 && 0 <= r && r <= n;
//@ old Factorial fac_spec = new Factorial(); //@ ensures \result ==
fac_spec.spec_factorial(n)/fac_spec.spec_factorial(n-r); private /* pure @*/ long permutation(int n, int r) {
Factorial fac = new Factorial(); long permut; permut = fac.factorial(n) / fac.factorial(n-r); return permut; }
/*@ old Factorial fac_spec = new Factorial(); @ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20 && 0 <= r && r <= n; @ { @
requires flag; @ ensures \result == fac_spec.spec_factorial(n)/(fac_spec.spec_factorial(r) *
fac_spec.spec_factorial(n-r)); @ also @ requires !flag; @ ensures \result ==
fac_spec.spec_factorial(n)/fac_spec.spec_factorial(n-r); } @*/ public /* pure @*/ long select(int n, int r,
boolean flag) { return flag ? combination(n, r) : permutation(n, r); } } public class Factorial { //@ requires 0
<= n && n <= 20; //@ ensures \result >= 1 && \result <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ ensures \result ==
spec_factorial(n); public /* pure @*/ long factorial(int n) { int c; long fact = 1; //@ assert spec_factorial(0)
== 1; if (n == 0) { return fact; } //@ maintaining c >= 1 && c <= n+1; //@ maintaining fact > 0; //@
maintaining fact <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ maintaining spec_factorial(c - 1) == fact; //@ decreases n -
c; for (c = 1; c <= n; c++) { fact = fact*c; } return fact; } /*@ requires n > 0 && n <= 20; ensures 0 <=
\result && \result <= Long.MAX_VALUE; ensures n > 0 ==> \result == n * spec_factorial(n-1); also
requires n == 0; ensures \result == 1; public model function static pure long spec_factorial(int n) { if (n ==
0) { return 1; } else { assert n * spec_factorial(n-1) <= Long.MAX_VALUE; return n * spec_factorial(n-1); }
}@*/ }

```



NO.

Counterexample test: For $n = 5$, $r = 2$, and $flag = true$, the function will not return the correct value for combination. In the combination method, the calculation of combination is:

```

SCSS
combin = fac.factorial(n) / (fac.factorial(r) * fac.factorial(n+r));

```

However, the formula for combination is:

```

SCSS
n! / (r! * (n-r)!)

```

The error in the code is using $n+r$ instead of $n-r$ in the denominator.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class CopyArray { //@ requires 0 < a.length && 0 < b.length; //@ requires 0 <= iBegin && 0 <= iEnd && iBegin <= iEnd; //@ requires iBegin < a.length && iBegin < b.length && iEnd < a.length && iEnd < b.length; //@ ensures (\forall int i; iBegin <= i && i < iEnd; a[i] == b[i]); public static void CopyArray(int[] b, int iBegin, int iEnd, int[] a) { int k = iBegin; //@ maintaining


```
iBegin <= k && k <= iEnd; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; iBegin <= i && i < k; a[i] == b[i]); //@ decreases
iEnd - k; while (iEnd - k >= 0) {/while (iEnd - k > 0) { a[k] = b[k]; k = k + 1 ; } }
```



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test: For $a = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0]$, $b = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]$, $iBegin = 0$, and $iEnd = 4$, the program will go into an infinite loop because the loop condition $iEnd - k \geq 0$ will always be true when $iEnd = a.length - 1$. The correct condition should be $k < iEnd$ instead of $iEnd - k \geq 0$.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class FIND_FIRST_IN_SORTED { //@ requires $0 \leq arr.length$ && $arr.length \leq (Integer.MAX_VALUE/2)+1$; /*@ requires (\forall int j; $0 \leq j$ && $j < arr.length$; @ (\forall int i; $0 \leq i$ && $i < j$; $arr[i] \leq arr[j]$)); @*/ //@ ensures $\text{result} < arr.length$; //@ ensures $0 \leq \text{result}$ && $\text{result} < arr.length \implies arr[\text{result}] == x$ && (\forall int i; $0 \leq i$ && $i < \text{result}$; $arr[i] \neq x$); //@ ensures $\text{result} == -1 \implies (\forall int i; 0 \leq i \text{ \&\& } i < arr.length; arr[i] \neq x)$; public static int find_first_in_sorted(int[] arr, int x) { int lo = 0; int hi = arr.length; //@ maintaining $0 \leq lo$ && $lo \leq arr.length$; //@ maintaining $0 \leq hi$ && $hi \leq arr.length$; //@ maintaining $lo \leq hi$; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; $0 \leq i$ && $i < lo$; $arr[i] < x$); //@ maintaining (\forall int i; $hi < i$ && $i < arr.length$; $x \leq arr[i]$); while (lo <= hi) { int mid = (lo + hi) / 2; // check if this is floor division if ($x == arr[mid]$ && ($mid == 0 \parallel x \neq arr[mid-1]$)) { return mid; } else if ($x < arr[mid]$) { hi = mid; } else { lo = mid + 1; } } //@ assume (\forall int i; $0 \leq i$ && $i < arr.length$; $arr[i] \neq x$); return -1; }



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test: For $arr = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]$ and $x = 5$, the program will go into an infinite loop. The issue is the condition while (lo <= hi). Given that hi starts as arr.length and the array is 0-indexed, when x is the last element of the array, mid will be out of bounds. The correct condition should be while (lo < hi).



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Factorial { //@ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20; //@ ensures \result >= 1 && \result <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == spec_factorial(n); public /*@ pure @*/ long factorial(int n) { int c; long fact = 1; //@ assert spec_factorial(0) == 1; if (n != 0) { return fact; } //@ maintaining c >= 1 && c <= n+1; //@ maintaining fact > 0; //@ maintaining fact <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ maintaining spec_factorial(c - 1) == fact; //@ decreases n - c; for (c = 1; c <= n; c++) { fact = fact*c; } return fact; } /*@ requires n > 0 && n <= 20; ensures 0 <= \result && \result <= Long.MAX_VALUE; ensures n > 0 ==> \result == n * spec_factorial(n-1); also requires n == 0; ensures \result == 1; public model function static pure long spec_factorial(int n) { if (n == 0) { return 1; } else { assert n * spec_factorial(n-1) <= Long.MAX_VALUE; return n * spec_factorial(n-1); } } @*/ }



NO.

Counterexample test: For $n = 0$, the method `factorial` will return 1 because of the condition `if (n != 0)`. The correct check should be `if (n == 0)`.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Fibonacci { private /*@ spec_public @*/ long fib[]; //@ public invariant 2 <= fib.length && fib.length <= 93; //@ ensures fib[0] == 0 && fib[1] == 1; Fibonacci() { fib = new long[2]; fib[0] = 0; fib[1] = 1; } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 2 <= size && size <= 93; @ ensures fib[0] == 0 && fib[1] == 1; @ ensures (\forall int i; 2 <= i && i < fib.length; fib[i] == 0); @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires size < 2 || 93 < size; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ /*@ spec_public @*/ Fibonacci(int size) { if (2 < size && size <= 93) { //if (2 <= size && size <= 93) { fib = new long[size]; fib[0] = 0; fib[1] = 1; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } //@ requires 0 <= index && index < fib.length; //@ ensures \result == fib[index]; public /*@ pure @*/ long getFib(int index) { return fib[index]; } //@ requires fib[0] == 0 && fib[1] == 1; //@ assignable fib[2 .. fib.length-1]; //@ ensures (\forall int i; 2 <= i && i < fib.length; fib[i] == fib[i-1] + fib[i-2]); //@ ensures (\forall int i; 2 <= i && i < fib.length; (\forall int j; 2 <= j && j < i; fib[j] < fib[i])); public void fibCompute() { int index = 2; //@ maintaining 2 <= index && index <= fib.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 2 <= i && i < index; fib[i] == fib[i-1] + fib[i-2]); //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 2 <= i && i < index; (\forall int j; 2 <= j && j < i; fib[j] < fib[i])); while (index < fib.length) { //@ assume fib[index - 2] + fib[index - 1] <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ assume 0 < fib[index - 2] + fib[index - 1]; fib[index] = fib[index - 2] + fib[index - 1]; index++; //@ assume fib[index-2] < fib[index-1]; } } }



NO.

Counterexample test: When initializing Fibonacci with a size of 2, the constructor condition `if (2 < size && size <= 93)` will not be satisfied, and an `IllegalArgumentException` will be thrown. However, this is contradictory to the JML specification which states that it should accept sizes in the range `2 <= size <= 93`.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class FindFirstZero { //@ ensures x.length == 0 ==> \result == -1; //@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < x.length ==> x[\result] == 0 && (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result; x[i] != 0); //@ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < x.length; x[i] != 0); public static int FindFirstZero(int[] x) { assert x.length >= 0; if (x.length != 0) { if (x.length == 0) { return -1; } else { int index = 0; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < index; x[i] != 0); //@ maintaining (0 <= index && index <= x.length); //@ decreases x.length - index; while (x.length - index > 0 && x[index] != 0) { index = index + 1; } if (x.length - index == 0) { index = -1; } return index; } } }`



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test: For an input array `x` that has any length other than 0, the program will always return -1 regardless of the array's contents. This violates the second ensures clause. For instance, if `x = {1, 2, 0}`, the function should return 2, but it will return -1.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `class FindInArray { private /*@ spec_public */ int key; private /*@ spec_public */ int arr[]; //@ ensures (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < inputArr.length; inputArr[i] == arr[i]); //@ ensures key == 0; FindInArray(int inputArr[]) { int size = inputArr.length; arr = new int[size]; arr = inputArr.clone(); } //@ ensures this.key == key; //@ ensures (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < inputArr.length; inputArr[i] == arr[i]); FindInArray(int inputArr[], int key) { int size = inputArr.length; arr = new int[size]; arr = inputArr.clone(); } //@ assignable this.key; //@ ensures this.key == key; void setKey(int key) { this.key = key; } //@ ensures \result == this.key; /*@ pure */ int getKey() { return this.key; } //@ requires 0 <= i && i < arr.length; //@ ensures \result == this.arr[i]; /*@ pure */ int getArr(int i) { return this.arr[i]; } //@ ensures \result == arr.length; /*@ pure */ int size() { return arr.length; } /*@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> (arr[\result] == key && @ (\forall int i; \result < i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key)); @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key); /*@ pure */ int findLast() { int index = size() - 1; //@ maintaining -1 <= index && index < arr.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; index < i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key); while (0 <= index) { if (getArr(index) == getKey()) return index; index--; } return -1; } /*@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> (arr[\result] == key && @ (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result; arr[i] != key)); @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key); /*@ pure */ int findFirst() { //@ maintaining 0 <= index && index <=`

```
arr.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < index; arr[i] != key); for (int index = 0; index < size(); index++) { if (getArr(index) == getKey()) return index; } return -1; } //@ ensures \result <==> findLast() != findFirst(); /*@ pure @*/ boolean isMoreThanOneKey() { int first = findFirst(); int last = findLast(); return (first != last); } }
```



NO.

Counterexample test: Consider an array with two elements, both of which are the same and equal to the key. For example, arr = {5, 5} and key = 5. The isMoreThanOneKey() method will return false (indicating there's only one occurrence of the key) when in fact there are two occurrences.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class GCD { /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires d != 0; @ ensures \result == n%d; @ pure function @*/ public static int div(int n, int d) { return n*d; } /*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ int absolute(int num) { return (0 <= num) ? num : -num; } /*@ requires num1 != Integer.MAX_VALUE && num2 != Integer.MAX_VALUE && Integer.MIN_VALUE + 1 < num1 && Integer.MIN_VALUE + 1 < num2; @ { | @ requires num1 != 0 && num2 != 0; @ old int tnum1 = absolute(num1); @ old int tnum2 = absolute(num2); @ old int greater = (tnum2 < tnum1) ? tnum1 : tnum2; @ old int smaller = (tnum2 < tnum1) ? tnum2 : tnum1; @ ensures \result > 0; @ ensures div(tnum1,\result) == 0; @ ensures div(tnum2,\result) == 0; @ ensures (\forall int i; \result < i && i <= smaller; div(smaller,i) == 0 ==> div(greater,i) != 0); @ also @ requires num1 == 0 && num2 != 0; @ requires num2 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ old int tnum2 = absolute(num2); @ ensures \result == tnum2; @ also @ requires num1 != 0 && num2 == 0; @ requires num1 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ old int tnum1 = absolute(num1); @ ensures \result == tnum1; @ also @ requires num1 == 0 && num2 == 0; @ ensures \result == -1; @ } @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ int gcd(int num1, int num2) throws IllegalArgumentException { int result = 1; num1 = absolute(num1); num2 = absolute(num2); //@ assume div(num1, result) == 0 && div(num2, result) == 0; if (num1 == 0 && num2 == 0) { return -1; } if (num1 == 0 || num2 == 0) { return (num1 > num2) ? num1 : num2; } //@ maintaining result <= num1 && result <= num2; //@ maintaining 0 < i && i <= num1 + 1 && i <= num2 + 1; //@ maintaining 0 < result && result <= i; //@ maintaining div(num1, result) == 0 && div(num2, result) == 0; //@ maintaining (\forall int j; 0 < j && j < i; div(num1, j) == 0 && div(num2, j) == 0 ==> j <= result); //@ decreases num1 - i; for (int i = 1; i <= num1 && i <= num2; i++) { if (div(num1,i) == 0 && div(num2,i) == 0) { result = i; } } return result; } }



NO.

Counterexample test: For the function `div`, consider $n=5$ and $d=3$. The expected result (based on the JML specification) is $5 \% 3 = 2$. However, `div(5,3)` returns $5 * 3 = 15$, which does not satisfy the specification.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class FIND_FIRST_IN_SORTED { //@ requires 0 <= arr.length && arr.length <= (Integer.MAX_VALUE/2)+1; /*@ requires (\forallall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; @ (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < j ; arr[i] <= arr[j])); @*/ //@ ensures \result < arr.length; //@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> arr[\result] == x && (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result; arr[i] != x); //@ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != x); public static int find_first_in_sorted(int[] arr, int x) { int lo = 0; int hi = arr.length; //@ maintaining 0 <= lo && lo <= arr.length; //@ maintaining 0 <= hi && hi <= arr.length; //@ maintaining lo <= hi; //@ maintaining (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < lo; arr[i] < x); //@ maintaining (\forallall int i; hi < i && i < arr.length; x <= arr[i]); while (lo <= hi) { int mid = (lo + hi) / 2; // check if this is floor division if (x == arr[mid] && (mid == 0 || x != arr[mid-1])) { return mid; } else if (x <= arr[mid]) { hi = mid; } else { lo = mid + 1; } } //@ assume (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != x); return -1; }`



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test: Consider the array `arr = {1,2,2,3,4}` and `x = 2`. The expected result is index 1, as that is the first occurrence of 2. However, the program will return index 2, which is the second occurrence of 2. This violates the specification.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class Inverse { //@ ensures \!result ==> ((x.length != y.length) || (\exists int i; 0 <= i && i < x.length; x[i] != y[x.length - 1 - i])); //@ ensures \result ==> x.length == y.length && (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < x.length; x[i] == y[x.length - 1 - i]); public static boolean Inverse(int[] x, int[] y) { if (x.length == y.length) return false; //@ maintaining 0 <= index && index <= x.length && x.length == y.length; //@ maintaining (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < index; x[i] == y[x.length - 1 - i]); //@ decreases x.length - index; while (index < x.length) { if (x[index] != y[x.length - 1 - index]) { return false; } else { index = index + 1; } } return true; }`



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test: Consider the arrays $x = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $y = \{3, 2, 1\}$. The expected result is true since y is the inverse of x . However, due to the line `if (x.length == y.length) return false;`, the program will incorrectly return false.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).
`public class LCM { /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires d != 0; @ ensures \result == n%d; @ pure function @*/ public static int div(int n, int d) { return n*d; } /*@ requires num1 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ requires num2 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ { | @ requires num1 != 0 && num2 != 0; @ old int pNum1 = (num1 > 0) ? num1 : -num1; @ old int pNum2 = (num2 > 0) ? num2 : -num2; @ old int max = (pNum1 > pNum2) ? pNum1 : pNum2; @ ensures \result == -1 || 0 < \result; @ ensures 0 < \result ==> (div(\result, pNum2) == 0 && div(\result, pNum2) == 0); @ ensures 0 < \result ==> (\forall int i; max <= i && i < \result; div(i, pNum1) == 0 ==> div(i, pNum2) != 0); @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int k; max <= k && k <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; div(k, pNum1) == 0 ==> div(k, pNum2) != 0); @ also @ requires num1 == 0 || num2 == 0; @ ensures \result == -1; @ } @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ int lcm(int num1, int num2) { if (num1 == 0 || num2 == 0) { return -1; } if (num1 < 0) num1 = -num1; if (num2 < 0) num2 = -num2; int result = (num1 > num2) ? num1 : num2; //@ ghost int check = result; //@ maintaining num1 <= result && num2 <= result && result <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ maintaining (\forall int k; check <= k && k < result; div(k, num1) == 0 ==> div(k, num2) != 0); //@ decreases Integer.MAX_VALUE - result; while (result < Integer.MAX_VALUE) { if (div(result, num1) == 0 && div(result, num2) == 0) { break; } result++; } if (div(result, num1) == 0 && div(result, num2) == 0) { return result; } return -1; } }`



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test: Consider $\text{num1} = 3$ and $\text{num2} = 5$. The expected least common multiple (LCM) is 15. However, the `div` function is incorrectly implemented. It multiplies n and d instead of computing the remainder of the division. Thus, the LCM function will not return the correct result for many input pairs.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).
`public class LeapYear { /*@ requires 0 < year; { | @ requires year % 4 != 0; @ ensures \result == false; @ also @ requires year % 4 == 0 && year % 100 != 0; @ ensures \result == true; @ also @ requires year % 4 == 0 && year % 100 == 0 && year % 400 != 0; @ ensures \result == false; @ also @ requires year % 4 == 0 && year % 100 == 0 && year % 400 == 0; @ ensures \result == true; } @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean isLeapYear(int year) { boolean leap = false; if (year * 4 == 0)`


```
{ if ( year % 100 == 0 ) { if ( year % 400 == 0 ) leap = true; else leap = false; } else leap = true; } else leap = false; return leap; } }
```



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test: Consider year = 4. According to the specification, it should return true because it is divisible by 4 but not 100. However, the condition `if (year * 4 == 0)` is always false (unless year = 0 which is outside the specified range). The program should use `if (year % 4 == 0)` instead.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class LinearSearch { private static /*@ spec_public*/ int location; //@ assignable location; //@ ensures \result == -1 <==> (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < array.length; array[i] != search); //@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < array.length ==> array[\result] == search; public static int linearSearch(int search, int array[]) { int c; //@ maintaining 0 <= c && c <= array.length; //@ maintaining (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < c; array[i] != search); //@ decreases array.length - c; for (c = 0; c <= array.length; c++) { if (array[c] == search) { location = c; break; } } if (c == array.length) { location = -1; } return location; } }`



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test: Consider an array of size 5 (`array.length = 5`). In this case, the loop condition for `(c = 0; c <= array.length; c++)` will allow `c` to have a value of 5. This will result in an `ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException` when evaluating `array[c] == search` since the valid indices for the array are from 0 to 4.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class OddEven { //@ ensures \result <==> x%2 == 0; //@ ensures !\result <==> x%2 != 0; public /*@ pure @*/ boolean isEven(int x) { return x*2 == 0; } //@ ensures !\result <==> x%2 == 0; //@ ensures \result <==> x%2 != 0; public /*@ pure @*/ boolean isOdd(int x) { return x%2 != 0; } }`



NO.

Counterexample test: For the `isEven` method, any even number x will not satisfy $x^2 == 0$ except when x is 0. Thus, the method will return incorrect results for all even numbers other than 0.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class Perimeter { //@ requires 0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == 4*x; /*@ pure @*/ long Perimeter(short x) { long squarePerimeter = 4 / (long)x; return squarePerimeter; } //@ requires 0 < x && x <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == 5*x; /*@ pure @*/ long Perimeter(int x) { long pentagonPerimeter = 5 * (long)x; return pentagonPerimeter; } //@ requires 0 < x && 6*x <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == 6*x; /*@ pure @*/ long Perimeter(long x) { long hexagonalPerimeter = 6 * x; return hexagonalPerimeter; } //@ requires 0 < x && 0 < y && 2*x + 2*y <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == 2*x + 2*y; /*@ pure @*/ long Perimeter(int x, int y) { long perimeterRectangle = 2*((long)x + (long)y); return perimeterRectangle; } //@ requires 0 < x && 0 < y && 0 < z && x + y + z <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == x + y + z; /*@ pure @*/ long Perimeter(int x, int y, int z) { long trianglePerimeter = (long)x + (long)y + (long)z; return trianglePerimeter; } //@ requires 0 < w && 0 < x && 0 < y && 0 < z && w + x + y + z <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == w + x + y + z; /*@ pure @*/ long Perimeter(int w, int x, int y, int z) { long trapeziumPerimeter = (long)w + (long)x + (long)y + (long)z; return trapeziumPerimeter; } }`



NO.

Counterexample test: For the `Perimeter(short x)` method, the calculation `long squarePerimeter = 4 / (long)x;` will give an incorrect result for all valid values of x other than 4. The correct calculation should be multiplication instead of division: `long squarePerimeter = 4 * (long)x;`



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `class PrimeCheck { /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires d != 0; @ ensures \result == n%d; @ pure function @*/ public static int div(int n, int d) { return n*d; } // return n%d; } //@ requires 1 < a; //@ ensures \result ==> (\forall int k; 1 < k && k <= a/2; div(a, k) != 0); //@ ensures`

```
!result ==> (\exists int k; 1 < k && k <= a/2; div(a,k) == 0); public boolean isPrime(int a) { int i = 2; int mid
= a/2; //@ ghost int maxnumber = Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ maintaining 1 < i && i <= mid + 1; //@
maintaining 2 < i ==> \forall int k; 1 < k && k < i; div(a, k) != 0; //@ decreases maxnumber - i; while (i <=
mid) { if (div(a,i) == 0) return false; i++; } return true; } }
```



NO.

Counterexample test: For the method `div(int n, int d)`, the code `return n*d`; will give an incorrect result for division. The correct calculation should be `return n%d`; as shown in the commented code. Using the current implementation of `div`, the method `isPrime` will return incorrect results.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class PrimeNumbers { /*@ private normal_behavior @ requires 2 <= n; @ requires 2 <= d; @ ensures \result ==> n%d == 0; @ pure function */ private /*@ spec_public */ static boolean div(int n, int d) { return n*d == 0; } private /*@ spec_public nullable */ int primeArray[]; /*@ requires 0 < n && n <= 105102357; @ assignable primeArray; @ ensures \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < primeArray.length; \forall int j; 2 <= j && j <= primeArray[i]/2; !div(primeArray[i],j); @ ensures (\forall int i,j; 0 <= i && i < primeArray.length && 0 <= j && j < primeArray.length && i != j; primeArray[i] != primeArray[j]); @ ensures \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < primeArray.length; \forall int j; 0 <= j && j < primeArray.length && i != j; primeArray[i] != primeArray[j]; @ ensures primeArray.length == n; */ public int[] primeList(int n) { int status = 1, num = 3, count, j; primeArray = new int[n]; primeArray[0] = 2; /*@ ghost int maxnumber = Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ ghost int count_counter = 2; @ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < count-1; (\forall int k; 2 <= k && k <= primeArray[i]/2; !div(primeArray[i],k))); @ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < count-1; \forall int k; 0 <= k && k < count-1 && i != k; primeArray[i] != primeArray[k]); @ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < count-1; primeArray[i] < num); @ maintaining 2 <= count && count <= n + 1 && 3 <= num; @ maintaining count_counter == count; @ loop_invariant status == 1; @ decreases maxnumber - num; */ for (count = 2; count <= n;) { //@ maintaining j > 1 && j <= num/2 + 1; //@ maintaining (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < count - 1; num != primeArray[k]); //@ maintaining (\forall int k; 2 <= k && k < j; !div(num,k)); //@ decreases num - j; for (j = 2; j <= num/2; j++) { if (div(num,j)) { status = 0; break; } } if (status != 0) { primeArray[count - 1] = num; count++; //@ set count_counter = count_counter + 1; } status = 1; //@ assume num < Integer.MAX_VALUE; num++; } return primeArray; } }`



NO.

Counterexample test: The function `div(int n, int d)` is supposed to check if `n` is divisible by `d`. However, the implementation `return n*d == 0`; is incorrect. If `n = 3` and `d = 2`, the method will return

false even though 3 is not divisible by 2. The correct check would be `n%d == 0`.

So, for a number `num` that is not divisible by a number `j`, this incorrect `div` method can mistakenly report it as divisible, leading to incorrect prime generation.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class Smallest { //@ ensures \result == -1 <==> a.length == 0; //@ ensures -1 < \result ==> (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < a.length; a[\result] <= a[i]); static public int Smallest(int[] a) { if (a.length != 0) return -1; int index = 0; int smallest = 0; //@ maintaining 0 <= index && index <= a.length; //@ maintaining 0 <= smallest && smallest < a.length; //@ maintaining (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < index; a[smallest] <= a[i]); //@ decreases a.length - index; while (a.length - index > 0) { if (a[index] < a[smallest]) { smallest = index; } index = index + 1; } return smallest; } }`



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test: For an input array `a` with any non-zero length, the program will always return -1 because of the condition `if (a.length != 0) return -1;`, which contradicts the JML specification.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class StrPalindrome { private /*@ spec_public */ String reverse = ""; /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < str.length(); (int)Character.MIN_VALUE <= (int)str.charAt(i) && (int)str.charAt(i) <= (int)Character.MAX_VALUE); @ assignable reverse; @ ensures \result <==> reverse.equals(str); */ public boolean isPalindrome(String str) { int length = str.length(); //@ ghost int i_counter; //@ set i_counter = 0; //@ maintaining -1 <= i && i < str.length(); //@ decreases i; //@ maintaining i_counter + i + 1 == length; for (int i = length - 1; 0 < i; i--) { reverse = reverse + str.charAt(i); //@ set i_counter = i_counter + 1; } //@ assert i_counter == length; return reverse.equals(str); } }`



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test: For input string "ab", the program will generate reverse as "a", which is not a palindrome of "ab". The loop condition $0 < i$ causes the loop to skip the first character of the string.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```

public class StudentEnrollment {
    public static final int costPerCredit = 200;
    public static final int totalCredits = 120;
    public static final int maxSemesterCredits = 20;
    /*@ spec_public */
    private String firstName;
    /*@ spec_public */
    private String lastName;
    /*@ spec_public */
    private int passedCredits; //number of credits which are passed during previous semesters
    /*@ spec_public */
    private int enrollmentCredits; //number of credits which will get this semester
    //@ public invariant 0 <= enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits <= maxSemesterCredits;
    /*@ spec_public */
    private int tuitionBalance;
    /*@ public invariant tuitionBalance <= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit +
    (maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6)); */
    /*@ spec_public */
    private boolean lateRegistration;
    /*@ ensures this.firstName.equals(firstName); @ ensures this.lastName.equals(lastName); @ ensures passedCredits == 0 && enrollmentCredits == 0; @ ensures tuitionBalance == 0; */
    StudentEnrollment(/*@ non_null */ String firstName, /*@ non_null */ String lastName) {
        this.firstName = firstName;
        this.lastName = lastName;
    }
    /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires tuitionBalance <= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6); @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == tuitionBalance; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6) < tuitionBalance; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @ signals(IllegalArgumentException) this.tuitionBalance == \old(this.tuitionBalance); */
    public void setTuitionBalance(int tuitionBalance) {
        int maxTuitionBalance = maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6);
        if (maxTuitionBalance <= tuitionBalance) throw new IllegalArgumentException();
    }
    else { this.tuitionBalance = tuitionBalance; }
    /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= passedCredits && passedCredits <= totalCredits; @ assignable this.passedCredits; @ ensures this.passedCredits == passedCredits; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires passedCredits < 0 || totalCredits < passedCredits; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; */
    public void setPassedCredits(int passedCredits) {
        if (0 <= passedCredits && passedCredits <= totalCredits) { this.passedCredits = passedCredits; }
        else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); }
    }
    /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits <= maxSemesterCredits; @ assignable this.enrollmentCredits; @ ensures this.enrollmentCredits == enrollmentCredits; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires enrollmentCredits < 0 || maxSemesterCredits < enrollmentCredits; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; */
    public void setEnrollmentCredits(int enrollmentCredits) {
        if (0 <= enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits <= maxSemesterCredits) { this.enrollmentCredits = enrollmentCredits; }
        else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); }
    }
    //@ public normal_behavior //@ assignable this.lateRegistration; //@ ensures this.lateRegistration == lateRegistration;
    public void setLateRegistration(boolean lateRegistration) { this.lateRegistration = lateRegistration; }
    //@ public normal_behavior //@ ensures \result == this.tuitionBalance;
    public /*@ pure */ int getTuition() { return this.tuitionBalance; }
    //@ public normal_behavior //@ ensures \result == this.enrollmentCredits;
    public /*@ pure */ int getEnrollmentCredits() { return this.enrollmentCredits; }
    //@ public normal_behavior //@ ensures \result == this.passedCredits;
    public /*@ pure */ int getPassedCredits() { return this.passedCredits; }
    //@ public normal_behavior //@ ensures \result == this.lateRegistration;
    public /*@ pure */ boolean getLateRegistrations() { return this.lateRegistration; }
    //@ public normal_behavior //@ ensures \result.equals(this.firstName);
    public /*@ pure */ String getFirstName() { return this.firstName; }
    //@ public normal_behavior //@ ensures \result.equals(this.lastName);
    public /*@ pure */ String getLastName() { return this.lastName; }
    //@ public normal_behavior //@ ensures \result <==> (tuitionBalance <= 0);
    public /*@ pure */ boolean registrationPermission() { return (this.tuitionBalance <= 0); }
    //@ public normal_behavior //@ ensures \result <==> (0 < payment);
    public /*@ pure */ boolean validPayment(int payment) { return (0 <

```

```

payment); } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires registrationPermission(); @ requires
this.tuitionBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ assignable
this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == \old(tuitionBalance + enrollmentCredits *
costPerCredit); @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires !registrationPermission(); @
assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void regularEnrollment() { if
(registrationPermission()) { int cost = getEnrollmentCredits() * costPerCredit; tuitionBalance =
getTuition() + cost; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ old
int latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; @ requires registrationPermission(); @ requires
this.tuitionBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * latePerCredit <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == \old
(tuitionBalance) + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit + enrollmentCredits * latePerCredit; @ also @
public exceptional_behavior @ requires !registrationPermission(); @ assignable \nothing; @
signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void lateEnrollment() { if (registrationPermission()) {
int latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; int cost; cost = getEnrollmentCredits() * costPerCredit +
getEnrollmentCredits() * latePerCredit; tuitionBalance = getTuition() + cost; } else { throw new
IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires lateRegistration; @ old int
latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; @ requires registrationPermission(); @ requires
this.tuitionBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * latePerCredit <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == \old
(tuitionBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit) + enrollmentCredits * latePerCredit; @ also @
public normal_behavior @ requires !lateRegistration; @ requires registrationPermission(); @ requires
this.tuitionBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ assignable
this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == \old (tuitionBalance + enrollmentCredits *
costPerCredit); @*/ public void enroll() { if (getLateRegistrations()) lateEnrollment(); else
regularEnrollment(); } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires validPayment(payment); @ requires
Integer.MIN_VALUE <= tuitionBalance - payment; @ assignable tuitionBalance; @ ensures
tuitionBalance == \old(tuitionBalance - payment); @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires
!validPayment(payment); @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ private
/*@ spec_public @*/ void payTuitionWithDebitCard(int payment) { if (validPayment(payment)) {
tuitionBalance -= payment; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public
normal_behavior @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int _payment = payment - penalty; @
requires validPayment(_payment); @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= tuitionBalance - _payment; @
assignable tuitionBalance; @ ensures tuitionBalance == \old(tuitionBalance - _payment); @ also @
public exceptional_behavior @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int _payment = payment -
penalty; @ requires !validPayment(_payment); @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only
IllegalArgumentException; @*/ private /*@ spec_public @*/ void payTuitionWithCreditCard(int payment)
{ int penalty; penalty = (payment/100)*2; payment -= penalty; if (validPayment(payment)) { tuitionBalance
-= payment; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires
debit; @ requires validPayment(payment); @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= tuitionBalance - payment;
@ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures tuitionBalance == \old (tuitionBalance - payment); @ also
@ public normal_behavior @ requires !debit; @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int _payment =
payment - penalty; @ requires validPayment(_payment); @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <=
tuitionBalance - _payment; @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures tuitionBalance == \old
(tuitionBalance - _payment); @*/ public void pay(int payment, boolean debit) { if (debit) {
payTuitionWithDebitCard(payment); } else { payTuitionWithCreditCard(payment); } } /*@ public
exceptional_behavior @ requires !(initialBalance <= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit +
maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6)) @ || !(0 <= passedCredits && passedCredits <=
totalCredits) @ || !(0 <= semesterCredits && semesterCredits <= maxSemesterCredits); @ signals_only
IllegalArgumentException; @ also @ public normal_behavior @ assignable this.*; @ old int
latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int _payment =
payment - penalty; @ requires 0 < payment; @ requires passedCredits + semesterCredits <=
totalCredits; @ requires initialBalance <= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits *
((costPerCredit/100)*6); @ requires 0 <= semesterCredits && semesterCredits <= maxSemesterCredits;
@ requires 0 <= passedCredits && passedCredits <= totalCredits; @ requires lateRegistration ==>
initialBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * latePerCredit <=

```



```
Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires !lateRegistration ==> initialBalance + maxSemesterCredits *
costPerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires debit ==> Integer.MIN_VALUE <= initialBalance -
payment; @ requires !debit ==> Integer.MIN_VALUE <= initialBalance - _payment; @ {} @ requires
!lateRegistration && option && initialBalance <= 0; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == initialBalance +
enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit; @ ensures this.enrollmentCredits == semesterCredits; @ ensures
this.passedCredits == passedCredits; @ also @ requires lateRegistration && option && initialBalance <=
0; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == initialBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit +
enrollmentCredits * latePerCredit; @ ensures this.enrollmentCredits == semesterCredits; @ ensures
this.passedCredits == passedCredits; @ also @ requires debit && validPayment(payment) && !option;
@ ensures this.tuitionBalance == initialBalance - payment; @ also @ requires !debit &&
validPayment(_payment) && !option; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == initialBalance - _payment; @ {}
@*/ public void enrollmentProcess(int passedCredits, int semesterCredits, int payment, int initialBalance,
boolean lateRegistration, boolean debit, boolean option) { String familyName = getLastname(); String
firstName = getFirstname(); setTuitionBalance(initialBalance); setPassedCredits(passedCredits);
setEnrollmentCredits(semesterCredits); setLateRegistration(lateRegistration); if (option) { if
(registrationPermission()) { enroll(); } } else { pay(payment, debit); } }
```



NO. The setTuitionBalance method has a logic error. The check should be tuitionBalance > maxTuitionBalance to throw an IllegalArgumentException, but the provided code uses maxTuitionBalance <= tuitionBalance, which is incorrect and will throw the exception in the opposite scenario.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Time { private /*@ spec_public @*/ int second; private /*@ spec_public @*/ int minute; private /*@ spec_public @*/ int hour; /*@ public invariant 0 <= second && second < 60; public invariant 0 <= minute && minute < 60; public invariant 0 <= hour && hour < 24; @*/ // @ ensures this.hour == 23; // @ ensures this.minute == 59; // @ ensures this.second == 59; public /*@ pure @*/ Time() { hour = 23; minute = 59; second = 59; } // @ requires 0 <= h && h < 24; // @ requires 0 <= m && m < 60; // @ requires 0 <= s && s < 60; // @ ensures this.hour == h; // @ ensures this.minute == m; // @ ensures this.second == s; public /*@ pure @*/ Time(int h, int m, int s) { hour = h; minute = m; second = s; } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= s && s < 60; @ assignable this.second; @ ensures this.second == s; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires s < 0 || 60 <= s; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void setSecond(int s) { if (s <= 0 || 60 <= s) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } else { this.second = s; } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= m && m < 60; @ assignable this.minute; @ ensures this.minute == m; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires m < 0 || 60 <= m; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void setMinute(int m) { if (m < 0 || 60 <= m) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } else { this.minute = m; } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= h && h < 24; @ assignable this.hour; @ ensures this.hour == h; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires h < 0 || 24 <= h; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void setHour(int h) { if (h < 0 || 24 <= h) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } else { this.hour = h; } } // @ ensures this.equals(\result) && this != \result; public /*@ pure @*/ Time getTime() { Time t = new Time(this.hour, this.minute, this.second); return t; } // @ ensures \result == second; public /*@ pure

```

@*/ int getSecond() { return second; } // @ ensures \result == minute; public /* @ pure @*/ int getMinute()
{ return minute; } // @ ensures \result == hour; public /* @ pure @*/ int getHour() { return hour; } // @
ensures \result == hour*60*60 + minute*60 + second; public /* @ pure @*/ int convertToSeconds() {
return (hour*60*60 + minute*60 + second); } // @ requires convertToSeconds() == 0; // @ ensures
convertToSeconds() == 0; // @ also // @ requires convertToSeconds() != 0; // @ assignable second,
minute, hour; // @ ensures convertToSeconds() == \old(convertToSeconds() - 1); public void decr() { if
(isTimeZero()) return; else { second--; if(second < 0) { second = 59; minute--; if (minute < 0 ) { minute =
59; hour--; } } } // @ assignable second, minute, hour; // @ ensures convertToSeconds() == 0; public void
timer() { // @ ghost boolean flag = false; // @ maintaining !isTimeZero() && flag ==> convertToSeconds()
== \old (convertToSeconds() - 1); while (!isTimeZero()) { // @ set flag = true; // each time around this loop
should take 1 second, ideally decr(); } } // @ requires 0 <= h && h < 24; // @ requires 0 <= m && m < 60;
// @ requires 0 <= s && s < 60; // @ assignable this.second, this.minute, this.hour; // @ ensures
convertToSeconds() == 0; public void timer(int h, int m, int s) { setHour(h); setMinute(m); setSecond(s);
// @ assert hour == h && minute == m && second == s; timer(); } // @ ensures \result ==
(convertToSeconds() == 0); public /* @ pure */ boolean isTimeZero() { return (convertToSeconds() == 0);
} // @ assignable second, minute, hour; // @ ensures second == 0 && minute == 0 && hour == 0; public
void reset() { second = 0; minute = 0; hour = 0; } /* @ ensures \result == ((this.hour > start.hour) @ ||
(this.hour == start.hour && this.minute > start.minute) @ || (this.hour == start.hour && this.minute ==
start.minute && this.second > start.second)); @*/ public /* @ pure @*/ boolean later_than(Time start) { if
(this.hour != start.hour) { return this.hour > start.hour; } else if (this.minute != start.minute) { return
this.minute > start.minute; } else { return this.second > start.second; } } // @ also // @ requires !(o
instanceof Time); // @ ensures !\result; // @ also // @ requires (o instanceof Time); /* @ ensures \result
<==> (this.hour == ((Time) o).hour) @ && (this.minute == ((Time) o).minute) @ && (this.second ==
((Time) o).second); @*/ public boolean equals(Object o) { if (!(o instanceof Time)) { return false; } Time t
= (Time) o; return this.hour == t.hour && this.minute == t.minute && this.second == t.second; } // @
requires stop.later_than(start) || stop.equals(start); // @ old int _stop_minutes = (stop.second <
start.second) ? (stop.minute - 1): stop.minute; // @ old int diff_seconds = (stop.second < start.second) ?
(stop.second + 60 - start.second) : (stop.second - start.second); // @ old int _stop_hours =
(_stop_minutes < start.minute) ? (stop.hour - 1): stop.hour; // @ old int diff_minutes = (_stop_minutes <
start.minute) ? (_stop_minutes + 60 - start.minute) : (_stop_minutes - start.minute); // @ old int diff_hours
= _stop_hours - start.hour; // @ ensures diff_hours == \result.hour; // @ ensures diff_minutes ==
\result.minute; // @ ensures diff_seconds == \result.second; private /* @ spec_public pure @*/ Time
trustedDifference(Time start, Time stop) { Time diff = new Time(); int temp_second = stop.getSecond();
int temp_minute = stop.getMinute(); int temp_hour = stop.getHour(); if (temp_second <
start.getSecond()) { --temp_minute; temp_second += 60; } diff.second = temp_second -
start.getSecond(); if (temp_minute < start.getMinute()) { --temp_hour; temp_minute += 60; } diff.minute =
temp_minute - start.getMinute(); diff.hour = temp_hour - start.getHour(); return(diff); } // @ requires
stop.later_than(start); // @ ensures \result.equals(trustedDifference(start, stop)); // @ also // @ requires
start.later_than(stop) || stop.equals(start); // @ ensures \result.equals(trustedDifference(stop, start));
public /* @ pure @*/ Time difference(Time start, Time stop) { if (stop.later_than(start)) { return
trustedDifference(start, stop); } else { return trustedDifference(stop, start); } } /* @ requires 0 <= sel &&
sel < 5; @ { } @ requires 0 <= sel && sel <= 2; @ ensures \result.hour == 0 && \result.minute == 0 &&
\result.second == 0; @ ensures start == \old (start); @ ensures stop == \old (stop); @ also @ requires
sel == 3 && !start.equals(stop); @ ensures \result.hour == \old (hour) && \result.minute == \old (minute)
&& \result.second == \old (second); @ also @ requires sel == 3 && start.equals(stop); @ ensures
\result.hour == 0 && \result.minute == 0 && \result.second == 0; @ ensures start.hour == 0 &&
start.minute == 0 && start.second == 0; @ ensures stop == \old (stop); @ also @ requires sel == 4; @
ensures \result.equals(difference(start, stop)); @ ensures start == \old (start); @ ensures stop == \old
(stop); @ } @*/ public Time timeOptions(Time start, Time stop, int sel) { if (sel == 0) { reset(); } else if
(sel == 1) { timer(start.hour, start.minute, start.second); } else if (sel == 2) { timer(); } else if (sel == 3) { if
(start.equals(stop)) { start.reset(); return start.getTime(); } } else { return difference(start, stop); } return
getTime(); } }

```



NO.

Counterexample: In the setSecond method, the condition `if (s <= 0 || 60 <= s)` will incorrectly throw an exception for a valid input `s = 0`.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).
`public class TransposeMatrix { //@ requires 0 < matrix.length && matrix.length <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ requires 0 < matrix[0].length && matrix[0].length <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ requires (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < matrix.length; matrix[k] != null); //@ requires (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < matrix.length; matrix[0].length == matrix[k].length); //@ ensures (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < matrix[0].length; (\forall int j; 0 <= j && j < matrix.length; \result[i][j] == matrix[j][i])); //@ ensures matrix.length == \result[0].length; //@ ensures matrix[0].length == \result.length; public int[][] transposeMat(int[][] matrix) { int m, n, p, q; m = matrix.length; n = matrix[0].length; int[][] transpose = new int[n][m]; //@ assume \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < n; transpose[i] != null && transpose[i].length == m; //@ assume \forall int e; 0 <= e && e < n; \forall int k; 0 <= k && k < n; (e != k ==> transpose[e] != transpose[k]); //@ assume \forall int e; 0 <= e && e < n; (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < m; transpose[e] != matrix[k]); //@ maintaining 0 <= c && c <= n; //@ maintaining \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < c; (\forall int j; 0 <= j && j < m; transpose[i][j] == matrix[j][i]); //@ decreases n - c; for (int c = 0; c <= n; c++) { //@ maintaining 0 <= d && d <= m; //@ maintaining (\forall int j; 0 <= j && j < d; transpose[c][j] == matrix[j][c]); //@ decreases m - d; for (int d = 0; d < m; d++) { transpose[c][d] = matrix[d][c]; } } return transpose; } }`



NO.

Counterexample: Let's consider a simple 2x2 matrix:

```
css
int[][] matrix = {{1, 2}, {3, 4}};
```

The transposeMat method will throw an `ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException` because of the outer loop's condition `c <= n`. This should be `c < n` instead.