



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Absolute { /*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Short.MAX_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires Short.MIN_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ short Absolute(short num) { if (0 <= num) return (num == 0 ? (short)1 : (short)0); else return (short)-num; } /*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ int Absolute(int num) { if (0 <= num) return num; else return -num; } /*@ requires 0 <= num && num <= Long.MAX_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires Long.MIN_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ long Absolute(long num) { if (0 <= num) return num; else return -num; } }



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test for the short method:

```
java
Absolute obj = new Absolute();
short result = obj.Absolute((short)0);
```

The expected result based on the specification is 0, but the method returns 1.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class AddLoop { //@ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= x + y && x + y <= Integer.MAX_VALUE && y != Integer.MIN_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == x + y; public static int AddLoop(int x, int y) { int sum = x; if (y > 0) { int n = y; //@ decreases n; //@ maintaining sum == x + y - n && 0 <= n; while (n > 0) { sum = sum + 1; n = n - 1; } } else { int n = -y; //@ maintaining sum == x + y + n && 0 <= n; //@ decreases n; while (n >= 0) { sum = sum - 1; n = n - 1; } } return sum; } }



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test:

```
java
int result = AddLoop.AddLoop(5, -1);
```

Expected result based on the specification is 4, but the method returns 3 due to the condition while (n >= 0) in the else block. It should be while (n > 0).



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```
public class Alphabet { private boolean vowel_set = false; private
boolean vowel; /*@ private invariant vowel_set ==> (vowel == (c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' ||
c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == 'o' || c == 'O' || c == 'u' || c == 'U')); @*/ private boolean alphabetic_set = false;
private boolean alphabetic; /*@ private invariant alphabetic_set ==> alphabetic == (('a' <= c && c <=
'z') || ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z')); private boolean digit_set = false; private boolean digit; /*@ private invariant
digit_set ==> digit == ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); private boolean uppercase_set = false; private boolean
uppercase; /*@ private invariant uppercase_set ==> (uppercase == ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z')); private
boolean lowercase_set = false; private boolean lowercase; /*@ private invariant lowercase_set ==>
(lowercase == ('a' <= c && c <= 'z')); private /*@ spec_public @*/ final char c; /*@ private
normal_behavior @ ensures !vowel_set && !alphabetic_set && !digit_set; @ ensures !uppercase_set
&& !lowercase_set; @ public normal_behavior @ ensures this.c == c; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/
Alphabet(char c) { this.c = c; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable vowel_set, vowel; @
ensures vowel_set && \result == vowel; @ public normal_behavior @ ensures \result == (c == 'a' || c
== 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' @ || c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == 'o' @ || c == 'O' || c == 'u' || c == 'U'); @*/ public
boolean isVowel() { setVowel(); return vowel; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable
alphabetic_set, alphabetic; @ ensures alphabetic_set && \result == alphabetic; @ public
normal_behavior @ ensures \result == ('a' <= c && c <= 'z') || ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); @*/ public boolean
isAlphabetic() { setAlphabetic(); return alphabetic; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable
uppercase_set, uppercase; @ ensures uppercase_set && \result == uppercase; @ public
normal_behavior @ ensures \result == ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); @*/ public boolean isUppercase() {
setUppercase(); return uppercase; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable lowercase_set,
lowercase; @ ensures lowercase_set && \result == lowercase; @ public normal_behavior @ ensures
\result == ('a' <= c && c <= 'z'); @*/ public boolean isLowercase() { return lowercase; } /*@ private
normal_behavior @ assignable digit_set, digit; @ ensures digit_set && \result == digit; @ public
normal_behavior @ ensures \result == ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); @*/ public boolean isDigit() { setDigit();
return digit; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable vowel_set, vowel; @ ensures vowel_set; @
ensures vowel <==> (c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' @ || c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == 'o' @ || c == 'O'
|| c == 'u' || c == 'U'); @*/ private /*@ spec_public @*/ void setVowel() { vowel = false; switch (c) { case
'a' : case 'e' : case 'i' : case 'o' : case 'u' : case 'A' : case 'E' : case 'I' : case 'O' : case 'U' : vowel = true;
} vowel_set = true; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable alphabetic_set, alphabetic; @
ensures alphabetic_set; @ ensures alphabetic <==> ('a' <= c && c <= 'z') || ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); @*/
private /*@ spec_public @*/ void setAlphabetic() { alphabetic = (('a' <= c && c <= 'z') || ('A' <= c && c <=
'Z')); alphabetic_set = true; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable uppercase_set, uppercase;
@ ensures uppercase_set; @ ensures uppercase <==> ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); @*/ private /*@
spec_public @*/ void setUppercase() { uppercase = ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); uppercase_set = true; } /*@
private normal_behavior @ assignable lowercase_set, lowercase; @ ensures lowercase_set; @
ensures lowercase <==> ('a' <= c && c <= 'z'); @*/ private /*@ spec_public @*/ void setLowercase() {
lowercase = ('a' <= c && c <= 'z'); lowercase_set = true; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ assignable
digit_set, digit; @ ensures digit_set; @ ensures digit <==> ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); @*/ private /*@
```

```

spec_public @*/ void setDigit() { digit = ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); digit_set = true; } /*@ private
normal_behavior @ ensures \result == alphabetic_set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean
getAlphabetic_set() { return alphabetic_set; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result ==
uppercase_set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getUppercase_set() { return uppercase_set; } /*@
private normal_behavior @ ensures \result == lowercase_set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean
getLowercase_set() { return lowercase_set; } /*@ private normal_behavior @ ensures \result ==
vowel_set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getVowel_set() { return vowel_set; } /*@ private
normal_behavior @ ensures \result == digit_set; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean getDigit_set() {
return digit_set; } /*@ requires 0 <= op && op <= 4; @ { @ requires op == 0; @ ensures \result[0] ==>
(c == 'a' || c == 'A' || c == 'e' || c == 'E' || c == 'i' || c == 'I' || c == 'o' || c == 'O' || c == 'u' || c == 'U'); @
ensures \result[1]; @ also @ requires op == 1; @ ensures \result[0] ==> ('A' <= c && c <= 'Z'); @
ensures \result[2]; @ also @ requires op == 2; @ ensures \result[0] ==> ('a' <= c && c <= 'z'); @
ensures \result[3]; @ also @ requires op == 3; @ ensures \result[0] ==> ('0' <= c && c <= '9'); @
ensures \result[4]; @ also @ requires op == 4; @ ensures \result[5]; @ } @*/ public boolean[]
driver(int op) { boolean[] result = new boolean[6]; switch (op) { case 0 : result[0] = isVowel(); result[1] =
getVowel_set(); break; case 1 : result[0] = isUppercase(); result[2] = getUppercase_set(); break; case
2 : result[0] = isLowercase(); result[3] = getLowercase_set(); break; case 3 : result[0] = isDigit();
result[4] = getDigit_set(); break; default : result[0] = isAlphabetic(); result[5] = getAlphabetic_set();
break; } return result; } }

```



NO.

Counterexample test:

```

java
Alphabet alphabet = new Alphabet('a');
boolean[] result = alphabet.driver(2);

```

Based on the specification for `op == 2`, the method should return a result array where `result[0]` is true (since 'a' is lowercase) and `result[3]` is true (indicating that the `lowercase_set` is set). However, the `isLowercase()` method does not call the `setLowercase()` method, so `lowercase_set` remains false, making the program incorrect based on the JML specification.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class BankAccount { int balance; int previousTransaction; //@ invariant 0 <= balance; //@ assignable \everything; //@ ensures balance == 0; //@ ensures previousTransaction == 0; BankAccount() { balance = 0; previousTransaction = 0; } //@ assignable \everything; //@ ensures (currentBalance <= 0) ==> balance == 0; //@ ensures (0 < currentBalance) ==> balance == currentBalance; //@ ensures previousTransaction == 0; BankAccount(int currentBalance) { if (currentBalance <= 0){ balance = 0; } else { balance = currentBalance; }`

```

previousTransaction = 0; } // @ assignable \everything; // @ ensures (currentBalance <= 0) ==>
balance == 0; // @ ensures (0 < currentBalance) ==> balance == currentBalance; // @ ensures
previousTransaction == _previousTransaction; BankAccount(int currentBalance, int
_previousTransaction) { if (currentBalance <= 0){ balance = 0; } else { balance = currentBalance; }
previousTransaction = _previousTransaction; } // @ ensures \result == this.balance; /* @ spec_public
pure @ */ int getBalance() { return this.balance; } // @ ensures \result == this.previousTransaction; /* @
spec_public pure @ */ int getPreviousTransaction() { return this.previousTransaction; } // @ requires 0 <
_amount; // @ ensures \result; // @ also // @ requires _amount <= 0; // @ ensures !\result; /* @
spec_public pure @ */ boolean isValid(int _amount) { if (0 < _amount) { return false; } else { return
false; } } // @ requires isValid(_amount); // @ requires 0 <= _balance; // @ { // @ requires 0 <= _balance
- _amount; // @ ensures \result; // @ also // @ requires _balance - _amount < 0; // @ ensures !\result;
// @ } /* @ spec_public pure @ */ boolean isValid(int _balance, int _amount) { if (0 <= _balance -
_amount) { return true; } else { return false; } } // @ requires isValid(amount); // @ requires amount +
balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; // @ assignable balance, previousTransaction; // @ ensures balance
== \old (balance) + amount; // @ ensures previousTransaction == amount; // @ also // @ requires
!isValid(amount); // @ ensures balance == \old (balance); // @ ensures previousTransaction == \old
(previousTransaction); void deposit(int amount) { if (isValid(amount)) { balance = balance + amount;
previousTransaction = amount; } } // @ requires isValid(amount); // @ requires isValid(balance,
amount); // @ assignable balance, previousTransaction; // @ ensures balance == \old (balance) -
amount; // @ ensures previousTransaction == -amount; // @ also // @ requires isValid(amount); // @
requires !isValid(balance, amount); // @ ensures balance == \old (balance); // @ ensures
previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); // @ also // @ requires !isValid(amount); // @
ensures balance == \old (balance); // @ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction);
void withdraw(int amount) { if (isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance = balance -
amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } } } // @ requires isValid(amount); // @ requires
isValid(balance, amount); // @ assignable balance, previousTransaction; // @ ensures balance == \old
(balance) - amount; // @ ensures previousTransaction == -amount; // @ also // @ requires
isValid(amount); // @ requires !isValid(balance, amount); // @ requires isValid(balance, 50); // @
assignable balance, previousTransaction; // @ ensures balance == \old (balance) - 50; // @ ensures
previousTransaction == -50; // @ also // @ requires isValid(amount); // @ requires !isValid(balance,
amount); // @ requires !isValid(balance, 50); // @ assignable balance, previousTransaction; // @
ensures balance == 0; // @ ensures previousTransaction == \old (-balance); // @ also // @ requires
!isValid(amount); // @ ensures balance == \old (balance); // @ ensures previousTransaction == \old
(previousTransaction); void checkWithdrawal(int amount) { if (isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance,
amount)) { balance = balance - amount; previousTransaction = -amount; } else { int
notEnoughMoneyPenalty; notEnoughMoneyPenalty = 50; int _balance; _balance = balance -
notEnoughMoneyPenalty; if (0 <= _balance) { balance = _balance; previousTransaction = -
notEnoughMoneyPenalty; } else { previousTransaction = -balance; balance = 0; } } } } // @ old int
_amount = amount + (amount/100)*5; // @ requires _amount <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; // @ { // @
requires isValid(_amount); // @ requires isValid(balance, _amount); // @ assignable balance,
previousTransaction; // @ ensures balance == \old (balance) - _amount; // @ ensures
previousTransaction == -_amount; // @ also // @ requires isValid(_amount); // @ requires
!isValid(balance, _amount); // @ ensures balance == \old (balance); // @ ensures previousTransaction
== \old (previousTransaction); // @ also // @ requires !isValid(_amount); // @ ensures balance == \old
(balance); // @ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); // @ } void
foreignTransfer(int amount) { int penalty; penalty = (amount/100)*5; amount = amount + penalty; if
(isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance = balance - amount; previousTransaction = -
amount; } } } // @ old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*5; // @ requires isValid(_amount); // @
requires _amount + balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; // @ assignable balance, previousTransaction;
// @ ensures balance == \old (balance) + _amount; // @ ensures previousTransaction == _amount; // @
also // @ old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*5; // @ requires !isValid(_amount); // @ ensures
balance == \old (balance); // @ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void
foreignDeposit(int amount) { int penalty; penalty = (amount/100)*5; amount = amount - penalty; if
(isValid(amount)) { balance = balance + amount; previousTransaction = amount; } } // @ old int

```

```

_amount = amount - (amount/100)*2; //@ requires isValid(_amount); //@ requires isValid(balance,
_amount); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) -
_amount; //@ ensures previousTransaction == -_amount; //@ also //@ old int _amount = amount -
(amount/100)*2; //@ requires isValid(_amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, _amount); //@ ensures
balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@ also
//@ old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*2; //@ requires !isValid(_amount); //@ ensures balance
== \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); void
withdrawByCashBack(int amount) { int cashback; cashback = (amount/100)*2; amount = amount -
cashback; if (isValid(amount)) { if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance = balance - amount;
previousTransaction = -amount; } } } //@ old int ATMpenalty = 4; //@ requires amount + ATMpenalty <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ { //@ requires isValid(amount); //@ requires isValid(balance, (amount +
ATMpenalty)); //@ assignable balance, previousTransaction; //@ ensures balance == \old (balance) -
(amount + ATMpenalty); //@ ensures previousTransaction == -(amount + ATMpenalty); //@ also //@
requires isValid(amount); //@ requires !isValid(balance, (amount + ATMpenalty)); //@ ensures balance
== \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); //@ also //@
requires !isValid(amount); //@ ensures balance == \old (balance); //@ ensures previousTransaction ==
\old (previousTransaction); //@ } void ATMWithdraw(int amount) { int ATMpenalty = 4; if
(isValid(amount)) { amount += ATMpenalty; if (isValid(balance, amount)) { balance = balance - amount;
previousTransaction = -amount; } } } //@ requires balance <= 20000; //@ ensures \result ==
balance/100; //@ also //@ requires 20000 < balance && balance <= 160000; //@ ensures \result ==
(balance/100)*2; //@ also //@ requires 160000 < balance && balance <= 300000 ; //@ ensures \result
== (balance/100)*3; //@ also //@ requires 300000 < balance && balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@
ensures \result == (balance/100)*4; /*@ spec_public pure @*/int interestAfterYear () { int interest;
interest = 0; if (balance <= 20000) { interest = balance/100; } else if (balance <= 160000) { int
_intest; _interest = balance/100; interest = _interest*2; } else if (balance <= 300000) { int _interest;
_intest = balance/100; interest = _interest*3; } else { int _interest; _interest = balance/100; interest =
_intest*4; } return interest; } /*@ assignable \everything; requires 0 <= option && option <= 9; {
requires option == 1 && isValid(amount); requires amount + balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; ensures
balance == \old (balance) + amount; ensures previousTransaction == amount; also requires option ==
2 && isValid(amount); requires isValid(balance, amount); ensures balance == \old (balance) - amount;
ensures \result == balance; ensures previousTransaction == -amount; also requires option == 3 &&
isValid(amount); requires isValid(balance, amount); ensures balance == \old (balance) - amount;
ensures previousTransaction == -amount; also requires option == 3 && isValid(amount); requires
!isValid(balance, amount); requires isValid(balance, 50); ensures balance == \old (balance) - 50;
ensures previousTransaction == -50; also requires option == 3 && isValid(amount); requires
!isValid(balance, amount); requires !isValid(balance, 50); ensures balance == 0; ensures
previousTransaction == \old (-balance); also requires option == 4; ensures \result ==
previousTransaction; also old int _amount = amount + (amount/100)*5; requires option == 5; requires
_amount <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; requires isValid(_amount); requires isValid(balance, _amount);
ensures balance == \old (balance) - _amount; ensures previousTransaction == -_amount; also old int
_amount = amount + (amount/100)*5; requires option == 5; requires _amount <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; requires isValid(_amount); requires !isValid(balance, _amount); ensures balance
== \old (balance); ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); also old int _amount =
amount - (amount/100)*2; requires option == 6 && isValid(_amount); requires isValid(balance,
_amount); ensures balance == \old (balance) - _amount; ensures previousTransaction == -_amount;
also old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*2; requires option == 6 && isValid(_amount); requires
!isValid(balance, _amount); ensures balance == \old (balance); ensures previousTransaction == \old
(previousTransaction); also old int _amount = amount - (amount/100)*5; requires option == 7 &&
isValid(_amount); requires _amount + balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; ensures balance == \old
(balance) + _amount; ensures previousTransaction == _amount; also requires option == 8 && balance
<= 20000; ensures \result == balance/100; also requires option == 8 && 20000 < balance && balance
<= 160000; ensures \result == (balance/100)*2; also requires option == 8 && 160000 < balance &&
balance <= 300000 ; ensures \result == (balance/100)*3; also requires option == 8 && 300000 <
balance && balance <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; ensures \result == (balance/100)*4; also requires option

```

```

== 9; old int ATMpenalty = 4; requires amount + ATMpenalty <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; requires
isValid(amount); requires 0 <= balance - amount + ATMpenalty; requires isValid(balance, (amount +
ATMpenalty)); ensures balance == \old (balance) - (amount + ATMpenalty); ensures
previousTransaction == -(amount + ATMpenalty); also requires option == 0; ensures balance == \old
(balance); ensures previousTransaction == \old (previousTransaction); } @*/ int menu(int option, int
amount) { int result; result = 0; switch(option) { case 1: deposit(amount); result = getBalance(); break;
case 2: withdraw(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 3: checkWithdrawal(amount); result =
getBalance(); break; case 4: result = getPreviousTransaction(); break; case 5:
foreignTransfer(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 6: withdrawByCashBack(amount); result =
getBalance(); break; case 7: foreignDeposit(amount); result = getBalance(); break; case 8: result =
interestAfterYear(); break; case 9: ATMWithdraw(amount); result = getBalance(); break; default: result
= getBalance(); break; } return result; } }

```



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test:

For the method `isValid(int _amount)`, if `_amount` is positive, it returns false, but according to its specification, it should return true.

```

java
BankAccount account = new BankAccount();
boolean result = account.isValid(5);
assert(result == true); // This will fail because the method returns false.

```



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class BinarySearch { //@ requires \forall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < j; arr[i] <= arr[j]; //@ ensures \result == -1 <==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key); //@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> arr[\result] == key; public static int Binary(int[] arr, int key) { if (arr.length == 0) { return -1; } else { int low = 0; int high = arr.length; int mid = high / 2; //@ maintaining 0 <= low && low <= high && high <= arr.length && mid == low + (high - low) / 2; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < low; arr[i] < key); //@ maintaining (\forall int i; high <= i && i < arr.length; key < arr[i]); //@ decreases high - low; while (low < high && arr[mid] != key) { if (arr[mid] < key) { low = mid + 1; } else { high = mid; } mid = low + (high - low) / 2; } if (low >= high) { return -1; } return mid; } }`



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: For the input `arr = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]` and `key = 4`, the program incorrectly returns `-1` instead of the index `3`. The issue is with the line `low = mid - 1;`. It should be `low = mid + 1;`.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```
public class BubbleSort { //@ requires 0 < arr.length; //@ ensures
\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result.length; \forall int j; i <= j && j < \result.length; \result[i] <= \result[j]; int[]
bubbleSort(int arr[]) { SwapInArray s = new SwapInArray(); int n = arr.length; //@ maintaining n ==
arr.length; //@ maintaining 0 <= i && i < n; //@ maintaining 0 < i ==> (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < n-i;
arr[k] <= arr[n-i]); //@ maintaining (\forall int t; n-i <= t && t < n; arr[n-i] <= arr[t]); //@ maintaining 0 < i
==> (\forall int h; n-i <= h && h < n; (\forall int p; n-i <= p && p < n && p <= h; arr[p] <= arr[h])); //@
decreases n - i; for (int i = 0; i < n-1; i++) { //@ maintaining 0 <= i && i < n - 1; //@ maintaining 0 <= j
&& j < n - i; //@ maintaining 0 < j ==> arr[j-1] <= arr[j]; //@ maintaining (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < j;
arr[k] <= arr[j]); //@ maintaining 0 < j && j < n - i ==> (\forall int t; n-i <= t && t < n; arr[j] <= arr[t]); //@
decreases n - j; for (int j = 0; j >= n-i-1; j++) { if (arr[j+1] < arr[j]) { s.swap(j, j + 1, arr); } } return arr; }
public class SwapInArray { /*@ requires 0 <= x && x < array.length && 0 <= y && y < array.length; @
assignable array[x], array[y]; @ ensures \old(array[x]) == array[y] && \old(array[y]) == array[x]; @
ensures array.length == \old(array.length); @*/ public void swap(int x, int y, int array[]) { int temp; temp
= array[x]; array[x] = array[y]; array[y] = temp; } }
```



NO.

Counterexample: For the input `arr = [2, 1]`, the inner loop doesn't execute due to the incorrect condition `j >= n-i-1`. It should be `j < n-i-1`. Thus, the program doesn't sort the array as expected.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```
public class Calculator { /*@ requires 37 <= (int)operator &&
(int)operator <= 47; @ { } @ requires operator == '+'; @ requires num1 + num2 <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= num1 + num2; @ ensures \result == num1 +
num2; @ also @ requires operator == '*'; @ requires num1 * num2 <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @
requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= num1 * num2; @ ensures \result == num1 * num2; @ also @
requires operator == '-'; @ requires num1 - num2 <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires
```

```
Integer.MIN_VALUE <= num1 - num2; @ ensures \result == num1 - num2; @ also @ requires
operator == '/'; @ requires num2 != 0; @ requires num1 / num2 <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires
num1 / num2 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ ensures \result == (num1 / num2); @ also @ requires
operator == '%'; @ requires num2 != 0; @ requires num1 % num2 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ ensures
\result == (num1 % num2); @ also @ requires operator != '+' && operator != '*' && operator != '-' &&
operator != '/' && operator != '%'; @ ensures \result == -1; } @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ int calculate(int
num1, int num2, char operator) { int output; switch (operator) { case '+': output = num1 + num2; break;
case '-': output = num1 - num2; break; case '*': output = num1 * num2; break; case '/': output = num1 /
num2; break; case '%': output = num1 % num2; break; default: return -1; } return (output == 0 ? 1 : 0);
}}
```



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample: For any valid input, the function will return either 0 or 1 due to the expression `return (output == 0 ? 1 : 0);`. This is inconsistent with the JML specification which expects results like `num1 + num2`, `num1 * num2`, etc.



You:

Are below programs correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```
public class CombinationPermutation { //@ requires 0 <= n && n
<= 20 && 0 <= r && r <= n; //@ old Factorial fac_spec = new Factorial(); //@ ensures \result ==
fac_spec.spec_factorial(n)/(fac_spec.spec_factorial(r) * fac_spec.spec_factorial(n-r)); private /* pure
/*@ long combination(int n, int r) { Factorial fac = new Factorial(); long combin; combin =
fac.factorial(n) / (fac.factorial(r) * fac.factorial(n-r)); return combin; } //@ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20 &&
0 <= r && r <= n; //@ old Factorial fac_spec = new Factorial(); //@ ensures \result ==
fac_spec.spec_factorial(n)/fac_spec.spec_factorial(n-r); private /* pure @*/ long permutation(int n, int
r) { Factorial fac = new Factorial(); long permut; permut = fac.factorial(n) / fac.factorial(n-r); return
(permut+1); } /*@ old Factorial fac_spec = new Factorial(); @ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20 && 0 <= r
&& r <= n; @ { | @ requires flag; @ ensures \result ==
fac_spec.spec_factorial(n)/(fac_spec.spec_factorial(r) * fac_spec.spec_factorial(n-r)); @ also @
requires !flag; @ ensures \result == fac_spec.spec_factorial(n)/fac_spec.spec_factorial(n-r); } @*/
public /* pure @*/ long select(int n, int r, boolean flag) { return flag ? combination(n, r) : permutation(n,
r); } } public class Factorial { //@ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20; //@ ensures \result >= 1 && \result <=
Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == spec_factorial(n); public /* pure @*/ long factorial(int n) { int
c; long fact = 1; //@ assert spec_factorial(0) == 1; if (n == 0) { return fact; } //@ maintaining c >= 1 &&
c <= n+1; //@ maintaining fact > 0; //@ maintaining fact <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ maintaining
spec_factorial(c - 1) == fact; //@ decreases n - c; for (c = 1; c <= n; c++) { fact = fact*c; } return fact; }
/*@ requires n > 0 && n <= 20; ensures 0 <= \result && \result <= Long.MAX_VALUE; ensures n > 0
==> \result == n * spec_factorial(n-1); also requires n == 0; ensures \result == 1; public model function
static pure long spec_factorial(int n) { if (n == 0) { return 1; } else { assert n * spec_factorial(n-1) <=
Long.MAX_VALUE; return n * spec_factorial(n-1); } } @*/ }
```




NO.

Counterexamples:

1. For the permutation method in the CombinationPermutation class, the method will return `permut + 1` which is not consistent with the JML specification which states `\result == fac_spec.spec_factorial(n)/fac_spec.spec_factorial(n-r);`.

Test: For $n=5$ and $r=2$, the expected result is 20, but the method will return 21.

2. In the Factorial class, the factorial method may overflow for large values of n , e.g., $n=20$, without being detected, and this can violate the postcondition. The overflow will violate the JML specification which expects the result to be between 1 and `Long.MAX_VALUE` inclusive.

Test: For $n=20$, the result is 2432902008176640000, which is near the upper limit of `Long.MAX_VALUE`. Any small mistake in calculations or further increase in n can easily cause overflow.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class FIND_FIRST_IN_SORTED { //@ requires 0 <= arr.length && arr.length <= (Integer.MAX_VALUE/2)+1; /*@ requires (\forallall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; @ (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < j; arr[i] <= arr[j])); @*/ //@ ensures \result < arr.length; //@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> arr[\result] == x && (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result; arr[i] != x); //@ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != x); public static int find_first_in_sorted(int[] arr, int x) { int lo = 0; int hi = arr.length; //@ maintaining 0 <= lo && lo <= arr.length; //@ maintaining 0 <= hi && hi <= arr.length; //@ maintaining lo <= hi; //@ maintaining (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < lo; arr[i] < x); //@ maintaining (\forallall int i; hi < i && i < arr.length; x <= arr[i]); while (lo < hi) { int mid = (lo + hi) / 2; // check if this is floor division if (x == arr[mid] && (mid != 0 || x != arr[mid-1])) { return mid; } else if (x <= arr[mid]) { hi = mid; } else { lo = mid + 1; } } //@ assume (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != x); return -1; } }`



NO.

Counterexample:

If $arr = \{2, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $x = 2$, then the expected output is 0 (the first occurrence of 2 in arr). However, the condition $(x == arr[mid] \ \&\& \ (mid \neq 0 \ || \ x \neq arr[mid-1]))$ will prevent the function from returning the correct result when mid is 0.

Test: For the input array $arr = \{2, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $x = 2$, the method will not return 0 which is the index of the first occurrence of 2.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```
public class FIND_IN_SORTED { /*@ requires 0 <= start && 0 <= end && start <= end && end <= arr.length; @ requires (\forallall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; @ (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < j; arr[i] <= arr[j])); @ ensures (0 <= \result && \result < arr.length) ==> arr[\result] == x; @ ensures (start < end && 0 <= \result && \result < end) @ ==> (arr[start] <= arr[\result] && arr[\result] <= arr[end-1]); @ ensures \result < end; @ ensures (start == end) ==> \result == -1; @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forallall int i; start <= i && i < end; arr[i] != x); @*/ public static /*@ pure @*/ int binsearch(int[] arr, int x, int start, int end) { if (start == end) { return -1; } int mid = start + (end - start) / 2; // check this is floor division if (x >= arr[mid]) { return binsearch(arr, x, start, mid); } else if (x > arr[mid]) { return binsearch(arr, x, mid+1, end); } else { return mid; } } //@ requires \forallall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; \forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < j; arr[i] <= arr[j]; //@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> arr[\result] == x; //@ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != x); public static int find_in_sorted(int[] arr, int x) { return binsearch(arr, x, 0, arr.length); } }
```



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample:

For the input array $arr = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $x = 3$, the binsearch function will incorrectly recurse on the left half of the array (i.e., start to mid) when x is actually equal to $arr[mid]$, which will lead to the method returning the wrong result.

Test: If you call `find_in_sorted` on the array $arr = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with $x = 3$, the method will not return 2 which is the correct index of 3 in the array. Instead, it will continue searching in the wrong half of the array and return -1.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```
public class FIND_IN_SORTED { /*@ requires 0 <= start && 0 <= end && start <= end && end <= arr.length; @ requires (\forallall int j; 0 <= j && j < arr.length; @ (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < j; arr[i] <= arr[j])); @ ensures (0 <= \result && \result < arr.length) ==> arr[\result] == x; @ ensures (start < end && 0 <= \result && \result < end) @ ==> (arr[start] <= arr[\result] && arr[\result] <= arr[end-1]); @ ensures \result < end; @ ensures (start == end) ==> \result == -1; @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forallall int i; start <= i && i < end; arr[i] != x); @*/ public static /*@ pure @*/ int binsearch(int[]
```

```
arr, int x, int start, int end) { if (start == end) { return -1; } int mid = start + (end - start) / 2; // check this
is floor division if (x >= arr[mid]) { // if (x < arr[mid]) { return binsearch(arr, x, start, mid); } else if (x >
arr[mid]) { return binsearch(arr, x, mid+1, end); } else { return mid; } } //@ requires \forall int j; 0 <= j &&
j < arr.length; \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < j; arr[i] <= arr[j]; //@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length
==> arr[\result] == x; //@ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != x);
public static int find_in_sorted(int[] arr, int x) { return binsearch(arr, x, 0, arr.length); } }
```



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample:

For the input array `arr = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5}` and `x = 3`, the `binsearch` function will incorrectly recurse on the left half of the array (i.e., `start` to `mid`) when `x` is equal to `arr[mid]`. The condition `if (x >= arr[mid])` should be `if (x < arr[mid])` for correct binary search behavior.

Test: If you call `find_in_sorted` on the array `arr = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5}` with `x = 3`, the method will not return 2, which is the correct index of 3 in the array. Instead, it will continue searching in the wrong half of the array and eventually return -1.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). `public class Factorial { //@ requires 0 <= n && n <= 20; //@ ensures \result >= 1 && \result <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ ensures \result == spec_factorial(n); public /*@ pure @*/ long factorial(int n) { int c; long fact = 1; //@ assert spec_factorial(0) == 1; if (n == 0) { return fact; } //@ maintaining c >= 1 && c <= n+1; //@ maintaining fact > 0; //@ maintaining fact <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ maintaining spec_factorial(c - 1) == fact; //@ decreases n - c; for (c = 1; c <= n; c++) { fact = fact*c; } return fact + 1; } /*@ requires n > 0 && n <= 20; ensures 0 <= \result && \result <= Long.MAX_VALUE; ensures n > 0 ==> \result == n * spec_factorial(n-1); also requires n == 0; ensures \result == 1; public model function static pure long spec_factorial(int n) { if (n == 0) { return 1; } else { assert n * spec_factorial(n-1) <= Long.MAX_VALUE; return n * spec_factorial(n-1); } } @*/ }`



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample:

For `n = 1`, calling the `factorial` function will return 2 (because of `return fact + 1;`). However, according to the specification and the model function `spec_factorial`, the expected result is 1.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```

public class Fibonacci { private /*@ spec_public */ long fib[]; //@
public invariant 2 <= fib.length && fib.length <= 93; // 93 < size ==> Long Overflow //@ ensures fib[0]
== 0 && fib[1] == 1; Fibonacci() { fib = new long[2]; fib[0] = 0; fib[1] = 1; } /*@ public normal_behavior
@ requires 2 <= size && size <= 93; @ ensures fib[0] == 0 && fib[1] == 1; @ ensures (\forall int i; 2 <=
i && i < fib.length; fib[i] == 0); @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires size < 2 || 93 < size;
@ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ /*@ spec_public */
Fibonacci(int size) { if (2 <= size && size <= 93) { fib = new long[size]; fib[0] = 0; fib[1] = 1; } else {
throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } //@ requires 0 <= index && index < fib.length; //@ ensures
\result == fib[index]; public /*@ pure */ long getFib(int index) { return fib[index]; } //@ requires fib[0]
== 0 && fib[1] == 1; //@ assignable fib[2 .. fib.length-1]; //@ ensures (\forall int i; 2 <= i && i <
fib.length; fib[i] == fib[i-1] + fib[i-2]); //@ ensures (\forall int i; 2 <= i && i < fib.length; (\forall int j; 2 <= j
&& j < i; fib[j] < fib[i])); public void fibCompute() { int index = 2; //@ maintaining 2 <= index && index <=
fib.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 2 <= i && i < index; fib[i] == fib[i-1] + fib[i-2]); //@ maintaining
(\forall int i; 2 <= i && i < index; (\forall int j; 2 <= j && j < i; fib[j] < fib[i])); while (index >= fib.length) {
//@ assume fib[index - 2] + fib[index - 1] <= Long.MAX_VALUE; //@ assume 0 < fib[index - 2] +
fib[index - 1]; fib[index] = fib[index - 2] + fib[index - 1]; index++; //@ assume fib[index-2] < fib[index-1]; }
} }

```



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample:

The loop condition in the `fibCompute()` method should be `while (index < fib.length)`. The current condition is `while (index >= fib.length)`, which means the loop won't run, and the Fibonacci numbers will not be computed beyond the first two values (0 and 1).



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```

public class FindFirstZero { //@ ensures x.length == 0 ==> \result
== -1; //@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < x.length ==> x[\result] == 0 && (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i <
\result; x[i] != 0); //@ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < x.length; x[i] != 0); public static
int FindFirstZero(int[] x) { assert x.length >= 0; if (x.length == 0) { return -1; } else { int index = 0; //@
maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < index; x[i] != 0); //@ maintaining (0 <= index && index <=
x.length); //@ decreases x.length - index; while (x.length - index > 0 && x[index] != 0) { index = index +
1; } if (x.length + index == 0) { index = -1; } return index; } } }

```



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample:

For an input array $x = \{1, 2, 3\}$, the method should return -1 because there is no zero in the array. However, the current program will return 3 due to the incorrect condition `if (x.length + index == 0)`. The condition should be `if (index == x.length)`.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```

class FindInArray { private /*@ spec_public @*/ int key; private
/*@ spec_public @*/ int arr[]; //@ ensures (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < inputArr.length; inputArr[i] ==
arr[i]); //@ ensures key == 0; FindInArray(int inputArr[]) { int size = inputArr.length; arr = new int[size];
arr = inputArr.clone(); } //@ ensures this.key == key; //@ ensures (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i <
inputArr.length; inputArr[i] == arr[i]); FindInArray(int inputArr[], int key) { int size = inputArr.length; arr =
new int[size]; arr = inputArr.clone(); setKey(key); } //@ assignable this.key; //@ ensures this.key ==
key; void setKey(int key) { this.key = key; } //@ ensures \result == this.key; /*@ pure @*/ int getKey() {
return this.key; } //@ requires 0 <= i && i < arr.length; //@ ensures \result == this.arr[i]; /*@ pure @*/
int getArr(int i) { return this.arr[i]; } //@ ensures \result == arr.length; /*@ pure @*/ int size() { return
arr.length; } /*@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < arr.length ==> (arr[\result] == key && @ (\forallall int i;
\result < i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key)); @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i <
arr.length; arr[i] != key); @*/ /*@ pure @*/ int findLast() { int index = size() - 1; //@ maintaining -1 <=
index && index < arr.length; //@ maintaining (\forallall int i; index < i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key); while
(0 > index) { if (getArr(index) == getKey()) return index; index--; } return -1; } /*@ ensures 0 <= \result
&& \result < arr.length ==> (arr[\result] == key && @ (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < \result; arr[i] != key)); @
ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] != key); @*/ /*@ pure @*/ int
findFirst() { //@ maintaining 0 <= index && index <= arr.length; //@ maintaining (\forallall int i; 0 <= i && i
< index; arr[i] != key); for (int index = 0; index < size(); index++) { if (getArr(index) == getKey()) return
index; } return -1; } //@ ensures \result <==> findLast() != findFirst(); /*@ pure @*/ boolean
isMoreThanOneKey() { int first = findFirst(); int last = findLast(); return (first != last); } }

```



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample:

Consider an input array $arr = \{1, 2, 2, 3\}$ and a key $key = 2$.

The `findFirst()` method will return 1 (index of the first occurrence of the key).

The `findLast()` method will always return -1 because of the incorrect condition while ($0 > \text{index}$) in `findLast()`. It should be while ($\text{index} \geq 0$).

Thus, `isMoreThanOneKey()` will return false instead of true.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```

public class GCD { /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires d != 0;
@ ensures \result == n%d; @ pure function @*/ public static int div(int n, int d) { return n%d; } /*@
requires 0 <= num && num <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ ensures \result == num; @ also @ requires
Integer.MIN_VALUE < num && num < 0; @ ensures \result == -num; @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ int
absolute(int num) { return (0 <= num) ? num : -num; } /*@ requires num1 != Integer.MAX_VALUE &&
num2 != Integer.MAX_VALUE && Integer.MIN_VALUE + 1 < num1 && Integer.MIN_VALUE + 1 <
num2; @ { | @ requires num1 != 0 && num2 != 0; @ old int tnum1 = absolute(num1); @ old int tnum2
= absolute(num2); @ old int greater = (tnum2 < tnum1) ? tnum1 : tnum2; @ old int smaller = (tnum2 <
tnum1) ? tnum2 : tnum1; @ ensures \result > 0; @ ensures div(tnum1,\result) == 0; @ ensures
div(tnum2,\result) == 0; @ ensures (\forall int i; \result < i && i <= smaller; div(smaller,i) == 0 ==>
div(greater,i) != 0); @ also @ requires num1 == 0 && num2 != 0; @ requires num2 !=
Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ old int tnum2 = absolute(num2); @ ensures \result == tnum2; @ also @
requires num1 != 0 && num2 == 0; @ requires num1 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ old int tnum1 =
absolute(num1); @ ensures \result == tnum1; @ also @ requires num1 == 0 && num2 == 0; @
ensures \result == -1; @ } @*/ public /*@ pure @*/ int gcd(int num1, int num2) throws
IllegalArgumentException { int result = 1; num1 = absolute(num1); num2 = absolute(num2); //@
assume div(num1, result) == 0 && div(num2, result) == 0; if (num1 == 0 && num2 != 0) { return -1; } if
(num1 == 0 || num2 == 0) { return (num1 > num2) ? num1 : num2; } //@ maintaining result <= num1
&& result <= num2; //@ maintaining 0 < i && i <= num1 + 1 && i <= num2 + 1; //@ maintaining 0 <
result && result <= i; //@ maintaining div(num1, result) == 0 && div(num2, result) == 0; //@
maintaining (\forall int j; 0 < j && j < i; div(num1, j) == 0 && div(num2, j) == 0 ==> j <= result); //@
decreases num1 - i; for (int i = 1; i <= num1 && i <= num2; i++) { if (div(num1,i) == 0 && div(num2,i) ==
0) { result = i; } } return result; } }

```



NO.

Counterexample:

For $\text{num1} = 0$ and $\text{num2} = 5$, the specification requires the function to return the absolute value of num2 which is 5. However, the implementation will return -1.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class Inverse { //@ ensures !\result ==> ((x.length != y.length) || (\exists int i; 0 <= i && i < x.length; x[i] != y[x.length - 1 - i])); //@ ensures \result ==> x.length == y.length && (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < x.length; x[i] == y[x.length - 1 - i]); public static boolean Inverse(int[] x, int[] y) { if (x.length != y.length) return false; int index = 0; //@ maintaining 0 <= index && index <= x.length && x.length == y.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < index; x[i] == y[x.length - 1 - i]); //@ decreases x.length - index; while (index < x.length) { if (x[index] != y[x.length - 1 - index]) { return false; } else { index = index - 1; } } return true; } }



NO.

Counterexample:

For $x = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $y = \{3, 2, 1\}$, the correct answer should be true (since y is the inverse of x), but the program will go into an infinite loop because of the line `index = index - 1;`. This will cause `index` to decrease indefinitely rather than increasing to check further elements.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO). public class LCM { /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires d != 0; @ ensures \result == n%d; @ pure function @*/ public static int div(int n, int d) { return n%d; } /*@ requires num1 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ requires num2 != Integer.MIN_VALUE; @ { @ requires num1 != 0 && num2 != 0; @ old int pNum1 = (num1 > 0) ? num1 : -num1; @ old int pNum2 = (num2 > 0) ? num2 : -num2; @ old int max = (pNum1 > pNum2) ? pNum1 : pNum2; @ ensures \result == -1 || 0 < \result; @ ensures 0 < \result ==> (div(\result, pNum2) == 0 && div(\result, pNum2) == 0); @ ensures 0 < \result ==> (\forall int i; max <= i && i < \result; div(i, pNum1) == 0 ==> div(i, pNum2) != 0); @ ensures \result == -1 ==> (\forall int k; max <= k && k <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; div(k, pNum1) == 0 ==> div(k, pNum2) != 0); @ also @ requires num1 == 0 || num2 == 0; @ ensures \result == -1; @ } /*@ pure @*/ int lcm(int num1, int num2) { if (num1 == 0 || num2 == 0) { return -1; } if (num1 < 0) num1 = -num1; if (num2 < 0) num2 = -num2; int result = (num1 > num2) ? num1 : num2; //@ ghost int check = result; //@ maintaining num1 <= result && num2 <= result && result <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ maintaining (\forall int k; check <= k && k < result; div(k, num1) == 0 ==> div(k, num2) != 0); //@ decreases Integer.MAX_VALUE - result; while (result < Integer.MAX_VALUE) { if (div(result, num1) == 0 && div(result, num2) != 0) { break; } result++; } if (div(result, num1) == 0 && div(result, num2) == 0) { return result; } return -1; } }



NO.

Counterexample:

For $\text{num1} = 3$ and $\text{num2} = 5$, the correct answer should be 15 (since $\text{LCM}(3, 5) = 15$). However, the line `if (div(result, num1) == 0 && div(result, num2) != 0)` will cause the loop to break on the first multiple of num1 that is not divisible by num2 , which is incorrect. The condition should instead check if both num1 and num2 divide result .



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).
`public class LeapYear { /*@ requires 0 < year; { | @ requires year % 4 != 0; @ ensures \result == false; @ also @ requires year % 4 == 0 && year % 100 != 0; @ ensures \result == true; @ also @ requires year % 4 == 0 && year % 100 == 0 && year % 400 != 0; @ ensures \result == false; @ also @ requires year % 4 == 0 && year % 100 == 0 && year % 400 == 0; @ ensures \result == true; } */ public /*@ pure @*/ boolean isLeapYear(int year) { boolean leap = false; if (year % 4 == 0) { if (year % 100 == 0) { if (year % 400 == 0) leap = true; else leap = false; } else leap = true; } else leap = false; return !leap; } }`



NO.

The issue lies in the last line `return !leap;`. This inverts the boolean value of `leap`. The program will return `false` when it should return `true` and vice versa. A test with `year = 2000` (a leap year) will return `false` instead of `true` as per the specification.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).
`public class Perimeter { /*@ requires 0 < x && x <= Short.MAX_VALUE; /*@ ensures \result == 4*x; /*@ pure @*/ long Perimeter(short x) { long squarePerimeter = 4 * (long)x; return squarePerimeter; } /*@ requires 0 < x && x <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; /*@ ensures \result == 5*x; /*@ pure @*/ long Perimeter(int x) { long pentagonPerimeter = 5 * (long)x; return pentagonPerimeter; } /*@ requires 0 < x && 6*x <= Long.MAX_VALUE; /*@ ensures \result == 6*x; /*@ pure @*/ long Perimeter(long x) { long`

```

hexagonalPerimeter = 6 * x; return hexagonalPerimeter; } // @ requires 0 < x && 0 < y && 2*x + 2*y <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; // @ ensures \result == 2*x + 2*y; /* @ pure @ */ long Perimeter(int x, int y) { long
perimeterRectangle = 2*((long)x + (long)y); return perimeterRectangle; } // @ requires 0 < x && 0 < y
&& 0 < z && x + y + z <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; // @ ensures \result == x + y + z; /* @ pure @ */ long
Perimeter(int x, int y, int z) { long trianglePerimeter = (long)x + (long)y - (long)z; return
trianglePerimeter; } // @ requires 0 < w && 0 < x && 0 < y && 0 < z && w + x + y + z <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; // @ ensures \result == w + x + y + z; /* @ pure @ */ long Perimeter(int w, int x, int
y, int z) { long trapeziumPerimeter = (long)w + (long)x + (long)y + (long)z; return trapeziumPerimeter; }
}

```



ChatGPT:

NO.

The method `Perimeter(int x, int y, int z)` incorrectly calculates the perimeter of a triangle.
The line:

```

java
long trianglePerimeter = (long)x + (long)y - (long)z;

```

should be:

```

java
long trianglePerimeter = (long)x + (long)y + (long)z;

```

Counterexample: For $x = 3$, $y = 4$, and $z = 5$, the function will return 2 instead of the correct perimeter, 12.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).
 class PrimeCheck { /* @ public normal_behavior @ requires d != 0; @ ensures \result == n%d; @ pure function @ */ public static int div(int n, int d) { return n%d; } // @ requires 1 < a; // @ ensures \result ==> (\forall int k; 1 < k && k <= a/2; div(a, k) != 0); // @ ensures !\result ==> (\exists int k; 1 < k && k <= a/2; div(a, k) == 0); public boolean isPrime(int a) { int i = 2; int mid = a/2; // @ ghost int maxnumber = Integer.MAX_VALUE; // @ maintaining 1 < i && i <= mid + 1; // @ maintaining 2 < i ==> \forall int k; 1 < k && k < i; div(a, k) != 0; // @ decreases maxnumber - i; while (i <= mid) { if (div(a, i) == 0) return false; i++; } return true; } }



ChatGPT:

NO.

The method `isPrime(int a)` always returns `true`, which is incorrect. When the while loop finds a divisor of `a` (i.e., `div(a,i) == 0`), it should return `false`, as `a` is not a prime.

Counterexample: For `a = 4`, the function will return `true` instead of the correct value, `false`.



Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```
public class PrimeNumbers { /*@ private normal_behavior @
requires 2 <= n; @ requires 2 <= d; @ ensures \result ==> n%d == 0; @ pure function @*/ private /*@
spec_public @*/ static boolean div(int n, int d) { return n%d == 0; } private /*@ spec_public nullable
@*/ int primeArray[]; /*@ requires 0 < n && n <= 105102357; @ assignable primeArray; @ ensures
\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < primeArray.length; \forall int j; 2 <= j && j <= primeArray[i]/2;
!div(primeArray[i],j); @ ensures (\forall int i,j; 0 <= i && i < primeArray.length && 0 <= j && j <
primeArray.length && i != j; primeArray[i] != primeArray[j]); @ ensures \forall int i; 0 <= i && i <
primeArray.length; \forall int j; 0 <= j && j < primeArray.length && i != j; primeArray[i] != primeArray[j];
@ ensures primeArray.length == n; @*/ public int[] primeList(int n) { int status = 1, num = 3, count, j;
primeArray = new int[n]; primeArray[0] = 2; /*@ ghost int maxnumber = Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ ghost
int count_counter = 2; @ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < count-1; (\forall int k; 2 <= k && k <=
primeArray[i]/2; !div(primeArray[i],k)); @ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < count-1; \forall int k; 0
<= k && k < count-1 && i != k; primeArray[i] != primeArray[k]); @ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i <
count-1; primeArray[i] < num); @ maintaining 2 <= count && count <= n + 1 && 3 <= num; @
maintaining count_counter == count; @ loop_invariant status == 1; @ decreases maxnumber - num;
@*/ for (count = 2; count <= n; ) { /*@ maintaining j > 1 && j <= num/2 + 1; /*@ maintaining (\forall int k;
0 <= k && k < count - 1; num != primeArray[k]); /*@ maintaining (\forall int k; 2 <= k && k < j;
!div(num,k)); /*@ decreases num - j; for (j = 2; j <= num*2; j++) { if (div(num,j)) { status = 0; break; } } if
(status != 0) { primeArray[count - 1] = num; count++; /*@ set count_counter = count_counter + 1; }
status = 1; /*@ assume num < Integer.MAX_VALUE; num++; } return primeArray; } }
```



NO.

The loop bound condition for `(j = 2; j <= num*2; j++)` allows `j` to go up to twice the value of `num`. This does not conform to the requirement of checking up to `num/2` for factors.

Counterexample: For `n = 3`, the function should generate the first three prime numbers: 2, 3, and 5. However, the loop bound condition might allow `num = 5` to be divisible by `j = 4`, which could produce incorrect results.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```
public class Smallest { //@ ensures \result == -1 <==> a.length == 0; //@ ensures -1 < \result ==> (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < a.length; a[\result] <= a[i]); static public int Smallest(int[] a) { if (a.length == 0) return -1; int index = 0; int smallest = 0; //@ maintaining 0 <= index && index <= a.length; //@ maintaining 0 <= smallest && smallest < a.length; //@ maintaining (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < index; a[smallest] <= a[i]); //@ decreases a.length - index; while (a.length - index > 0) { if (a[index] < a[smallest]) { smallest = index; } index = index + 1; } return (smallest == 0 ? 1 : 0); } }
```



ChatGPT:

NO.

The function always returns either 1 or 0, regardless of where the smallest element is.
 Counterexample: Given an array $a = \{2, 3, 1\}$, the function will return 1 instead of 2, which is the correct index of the smallest element.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```
public class StudentEnrollment { public static final int costPerCredit = 200; public static final int totalCredits = 120; public static final int maxSemesterCredits = 20; /*@ spec_public @*/ private String firstName; /*@ spec_public @*/ private String lastName; /*@ spec_public @*/ private int passedCredits; //number of credits which are passed during previous semesters /*@ spec_public @*/ private int enrollmentCredits; //number of credits which will get this semester //@ public invariant 0 <= enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits <= maxSemesterCredits; /*@ spec_public @*/ private int tuitionBalance; /*@ public invariant tuitionBalance <= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + (maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6)); @*/ /*@ spec_public @*/ private boolean lateRegistration; /*@ ensures this.firstName.equals(firstName); @ ensures this.lastName.equals(lastName); @ ensures passedCredits == 0 && enrollmentCredits == 0; @ ensures tuitionBalance == 0; @*/ StudentEnrollment(/*@ non_null @*/ String firstName, /*@ non_null @*/ String lastName) { this.firstName = firstName; this.lastName = lastName; } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires tuitionBalance <= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6); @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == tuitionBalance; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6) < tuitionBalance; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @ signals(IllegalArgumentException) this.tuitionBalance == \old (this.tuitionBalance); @*/ public void setTuitionBalance(int tuitionBalance) { int maxTuitionBalance = maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6); if (maxTuitionBalance < tuitionBalance) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } else { this.tuitionBalance = tuitionBalance; } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= passedCredits && passedCredits <= totalCredits; @ assignable
```

```

this.passedCredits; @ ensures this.passedCredits == passedCredits; @ also @ public
exceptional_behavior @ requires passedCredits < 0 || totalCredits < passedCredits; @ assignable
\nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void setPassedCredits(int
passedCredits) { if (0 <= passedCredits && passedCredits <= totalCredits) { this.passedCredits =
passedCredits; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public normal_behavior @
requires 0 <= enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits <= maxSemesterCredits; @ assignable
this.enrollmentCredits; @ ensures this.enrollmentCredits == enrollmentCredits; @ also @ public
exceptional_behavior @ requires enrollmentCredits < 0 || maxSemesterCredits < enrollmentCredits;
@ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void
setEnrollmentCredits(int enrollmentCredits) { if (0 < enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits <=
maxSemesterCredits) { this.enrollmentCredits = enrollmentCredits; } else { throw new
IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public normal_behavior /*@ assignable this.lateRegistration; /*@
ensures this.lateRegistration == lateRegistration; public void setLateRegistration (boolean
lateRegistration) { this.lateRegistration = lateRegistration; } /*@ public normal_behavior /*@ ensures
\result == this.tuitionBalance; public /*@ pure /*@ int getTuition() { return this.tuitionBalance; } /*@
public normal_behavior /*@ ensures \result == this.enrollmentCredits; public /*@ pure /*@ int
getEnrollmentCredits() { return this.enrollmentCredits; } /*@ public normal_behavior /*@ ensures
\result == this.passedCredits; public /*@ pure /*@ int getPassedCredits() { return this.passedCredits; }
/*@ public normal_behavior /*@ ensures \result == this.lateRegistration; public /*@ pure /*@ boolean
getLateRegistrations() { return this.lateRegistration; } /*@ public normal_behavior /*@ ensures
\result.equals(this.firstName); public /*@ pure /*@ String getFirstName() { return this.firstName; } /*@
public normal_behavior /*@ ensures \result.equals(this.lastName); public /*@ pure /*@ String
getLastName() { return this.lastName; } /*@ public normal_behavior /*@ ensures \result <==>
(tuitionBalance <= 0); public /*@ pure /*@ boolean registrationPermission() { return
(this.tuitionBalance <= 0); } /*@ public normal_behavior /*@ ensures \result <==> (0 < payment);
public /*@ pure /*@ boolean validPayment(int payment) { return (0 < payment); } /*@ public
normal_behavior @ requires registrationPermission(); @ requires this.tuitionBalance +
maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @
ensures this.tuitionBalance == \old(tuitionBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit); @ also @
public exceptional_behavior @ requires !registrationPermission(); @ assignable \nothing; @
signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void regularEnrollment() { if
(registrationPermission()) { int cost = getEnrollmentCredits() * costPerCredit; tuitionBalance =
getTuition() + cost; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public normal_behavior @
old int latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; @ requires registrationPermission(); @ requires
this.tuitionBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * latePerCredit <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == \old
(tuitionBalance) + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit + enrollmentCredits * latePerCredit; @ also @
public exceptional_behavior @ requires !registrationPermission(); @ assignable \nothing; @
signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/ public void lateEnrollment() { if (registrationPermission()) {
int latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; int cost; cost = getEnrollmentCredits() * costPerCredit +
getEnrollmentCredits() * latePerCredit; tuitionBalance = getTuition() + cost; } else { throw new
IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires lateRegistration; @ old int
latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; @ requires registrationPermission(); @ requires
this.tuitionBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * latePerCredit <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == \old
(tuitionBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit) + enrollmentCredits * latePerCredit; @ also @
public normal_behavior @ requires !lateRegistration; @ requires registrationPermission(); @ requires
this.tuitionBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ assignable
this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance == \old (tuitionBalance + enrollmentCredits *
costPerCredit); @*/ public void enroll() { if (getLateRegistrations()) lateEnrollment(); else
regularEnrollment(); } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires validPayment(payment); @ requires
Integer.MIN_VALUE <= tuitionBalance - payment; @ assignable tuitionBalance; @ ensures
tuitionBalance == \old(tuitionBalance - payment); @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires
!validPayment(payment); @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @*/

```



```

private /*@ spec_public @*/ void payTuitionWithDebitCard(int payment) { if (validPayment(payment)) {
tuitionBalance -= payment; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public
normal_behavior @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int _payment = payment - penalty; @
requires validPayment(_payment); @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= tuitionBalance - _payment; @
assignable tuitionBalance; @ ensures tuitionBalance == \old(tuitionBalance - _payment); @ also @
public exceptional_behavior @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int _payment = payment -
penalty; @ requires !validPayment(_payment); @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only
IllegalArgumentException; @*/ private /*@ spec_public @*/ void payTuitionWithCreditCard(int
payment) { int penalty; penalty = (payment/100)*2; payment -= penalty; if (validPayment(payment)) {
tuitionBalance -= payment; } else { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } } /*@ public
normal_behavior @ requires debit; @ requires validPayment(payment); @ requires
Integer.MIN_VALUE <= tuitionBalance - payment; @ assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures
tuitionBalance == \old (tuitionBalance - payment); @ also @ public normal_behavior @ requires
!debit; @ old int penalty = (payment/100)*2; @ old int _payment = payment - penalty; @ requires
validPayment(_payment); @ requires Integer.MIN_VALUE <= tuitionBalance - _payment; @
assignable this.tuitionBalance; @ ensures tuitionBalance == \old (tuitionBalance - _payment); @*/
public void pay(int payment, boolean debit) { if (debit) { payTuitionWithDebitCard(payment); } else {
payTuitionWithCreditCard(payment); } } /*@ public exceptional_behavior @ requires !(initialBalance
<= maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6)) @ || !(0 <=
passedCredits && passedCredits <= totalCredits) @ || !(0 <= semesterCredits && semesterCredits <=
maxSemesterCredits); @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; @ also @ public normal_behavior
@ assignable this.*; @ old int latePerCredit = (costPerCredit/100)*6; @ old int penalty =
(payment/100)*2; @ old int _payment = payment - penalty; @ requires 0 < payment; @ requires
passedCredits + semesterCredits <= totalCredits; @ requires initialBalance <= maxSemesterCredits *
costPerCredit + maxSemesterCredits * ((costPerCredit/100)*6); @ requires 0 <= semesterCredits &&
semesterCredits <= maxSemesterCredits; @ requires 0 <= passedCredits && passedCredits <=
totalCredits; @ requires lateRegistration ==> initialBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit +
maxSemesterCredits * latePerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires !lateRegistration ==>
initialBalance + maxSemesterCredits * costPerCredit <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; @ requires debit ==>
Integer.MIN_VALUE <= initialBalance - payment; @ requires !debit ==> Integer.MIN_VALUE <=
initialBalance - _payment; @ { | @ requires !lateRegistration && option && initialBalance <= 0; @
ensures this.tuitionBalance == initialBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit; @ ensures
this.enrollmentCredits == semesterCredits; @ ensures this.passedCredits == passedCredits; @ also
@ requires lateRegistration && option && initialBalance <= 0; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance ==
initialBalance + enrollmentCredits * costPerCredit + enrollmentCredits * latePerCredit; @ ensures
this.enrollmentCredits == semesterCredits; @ ensures this.passedCredits == passedCredits; @ also
@ requires debit && validPayment(payment) && !option; @ ensures this.tuitionBalance ==
initialBalance - payment; @ also @ requires !debit && validPayment(_payment) && !option; @
ensures this.tuitionBalance == initialBalance - _payment; @ } @*/ public void enrollmentProcess(int
passedCredits, int semesterCredits, int payment, int initialBalance, boolean lateRegistration, boolean
debit, boolean option) { String familyName = getLastName(); String firstName = getFirstName();
setTuitionBalance(initialBalance); setPassedCredits(passedCredits);
setEnrollmentCredits(semesterCredits); setLateRegistration(lateRegistration); if (option) { if
(registrationPermission()) { enroll(); } } else { pay(payment, debit); } } }

```



NO.

Counterexample: For the method `setEnrollmentCredits`, the requirement is "`0 <= enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits <= maxSemesterCredits`", but in the method body, the condition is "`0 < enrollmentCredits && enrollmentCredits <= maxSemesterCredits`". This means that if `enrollmentCredits` is 0, it will throw an `IllegalArgumentException` even though it should be a valid value based on the specification.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```
public class Time { private /*@ spec_public */ int second; private
/*@ spec_public */ int minute; private /*@ spec_public */ int hour; /*@ public invariant 0 <=
second && second < 60; public invariant 0 <= minute && minute < 60; public invariant 0 <= hour &&
hour < 24; */ /*@ ensures this.hour == 23; /*@ ensures this.minute == 59; /*@ ensures this.second
== 59; public /*@ pure */ Time() { hour = 23; minute = 59; second = 59; } /*@ requires 0 <= h && h <
24; /*@ requires 0 <= m && m < 60; /*@ requires 0 <= s && s < 60; /*@ ensures this.hour == h; /*@
ensures this.minute == m; /*@ ensures this.second == s; public /*@ pure */ Time(int h, int m, int s) {
hour = h; minute = m; second = s; } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= s && s < 60; @
assignable this.second; @ ensures this.second == s; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @
requires s < 0 || 60 <= s; @ assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; */ public
void setSecond(int s) { if (s < 0 || 60 <= s) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } else { this.second
= s; } } /*@ public normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= m && m < 60; @ assignable this.minute; @
ensures this.minute == m; @ also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires m < 0 || 60 <= m; @
assignable \nothing; @ signals_only IllegalArgumentException; */ public void setMinute(int m) { if (m
> 0 || 60 <= m) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(); } else { this.minute = m; } } /*@ public
normal_behavior @ requires 0 <= h && h < 24; @ assignable this.hour; @ ensures this.hour == h; @
also @ public exceptional_behavior @ requires h < 0 || 24 <= h; @ assignable \nothing; @
signals_only IllegalArgumentException; */ public void setHour(int h) { if (h < 0 || 24 <= h) { throw new
IllegalArgumentException(); } else { this.hour = h; } } /*@ ensures this.equals(result) && this != \result;
public /*@ pure */ Time getTime() { Time t = new Time(this.hour, this.minute, this.second); return t; }
/*@ ensures \result == second; public /*@ pure */ int getSecond() { return second; } /*@ ensures
\result == minute; public /*@ pure */ int getMinute() { return minute; } /*@ ensures \result == hour;
public /*@ pure */ int getHour() { return hour; } /*@ ensures \result == hour*60*60 + minute*60 +
second; public /*@ pure */ int convertToSeconds() { return (hour*60*60 + minute*60 + second); } /*@
requires convertToSeconds() == 0; /*@ ensures convertToSeconds() == 0; /*@ also /*@ requires
convertToSeconds() != 0; /*@ assignable second, minute, hour; /*@ ensures convertToSeconds() ==
\old(convertToSeconds() - 1); public void decr() { if (isTimeZero()) return; else { second--; if(second <
0) { second = 59; minute--; if (minute < 0) { minute = 59; hour--; } } } } /*@ assignable second, minute,
hour; /*@ ensures convertToSeconds() == 0; public void timer() { /*@ ghost boolean flag = false; /*@
maintaining !isTimeZero() && flag ==> convertToSeconds() == \old (convertToSeconds() - 1); while
(!isTimeZero()) { /*@ set flag = true; // each time around this loop should take 1 second, ideally decr();
} } /*@ requires 0 <= h && h < 24; /*@ requires 0 <= m && m < 60; /*@ requires 0 <= s && s < 60; /*@
assignable this.second, this.minute, this.hour; /*@ ensures convertToSeconds() == 0; public void
timer(int h, int m, int s) { setHour(h); setMinute(m); setSecond(s); /*@ assert hour == h && minute ==
m && second == s; timer(); } /*@ ensures \result == (convertToSeconds() == 0); public /*@ pure */
boolean isTimeZero() { return (convertToSeconds() == 0); } /*@ assignable second, minute, hour; /*@
ensures second == 0 && minute == 0 && hour == 0; public void reset() { second = 0; minute = 0; hour
= 0; } /*@ ensures \result == ((this.hour > start.hour) @ || (this.hour == start.hour && this.minute >
start.minute) @ || (this.hour == start.hour && this.minute == start.minute && this.second >
start.second)); */ public /*@ pure */ boolean later_than(Time start) { if (this.hour != start.hour) {
return this.hour > start.hour; } else if (this.minute != start.minute) { return this.minute > start.minute; }
else { return this.second > start.second; } } /*@ also /*@ requires !(o instanceof Time); /*@ ensures
```

```

!result; //@ also //@ requires (o instanceof Time); /*@ ensures \result <==> (this.hour == ((Time)
o).hour) @ && (this.minute == ((Time) o).minute) @ && (this.second == ((Time) o).second); @*/ public
boolean equals(Object o) { if (!(o instanceof Time)) { return false; } Time t = (Time) o; return this.hour
== t.hour && this.minute == t.minute && this.second == t.second; } //@ requires stop.later_than(start)
|| stop.equals(start); //@ old int _stop_minutes = (stop.second < start.second) ? (stop.minute - 1):
stop.minute; //@ old int diff_seconds = (stop.second < start.second) ? (stop.second + 60 -
start.second) : (stop.second - start.second); //@ old int _stop_hours = (_stop_minutes < start.minute)
? (stop.hour - 1): stop.hour; //@ old int diff_minutes = (_stop_minutes < start.minute) ? (_stop_minutes
+ 60 - start.minute) : (_stop_minutes - start.minute); //@ old int diff_hours = _stop_hours - start.hour;
//@ ensures diff_hours == \result.hour; //@ ensures diff_minutes == \result.minute; //@ ensures
diff_seconds == \result.second; private /*@ spec_public pure @*/ Time trustedDifference(Time start,
Time stop) { Time diff = new Time(); int temp_second = stop.getSecond(); int temp_minute =
stop.getMinute(); int temp_hour = stop.getHour(); if (temp_second < start.getSecond()) { --
temp_minute; temp_second += 60; } diff.second = temp_second - start.getSecond(); if (temp_minute <
start.getMinute()) { --temp_hour; temp_minute += 60; } diff.minute = temp_minute - start.getMinute();
diff.hour = temp_hour - start.getHour(); return(diff); } //@ requires stop.later_than(start); //@ ensures
\result.equals(trustedDifference(start, stop)); //@ also //@ requires start.later_than(stop) ||
stop.equals(start); //@ ensures \result.equals(trustedDifference(stop, start)); public /*@ pure @*/ Time
difference(Time start, Time stop) { if (stop.later_than(start)) { return trustedDifference(start, stop); }
else { return trustedDifference(stop, start); } } /*@ requires 0 <= sel && sel < 5; @ { | @ requires 0 <=
sel && sel <= 2; @ ensures \result.hour == 0 && \result.minute == 0 && \result.second == 0; @
ensures start == \old (start); @ ensures stop == \old (stop); @ also @ requires sel == 3 &&
!start.equals(stop); @ ensures \result.hour == \old (hour) && \result.minute == \old (minute) &&
\result.second == \old (second); @ also @ requires sel == 3 && start.equals(stop); @ ensures
\result.hour == 0 && \result.minute == 0 && \result.second == 0; @ ensures start.hour == 0 &&
start.minute == 0 && start.second == 0; @ ensures stop == \old (stop); @ also @ requires sel == 4; @
ensures \result.equals(difference(start, stop)); @ ensures start == \old (start); @ ensures stop == \old
(stop); @ } @*/ public Time timeOptions(Time start, Time stop, int sel) { if (sel == 0) { reset(); } else if
(sel == 1) { timer(start.hour, start.minute, start.second); } else if (sel == 2) { timer(); } else if (sel == 3) {
if (start.equals(stop)) { start.reset(); return start.getTime(); } } else { return difference(start, stop); }
return getTime(); } }

```



NO.

Counterexample test:

```

java
Time timeObj = new Time();
timeObj.setMinute(30);

```

This test will throw an `IllegalArgumentException` due to a mistake in the `setMinute` method's condition. The condition should be `m < 0` instead of `m > 0`.



You:

Is the below program correct based on the JML specification? If not, provide a counterexample test. Give me a short answer (YES/NO).

```
public class TransposeMatrix { //@ requires 0 < matrix.length &&
matrix.length <= Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ requires 0 < matrix[0].length && matrix[0].length <=
Integer.MAX_VALUE; //@ requires (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < matrix.length; matrix[k] != null); //@
requires (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k < matrix.length; matrix[0].length == matrix[k].length); //@ ensures
(\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < matrix[0].length; (\forall int j; 0 <= j && j < matrix.length ; \result[i][j] == matrix[j]
[i])); //@ ensures matrix.length == \result[0].length; //@ ensures matrix[0].length == \result.length;
public int[][] transposeMat(int[][] matrix) { int m, n, p, q; m = matrix.length; n = matrix[0].length; int[][]
transpose = new int[n][m]; //@ assume \forall int i; 0 <= i && i < n; transpose[i] != null &&
transpose[i].length == m; //@ assume \forall int e; 0 <= e && e < n; \forall int k; 0 <= k && k < n; (e != k
==> transpose[e] != transpose[k]); //@ assume \forall int e; 0 <= e && e < n; (\forall int k; 0 <= k && k <
m; transpose[e] != matrix[k]); //@ maintaining 0 <= c && c <= n; //@ maintaining \forall int i; 0 <= i && i
< c; (\forall int j; 0 <= j && j < m ; transpose[i][j] == matrix[j][i]); //@ decreases n - c; for (int c = 0; c < n;
c++) { //@ maintaining 0 <= d && d <= m; //@ maintaining (\forall int j; 0 <= j && j < d; transpose[c][j]
== matrix[j][c]); //@ decreases m - d; for (int d = 0; d < m; d++) { transpose[c][d] = matrix[d][c]; } }
return null; } }
```



ChatGPT:

NO.

Counterexample test: If you provide any valid matrix as input to the method `transposeMat`, the method will always return `null` instead of the transposed matrix.
