RNN vs LSTM vs GRU for Sentiment Analysis

Haja Amir Rahman (p2100803)

School of Computing

Singapore Polytechnic, Singapore

amirrahman517804@gmail.com

*Abstract*—The study is focused on comparing the effectiveness of using a Simple-RNN model, LSTM model and GRU model using Embedding Layers.

# Introduction

Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) and Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) are models that are typically used on Sentiment Analysis Problems.

# methadology

## Data Collection

For this paper, I used a [dataset](https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/cosmos98/twitter-and-reddit-sentimental-analysis-dataset?select=Reddit_Data.csv) containing reviews/comments from the Reddit App consisting of 37248 rows and 2 columns which made it large enough to run our models. Reddit.csv Dataset has around 37K Comments along with its Sentimental Label

## The Reddit Dataset



*Fig 1 Dataset*

From here we can see that the main data column which contains the reviews – ‘clean\_comment’ has been cleaned for us.

For the ‘category column’, we have 3 categories according to the Kaggle site:

* 0 Indicating it is a Neutral Tweet/Comment
* 1 Indicating a Positive Sentiment
* -1 Indicating a Negative Tweet/Comment

# Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

Exploratory Data Analysis was performed using Pandas Profiling Report.

## Column Data Types

Since this dataset is specifically meant for sentiment analysis, there are only 2 columns which are the reviews column and the category column that tell us whether the review is positive, negative or neutral. When I found the dataset, the review column data was already cleaned so I did not need to perform much data cleaning.

## Number of reviews per category

However, when I checked the count of reviews per category in the dataset, I found that the balance was not equal.

* The positive review category ‘1’ had 15830 counts of reviews
* The negative category ‘-1’ had 13142 counts of reviews
* The neutral category ‘0’ had 8277 counts of reviews.


Due to this, I performed some restricting to make the data count for each category equal and fair. Given that the lowest data count was 8277, I performed data sampling to make the positive review and negative review category’s value counts equal to 8277.

## Using glove model for embedding layers

When I did some research regarding how to use embedding layers for my models, I came across a method called glove model loading for pretrained embedding layers. To perform this, I created some util functions to load the pretrained embedding layers.

* load\_glove\_model load the twitter embeddings model we downloaded. This model is trained on 2 billion tweets, which contains 27 billion tokens, 1.2 million vocabs
* remove\_stopwords remove the stop words in a sentence
* lemmatize perform lemmatization on a sentence
* sent\_vectorizer convert a sentence into a vector using the glove\_model. This function may be used if we want a different type of input to the RNNs.

Then I converted the reddit review text to sequence format that will be feed into RNNs.



Next I prepared the word embeddings using the GloVe Model. The number of words is 44113 and the number of null word embeddings is 12999.

# Model building

#### Next I created a custom Model Building Function as my primary purpose is to compare the results of RNN, LSTM and GRU on the same dataset.

# Data Selection

Train test split

After splitting the datasets into train and test set with a ratio of 8:2, I bgean the process of model selection by trying out different family of models using the designed pipeline which is Features -> Numerical Features -> Estimator. I have decided to investigate the 4 different model families below:

1. Linear Models
   1. Linear Regression
   2. LASSO Regression
   3. Ridge Regression
2. Distance Based Models
   1. K-Nearest Neighbors Regressor
3. Tree-based Models
   1. Decision Tree Regressor
   2. Random Forest Regressor
   3. Gradient Boosting Regressor

The results of model selection and the decision for final predictor is included in the section below.

# Model Selection & Training


I created a custom function to perform model training on the train set to all the models mentioned above with out-of-the-box hyperparameters and evaluate the performance of the trained model using the test set.



*Fig 3 Model selection*

## Baseline Model

For the baseline Model, I used Dummy Regressor which gave a score of -0.0 and to visualize and understand this, I created a function for Model Evaluation which outputs a graph of Prediction against True Values, and I could tell that the Dummy Regressor did very badly.




*Fig 4 Dummy Regressor*

## Linear Model

For the linear model, I used Linear Regression which gave an r2 score of 0.31 for the test score

## Distance-based Model

For the distance-based model, I chose K Neighbours Regressor, and it gave a r2 test score of 0.51



*Fig 5 K-Nearest-Neighbors Regressor*

## Tree-based Model

For the tree-based models, they performed the best. I decided to use Random Forest Regressor and Gradient Boosting Regressor which gave a r2 test score of 0.54 and 0.55 respectively.

# Hyperparameter Tuning

With the aim of reducing overfitting of Gradient Boosting Regressor by increasing regularization, I've decided to perform Grid Search to search for the optimal hyperparameters to reduce high variance while retaining the low bias characteristics of our model.


Due to time and computational resources constraint, I have only managed to perform grid search some models, although I wanted to try for SVR as well. The following is the set of hyperparameters that I have used to build my final Machine Learning pipeline.

#### n\_estimators:100

For the hyper-parameter tuning, I created my own function to run a Grid Search CV on all the models I chose including Lasso and Ridge (which are not the main models so not mentioned). The best params for K Neighbors Regressor was ‘n\_neighbors:3’, Random Forest Regressor was ‘n\_estimators: 100’ and Gradient Boosting Regressor was ‘n\_estimators: 100’.

# Model Evaluation

After performing the hyper-parameter tuning, the two best models that gave the best r2 test scores were Random Forest Regressor and Gradient Boosting Regressor of 0.54 and 0.55. The worst performing model was the Linear Regression Model with a r2 test score of 0.32 (rounded to 2 d.p.).



*Fig 6 Gradient Boosting Regressor Model Evaluation*

Since I am using Gradient Boosting Regressor as my final model, we can visualize the model importance to investigate which features helps us better in modelling to investigate the relationship between the features and target variables.’

Fig 8 shows the Model Attributes like Hotel Property Type and Strict Cancellation Policy with Grace Period is significant in affecting the model’s decision which is consistent with the findings by (Hati, S.R.H. et al., 2021). Moreover, Geographic Attributes like Longitude and Latitude are indispensable in the study of Airbnb Price Estimation.



*Fig 7 Feature Importance*

# Conclusion

Overall, I have managed to build a machine learning model that can help us in estimating Airbnb prices with R2 test score of 0.55. Besides, based on EDA and Model Interpretation, I have identified several important attributes like Hotel Property Type and Strict Cancellation Policy and Geographical Attributes like latitude and longitude and CBD which are dominant towards the impact of Airbnb prices. The model can be improved with more computation resources for hyperparameter tuning as well as other Neural Network or Random Search CV that can better model non-linear relationships between the features and price.

REFERENCES

[1] [Bettendorf, B., 2019. Berlin Airbnb Data. *Kaggle*. Available at: https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/brittabettendorf/berlin-airbnb-data?select=listings\_summary.csv [Accessed June 9, 2022].](https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/brittabettendorf/berlin-airbnb-data?select=listings_summary.csv)

[2] [Anon, Get the Data. *Inside Airbnb*. Available at: http://insideairbnb.com/get-the-data/ [Accessed June 9, 2022].](Anon,%20Get%20the%20Data.%20Inside%20Airbnb.%20Available%20at:%20http://insideairbnb.com/get-the-data/%20%5bAccessed%20June%209,%202022%5d.)

[3] [Anon, 2022. Airbnb. *Wikipedia*. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Airbnb [Accessed June 9, 2022].](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Airbnb)

[4] [Anon, Sign in. *RPubs*. Available at: https://rpubs.com/jeryl\_goh/airbnb\_SG [Accessed June 9, 2022].](https://rpubs.com/jeryl_goh/airbnb_SG)

[5] [Hati, S.R.H. et al., 2021. A decade of systematic literature review on airbnb: The sharing economy from a multiple stakeholder perspective. *Heliyon*. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844021023252 [Accessed June 9, 2022].](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844021023252)