Machine Learning MLE & MAP in Python
AmirReza Azari 99101087
Objective This exercise will help you gain a deeper understanding of, and insights into, Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) and Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) estimation Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) and Maximum A Posteriori (MAP):)\Let's say you have a barrel of apples that are all different sizes. You pick an apple at random, and you want to know its weight. Unfortunately, all you have is a broken scale. answer the questions below.
1) For the sake of this section, lets imagine a farmer tells you that the scale returns the weight of the object with an error of +/- a standard deviation of 5g. We can describe this mathematically as: $measurement = weight + \mathcal{N}(0,5g)$ You can weigh the apple as many times as you want, so weigh it 100 times. plot its histogram of your 100 measurements. (y axis is the counts and x-axis is the measured weight)

```
In [1]:
         import numpy as np
         import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
         np.random.seed(0)
         # Based on what you said in last cell: "The average apples is between 70-100 g", I cons.
         weight = 75
         data = np.random.normal(0, 5, 100) + 75
         data.sort()
         print(data)
         plt.hist(data, bins=20, color='SeaGreen')
         plt.xlabel("measured weight", color='GreenYellow')
         plt.ylabel("counts", color='GreenYellow')
         plt.show()
          [62.23505092 65.09601766 66.36858699 66.46864905 66.84900827 66.93051076
           67.31878157 67.72817163 67.89991031 68.7360232 68.8258709 69.09683908
           69.1742508 69.64623689 69.75723517 70.1136106 70.46350818 70.52266719
           70.56107126 70.64601425 70.7295213 70.93426859 71.2891749 71.57594955
           71.63769776 71.82838953 72.10575168 72.44597431 72.45173909 72.80962849
           72.98411527 72.99109532 73.06336591 73.18629417 73.20223419 73.26043925
           73.44223734 73.48848625 73.9362986 73.97420868 74.06408075 74.10037582
           74.24321396 74.48390574 74.85908886 75.0525001 75.22879259 75.25972698
           75.28082671 75.33258611 75.60837508 75.63456046 75.64491455 75.72021786
           75.77473713 75.78174485 75.88713071 76.04137489 76.51235949 76.56533851
           76.66837164 76.78183199 76.8908126 76.93451249 77.00078604 77.00994682
           77.01170821 77.05299251 77.14165935 77.21931616 77.31391128 77.3283122
           78.26809298 78.53286584 78.64545281 78.80518863 78.88745178 79.32218099
           79.50413243 79.75044209 79.88319518 79.89368992 80.27225863 80.69700342
           80.89389786 81.01189924 81.11222535 81.1514534 82.27136753 82.34679385
           82.44126097 82.47039537 82.66389607 83.82026173 83.92935247 84.33778995
           84.47944588 84.75387698 86.204466
                                             86.34877312]
           10
            8
                           70
                                  75
                                          80
                                                  85
          2) Find the average weight of the apple. Is it a good guess? state your reason.
```

```
In [2]: data.mean()
75.29904007767243
```

Average is 75.299 ~ 75.3 by 100 times weighting. Actual weight is 75. So it's a good guess.

3) we are going to use grid approximation for calculating the MLE. here is the link if you wnat to get more fimilar with this technique: https://www.bayesrulesbook.com/chapter-6 (https://www.bayesrulesbook.com/chapter-6)

Our end goal is to find the weight of the apple, given the data we have. To formulate it in a Bayesian way: We'll ask what is the probability of the apple having weight, w, given the measurements we took, X. And, because we're formulating this in a Bayesian way, we use

$$P(w|X) = \frac{P(X|w)P(w)}{P(X)}$$

If we make no assumptions about the initial weight of our apple, then we can drop P(w). We'll say all sizes of apples are equally likely (we'll revisit this assumption in the MAP approximation).

Furthermore, we'll drop P(X) - the probability of seeing our data. This is a normalization constant and will be important if we do want to know the probabilities of apple weights. But, for right now, our end goal is to only to find the most probable weight. P(X) is independent of w, so we can drop it if we're doing relative comparisons.

This leaves us with P(X|w), our likelihood, as in, what is the likelihood that we would see the data, X, given an apple of weight w. If we maximize this, we maximize the probability that we will guess the right weight.

The grid approximation is probably the simplest way to do this. Basically, we'll systematically step through different weight guesses, and compare what it would look like if this hypothetical weight were to generate data. We'll compare this hypothetical data to our real data and pick the one that matches the best.

To formulate this mathematically:

Bayes' Law to find the answer:

For each of these guesses, we're asking "what is the probability that the data we have, came from the distribution that our weight guess would generate". Because each measurement is independent from another, we can break the above equation down into finding the probability on a per measurement basis:

$$P(X|w) = \prod_{i}^{N} p(x_i|w)$$

So, if we multiply the probability that we would see each individual data point - given our weight guess - then we can find one number comparing our weight guess to all of our data.

The peak in the likelihood is the weight of the apple.

To make it computationally easier,

$$\log P(X|w) = \log \prod_{i}^{N} p(x_i|w) = \sum_{i}^{N} \log p(d_i|w)$$

a) Why did we use log likelihood? Is it ok to do so?b) do the grid approximation and complete the cell below
a) Why did we use log likelihood? Is it ok to do so? Log is an increasing function, so it's ok.

```
In [3]:
        from scipy.stats import norm
         # Calculate the maximum likelihood estimate of a parameter in a normal distribution.
         # First calculate the log likelihoods for a range of weight guesses.
         # For each weight guess, assume that the data comes from a normal distribution with tha
         # Then calculate the log of the probability density function (pdf) of the data under th:
         # The sum of these log pdf values is the total log likelihood for that weight guess.
         # After calculating the log likelihoods for all weight guesses, find the weight guess w:
         # This is the maximum likelihood estimate of the weight.
         weight_grid = np.linspace(0, 100)
         print("\"data\" dataframe:\n",data)
         print("\n\"wight_grid\" dataframe:\n",weight_grid)
        mle_weight = 0
         mle_likelihood = float('-inf')
         for w in weight_grid:
            likelihood = np.sum(norm.logpdf(data, w, 10))
             if likelihood > mle_likelihood:
                 mle_likelihood = likelihood
                 mle_weight = w
         print("\nMaximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE) Weight in \"data\" dataframe:", mle_weight)
         mle_weight = 0
         mle likelihood = float('-inf')
         for w in weight_grid:
            likelihood = np.sum(norm.logpdf(weight_grid, w, 10))
             if likelihood > mle_likelihood:
                 mle_likelihood = likelihood
                 mle_weight = w
         print("\nMaximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE) Weight in \"weight_grid\" dataframe:", mle_weight_grid\"
```

```
"data" dataframe:
[62.23505092 65.09601766 66.36858699 66.46864905 66.84900827 66.93051076
 67.31878157 67.72817163 67.89991031 68.7360232 68.8258709 69.09683908
 69.1742508 69.64623689 69.75723517 70.1136106 70.46350818 70.52266719
 70.56107126 70.64601425 70.7295213 70.93426859 71.2891749 71.57594955
 71.63769776 71.82838953 72.10575168 72.44597431 72.45173909 72.80962849
 72.98411527 72.99109532 73.06336591 73.18629417 73.20223419 73.26043925
 73.44223734 73.48848625 73.9362986 73.97420868 74.06408075 74.10037582
 74.24321396 74.48390574 74.85908886 75.0525001 75.22879259 75.25972698
 75.28082671 75.33258611 75.60837508 75.63456046 75.64491455 75.72021786
 75.77473713 75.78174485 75.88713071 76.04137489 76.51235949 76.56533851
 76.66837164 76.78183199 76.8908126 76.93451249 77.00078604 77.00994682
 77.01170821 77.05299251 77.14165935 77.21931616 77.31391128 77.3283122
 78.26809298 78.53286584 78.64545281 78.80518863 78.88745178 79.32218099
 79.50413243 79.75044209 79.88319518 79.89368992 80.27225863 80.69700342
 80.89389786 81.01189924 81.11222535 81.1514534 82.27136753 82.34679385
 82.44126097 82.47039537 82.66389607 83.82026173 83.92935247 84.33778995
 84.47944588 84.75387698 86.204466 86.34877312]
"wight grid" dataframe:
 Γ Θ.
                2.04081633 4.08163265 6.12244898 8.16326531
 10.20408163 12.24489796 14.28571429 16.32653061 18.36734694
  20.40816327 22.44897959 24.48979592 26.53061224 28.57142857
  30.6122449 32.65306122 34.69387755 36.73469388 38.7755102
  40.81632653 42.85714286 44.89795918 46.93877551 48.97959184
  51.02040816 53.06122449 55.10204082 57.14285714 59.18367347
  61.2244898 63.26530612 65.30612245 67.34693878 69.3877551
  71.42857143 73.46938776 75.51020408 77.55102041 79.59183673
  81.63265306 83.67346939 85.71428571 87.75510204 89.79591837
  91.83673469 93.87755102 95.91836735 97.95918367 100.
Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE) Weight in "data" dataframe: 75.51020408163265
```

Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE) Weight in "weight_grid" dataframe: 48.9795918367347

Play around with the code and try to answer the following questions regarding MLE and MAP. You can draw plots to visualize as well.

```
In [9]:
         import numpy as np
         from scipy.stats import norm, invgamma, beta
         # The barrel of apples
         # The average apples is between 70-100 g
         BARREL = np.random.normal(loc=85, scale=20, size=100)
         # Grid
         WEIGHT_GUESSES = np.linspace(1, 200, 100)
         ERROR_GUESSES = np.linspace(.1, 50, 100)
         # NOTE: Try changing the scale error
         # in practice, you would not know this number
         SCALE_ERR = 5
         # NOTE: Try changing the number of measurements taken
         N MEASURMENTS = 10
         # NOTE: Try changing the prior values and distributions
         PRIOR_WEIGHT = norm(50, 1).logpdf(WEIGHT_GUESSES)
         PRIOR ERR = invgamma(4).logpdf(ERROR GUESSES)
         LOG PRIOR GRID = np.add.outer(PRIOR ERR, PRIOR WEIGHT)
         def read_scale(apple):
             return apple + np.random.normal(loc=0, scale=SCALE_ERR)
         def get_log_likelihood_grid(measurments):
             log liklelihood = [
                 Γ
                     norm(weight_guess, error_guess).logpdf(measurments).sum()
                     for weight_guess in WEIGHT_GUESSES
                 for error guess in ERROR GUESSES
             return np.asarray(log_liklelihood)
         def get_mle(measurments):
             0.00
             Calculate the log-likelihood for each measurement in the grid.
             Find the index of the maximum log-likelihood in the grid.
             Return the weight guess corresponding to the maximum log-likelihood.
             grid = get_log_likelihood_grid(measurments)
             max_index = np.unravel_index(grid.argmax(), grid.shape)
             return WEIGHT_GUESSES[max_index[1]]
```

```
def get map(measurements):
   Calculate the log-likelihood for each measurement in the grid.
   Add the log prior to the log likelihood to get the log posterior.
   Find the index of the maximum log posterior in the grid.
   Return the weight guess corresponding to the maximum log posterior.
   grid = get_log_likelihood_grid(measurements)
   grid += LOG PRIOR GRID
   max_index = np.unravel_index(grid.argmax(), grid.shape)
   return WEIGHT_GUESSES[max_index[1]]
# Pick an apple at random
apple = np.random.choice(BARREL)
# weight the apple
measurments = np.asarray([read_scale(apple) for _ in range(N_MEASURMENTS)])
print("SIZE = 100")
print("PRIOR = INVGAMMA")
print("SCALE_ERR = ", SCALE_ERR, end=", ")
print("N_MEASURMENTS = ", N_MEASURMENTS)
print(f"Average measurement: {measurments.mean():.3f} g")
print(f"Maximum Likelihood estimate: {get_mle(measurments):.3f} g")
print(f"Maximum A Posterior estimate: {get_map(measurments):.3f} g")
print(f"The true weight of the apple was: {apple:.3f} g")
WEIGHT GUESSES = np.linspace(1, 200, 50)
ERROR_GUESSES = np.linspace(.1, 50, 50)
PRIOR WEIGHT = norm(50, 1).logpdf(WEIGHT GUESSES)
PRIOR_ERR = invgamma(4).logpdf(ERROR_GUESSES)
LOG PRIOR GRID = np.add.outer(PRIOR ERR, PRIOR WEIGHT)
print("SIZE = 50")
print("PRIOR = INVGAMMA")
print("SCALE_ERR = ", SCALE_ERR, end=", ")
print("N_MEASURMENTS = ", N_MEASURMENTS)
print(f"Average measurement: {measurments.mean():.3f} g")
print(f"Maximum Likelihood estimate: {get_mle(measurments):.3f} g")
print(f"Maximum A Posterior estimate: {get_map(measurments):.3f} g")
print(f"The true weight of the apple was: {apple:.3f} g")
SCALE_ERR = 5
N MEASURMENTS = 20
WEIGHT_GUESSES = np.linspace(1, 200, 100)
ERROR GUESSES = np.linspace(.1, 50, 100)
```

```
PRIOR WEIGHT = norm(50, 1).logpdf(WEIGHT_GUESSES)
PRIOR_ERR = invgamma(4).logpdf(ERROR_GUESSES)
LOG PRIOR GRID = np.add.outer(PRIOR ERR, PRIOR WEIGHT)
measurments = np.asarray([read_scale(apple) for _ in range(N_MEASURMENTS)])
print("SIZE = 100")
print("PRIOR = INVGAMMA")
print("SCALE_ERR = ", SCALE_ERR, end=", ")
print("N MEASURMENTS = ", N MEASURMENTS)
print(f"Average measurement: {measurments.mean():.3f} g")
print(f"Maximum Likelihood estimate: {get_mle(measurments):.3f} g")
print(f"Maximum A Posterior estimate: {get map(measurments):.3f} g")
print(f"The true weight of the apple was: {apple:.3f} g")
PRIOR ERR = beta(4, 4).logpdf(ERROR_GUESSES)
LOG_PRIOR_GRID = np.add.outer(PRIOR_ERR, PRIOR_WEIGHT)
SCALE ERR = 5
N_MEASURMENTS = 20
print("SIZE = 100")
print("PRIOR = BETA")
print("SCALE_ERR = ", SCALE_ERR, end=", ")
print("N_MEASURMENTS = ", N_MEASURMENTS)
print(f"Average measurement: {measurments.mean():.3f} g")
print(f"Maximum Likelihood estimate: {get_mle(measurments):.3f} g")
print(f"Maximum A Posterior estimate: {get map(measurments):.3f} g")
print(f"The true weight of the apple was: {apple:.3f} g")
WEIGHT GUESSES = np.linspace(1, 200, 50)
ERROR_GUESSES = np.linspace(.1, 50, 50)
PRIOR WEIGHT = norm(50, 1).logpdf(WEIGHT GUESSES)
PRIOR_ERR = beta(4, 4).logpdf(ERROR_GUESSES)
LOG PRIOR GRID = np.add.outer(PRIOR ERR, PRIOR WEIGHT)
SCALE\_ERR = 5
N MEASURMENTS = 20
print("SIZE = 50")
print("PRIOR = BETA")
print("SCALE_ERR = ", SCALE_ERR, end=", ")
print("N_MEASURMENTS = ", N_MEASURMENTS)
print(f"Average measurement: {measurments.mean():.3f} g")
print(f"Maximum Likelihood estimate: {get_mle(measurments):.3f} g")
print(f"Maximum A Posterior estimate: {get map(measurments):.3f} g")
print(f"The true weight of the apple was: {apple:.3f} g")
WEIGHT_GUESSES = np.linspace(1, 200, 50)
ERROR GUESSES = np.linspace(.1, 50, 50)
```

```
SIZE = 100
PRIOR = INVGAMMA
SCALE_ERR = 5, N_MEASURMENTS = 10
Average measurement: 85.014 g
Maximum Likelihood estimate: 85.424 g
Maximum A Posterior estimate: 51.253 g
The true weight of the apple was: 86.488 g
SIZE = 50
PRIOR = INVGAMMA
SCALE_ERR = 5, N_MEASURMENTS = 10
Average measurement: 85.014 g
Maximum Likelihood estimate: 86.286 g
Maximum A Posterior estimate: 49.735 g
The true weight of the apple was: 86.488 g
***********
SIZE = 100
PRIOR = INVGAMMA
SCALE_ERR = 5, N_MEASURMENTS = 20
Average measurement: 85.797 g
Maximum Likelihood estimate: 85.424 g
Maximum A Posterior estimate: 51.253 g
The true weight of the apple was: 86.488 g
**************
SIZE = 100
PRIOR = BETA
SCALE ERR = 5, N MEASURMENTS = 20
Average measurement: 85.797 g
Maximum Likelihood estimate: 85.424 g
Maximum A Posterior estimate: 85.424 g
The true weight of the apple was: 86.488 g
************
SIZE = 50
PRIOR = BETA
SCALE_ERR = 5, N_MEASURMENTS = 20
Average measurement: 85.797 g
Maximum Likelihood estimate: 86.286 g
Maximum A Posterior estimate: 86.286 g
The true weight of the apple was: 86.488 g
************
SIZE = 50
PRIOR = INVGAMMA
SCALE_ERR = 5, N_MEASURMENTS = 20
Average measurement: 85.797 g
Maximum Likelihood estimate: 86.286 g
Maximum A Posterior estimate: 49.735 g
```

/24, 2:40 PM	MLE_MAP - Jupyter Notebook
	The true weight of the apple was: 86.488 g

	Questions
	1. How sensitive is the MAP measurement to the choice of prior?
	It's very sensetive based on last part. For example Beta improve the guess and it's really better than invgamma.
	2. How sensitive is the MLE and MAP answer to the grid size?
	MLE is more sensetive to grid size than MAP. Generally if we increase the size of the grid, the estimation with be much closer to the real weight of the apple.