Modern C++ Programming

19. Advanced Topics I

Federico Busato

Table of Contents

1 Move Semantic

- lvalues and rvalues references
- Move Semantic
- std::move
- Class Declaration Semantic

2 Universal Reference and Perfect Forwarding

- Universal Reference
- Reference Collapsing Rules
- Perfect Forwarding

Table of Contents

3 Value Categories

- 4 &, && Ref-qualifiers and volatile Overloading
 - &, && Ref-qualifiers Overloading
 - volatile Overloading

5 Copy Elision and RVO/NVRO

Table of Contents

6 Type Deduction

- Pass-by-Reference
- Pass-by-Pointer
- Pass-by-Value
- auto Deduction
- auto(x): Decay-copy

7 const Correctness

Move Semantic

Overview

Move semantics refers in transferring ownership of resources from one object to another

Differently from *copy semantic*, *move semantic* does not duplicate the original resource

In C++ every expression is either an **rvalue** or an **Ivalue**

- a Ivalue (left) represents an expression that occupies some identifiable location in memory
- a rvalue (right) is an expression that does not represent an object occupying some identifiable location in memory

C++11 introduces a new kind of reference called rvalue reference X&&

- An **rvalue reference** only binds to an **rvalue**, that is a temporary
- An Ivalue reference only binds to an Ivalue
- A const Ivalue reference binds to both Ivalue and rvalue

```
struct A {}:
void f(A& a) {}
// lvalue reference
void g(const A& a) {} // const lvalue reference
void h(A&& a) {} // rvalue reference
A a:
f(a): // ok. f() can modify "a"
g(a); // ok, f() cannot modify "a"
// h(a); // compile error f() does not accept lvalues
// f(A{}); // compile error f() does not accept rvalues
g(A\{\}); // ok, f() cannot modify the object A\{\}
h(A\{\}); // ok, f() can modify the object A\{\}
```

```
#include <algorithm>
class Array { // Array Wrapper
public:
    Array() = default;
    Array(int size) : _size{size}, _array{new int[size]} {}
    Array(const Array& obj) : _size{obj._size}, _array{new int[obj._size]} {
        // EXPENSIVE COPY (deep copy)
        std::copy(obj._array, obj._array + _size, _array);
    }
    ~Array() { delete[] array; }
private:
    int _size;
    int* _array;
};
```

```
#include <vector>
int main() {
    std::vector<Array> vector;
    vector.push_back( Array{1000} ); // call push_back(const Array&)
}
    // expensive copy
```

Before C++11: Array $\{1000\}$ is created, passed by const-reference, <u>copied</u>, and then destroyed

Note: Array{1000} is no more used outside push_back

After C++11: Array{1000} is created, and moved to vector (fast!)

Class prototype with support for move semantic:

```
class X {
public:
    X();
                                // default constructor
    X(const X& obj);
                               // copy constructor
    X(X&& obj);
                                // move constructor
    X& operator=(const X& obj); // copy assign operator
    X& operator=(X&& obj); // move assign operator
    \sim X();
                               // destructor
};
```

Move constructor semantic

```
X(X&& obj);
```

- (1) Shallow copy of obj data members (in contrast to deep copy)
- (2) Release any obj resources and reset all data members (pointer to nullptr, size to 0, etc.)

Move assignment semantic

```
X& operator=(X&& obj);
```

- (1) Release any resources of this
- (2) Shallow copy of obj data members (in contrast to deep copy)
- (3) Release any obj resources and reset all data members (pointer to nullptr, size to 0, etc.)
- (4) Return *this

Move constructor

```
Array(Array&& obj) {
    _size = obj._size; // (1) shallow copy
    _array = obj._array; // (1) shallow copy
    obj._size = 0; // (2) release obj (no more valid)
    obj._array = nullptr; // (2) release obj
}
```

Move assignment

C++11 provides the method std::move (<utility>) to indicate that an object may be "moved from"

It allows to efficient transfer resources from an object to another one

```
#include <vector>
int main() {
    std::vector<Array> vector;
    vector.push back( Array{1000} ); // call "push_back(Array&&)"
    Array arr{1000}:
    vector.push back( arr ):
                                       // call "push_back(const Arrav&)"
    vector.push_back( std::move(arr) ); // call "push_back(Array&&)"
                                        // efficient!!
    "arr" is not more valid here
```

Move Semantic Notes

If an object requires the *copy constructor/assignment*, then it should also define the *move constructor/assignment*. The opposite could not be true

The defaulted move constructor/assignment =default recursively applies the move semantic to its base class and data members.

Important: it does not release the resources. It is very dangerous for classes with manual resource management

```
// Suppose: Array(Array&&) = default;
Array x{10};
Array y = std::move(x); // call the move constructor
// "x" calls ~Array() when it is out of scope, but now the internal pointer
// "_array" is NOT nullptr -> double free or corruption!!
```

Move Semantic and Code Reuse

Some operations can be expressed as a function of the move semantic

```
A& operator=(const A& other) {
    *this = std::move(A{other}); // copy constructor + move assignment
    return *this;
}
```

```
void init(... /* any paramters */) {
   *this = std::move(A{...}); // user-declared constructor + move assignment
}
```

Class Declaration Semantic - Compiler Implicit



Class Declaration Semantic

User-declared Entity	Meaning / Implications	
non- static const members	Copy/Move constructors are not trivial (not provided by the compiler). Copy/move assignment is not supported	
reference members	Copy/Move constructors/assignment are not trivial (not provided by the compiler)	
destructor	The resource management is not trivial. <i>Copy</i> constructor/assignment is very likely to be implemented	
copy constructor/assignment	Resource management is not trivial. <i>Move</i> constructors/assignment need to be implemented by the user	
move constructor/assignment	There is an efficient way to move the object. <i>Copy constructor/assignment</i> cannot fall back safely to <i>copy constructors/assignment</i> , so they are deleted	

Universal Reference and Perfect

Forwarding

The && syntax has two different meanings depending on the context it is used

- rvalue reference
- Universal reference: Either rvalue reference or Ivalue reference

Universal references (also called forwarding references) are rvalues that appear in a type-deducing context. T&&, auto&& accept any expression regardless it is an lvalue or rvalue and preserve the const property

```
void f1(int&& t) {} // rvalue reference

template<typename T>
void f2(T&& t) {} // universal reference

int&& v1 = ...; // rvalue reference
auto&& v2 = ...; // universal reference
```

```
int
          f copy()
                                   { return x; }
          f_ref(int& x) { return x; }
int&
const int& f_const_ref(const int& x) { return x; }
            v1 = \dots : // f copy(), f const ref(), only lvalues
auto
      v2 = \dots; // f ref(), only lvalue ref
auto&
const auto\( v3 = \ldots; \/ f \copy(), f \ref(), f \const \ref()
                      // only const lvalue ref (decay), cannot be modified
const auto&& v4 = ...; // f copy(), only rvalues, cannot be modified
auto&& v5 = ...: // everything
```

```
struct A {}:
void f1(A&& a) {} // rvalue only
template<typename T>
void f2(T&& t) {} // universal reference
Aa;
f1(A{}); // ok
// f1(a); // compile error (only rvalue)
f2(A{}): // universal reference
f2(a); // universal reference
A\&\& a2 = A{}: // ok
// A&& a3 = a; // compile error (only rvalue)
auto&& a4 = A{}; // universal reference
auto&& a5 = a; // universal reference
```

Universal Reference - Misleading Cases

```
template<typename T>
void f(std::vector<T>&&) {} // rvalue reference

template<typename T>
void f(const T&&) {} // rvalue reference (const)

const auto&& v = ...; // const rvalue reference
```

Reference Collapsing Rules

Before C++11 (C++98, C++03), it was not allowed to take a reference to a reference (A&& causes a compile error)

C++11, by contrast, introduces the following **reference collapsing rules**:

Туре	Reference		Result
A&	&	\rightarrow	A&
A&	&&	\rightarrow	A&
A&&	&	\rightarrow	A&
A&&	&&	\rightarrow	A&&

Perfect Forwarding

Perfect forwarding allows preserving argument value category and const/volatile modifiers

std::forward (<utility>) forwards the argument to another function with the
value category it had when passed to the calling function (perfect forwarding)

```
#include <utility> // std::forward
template<typename T> void f(T& t) { cout << "lvalue"; }
template<typename T> void f(T&& t) { cout << "rvalue"; } // overloading

template<typename T> void g1(T&& obj) { f(obj); } // call only f(T&)
template<typename T> void g2(T&& obj) { f(std::forward<T>(obj)); }

struct A{};
f ( A{10} ); // print "rvalue"
g1( A{10} ); // print "lvalue"!!
g2( A{10} ); // print "rvalue"
```

Value Categories

Taxonomy (simplified)

Every expression is either an rvalue or an Ivalue

- An Ivalue (left value of an assignment for historical reason or locator value) represents an expression that occupies an identity, namely a memory location (it has an address)
- An rvalue is movable; an Ivalue is not


glvalue (generalized lvalue) is an expression that has an identity

Ivalue is a **glvalue** but it is <u>not movable</u> (it is not an **xvalue**). An *named rvalue* reference is a **Ivalue**

xvalue (eXpiring) has an identity and it is movable. It is a glvalue that denotes an object whose resources can be reused. An unnamed rvalue reference is a xvalue

prvalue (pure rvalue) doesn't have identity, but is movable. It is an expression whose evaluation initializes an object or computes the value of an operand of an operator

rvalue is movable. It is a prvalue or an xvalue



Examples

```
struct A {
   int x;
};
void f(A&&) {}
A&& g();
f(A\{4\}): // "A{4}" is a prvalue
A&& b = A{3}; // "A&& b" is a named revalue reference \rightarrow levalue
A c{4}:
f(std::move(c)); // "std::move(c)" is a xvalue
f(A\{\}.x); // "A\{\}.x" is a xvalue
g();
          // "A&&" is a xvalue
```

&, && Ref-qualifiers

Overloading

and volatile

C++11 allows overloading member functions depending on the **lvalue/rvalue** property of their object. This is also known as **ref-qualifiers overloading** and can be useful for optimization purposes, namely, moving a variable instead of copying it

```
struct A {
// void f() {} // already covered by "f() &"
   void f() & {}
   void f() && {}
};
A a1:
a1.f():
           // call "f() &"
A{}.f(); // call "f() &&"
std::move(a1).f(); // call "f() &&"
```

Ref-qualifiers overloading can be also combined with const methods

```
struct A {
// void f() const {} // already covered by "f() const &"
   void f() const & {}
   void f() const && {}
};
const A a1;
a1.f(): // call "f() const &"
std::move(a1).f(); // call "f() const &&"
```

A simple example where ref-qualifiers overloading is useful

```
struct ArrayWrapper {
    ArrayWrapper(/*params*/) { /* something expensive */ }

ArrayWrapper copy() const & { /* expensive copy with std::copy() */ }

ArrayWrapper copy() const && { /* just move the pointer as the original object is no more used */ }
};
```

volatile Overloading

```
struct A {
                             {}
    void f()
   void f() volatile
                        {} // e.g. propagate volatile to data members
    void f() const volatile {}
// void f() volatile & {} // combining ref-qualifier and volatile
// void f() const volatile & {} // overloading is also fine
// void f() volatile && {}
// void f() const volatile && {}
};
volatile A a1;
a1.f(); // call "f() volatile"
const volatile A a2:
a2.f(); // call "f() const volatile"
```

RVO/NVRO

Copy Elision and

Copy Elision and RVO/NVRO

Copy elision is a compiler optimization technique that eliminates unnecessary copying/moving of objects (it is defined in the C++ standard)

A compiler avoids omitting copy/move operations with the following optimizations:

- RVO (Return Value Optimization) means the compiler is allowed to avoid creating temporary objects for return values
- NRVO (Named Return Value Optimization) means the compiler is allowed to return an object (with automatic storage duration) without invokes copy/move constructors

RVO Example

Returning an object from a function is *very expensive* without RVO/NVRO:

```
struct Obj {
    Obj() = default;
    Obj(const Obj&) { // non-trivial
        cout << "copy constructor\n";</pre>
};
Obj f() { return Obj{}; } // first copy
auto x1 = f(): // second copy (create "x")
```

If provided, the compiler uses the *move constructor* instead of *copy constructor*

RVO - Where it works

RVO Copy elision is always guaranteed if the operand is a prvalue of the same class type and the copy constructor is trivial and non-deleted

```
struct Trivial {
    Trivial()
                   = default:
    Trivial(const Trivial&) = default;
};
// sigle instance
Trivial f1() {
   return Trivial{}: // Guarantee RVO
// distinct instances and run-time selection
Trivial f2(bool b) {
    return b ? Trivial{} : Trivial{}; // Guarantee RVO
```

Guaranteed Copy Elision (C++17)

In C++17, RVO Copy elision is always guaranteed if the operand is a prvalue of the same class type, even if the copy constructor is not trivial or deleted

```
struct S1 {
  S1() = default;
  S1(const S1&) = delete; // deleted
};
struct S2 {
  S2() = default:
  S2(const S2&) {} // non-trivial
}:
S1 f() { return S1{}: }
S2 g() { return S2{}; }
auto x1 = f(); // compile error in C++14
                                                                              35/59
auto x2 = g(): // RVO only in C++17
```

NRVO is not always guarantee even in C++17

```
Obj f1() {
    Obi a:
    return a; // most compilers apply NRVO
Obj f2(bool v) {
    Obj a;
    if(v)
       return a; // copy/move constructor
    return Obj{}; // RVO
```

GCC 14 adds the flag -Wnvro to diagnose when NVRO is not possible

```
Obj f3(bool v) {
   Obj a, b;
   return v ? a : b; // copy/move constructor
Obj f4() {
   Obj a;
   return std::move(a); // force move constructor
Obj f5() {
   static Obj a;
   return a; // only copy constructor is possible
```

```
Obj f6(Obj& a) {
    return a; // copy constructor (a reference cannot be elided)
}
Obj f7(const Obj& a) {
    return a; // copy constructor (a reference cannot be elided)
Obi f8(const Obi a) {
    return a: // copy constructor (a const object cannot be elided)
Obi f9(Obi&& a) {
    return a; // copy constructor (the object is instantiated in the function)
```

Type Deduction

Type Deduction

When you call a template function, you may omit any template argument that the compiler can determine or deduce (inferred) by the usage and context of that template function call [IBM]

- The compiler tries to deduce a template argument by comparing the type of the corresponding template parameter with the type of the argument used in the function call
- Similar to function default parameters, (any) template parameters can be deduced only if they are at end of the parameter list

Full Story: IBM Knowledge Center

Example

```
template<typename T>
int add1(T a, T b) { return a + b: }
template<typename T, typename R>
int add2(T a, R b) { return a + b; }
template<typename T, int B>
int add3(T a) { return a + B; }
template<int B, typename T>
int add4(T a) { return a + B; }
add1(1, 2); // ok
// add1(1, 2u); // the compiler expects the same type
add2(1, 2u); // ok (add2 is more generic)
add3<int, 2>(1): // "int" cannot be deduced
add4<2>(1); // ok
```

Type Deduction - Pass by-Reference

Type deduction with references

```
template<typename T>
void f(T& a) {}
template<tvpename T>
void g(const T& a) {}
int x = 3:
int \& y = x;
const int \& z = x;
f(x): // T: int
f(v): // T: int
f(z); // T: const int // <-- !! it works...but it does not
g(x); // T: int // for "f(int& a)"!!
g(y); // T: int // (only non-const references)
g(z); // T: int // <-- note the difference
```

Type deduction with pointers

```
template<typename T>
void f(T* a) {}
template<tvpename T>
void g(const T* a) {}
int* x = nullptr;
const int* y = nullptr;
auto z = nullptr;
f(x): // T: int
f(v): // T: const int
// f(z); // compile error!! z: "nullptr t != T*"
g(x); // T: int
g(y); // T: int <-- note the difference
```

```
template<typename T>
void f(const T* a) {} // pointer to const-values
template<typename T>
void g(T* const a) {} // const pointer
int* x = nullptr;
const int* y = nullptr;
int* const z = nullptr;
const int* const w = nullptr;
f(x); // T: int
f(y); // T: int
f(z); // T: int
g(x); // T: int
g(y); // T: const int
g(z); // T: int
g(w); // T: const int
```

Type deduction with values

```
template<typename T>
void f(T a) {}
template<tvpename T>
void g(const T a) {}
int x = 2;
const int y = 3;
const int \& z = y;
f(x): // T: int
f(y); // T: int!! (drop const)
f(z); // T: int!! (drop const&)
g(x); // T: int
g(y); // T: int
                                                                               44/59
g(z); // T: int!! (drop reference)
```

```
template<typename T>
void f(T a) {}
int* x = nullptr;
const int* y = nullptr;
int* const z = x;
f(x): //T = int*
f(y); // T = const int*
f(z); // T = int*!! (const drop)
```

Type Deduction - Array

Type deduction with arrays

```
template<typename T, int N>
void f(T (&array)[N]) {} // type and size deduced
template<typename T>
void g(T array) {}
int x[3] = {};
const int y[3] = {};
f(x): // T: int. N: 3
f(y); // T: const int, N: 3
g(x); // T: int*
g(y); // T: const int*
```

```
template<typename T>
void add(T a, T b) {}
template<typename T, typename R>
void add(T a, R b) {}
template<typename T>
void add(T a, char b) {}
add(2, 3.0f); // call add(T, R)
// add(2, 3); // error!! ambiguous match
add<int>(2, 3): // call add(T, T)
add<int, int>(2, 3); // call add(T, R)
add(2, 'b'); // call add(T, char) -> nearest match
```

```
template<typename T, int N>
void f(T& array) {}

template<typename T>
void f(T* array) {}

int x[3];
f(x); // call f(T*) not f(T&) !!
```

```
template<typename T, int N>
void g(T& array) {}

template<typename T>
void g(T array) {}

int x[3];
g(x); // call g(T) not g(T&) !!
```

auto Deduction

- auto x = copy by-value/by-const value
- auto& x = copy by-reference/by-const-reference
- auto* x = copy by-pointer/by-const-pointer
- auto&& x = copy by-universal reference
- decltype(auto) x = automatic type deduction

```
int
               f1(int& x) { return x; }
int&
               f2(int& x) { return x; }
              f3(int& x) { return x; }
auto
decltype(auto) f4(int& x) { return x; }
int v = 3:
int x1 = f1(v);
int \& x2 = f2(v);
// int& x3 = f3(v); // compile error 'x' is copied by-value
int \& x4 = f4(v);
```

The problem: implement a function to remove the first element of a container

```
template<typename T>
void pop_v1(T% x) {
    std::remove(x.begin(), x.end(), x.front()); // undefined behavior!!
}
```

This is undefined behavior because

- x.front() returns a reference
- std::remove takes the element to remove by-const-reference
- std::remove modifies the container, invalidating iterators and references. The reference must not be an element of the range [first, last)

Sub-optimal solutions:

C++23 introduces auto(x) decay-copy utility to express the rvalue copy in a clear way

```
template<typename T>
void pop_v4(T& x) {
    std::remove(x.begin(), x.end(), auto(x.front())); // ok, rvalue copy
}
// equivalent to R(x)
```

const Correctness

const Correctness

const correctness refers to guarantee object/variable const consistency throughout its lifetime and ensuring safety from unintentional modifications

References:

- Isocpp: const-correctness
- GotW: Const-Correctness
- Abseil: Meaningful 'const' in Function Declarations
- const is a contract
- Why const Doesn't Make C Code Faster
- Constant Optimization?

- const entities do not change their values at run-time. This does not imply that they are evaluated at compile-time
- const T* is different from T* const. The first case means "the content does not change", while the later "the value of the pointer does not change"
- Pass by-const-value and by-value parameters imply the same function signature
- Return by-const-value and by-value have different meaning
- const_cast can break const-correctness

const and member functions:

- const member functions do not change the internal status of an object
- mutable fields can be modified by a const member function (they should not change the external view)

const and code optimization:

- const keyword purpose is for correctness (type safety), not for performance
- const may provide performance advantages in a few cases, e.g. non-trivial copy semantic

Function Declarations Example

```
int f();
// const int f(); // compile error conflicting declaration
```

const Return Example

```
const int const_value = 3;

const int& f2() { return const_value; }

// int& f1() { return const_value; } // WRONG
int f3() { return const_value; } // ok
```

```
struct A {
   void f() { cout << "non-const"; }</pre>
   void f() const { cout << "const"; }</pre>
};
const A getA() { return A{}; }
auto a = getA(); // "a" is a copy
a.f(); // print "non-const"
getA().f(); // print "const"
```

struct Example

```
int* ptr; // int* const ptr;
   int value; // const int value;
};
      // }:
void f(A a) {
   a.value = 3:
   a.ptr[0] = 3;
void g(const A a) { // the same with g(const A\&)
// a.value = 3; // compile error
   a.ptr[0] = 3; // "const" does not apply to "ptr" content!!
A a{new int[10]}:
f(a);
g(a);
```

Member Functions Example

```
struct A {
   int value = 0;
   int& f1() { return value; }
   const int& f2() { return value; }
// int& f3() const { return value; } // WRONG
   const int& f4() const { return value; }
   int f5() const { return value; } // ok
   const int f6() const { return value; }
};
```