# Modern C++ Programming

# 24. Software Design II [DRAFT]

DESIGN PATTERNS AND IDIOMS

### **Table of Contents**

### 1 C++ Idioms

- Rule of Zero
- Rule of Three
- Rule of Five

## 2 Design Pattern

- Singleton
- Pointer to IMPLementation (PIMPL)
- Curiously Recurring Template Pattern
- Template Virtual Functions

# C++ Idioms

#### Rule of Zero

The **Rule of Zero** is a rule of thumb for C++

Utilize the  $value\ semantics$  of existing types to  $\underline{avoid}$  having to implement custom copy and move operations

**Note:** many classes (such as std classes) manage resources themselves and should not implement copy/move constructor and assignment operator

#### Rule of Three

The **Rule of Three** is a rule of thumb for C++(03)

If your class needs any of

- a copy constructor X(const X&)
- an assignment operator X& operator=(const X&)
- or a destructor ~X()

defined explicitly, then it is likely to need all three of them

Some resources <u>cannot</u> or <u>should not</u> be copied. In this case, they should be declared as deleted

```
X(const X&) = delete
X& operator=(const X&) = delete
```

#### Rule of Five

The **Rule of Five** is a rule of thumb for C++11

If your class needs any of

- a copy constructor X(const X&)
- a move constructor X(X&&)
- an assignment operator X& operator=(const X&)
- an assignment operator X& operator=(X&&)
- or a destructor ~X()

defined explicitly, then it is likely to need all five of them

# Design Pattern

### Singleton

**Singleton** is a software design pattern that restricts the instantiation of a class to one and only one object (a common application is for logging)

```
class Singleton {
public:
    static Singleton& get instance() { // note "static"
       static Singleton instance { ..init.. } ;
       return instance: // destroyed at the end of the program
                          // initiliazed at first use
    Singleton(const& Singleton) = delete;
    void operator=(const& Singleton) = delete;
    void f() {}
private:
   T _data;
    Singleton( ..args.. ) { ... } // used in the initialization
```

### Pointer to IMPLementation (PIMPL) - Compilation Firewalls

**Pointer to IMPLementation (PIMPL)** idiom allows decoupling the interface from the implementation in a clear way

```
header.hpp
```

```
class A {
public:
    A();
    ~A();
    void f();
private:
    class Impl; // forward declaration
    Impl* ptr; // opaque pointer
};
```

NOTE: The class does not expose internal data members or methods

### **PIMPL** - Implementation

```
source.cpp (Impl actual implementation)
class A:: Impl { // could be a class with a complex logic
public:
    void internal f() {
        ..do something..
    }
private:
    int _data1;
    float _data2;
};
A::A() : ptr{new Impl()} {}
A::\sim A() { delete ptr; }
void A::f() { ptr->internal_f(); }
```

### PIMPL - Advantages, Disadvantages

### Advantages:

- ABI stability
- Hide private data members and methods
- Reduce compile type and dependencies

### Disadvantages:

- Manual resource management
  - Impl\* ptr can be replaced by unique\_ptr<impl> ptr in C++11
- Performance: pointer indirection + dynamic memory
  - dynamic memory could be avoided by using a reserved space in the interface e.g. uint8\_t data[1024]

### **PIMPL** - Implementation Alternatives

What parts of the class should go into the Impl object?

- Put all private and protected members into Impl:
   Error prone. Inheritance is hard for opaque objects
- Put all private members (but not functions) into Impl:
  Good. Do we need to expose all functions?
- Put everything into Impl, and write the public class itself as only the public interface, each implemented as a simple forwarding function:

Good

The Curiously Recurring Template Pattern (CRTP) is an idiom in which a class X derives from a class template instantiation using X itself as template argument

A common application is static polymorphism

```
template <class T>
struct Base {
    void mv method() {
        static cast<T*>(this)->mv method impl();
    }
};
class Derived : public Base<Derived> {
// void mv_method() is inherited
    void my_method_impl() { ... } // private method
};
```

### **Curiously Recurring Template Pattern**

```
#include <iostream>
template <typename T>
struct Writer {
    void write(const char* str) {
        static_cast<const T*>(this)->write_impl(str);
    }
};
class CerrWriter : public Writer<CerrWriter> {
    void write impl(const char* str) { std::cerr << str; }</pre>
};
class CoutWriter : public Writer<CoutWriter> {
    void write impl(const char* str) { std::cout << str; }</pre>
}:
CoutWriter x:
CerrWriter v;
x.write("abc");
v.write("abc");
```

```
template <typename T>
void f(Writer<T>& writer) {
    writer.write("abc);
}

CoutWriter x;
CerrWriter y;
f(x);
f(y);
```

**Virtual functions cannot have template arguments**, but they can be emulated by using the following pattern

```
class Base {
public:
    template<typename T>
    void method(T t) {
        v_method(t); // call the actual implementation
    }
protected:
    virtual void v_method(int t) = 0; // v_method is valid only
    virtual void v method(double t) = 0: // for "int" and "double"
};
```

Actual implementations for derived class A and B

```
class AImpl : public Base {
protected:
    template<typename T>
    void t_method(T t) { // template "method()" implementation for A
        std::cout << "A " << t << std::endl:
};
class BImpl : public Base {
protected:
    template<typename T>
    void t_method(T t) { // template "method()" implementation for B
        std::cout << "B " << t << std::endl:
```

```
template<class Impl>
class DerivedWrapper : public Impl {
private:
    void v_method(int t) override {
        Impl::t_method(t);
    void v method(double t) override {
        Impl::t_method(t);
    } // call the base method
};
using A = DerivedWrapper<AImpl>:
using B = DerivedWrapper<BImpl>:
```

```
int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {
    Aa;
   B b:
   Base* base = nullptr;
    base = &a:
    base->method(1); // print "A 1"
    base->method(2.0); // print "A 2.0"
    base = \&b:
    base->method(1); // print "B 1"
    base->method(2.0); // print "B 2.0"
```

```
method() calls v_method() (pure virtual method of Base )
v_method() calls t_method() (actual implementation)
```