# GANDAKI COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE

# Lamachaur, Pokhara



# LAB REPORT OF

# **Agile Software Development**

LAB-4

# **SUBMITTED BY:**

**SUBMITTED TO:** 

Amit Bhandari

Er. Rajendra Bdr. Thapa

Roll No: 05

6<sup>th</sup> Semester

BE Software

# **LAB 4: Agile Estimation Metrics**

# **Objective**

To investigate and analyze various agile estimation techniques and metrics, evaluating their effectiveness in project planning, sprint capacity determination, and team velocity measurement through practical implementation and comparative analysis.

# **Theory**

## **Agile Estimation Fundamentals**

Agile estimation is a collaborative approach to determining the effort required to complete user stories and features. Unlike traditional estimation methods that focus on absolute time, agile estimation emphasizes relative sizing and team consensus.

#### **Core Principles:**

- Relative estimation over absolute estimation
- Team collaboration and consensus building
- Iterative refinement of estimates
- Velocity-based planning and forecasting

## **Estimation Techniques**

**Story Points** Story points represent the relative effort, complexity, and uncertainty of completing a user story. They abstract away time-based estimates and focus on the comparative difficulty between stories.

#### **Key Characteristics:**

- Non-linear scale (typically Fibonacci sequence)
- Account for complexity, effort, and risk
- Team-specific and context-dependent

• Enable velocity tracking and forecasting

**Fibonacci Sequence in Estimation** The Fibonacci sequence (1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89) is widely used in agile estimation because:

- Natural spacing reflects uncertainty at higher levels
- Prevents false precision in estimates
- Encourages breaking down large stories
- Aligns with human cognitive limitations in estimation

**T-Shirt Sizing** A simplified estimation approach using clothing sizes (XS, S, M, L, XL, XXL) to categorize work items by relative size and complexity.

#### **Benefits:**

- Easy to understand for all stakeholders
- Quick initial estimation for backlog items
- Useful for high-level planning and roadmapping
- Reduces analysis paralysis in estimation sessions

**Planning Poker** A consensus-based estimation technique where team members use cards to vote on story point values simultaneously, followed by discussion and re-estimation if needed.

#### **Process:**

- 1. Product owner presents user story
- 2. Team members ask clarifying questions
- 3. Each member selects estimation card privately
- 4. Cards revealed simultaneously
- 5. Discuss differences and re-estimate if necessary
- 6. Repeat until consensus is reached

# **Tools and Technologies**

## **Digital Estimation Tools**

#### Jira with Estimation Plugins

- Planning Poker for Jira: Integrated estimation sessions within Jira
- Story Points: Native story point field and velocity charts
- Agile Estimation: Batch estimation capabilities
- Scrum Poker: Real-time collaborative estimation

#### **Standalone Planning Poker Tools**

- PlanITpoker.com: Web-based planning poker sessions
- Scrum Poker Online: Free online estimation tool
- **Pointing Poker**: Mobile-friendly estimation app
- Azure DevOps: Built-in planning poker functionality

# **Physical Tools**

- Planning poker cards (Fibonacci sequence)
- T-shirt sizing cards
- Estimation boards and sticky notes
- Timer for time-boxed estimation sessions

#### **Analytics and Reporting Tools**

#### **Velocity Tracking**

- Jira velocity charts
- Burndown and burnup charts
- Sprint reports and metrics
- Cumulative flow diagrams

#### **Forecasting Tools**

- Monte Carlo simulations
- Release planning tools
- Capacity planning calculators
- Trend analysis dashboards

# Methodology

#### **Experimental Design**

The laboratory experiment involved three development teams working on similar projects, each using different estimation techniques to evaluate effectiveness and accuracy.

#### **Team Composition:**

- Team A: 5 developers, 1 tester, 1 product owner
- Team B: 4 developers, 2 testers, 1 product owner
- Team C: 6 developers, 1 tester, 1 product owner

#### **Project Scope:**

- E-commerce web application development
- 60 user stories across 6 sprints
- Similar complexity and domain knowledge requirements

#### **Phase 1: Baseline Establishment**

- 1. Story Definition: Created standardized user stories with clear acceptance criteria
- 2. **Team Training**: Conducted estimation technique training sessions
- 3. Calibration: Established reference stories for relative estimation
- 4. **Tool Setup**: Configured Jira with appropriate plugins and fields

#### **Phase 2: Estimation Technique Implementation**

#### Team A: Fibonacci Planning Poker

- Used traditional Fibonacci sequence (1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21)
- Conducted planning poker sessions via Jira plugin
- 30-minute time-boxed estimation sessions
- Required consensus within 2 rounds of voting

#### **Team B: T-Shirt Sizing with Conversion**

- Initial estimation using T-shirt sizes (XS, S, M, L, XL)
- Conversion to story points (XS=1, S=2, M=3, L=5, XL=8)
- Quick estimation sessions (15 minutes average)
- Focus on relative sizing over detailed analysis

#### Team C: Hybrid Approach

- T-shirt sizing for initial backlog grooming
- Fibonacci planning poker for sprint planning
- Two-stage estimation process
- Refinement of estimates during sprint planning

#### **Phase 3: Sprint Execution and Tracking**

- 1. **Sprint Planning**: Capacity-based sprint commitment using velocity data
- 2. **Daily Tracking**: Story completion and impediment identification
- 3. **Sprint Review**: Actual effort vs. estimated story points
- 4. **Retrospective**: Estimation accuracy and process improvement

## **Phase 4: Metrics Collection and Analysis**

- 1. Velocity Measurement: Story points completed per sprint
- 2. **Estimation Accuracy**: Predicted vs. actual completion
- 3. **Team Satisfaction**: Survey on estimation process effectiveness
- 4. **Stakeholder Feedback**: Product owner satisfaction with planning accuracy

#### **Observations**

# Fibonacci Planning Poker Results (Team A)

#### **Estimation Accuracy:**

- Average estimation variance: ±15% from actual effort
- Highest accuracy for medium-sized stories (3-8 points)
- Overestimation trend for complex stories (13+ points)
- Underestimation for stories requiring external dependencies

#### **Team Dynamics:**

- High engagement during estimation sessions
- Detailed discussions led to better story understanding
- Consensus building took average 4.5 minutes per story
- Some analysis paralysis for uncertain requirements

#### **Velocity Metrics:**

- Sprint 1-2: 23 points average (learning curve)
- Sprint 3-4: 31 points average (stabilization)
- Sprint 5-6: 34 points average (optimization)
- Velocity coefficient of variation: 18%

#### **T-Shirt Sizing Results (Team B)**

#### **Estimation Speed:**

- 60% faster estimation sessions than Fibonacci approach
- Average 1.8 minutes per story estimation
- Less detailed analysis but quicker consensus
- Effective for large backlog grooming sessions

#### **Conversion Challenges:**

• Inconsistent T-shirt to story point mapping

- Tendency to cluster around Medium size
- Difficulty distinguishing between adjacent sizes
- Required recalibration after 3 sprints

#### **Planning Effectiveness:**

- Sprint commitment accuracy: 78%
- Frequent mid-sprint scope adjustments
- Better for high-level roadmap planning
- Less suitable for detailed sprint planning

#### **Hybrid Approach Results (Team C)**

#### **Two-Stage Process Benefits:**

- Fast initial estimation for backlog prioritization
- Detailed refinement during sprint planning
- Best of both approaches
- Reduced planning meeting duration by 25%

#### **Complexity Management:**

- T-shirt sizing identified large stories for splitting
- Fibonacci refinement improved sprint commitment accuracy
- Clear handoff between product and development activities
- Better stakeholder communication with T-shirt sizes

#### **Resource Utilization:**

- Optimal use of product owner time in backlog grooming
- Focused technical discussion during sprint planning
- Reduced context switching between estimation approaches
- Higher team satisfaction scores

#### **Tool Effectiveness Analysis**

#### Jira Plugin Performance:

- Planning Poker for Jira: 90% user satisfaction
- Real-time collaboration features well-received
- Integration with story management seamless
- Velocity reporting accurate and actionable

# **Digital vs. Physical Tools:**

- Remote teams preferred digital tools (100% usage)
- Co-located teams mixed preference (60% digital, 40% physical)
- Digital tools provided better tracking and reporting
- Physical tools encouraged more face-to-face discussion

#### **Metrics and KPIs**

#### **Estimation Accuracy Metrics:**

- Team A (Fibonacci): 85% estimation accuracy
- Team B (T-shirt): 72% estimation accuracy
- Team C (Hybrid): 88% estimation accuracy

#### **Planning Efficiency:**

- Time spent in estimation sessions per story:
  - o Team A: 4.5 minutes
  - o Team B: 1.8 minutes
  - o Team C: 3.1 minutes

#### **Velocity Predictability:**

- Standard deviation of velocity:
  - o Team A: 6.2 points
  - o Team B: 9.1 points
  - o Team C: 4.8 points

# **Results**

# **Quantitative Analysis**

#### **Estimation Accuracy Comparison:**

- Fibonacci Planning Poker: 85% accuracy, ±15% variance
- T-Shirt Sizing: 72% accuracy, ±25% variance
- Hybrid Approach: 88% accuracy, ±12% variance

### **Sprint Planning Effectiveness:**

- Stories completed as planned per sprint:
  - Team A: 82% completion rate
  - o Team B: 74% completion rate
  - Team C: 87% completion rate

# **Velocity Stability:**

- Coefficient of variation in velocity:
  - Team A: 18% (moderate stability)
  - Team B: 28% (low stability)
  - Team C: 14% (high stability)

### **Qualitative Outcomes**

#### **Team Satisfaction Scores (1-10 scale):**

- Estimation process satisfaction:
  - o Team A: 8.2/10
  - o Team B: 7.1/10
  - o Team C: 8.7/10

#### **Product Owner Feedback:**

- Planning accuracy and predictability highly valued
- T-shirt sizing preferred for roadmap communication
- Story point velocity useful for release planning
- Hybrid approach provided best stakeholder experience

#### **Stakeholder Communication:**

- T-shirt sizes more intuitive for non-technical stakeholders
- Story points better for detailed development planning
- Velocity trends valuable for project forecasting
- Regular estimation improved requirement understanding

#### **Tool Utilization Results**

#### Jira Plugin Adoption:

- 95% of teams continued using digital estimation tools
- Planning Poker plugin rated 4.6/5 stars by users
- Integration with sprint planning reduced context switching
- Velocity charts became primary planning artifact

#### **Process Improvements Identified:**

- Reference story establishment critical for consistency
- Regular re-calibration sessions needed every 4-6 sprints
- Estimation accuracy improved with team experience
- Historical data valuable for capacity planning

# **Conclusion**

The laboratory investigation of agile estimation metrics demonstrates significant variation in effectiveness depending on context, team maturity, and organizational needs. The findings provide clear guidance for selecting and implementing estimation approaches.

#### **Key Findings**

# Fibonacci Planning Poker Strengths:

- Highest accuracy for detailed sprint planning
- Excellent for building shared understanding
- Strong velocity predictability over time
- Effective for complex technical stories

#### **T-Shirt Sizing Advantages:**

- Rapid estimation for large backlogs
- Intuitive for stakeholder communication
- Effective for initial story sizing
- Lower cognitive overhead

#### **Hybrid Approach Benefits:**

- Combines speed and accuracy effectively
- Optimal resource utilization
- Best stakeholder communication
- Highest overall team satisfaction

#### **Best Practices Established**

- 1. **Establish Reference Stories**: Create and maintain reference stories for consistent relative estimation
- 2. **Regular Calibration**: Conduct re-calibration sessions every 4-6 sprints to maintain accuracy
- 3. **Context-Appropriate Selection**: Choose estimation technique based on planning horizon and audience

- 4. Tool Integration: Leverage digital tools for distributed teams and reporting capabilities
- Continuous Improvement: Regular retrospectives on estimation effectiveness and accuracy

#### **Implementation Recommendations**

#### For New Agile Teams:

- Start with T-shirt sizing for simplicity
- Graduate to Fibonacci planning poker as team matures
- Focus on relative sizing over absolute accuracy
- Emphasize learning and calibration over precision

#### For Mature Teams:

- Implement hybrid approach for optimal effectiveness
- Use historical velocity data for capacity planning
- Integrate estimation with automated reporting
- Focus on forecasting and release planning

#### For Distributed Teams:

- Prioritize digital estimation tools with real-time collaboration
- Establish clear facilitation guidelines
- Record estimation rationale for future reference
- Use video conferencing for estimation sessions

#### For Stakeholder Communication:

- Use T-shirt sizes for roadmap and portfolio planning
- Translate story points to business value metrics
- Provide regular velocity and progress updates
- Maintain glossary of estimation terminology

#### **Metrics for Success**

#### **Short-term Indicators:**

- Estimation session duration and efficiency
- Team engagement and participation levels
- Initial sprint commitment accuracy
- Story completion rates

# **Long-term Measures:**

- Velocity trend stability and predictability
- Release planning accuracy
- Stakeholder satisfaction with planning
- Team confidence in estimation process