Introduction to Machine Learning Course

Short HW5 - Logistic regression and Deep learning

Submitted individually by Wednesday, 05.07, at 23:59.

You may answer in Hebrew or English and write on a computer or by hand (but be clear).

Please submit a PDF file named like your ID number, e.g., 123456789.pdf.

Bonus (maximal grade is 100): Writing on a computer (using LyX/LaTeX, Word + Equation tool, etc.) = 2 pts.

1. Recap (Lecture 09): In logistic regression, we solve a binary classification problem by assuming a probabilistic model, according to which, given an input $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the label is a binomial random variable with probability $\hat{p} = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{x})$, where $\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$ is the sigmoid function.

More formally, the model assumes that:

```
y \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \sim \text{Binomial}(\hat{p}).
```

Notice: in this entire question we treat y as $\{0,1\}$ and not as $\{-1,1\}$.

- 1.1. Prove that $1 \sigma(z) = \sigma(-z)$.
- 1.2. Prove that $\sigma'(z) = \sigma(z)(1 \sigma(z))$.
- 1.3. Derive (เกกุ ๑) $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\hat{p}$ (remember that it should be of the same size as \mathbf{w}).

 Hint: if $h(\mathbf{w}) \triangleq f(g(\mathbf{w}))$ for $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, then the chain rule dictates that $\nabla h(\mathbf{w}) = f'(g(\mathbf{w})) \nabla g(\mathbf{w})$.

We defined the cross-entropy loss $\ell^{CE}(y,\hat{p}) = -y \ln \hat{p} - (1-y) \ln (1-\hat{p})$ which is convex and differentiable w.r.t. **w**. We also presented an interpretation of logistic regression as solving $\min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^m \ell^{CE}(y_i, \sigma(\mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x}_i))$, which we denote by (P).

- 1.4. Prove that $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \ell^{CE}(y, \hat{p}) = (-y + \hat{p})\mathbf{x}$.
- 1.5. When $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are linearly independent, what can be said about the solution of problem (P)? Justify your answer mathematically. There might be several valid answers to this question.

<u>Hint</u>: Is (P) convex? How can its minimum be attained? When does $-y_i + \hat{p}_i = -y_i + \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)$ become zero?

2. Consider a <u>trained</u> fully connected neural network with *L* linear layers.

Denote the function of the network by $F_{\Theta} \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\Theta = \left(\underbrace{\mathbf{W}^{(1)}}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{d \times p}}, \underbrace{\mathbf{W}^{(2)}}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}}, \dots, \underbrace{\mathbf{W}^{(L-1)}}_{\in \mathbb{R}^p}, \underbrace{\mathbf{W}^{(L)}}_{\in \mathbb{R}^p}\right)$ is the set of all

weights and <u>no</u> biases (we follow the notations from Tutorial 12).

As an activation function, we use the ReLU function $\sigma(z) = \max\{0, z\}$.

The network's output is given by:

$$F_{\Theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{(L)^{\mathsf{T}}} \mathbf{h}^{(L-1)}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where we recursively define the hidden layers:

$$\boldsymbol{h}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^{(1)^{\mathsf{T}}}\boldsymbol{x}\right), \ h^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^{(\ell)^{\mathsf{T}}}\boldsymbol{h}^{(\ell-1)}(\mathbf{x})\right).$$

We now scale all weights in Θ by a factor of $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Notice: The ReLU function is positive-homogeneous in the sense that $\sigma(\alpha \cdot z) = \alpha \cdot \sigma(z)$.

2.1. Show that the new output function holds $F_{\alpha \cdot \Theta}(\mathbf{x}) = c \cdot F_{\Theta}(\mathbf{x})$ for some scalar $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

You need to prove your answer briefly. No need to be rigorous (don't use induction).

In your answer, find an appropriate c value for which this statement holds.

We wish the model to output a probability. As seen in Lecture 09 (for logistic regression), we can apply the sigmoid function to F_{Θ} . That is, the model's output will be: $\frac{1}{1+\exp\{-F_{\alpha,\Theta}(\mathbf{x})\}}$.

2.2. For $\alpha \to \infty$, to which probability does the output converge?

Think (don't include in your answers): For $\alpha \to 0$, to which probability does the output converge?