

Chapter : 1

# Introduction

# Introduction to Algorithms

- **Algorithm:** It is the finite sequence of operations/instructions which transform the given input to correct output.
- **Algorithmics:** it is the branch that performs the study of algorithms

# Properties of algorithms

- **Input** from a specified set,
- **Output** from a specified set (solution),
- **Definiteness** of every step in the computation,
- **Correctness** of output for every possible input,
- **Finiteness** of the number of calculation steps,
- **Effectiveness** of each calculation step and
- **Generality** for a class of problems.

# Problems & Instance

➤ **Problem:** Multiply two positive integers

➤ **Instance:**

➤  $(10, 2)$  is proper instance for above problem

➤  $(-5, 2)$  is not proper instance

➤  $(10, 2.5)$  is again not proper instance

➤ Algorithm must work correctly on every instance it claims to solve

➤ How to show that it works incorrect?

➤ Find any one instance for which it doesn't work correctly

# Problems & Instance (contd..)

- Domain of definition (The set of instances):
  - To prove the correctness of the algorithm, one needs to limit the size of instance.
  - Any real computing device has a limit on the size of instances it can handle, either because the numbers involved get too big or because we run out of storage.

# Size of instance

- If we are searching an array, the “size” of the input could be the size of the array
- If we are merging two arrays, the “size” could be the sum of the two array sizes
- If we are computing the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Fibonacci number, or the  $n^{\text{th}}$  factorial, the “size” is  $n$
- We choose the “size” to be the parameter that most influences the actual time/space required
  - It is *usually* obvious what this parameter is
  - Sometimes we need two or more parameters

# Efficiency of Algorithms

➤ Which algorithm needs to be chosen when more than one algorithm is available?

## Three Approaches:

➤ Empirical (Posteriori)

programming all the techniques and trying them of different instances.

➤ Theoretical (Priori):

determining mathematically the quantity of resources needed as a function of the size of instance.

Resources: computing time, storage space.

➤ Hybrid approach:

Algo's efficiency is determined theoretically and required numerical parameters are determined empirically.

# Limitations of Empirical Approach

- The algorithm has to be implemented, which may take a long time and could be very difficult.
- Results may not be indicative for the running time on other inputs that are not included in the experiments.
- In order to compare two algorithms, the same hardware and software must be used.



# Theoretical Approach

- Uses a high-level description of the algorithm instead of an implementation
- Characterizes running time as a function of the input size,  $n$ .
- Takes into account all possible inputs
- Allows us to evaluate the speed of an algorithm independent of the hardware/software environment

# Principle of Invariance

- What is the unit for storage space measurement?
- What is the unit for time measurement?
- **Principle of Invariance:** Two different implementations of the same algorithms will not differ in efficiency by more than some multiplicative constant.
- **Example:**  $t_1(n)$  and  $t_2(n)$  are the time for any algorithm for different implementations, then there exist “c” & “d” such that ..

$$t_1(n) \leq c * t_2(n)$$

$$t_2(n) \leq d * t_1(n)$$

Means, the running time of either implementation is bounded by a constant multiple of the running time of the other.

# Principle of Invariance (contd..)

➤ Principle suggest that there is no such unit exist.

We only express the time taken by an algorithm within a multiplicative constant.

In the order of  $t(n)$

Frequently occurring orders:

- Linear
- Quadratic
- Cubic
- Polynomial
- Exponential, etc.

Hidden constants:

$n^2$  days and  $n^3$  seconds

# Common time complexities

**BETTER**



**WORSE**

- $O(1)$  constant time
- $O(\log n)$  log time
- $O(n)$  linear time
- $O(n \log n)$  log linear time
- $O(n^2)$  quadratic time
- $O(n^3)$  cubic time
- $O(2^n)$  exponential time

# The Growth Rate of the Six Popular functions

| $n$  | $\log n$ | $n$   | $n \log n$ | $n^2$     | $n^3$         | $2^n$             |
|------|----------|-------|------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|
| 4    | 2        | 4     | 8          | 16        | 64            | 16                |
| 8    | 3        | 8     | 24         | 64        | 512           | 256               |
| 16   | 4        | 16    | 64         | 256       | 4,096         | 65,536            |
| 32   | 5        | 32    | 160        | 1,024     | 32,768        | 4,294,967,296     |
| 64   | 6        | 64    | 384        | 4,094     | 262,144       | $1.84 * 10^{19}$  |
| 128  | 7        | 128   | 896        | 16,384    | 2,097,152     | $3.40 * 10^{38}$  |
| 256  | 8        | 256   | 2,048      | 65,536    | 16,777,216    | $1.15 * 10^{77}$  |
| 512  | 9        | 512   | 4,608      | 262,144   | 134,217,728   | $1.34 * 10^{154}$ |
| 1024 | 10       | 1,024 | 10,240     | 1,048,576 | 1,073,741,824 | $1.79 * 10^{308}$ |

# Average, Best and Worst Case

- Usually we would like to find the *average* time to perform an algorithm
- However, Sometimes the “average” isn’t well defined
  - Example: Sorting an “average” array
    - Time typically depends on how out of order the array is
- Sometimes finding the average is too difficult
- Often we have to be satisfied with finding the *worst* (longest) time required
  - Sometimes this is even what we want (say, for time-critical operations)
- The *best* (fastest) case is seldom of interest

# Why to look for efficiency?

What to choose: Better hardware or better algorithm?

Case 1: Algo1 on machine1 (takes  $10^{-4} * 2^n$  seconds)

|              |                       |
|--------------|-----------------------|
| for $n=10$ , | $t=1/10$ sec          |
| for $n=20$ , | $t \approx 2$ minutes |
| for $n=30$ , | $t \geq 1$ day        |
| for $n=38$ , | $t \geq 1$ year       |

Case 2: Algo1 on machine2 (takes  $10^{-6} * 2^n$  seconds, 100x faster )

|              |                 |
|--------------|-----------------|
| for $n=10$ , | $t=1/1000$ sec  |
| .. ..        |                 |
| for $n=45$ , | $t \geq 1$ year |

# Why to look for efficiency?

What happens with better algorithm?

Case 3: Algo2 on machine1 (takes  $10^{-2} * n^3$  seconds)

for  $n=10$ ,  $t=10$  sec

for  $n=20$ ,  $t \approx 1$  or 2 minutes

for  $n=30$ ,  $t \approx 4.5$  minutes

... ..

for  $n=200$ ,  $t \geq 1$  day

for  $n=1500$ ,  $t \approx 1$  year

Case 4: Algo2 on machine2

even faster than case 3..!!