

# PREDICTIONS

## MODEL 1

### Without Resampling

#### Random Forest Classifier Model

Accuracy: 0.9543

Precision: 0.8548

Recall: 0.8479

F1-Score: 0.8513

Confusion Matrix:

```
[[3293   89]
```

```
 [  94  524]]
```

Submission file saved as submission.csv in runtime memory

#### XGBoost Classifier Model

Accuracy: 0.9657

Precision: 0.8683

Recall: 0.9175

F1-Score: 0.8922

Confusion Matrix:

```
[[3296   86]
```

```
 [  51  567]]
```

Submission file saved as submission.csv in runtime memory

## MODEL 2

### With Resampling

#### Random Forest Classifier Model

Accuracy: 0.9450  
Precision: 0.7629  
Recall: 0.9162  
F1-Score: 0.8326  
Confusion Matrix:  
[[3233 170]  
[ 50 547]]

Submission file saved as submission.csv

#### XGBoost Classifier Model

Accuracy: 0.9647  
Precision: 0.8324  
Recall: 0.9564  
F1-Score: 0.8901  
Confusion Matrix:  
[[3288 115]  
[ 26 571]]

Submission file saved as submission.csv

## Comparing Models

We have two models (Random Forest and XGBoost) with and without **resampling (SMOTE)**.

Model	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
Random Forest (No Resampling)	0.9543	0.8548	0.8479	0.8513
XGBoost (No Resampling)	0.9657	0.8683	0.9175	0.8922
Random Forest (With Resampling)	0.9450	0.7629	0.9162	0.8326
XGBoost (With Resampling)	0.9647	0.8324	0.9564	0.8901

### Observations:

→ **XGBoost performs better than Random Forest in all cases.**

- ◆ It has the highest accuracy (0.9657) and F1-score (0.8922).
- ◆ It also has the best balance between **precision and recall**.

→ **Resampling (SMOTE) improves recall but reduces precision.**

- ◆ Helps in detecting more **positive cases** (higher recall).
- ◆ But leads to more **false positives** (lower precision).

→ **Best Overall Model: XGBoost Without Resampling**

- ◆ **Best accuracy (0.9657)**
- ◆ **Best F1-score (0.8922)**
- ◆ **Highest recall (0.9175)**
- ◆ **Balanced precision (0.8683)**