

Lab: Lab 2 — Classical Cipher Cracking

Name: Amit Kumar Sharma

Registration: 2020831009

1. Objective

This lab demonstrates practical attacks against two classic cryptosystems: the **Caesar cipher** and **monoalphabetic substitution ciphers**. The goal is to implement scripts to recover plaintext from ciphertexts, analyze weaknesses, and document the complete thought process.

2. Environment & Tools

- **Language:** Python 3.8+
 - **Editor:** Visual Studio Code (VS Code)
 - **Platform:** Windows (PowerShell / Command Prompt examples shown)
-

3. Project Structure

Lab 2/

```
|— src/
|   |— caesar_cracker.py
|   |— substitution_solver.py
|   └─ utils.py
|— data/
|   |— cipher_caesar.txt
|   |— cipher_sub_1.txt
|   └─ cipher_sub_2.txt
|— wordlists/
|   └─ common_words.txt
|— outputs/
|   |— caesar_plain.txt
|   └─ sub1_attempt.txt
```

```
|   └─ sub2_attempt.txt
|─ REPORT.md (this file)
└─ README.md
```

4. How to run (Commands)

Open a terminal and change to the project folder. Example (replace path if needed):

```
cd "E:\INS_Lab_Task\Lab 2"
```

Run the Caesar cracker:

```
python "src/caesar_cracker.py" "data/cipher_caesar.txt"
"outputs/caesar_plain.txt"
```

Run the substitution solver for Cipher 1:

```
python "src/substitution_solver.py" "data/cipher_sub_1.txt"
"outputs/sub1_attempt.txt"
```

Run the substitution solver for Cipher 2:

```
python "src/substitution_solver.py" "data/cipher_sub_2.txt"
"outputs/sub2_attempt.txt"
```

View outputs in terminal (Windows):

```
type "outputs\caesar_plain.txt"
type "outputs\sub1_attempt.txt"
type "outputs\sub2_attempt.txt"
```

5. Implementation Notes

caesar_cracker.py

- Performs a full key-space search (shift 0–25).
- Prints all candidate plaintexts and optionally scores them by English word frequency.

- Writes the most-likely plaintext to the specified output file.

substitution_solver.py

- Uses a hill-climbing / simulated annealing style approach:
 - Start with an initial guess mapping (e.g., frequency-based).
 - Apply small random swaps to the key and accept improvements by score.
 - Score candidate plaintexts using an English language model (wordlist matches and n-gram scoring).
- Writes the best-found plaintext and the mapping to the output file.

utils.py

- Utility functions: file I/O, scoring functions, wordlist loader, n-gram frequency tables.
-

6. Example Outputs (summary)

- `outputs/caesar_plain.txt` — recovered plaintext for Caesar-cipher file.
- `outputs/sub1_attempt.txt` — best plaintext attempt for substitution cipher 1.
- `outputs/sub2_attempt.txt` — best plaintext attempt for substitution cipher 2.

Include these outputs as appendices or attachments in your submission.

7. Observations & Analysis

1. Caesar Cipher

- Vulnerable to brute-force due to only 26 keys.
- Automatic scoring using common words quickly identifies the correct shift.

2. Monoalphabetic Substitution

- Much harder than Caesar; key space is $26!$ (impractical to brute-force).
- Heuristic/search methods (hill-climbing with n-gram scoring) work well for medium-length ciphertexts.
- Frequency analysis provides a good starting point, but local search is needed to refine the mapping.

3. **Common Failure Modes**

- Very short ciphertexts produce poor frequency statistics → solvers may fail or return many plausible candidates.
- Proper scoring (wordlist matches + quadgram scoring) improves reliability.