

Quality of Argument in Presentation			
Criteria	Ratings		Pts
<p>structure:</p> <p>the various parts form a coherent story and meet the presentation purpose, e.g., introduce the problem, methodology used, including data collection and analysis performed, results and recommendation.</p>	<p>3 Pts Full marks</p>	<p>0 Pts No marks</p> <p>3-5=insufficient, 6=poor, 7=fine, 8=good, 9=very good, 10=excellent</p>	3 pts
<p>structure:</p> <p>The presentation provides sufficient details to problem description, methodology used, results and recommendations.</p>	<p>5 Pts Full marks</p>	<p>0 Pts No marks</p> <p>3-5=insufficient, 6=poor, 7=fine, 8=good, 9=very good, 10=excellent</p>	5 pts
<p>structure:</p> <p>The presentation covers all the asked questions.</p>	<p>5 Pts Full marks</p>	<p>0 Pts No marks</p> <p>3-5=insufficient, 6=poor, 7=fine, 8=good, 9=very good, 10=excellent</p>	5 pts
<p>argumentation:</p> <p>The logic and argumentation of all content is clear. And the argumentation is substantiated and fact based.</p>	<p>6 Pts Full marks</p>	<p>0 Pts No marks</p> <p>3-5=insufficient, 6=poor, 7=fine, 8=good, 9=very good, 10=excellent</p>	6 pts
<p>argumentation:</p> <p>The team is able to confidently defend their work and demonstrates a solid understanding of it</p>	<p>6 Pts Full marks</p>	<p>0 Pts No marks</p> <p>3-5=insufficient, 6=poor, 7=fine, 8=good, 9=very good, 10=excellent</p>	6 pts
Total points: 25			

