Quality of Report

Quality of Report

6/11/25, 11:11 AM

6/11/25, 11:11 AM Quality of I	1 AM Quality of Report			
Criteria	Ratings		Pts	
Structure of the report The various parts form a coherent story and meet the purpose, i.e. introducing the problem, methodology used (including data processing and analysis), results and recommendations. The report provides sufficient detail. The report covers all the asked questions.	13 Pts Full marks	O Pts No marks 3- 5=insufficient, 6=poor, 7=fine, 8=good, 9=very good, 10=excellent	13 pts	
Quality of the analysis 1. key aspects have been identified and supported with facts; 2. efforts made to provide the best possible analysis using (different) tools and concepts from the first two year courses. 3. thoery applied correctly.	18 Pts Full marks	O Pts No marks 3- 5=insufficient, 6=poor, 7=fine, 8=good, 9=very good, 10=excellent	18 pts	
Quality of recommendations 1. Extend to which recommendations have been supported by facts 2. extend to which impact of recommendations has been analysed correctly and concisely 3. creativity of recommendations.	8 Pts Full marks	O Pts No marks 3- 5=insufficient, 6=poor, 7=fine, 8=good, 9=very good, 10=excellent	8 pts	
Quality of the argumentation 1. the concepts and research approach used and assumptions made are clear and well-motivated. 2. the logic and argumentation of all content is clear. 3. the argumentation is substantiated and fact based.	13 Pts Full marks	O Pts No marks 3- 5=insufficient, 6=poor, 7=fine, 8=good, 9=very good, 10=excellent	13 pts	

Criteria	Ra	atings	Pts
Report preparation and delivery The report is well-written, appropriately concise, and clearly presented. All results are properly and intuitively interpreted, and all deliverables were submitted on time as outlined in the course manual	8 Pts Full marks	0 Pts No marks 3- 5=insufficient, 6=poor, 7=fine, 8=good, 9=very good, 10=excellent	8 pts

Total points: 60