The decorator module

Author: Michele Simionato

E-mail: michele.simionato@gmail.com

Version: 4.0.0 (2015-07-19)

Supports: Python 2.6, 2.7, 3.0, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 **Download** http://pypi.python.org/pypi/decorator/4.0.0

page:

Installation: pip install decorator

License: BSD license

Contents

Introduction	1
Definitions	2
Statement of the problem	2
The solution	3
A trace decorator	4
Function annotations	5
decorator.decorator	6
blocking	6
async	7
contextmanager	9
The FunctionMaker class	10
Getting the source code	11
Dealing with third party decorators	11
Caveats and limitations	13
Compatibility notes	15
Historical notes	15
LICENSE	15

Introduction

Python decorators are an interesting example of why syntactic sugar matters. In principle, their introduction in Python 2.4 changed nothing, since they do not provide any new functionality which was not already present in the language. In practice, their introduction has significantly changed the way we structure our programs in Python. I believe the change is for the best, and that decorators are a great idea since:

- decorators help reducing boilerplate code;
- decorators help separation of concerns;
- decorators enhance readability and maintenability;
- decorators are explicit.

Still, as of now, writing custom decorators correctly requires some experience and it is not as easy as it could be. For instance, typical implementations of decorators involve nested functions, and we all know that flat is better than nested.

The aim of the decorator module it to simplify the usage of decorators for the average programmer, and to popularize decorators by showing various non-trivial examples. Of course, as all techniques, decorators can be abused (I have seen that) and you should not try to solve every problem with a decorator, just because you can.

You may find the source code for all the examples discussed here in the documentation.py file, which contains the documentation you are reading in the form of doctests.

Definitions

Technically speaking, any Python object which can be called with one argument can be used as a decorator. However, this definition is somewhat too large to be really useful. It is more convenient to split the generic class of decorators in two subclasses:

- signature-preserving decorators, i.e. callable objects taking a function as input and returning a function with the same signature as output;
- signature-changing decorators, i.e. decorators that change the signature of their input function, or decorators returning non-callable objects.

Signature-changing decorators have their use: for instance the builtin classes staticmethod and classmethod are in this group, since they take functions and return descriptor objects which are not functions, nor callables.

However, signature-preserving decorators are more common and easier to reason about; in particular signature-preserving decorators can be composed together whereas other decorators in general cannot.

Writing signature-preserving decorators from scratch is not that obvious, especially if one wants to define proper decorators that can accept functions with any signature. A simple example will clarify the issue.

Statement of the problem

A very common use case for decorators is the memoization of functions. A memoize decorator works by caching the result of the function call in a dictionary, so that the next time the function is called with the same input parameters the result is retrieved from the cache and not recomputed. There are many implementations of memoize in http://www.python.org/moin/PythonDecoratorLibrary, but they do not preserve the signature. A simple implementation could be the following (notice that in general it is impossible to memoize correctly something that depends on non-hashable arguments):

```
def memoize_uw(func):
    func.cache = {}

    def memoize(*args, **kw):
        if kw: # frozenset is used to ensure hashability
            key = args, frozenset(kw.items())
        else:
            key = args
        if key not in func.cache:
            func.cache[key] = func(*args, **kw)
        return func.cache[key]
    return functools.update_wrapper(memoize, func)
```

Here we used the functools.update_wrapper utility, which has been added in Python 2.5 expressly to simplify the definition of decorators (in older versions of Python you need to copy the function attributes

```
__name___, __doc___, __module___ and __dict___ from the original function to the decorated function by hand).
```

The implementation above works in the sense that the decorator can accept functions with generic signatures; unfortunately this implementation does *not* define a signature-preserving decorator, since in general memoize_uw returns a function with a *different signature* from the original function.

Consider for instance the following case:

```
>>> @memoize_uw
... def f1(x):
... time.sleep(1) # simulate some long computation
... return x
```

Here the original function takes a single argument named x, but the decorated function takes any number of arguments and keyword arguments:

```
>>> from inspect import getargspec
>>> print(getargspec(f1))
ArgSpec(args=[], varargs='args', keywords='kw', defaults=None)
```

This means that introspection tools such as *pydoc* will give wrong informations about the signature of £1. This is pretty bad: *pydoc* will tell you that the function accepts a generic signature *args, **kw, but when you try to call the function with more than an argument, you will get an error:

```
>>> f1(0, 1)
Traceback (most recent call last):
    ...
TypeError: f1() takes exactly 1 positional argument (2 given)
```

The solution

The solution is to provide a generic factory of generators, which hides the complexity of making signature-preserving decorators from the application programmer. The decorate function in the decorator module is such a factory:

```
>>> from decorator import decorate
```

decorate takes two arguments, a caller function describing the functionality of the decorator and a function to be decorated; it returns the decorated function. The caller function must have signature (f, *args, **kw) and it must call the original function f with arguments args and kw, implementing the wanted capability, i.e. memoization in this case:

```
def _memoize(func, *args, **kw):
    if kw: # frozenset is used to ensure hashability
        key = args, frozenset(kw.items())
    else:
        key = args
    cache = func.cache # attribute added by memoize
    if key not in cache:
        cache[key] = func(*args, **kw)
    return cache[key]
```

At this point you can define your decorator as follows:

```
def memoize(f):
    f.cache = {}
    return decorate(_memoize, f)
```

The difference with respect to the memoize_uw approach, which is based on nested functions, is that the decorator module forces you to lift the inner function at the outer level. Moreover, you are forced to pass explicitly the function you want to decorate, there are no closures.

Here is a test of usage:

```
>>> @memoize
... def heavy_computation():
...    time.sleep(2)
...    return "done"

>>> print(heavy_computation()) # the first time it will take 2 seconds
done

>>> print(heavy_computation()) # the second time it will be instantaneous
done
```

The signature of heavy_computation is the one you would expect:

```
>>> print(getargspec(heavy_computation))
ArgSpec(args=[], varargs=None, keywords=None, defaults=None)
```

A trace decorator

As an additional example, here is how you can define a trivial trace decorator, which prints a message everytime the traced function is called:

```
def _trace(f, *args, **kw):
    kwstr = ', '.join('%r: %r' % (k, kw[k]) for k in sorted(kw))
    print("calling %s with args %s, {%s}" % (f.__name__, args, kwstr))
    return f(*args, **kw)
```

```
def trace(f):
    return decorate(_trace, f)
```

Here is an example of usage:

```
>>> @trace
... def f1(x):
... pass
```

It is immediate to verify that f1 works

```
>>> f1(0)
calling f1 with args (0,), {}
```

and it that it has the correct signature:

```
>>> print(getargspec(f1))
ArgSpec(args=['x'], varargs=None, keywords=None, defaults=None)
```

The same decorator works with functions of any signature:

```
>>> @trace
... def f(x, y=1, z=2, *args, **kw):
... pass
>>> f(0, 3)
calling f with args (0, 3, 2), {}
>>> print(getargspec(f))
ArgSpec(args=['x', 'y', 'z'], varargs='args', keywords='kw', defaults=(1, 2))
```

Function annotations

Python 3 introduced the concept of function annotations, i.e. the ability to annotate the signature of a function with additional information, stored in a dictionary named __annotations__. The decorator module, starting from release 3.3, is able to understand and to preserve the annotations. Here is an example:

In order to introspect functions with annotations, one needs the utility inspect.getfullargspec, new in Python 3:

```
>>> from inspect import getfullargspec
>>> argspec = getfullargspec(f)
>>> argspec.args
['x', 'y', 'z']
>>> argspec.varargs
'args'
>>> argspec.varkw
'kw'
>>> argspec.defaults
(1, 2)
>>> argspec.kwonlyargs
[]
>>> argspec.kwonlydefaults
```

You can check that the __annotations__ dictionary is preserved:

```
>>> f.__annotations__ is f.__wrapped__.__annotations__
True
```

Here f.__wrapped__ is the original undecorated function. Such an attribute is added to be consistent with the way *functools.update_wrapper* work.

decorator.decorator

It may be annoying to write a caller function (like the _trace function above) and then a trivial wrapper (def trace(f): return decorate(_trace, f)) every time. For this reason, the decorator module provides an easy shortcut to convert the caller function into a signature-preserving decorator: the decorator function:

```
>>> from decorator import decorator
>>> print(decorator.__doc__)
decorator(caller) converts a caller function into a decorator
```

The decorator function can be used as a signature-changing decorator, just as classmethod and staticmethod. However, classmethod and staticmethod return generic objects which are not callable, while decorator returns signature-preserving decorators, i.e. functions of a single argument. For instance, you can write directly

and now trace will be a decorator.

```
>>> trace <function trace at 0x...>
```

Here is an example of usage:

```
>>> @trace
... def func(): pass
>>> func()
calling func with args (), {}
```

blocking

Sometimes one has to deal with blocking resources, such as stdin, and sometimes it is best to have back a "busy" message than to block everything. This behavior can be implemented with a suitable family of decorators, where the parameter is the busy message:

```
return f.result
return decorator(_blocking)
```

Functions decorated with blocking will return a busy message if the resource is unavailable, and the intended result if the resource is available. For instance:

```
>>> @blocking("Please wait ...")
... def read_data():
... time.sleep(3) # simulate a blocking resource
       return "some data"
. . .
>>> print(read_data()) # data is not available yet
Please wait ...
>>> time.sleep(1)
>>> print(read_data()) # data is not available yet
Please wait ...
>>> time.sleep(1)
>>> print(read_data()) # data is not available yet
Please wait ...
>>> time.sleep(1.1) # after 3.1 seconds, data is available
>>> print(read_data())
some data
```

async

We have just seen an examples of a simple decorator factory, implemented as a function returning a decorator. For more complex situations, it is more convenient to implement decorator factories as callable objects that can be converted into decorators.

As an example, here will I show a decorator which is able to convert a blocking function into an asynchronous function. The function, when called, is executed in a separate thread. Moreover, it is possible to set three callbacks on_success, on_failure and on_closing, to specify how to manage the function call (of course the code here is just an example, it is not a recommended way of doing multi-threaded programming). The implementation is the following:

```
def on_success(result): # default implementation
    "Called on the result of the function"
    return result
```

```
def on_failure(exc_info): # default implementation
    "Called if the function fails"
    pass
```

```
def on_closing(): # default implementation
    "Called at the end, both in case of success and failure"
    pass
```

```
class Async(object):
    """
```

```
A decorator converting blocking functions into asynchronous
functions, by using threads or processes. Examples:
async_with_threads = Async(threading.Thread)
async_with_processes = Async(multiprocessing.Process)
def __init__(self, threadfactory, on_success=on_success,
             on_failure=on_failure, on_closing=on_closing):
    self.threadfactory = threadfactory
    self.on_success = on_success
    self.on_failure = on_failure
    self.on_closing = on_closing
def __call__(self, func, *args, **kw):
   try:
        counter = func.counter
    except AttributeError: # instantiate the counter at the first call
        counter = func.counter = itertools.count(1)
    name = '%s-%s' % (func.__name__, next(counter))
    def func_wrapper():
        try:
            result = func(*args, **kw)
        except:
            self.on_failure(sys.exc_info())
        else:
            return self.on_success(result)
        finally:
            self.on_closing()
    thread = self.threadfactory(None, func_wrapper, name)
    thread.start()
    return thread
```

The decorated function returns the current execution thread, which can be stored and checked later, for instance to verify that the thread .isAlive().

Here is an example of usage. Suppose one wants to write some data to an external resource which can be accessed by a single user at once (for instance a printer). Then the access to the writing function must be locked. Here is a minimalistic example:

```
>>> async = decorator(Async(threading.Thread))
>>> datalist = [] # for simplicity the written data are stored into a list.

>>> @async
... def write(data):
... # append data to the datalist by locking
... with threading.Lock():
... time.sleep(1) # emulate some long running operation
... datalist.append(data)
... # other operations not requiring a lock here
```

Each call to write will create a new writer thread, but there will be no synchronization problems since write is locked.

```
>>> write("data1")
<Thread(write-1, started...)>
>>> time.sleep(.1) # wait a bit, so we are sure data2 is written after data1
>>> write("data2")
<Thread(write-2, started...)>
>>> time.sleep(2) # wait for the writers to complete
>>> print(datalist)
['data1', 'data2']
```

contextmanager

For a long time Python had in its standard library a contextmanager decorator, able to convert generator functions into GeneratorContextManager factories. For instance if you write

```
>>> from contextlib import contextmanager
>>> @contextmanager
... def before_after(before, after):
... print(before)
... yield
... print(after)
```

then before_after is a factory function returning <code>GeneratorContextManager</code> objects which can be used with the with statement:

```
>>> with before_after('BEFORE', 'AFTER'):
... print('hello')
BEFORE
hello
AFTER
```

Basically, it is as if the content of the with block was executed in the place of the yield expression in the generator function. In Python 3.2 GeneratorContextManager objects were enhanced with a __call__ method, so that they can be used as decorators as in this example:

```
>>> @ba
... def hello():
... print('hello')
...
>>> hello()
BEFORE
hello
AFTER
```

The ba decorator is basically inserting a with ba: block inside the function. However there two issues: the first is that <code>GeneratorContextManager</code> objects are callable only in Python 3.2, so the previous example will break in older versions of Python; the second is that <code>GeneratorContextManager</code> objects do not preserve the signature of the decorated functions: the decorated <code>hello</code> function here will have a generic signature <code>hello(*args, **kwargs)</code> but will break when called with more than zero arguments. For such reasons the decorator module, starting with release 3.4, offers a decorator contextmanager decorator that solves both problems and works in all supported Python

versions. The usage is the same and factories decorated with decorator.contextmanager will returns instances of ContextManager, a subclass of contextlib.GeneratorContextManager with a call method acting as a signature-preserving decorator.

The FunctionMaker class

You may wonder about how the functionality of the decorator module is implemented. The basic building block is a FunctionMaker class which is able to generate on the fly functions with a given name and signature from a function template passed as a string. Generally speaking, you should not need to resort to FunctionMaker when writing ordinary decorators, but it is handy in some circumstances. You will see an example shortly, in the implementation of a cool decorator utility (decorator_apply).

FunctionMaker provides a .create classmethod which takes as input the name, signature, and body of the function we want to generate as well as the execution environment were the function is generated by exec. Here is an example:

```
>>> def f(*args, **kw): # a function with a generic signature
... print(args, kw)

>>> f1 = FunctionMaker.create('f1(a, b)', 'f(a, b)', dict(f=f))
>>> f1(1,2)
(1, 2) {}
```

It is important to notice that the function body is interpolated before being executed, so be careful with the \$ sign!

FunctionMaker.create also accepts keyword arguments and such arguments are attached to the resulting function. This is useful if you want to set some function attributes, for instance the docstring __doc__.

For debugging/introspection purposes it may be useful to see the source code of the generated function; to do that, just pass the flag addsource=True and a __source__ attribute will be added to the generated function:

```
>>> f1 = FunctionMaker.create(
... 'f1(a, b)', 'f(a, b)', dict(f=f), addsource=True)
>>> print(f1.__source__)
def f1(a, b):
    f(a, b)
<BLANKLINE>
```

FunctionMaker.create can take as first argument a string, as in the examples before, or a function. This is the most common usage, since typically you want to decorate a pre-existing function. A framework author may want to use directly FunctionMaker.create instead of decorator, since it gives you direct access to the body of the generated function. For instance, suppose you want to instrument the __init__ methods of a set of classes, by preserving their signature (such use case is not made up; this is done in SQAlchemy and in other frameworks). When the first argument of FunctionMaker.create is a function, a FunctionMaker object is instantiated internally, with attributes args, varargs, keywords and defaults which are the the return values of the standard library function inspect.getargspec. For each argument in the args (which is a list of strings containing the names of the mandatory arguments) an attribute arg0, arg1, ..., argN is also generated. Finally, there is a signature attribute, a string with the signature of the original function.

Getting the source code

Internally FunctionMaker.create uses exec to generate the decorated function. Therefore inspect.getsource will not work for decorated functions. That means that the usual '??' trick in IPython will give you the (right on the spot) message Dynamically generated function. No source code available. In the past I have considered this acceptable, since inspect.getsource does not really work even with regular decorators. In that case inspect.getsource gives you the wrapper source code which is probably not what you want:

```
def identity_dec(func):
    def wrapper(*args, **kw):
        return func(*args, **kw)
    return wrapper
```

```
@identity_dec
def example(): pass

>>> import inspect
>>> print(inspect.getsource(example))
    def wrapper(*args, **kw):
        return func(*args, **kw)
<BLANKLINE>
```

(see bug report 1764286 for an explanation of what is happening). Unfortunately the bug is still there, even in Python 2.7 and 3.1. There is however a workaround. The decorator module adds an attribute .__wrapped__ to the decorated function, containing a reference to the original function. The easy way to get the source code is to call inspect.getsource on the undecorated function:

```
>>> print(inspect.getsource(factorial.__wrapped__))
@tail_recursive
def factorial(n, acc=1):
    "The good old factorial"
    if n == 0:
        return acc
    return factorial(n-1, n*acc)
<BLANKLINE>
```

Dealing with third party decorators

Sometimes you find on the net some cool decorator that you would like to include in your code. However, more often than not the cool decorator is not signature-preserving. Therefore you may want an easy way to upgrade third party decorators to signature-preserving decorators without having to rewrite them in terms of decorator. You can use a FunctionMaker to implement that functionality as follows:

```
def decorator_apply(dec, func):
    """

    Decorate a function by preserving the signature even if dec
    is not a signature-preserving decorator.
    """

    return FunctionMaker.create(
        func, 'return decorated(%(signature)s)',
        dict(decorated=dec(func)), __wrapped__=func)
```

decorator_apply sets the attribute .__wrapped__ of the generated function to the original function, so that you can get the right source code.

Notice that I am not providing this functionality in the decorator module directly since I think it is best to rewrite the decorator rather than adding an additional level of indirection. However, practicality beats purity, so you can add decorator_apply to your toolbox and use it if you need to.

In order to give an example of usage of decorator_apply, I will show a pretty slick decorator that converts a tail-recursive function in an iterative function. I have shamelessly stolen the basic idea from Kay Schluehr's recipe in the Python Cookbook, http://aspn.activestate.com/ASPN/Cookbook/Python/Recipe/496691.

```
class TailRecursive(object):
    tail_recursive decorator based on Kay Schluehr's recipe
    http://aspn.activestate.com/ASPN/Cookbook/Python/Recipe/496691
    with improvements by me and George Sakkis.
    n/n/n
    def __init__(self, func):
        self.func = func
        self.firstcall = True
        self.CONTINUE = object() # sentinel
    def __call__(self, *args, **kwd):
        CONTINUE = self.CONTINUE
        if self.firstcall:
            func = self.func
            self.firstcall = False
            try:
                while True:
                    result = func(*args, **kwd)
                    if result is CONTINUE: # update arguments
                        args, kwd = self.argskwd
                    else: # last call
                        return result
            finally:
               self.firstcall = True
        else: # return the arguments of the tail call
            self.argskwd = args, kwd
            return CONTINUE
```

Here the decorator is implemented as a class returning callable objects.

```
def tail_recursive(func):
    return decorator_apply(TailRecursive, func)
```

Here is how you apply the upgraded decorator to the good old factorial:

```
@tail_recursive
def factorial(n, acc=1):
    "The good old factorial"
    if n == 0:
        return acc
    return factorial(n-1, n*acc)
```

```
>>> print(factorial(4))
24
```

This decorator is pretty impressive, and should give you some food for your mind;) Notice that there is no recursion limit now, and you can easily compute factorial(1001) or larger without filling the stack frame. Notice also that the decorator will not work on functions which are not tail recursive, such as the following

```
def fact(n): # this is not tail-recursive
   if n == 0:
       return 1
   return n * fact(n-1)
```

(reminder: a function is tail recursive if it either returns a value without making a recursive call, or returns directly the result of a recursive call).

Caveats and limitations

The first thing you should be aware of, it the fact that decorators have a performance penalty. The worse case is shown by the following example:

```
$ cat performance.sh
python3 -m timeit -s "
from decorator import decorator

@decorator
def do_nothing(func, *args, **kw):
    return func(*args, **kw)

@do_nothing
def f():
    pass
" "f()"

python3 -m timeit -s "
def f():
    pass
" "f()"
```

On my laptop, using the do_nothing decorator instead of the plain function is five times slower:

```
$ bash performance.sh
1000000 loops, best of 3: 1.39 usec per loop
1000000 loops, best of 3: 0.278 usec per loop
```

It should be noted that a real life function would probably do something more useful than f here, and therefore in real life the performance penalty could be completely negligible. As always, the only way to know if there is a penalty in your specific use case is to measure it.

You should be aware that decorators will make your tracebacks longer and more difficult to understand. Consider this example:

```
>>> @trace
... def f():
... 1/0
```

Calling f() will give you a <code>ZeroDivisionError</code>, but since the function is decorated the traceback will be longer:

```
>>> f()
Traceback (most recent call last):
...
    File "<string>", line 2, in f
    File "<doctest __main__[22]>", line 4, in trace
        return f(*args, **kw)
    File "<doctest __main__[51]>", line 3, in f
        1/0
ZeroDivisionError: ...
```

You see here the inner call to the decorator trace, which calls f(*args, **kw), and a reference to File "<string>", line 2, in f. This latter reference is due to the fact that internally the decorator module uses exec to generate the decorated function. Notice that exec is *not* responsibile for the performance penalty, since is the called *only once* at function decoration time, and not every time the decorated function is called.

At present, there is no clean way to avoid <code>exec</code>. A clean solution would require to change the CPython implementation of functions and add an hook to make it possible to change their signature directly. That could happen in future versions of Python (see PEP 362) and then the decorator module would become obsolete. However, at present, even in Python 3.5 it is impossible to change the function signature directly, therefore the <code>decorator</code> module is still useful. Actually, this is the main reasons why I keep maintaining the module and releasing new versions.

In the present implementation, decorators generated by decorator can only be used on user-defined Python functions or methods, not on generic callable objects, nor on built-in functions, due to limitations of the inspect module in the standard library.

There is a restriction on the names of the arguments: for instance, if try to call an argument _call_ or _func_ you will get a NameError:

```
>>> @trace
... def f(_func_): print(f)
...
Traceback (most recent call last):
...
NameError: _func_ is overridden in
def f(_func_):
    return _call_(_func_, _func_)
```

Finally, the implementation is such that the decorated function shares the original function dictionary:

```
>>> def f(): pass # the original function
>>> f.attr1 = "something" # setting an attribute
>>> f.attr2 = "something else" # setting another attribute
>>> traced_f = trace(f) # the decorated function
>>> traced_f.attr1
'something'
```

```
>>> traced_f.attr2 = "something different" # setting attr
>>> f.attr2 # the original attribute did not change
'something else'
```

If you don't like this behavior you can always save a copy of the original function dictionary before decorating it.

Compatibility notes

This version supports all Python releases from 2.6 to 3.5 with a single code base. In order to do so, I decided to drop the support for ancient versions of Python (Python 2.5 is nearly ten year old). If you need to support ancient versions of Python, stick with the decorator module 3.4.2.

Historical notes

The decorator module is over ten years old. Here a few notes on its evolution, for whoever is interested.

Version 4 drops support for Python 2.4 and 2.5 and makes it possible to use a single code base both for Python 2 and Python 3. This is a *huge* bonus, since I could remove over 2,000 lines of duplicated documentation. Having to maintain separate docs for Python 2 and Python 3 effectively stopped any development on the module for several years.

Version 3.4 fixes some bugs in the support of recent versions of Python 3. Version 3.3 was the first version of the decorator module to fully support Python 3, including function annotations. Version 3.2 was the first version to support Python 3 via the 2to3 conversion tool. The hard work (for me) has been converting the documentation and the doctests. This has been possible only after that docutils and pygments have been ported to Python 3.

Version 3 of the decorator module do not contain any backward incompatible change, apart from the removal of the functions <code>get_info</code> and <code>new_wrapper</code>, which have been deprecated for years. <code>get_info</code> has been removed since it was little used and since it had to be changed anyway to work with Python 3.0; <code>new_wrapper</code> has been removed since it was useless: its major use case (converting signature changing decorators to signature preserving decorators) has been subsumed by <code>decorator_apply</code>, whereas the other use case can be managed with the <code>FunctionMaker</code>.

There are a few changes in the documentation: I removed the decorator_factory example, which was confusing some of my users, and I removed the part about exotic signatures in the Python 3 documentation, since Python 3 does not support them.

Finally decorator cannot be used as a class decorator and the functionality introduced in version 2.3 has been removed. That means that in order to define decorator factories with classes you need to define the __call__ method explicitly (no magic anymore). All these changes should not cause any trouble, since they were all rarely used features. Should you have any trouble, you can always downgrade to the 2.3 version.

The examples shown here have been tested with Python 2.6. Python 2.4 is also supported - of course the examples requiring the with statement will not work there. Python 2.5 works fine, but if you run the examples in the interactive interpreter you will notice a few differences since <code>getargspec</code> returns an <code>ArgSpec</code> namedtuple instead of a regular tuple. That means that running the file <code>documentation.py</code> under Python 2.5 will print a few errors, but they are not serious.

LICENSE

Copyright (c) 2005-2015, Michele Simionato All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, are permitted provided that the following conditions are met:

Redistributions of source code must retain the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer. Redistributions in bytecode form must reproduce the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer in the documentation and/or other materials provided with the distribution.

THIS SOFTWARE IS PROVIDED BY THE COPYRIGHT HOLDERS AND CONTRIBUTORS "AS IS" AND ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARE DISCLAIMED. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE COPYRIGHT HOLDERS OR CONTRIBUTORS BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE USE OF THIS SOFTWARE, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE.

If you use this software and you are happy with it, consider sending me a note, just to gratify my ego. On the other hand, if you use this software and you are unhappy with it, send me a patch!