Informatics Institute of Technology In Collaboration With

The University of Westminster, UK



The University of Westminster, Coat of Arms

A Novel Approach to Time Series Forecasting using Liquid Time-constant Networks

An Implementation by
Mr. Ammar Raneez
W1761196 | 2019163

Supervised by Mr. Torin Wirasingha

November 2022

This Project Proposal is submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the BSc (Hons) Computer Science degree at the University of Westminster.

Contents

| List of Tablesii |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| List of Figures |
| 1. CHAPTER OVERVIEW1 |
| 2. TECHNOLOGY SELECTION |
| 2.1. Technology stack |
| 2.2. Data selection |
| 2.3. Selection of programming language |
| 2.4. Selection of development framework |
| 2.4.1 DL framework |
| 2.4.2. UI framework |
| 2.4.3. API web framework |
| 2.5. Other libraries & tools |
| 2.6. Integrated Development Environment (IDE) |
| 2.7. Summary of chosen tools & technologies |
| 3. IMPLEMENTATION OF CORE FUNCTIONALITIES |
| 3.1. Algorithm implementation |
| 3.2. Data fetchers |
| 3.3. Preprocessing |
| 4. CHAPTER SUMMARY |
| REFERENCES |
| APPENDIX I – Implementation SnippetsII |

List of Tables

| Table 1: Dataset sources (Self-Composed) | 2 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table 2: Selection of data science language (Self-Composed) | 3 |
| Table 3: Selection of DL framework (Self-Composed) | 4 |
| Table 4: Selection of UI framework (Self-Composed) | 5 |
| Table 5: Selection of web framework (Self-Composed) | 6 |
| Table 6: Chosen libraries (Self-Composed) | 6 |
| Table 7: Chosen IDEs (Self-Composed) | 7 |
| Table 8: Chosen tools & technologies (Self-Composed) | 7 |
| | |
| List of Figures | |
| Figure 1: Tech stack (Self-Composed) | 1 |
| Figure 2: Initialize algorithm (Self-Composed) | 8 |
| Figure 3: Build algorithm (Self-Composed) | 9 |
| Figure 4: Algorithm - sensory, stochastic and leakage variables (Self-Composed) | 9 |
| Figure 5: Algorithm - forward propagation (Self-Composed) | 10 |
| Figure 6: Algorithm - define weights and biases (Self-Composed) | 10 |
| Figure 7: Algorithm - Euler-Maruyama SDE solver (Self-Composed) | 11 |
| Figure 8: Fetch historical prices (Self-Composed) | II |
| Figure 9: Fetch Twitter volume (Self-Composed) | II |
| Figure 10: Fetch block reward size (Self-Composed) | II |
| Figure 11: Scrape tweets (Self-Composed) | III |
| Figure 12: Clean tweets (Self-Composed) | IV |
| Figure 13: Fetch Google Trends (Self-Composed) | IV |
| Figure 14: Analyze sentiments (Self-Composed) | V |
| Figure 15: Combine and condense tweets (Self-Composed) | VI |

Acronyms

AI Artificial Intelligence.

API Application Programming Interface.

AD Automatic Differentiation.

ARIMA Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average.

BPTT Back-Propagation Through Time.

BTC Bitcoin.

CT-GRU Continuous-time Gated Recurrent Unit.

CT-RNN Continuous-time Recurrent Neural Network.

DL Deep Learning.

GPU Graphics Processing Unit.

LSTM Long Short-Term Memory.

LTC Liquid Time-constant.

ML Machine Learning.

(s)MAPE Symmetric Mean Absolute Product Error.

MASE Mean Absolute Scaled Error.

MSE Mean Squared Error.

N-BEATS Neural Basis Expansion Analysis for interpretable Time Series

NLP Natural Language Processing.

ODE Ordinary Differential Equations.

POC Proof-Of-Concept.

REST Representational State Transfer.

RMSE Root Mean Squared Error.

RNN Recurrent Neural Network.

SDE Stochastic Differential Equation.

SGD Stochastic Gradient Descent.

TS Time Series.

UI User Interface.

1. CHAPTER OVERVIEW

In this chapter, the author describes the core implementation of the system and the necessary decisions taken to approach that implementation. Moreover, the chosen tools, languages, and technologies are presented alongside their reasoning.

2. TECHNOLOGY SELECTION

2.1. Technology stack

The chosen technologies are depicted in the diagram below.



Figure 1: Tech stack (Self-Composed)

2.2. Data selection

As this is a data science project, the highest quality of data is a necessity. The author utilized multiple sources of data that are potential contributions to the target inference; the following were required:

- BTC historical data
- BTC block reward size
- BTC tweets
- BTC Twitter volume
- BTC Google Trends

The univariate single horizon forecasting model utilized the above data in a combination, while the multivariate multi-horizon forecasting model utilized solely the historical data. The below table describes the sources of each respective dataset.

Table 1: Dataset sources (Self-Composed)

| Dataset | Source |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| BTC historical data | From a third-party investing.com API. |
| BTC block reward size, | From a public dashboard that provides multiple different information |
| BTC Twitter volume | about a specific cryptocurrency. |
| BTC tweets | Tweets from 2014-2019 were downloaded from Kaggle – the remaining till date were extracted from a Twitter tweet scraper. |
| BTC Google Trends | From the PyTrends library that provides Google Trends data. |

Gathering the data was a long and arduous process as it was not as simple as downloading available datasets, and certain APIs being rate-limited. Dedicated python scripts were written to extract the data and to streamline updating available data. The author will publicize these scripts and the data to facilitate future research.

2.3. Selection of programming language

Programming languages were analyzed prior to development. Specifically, for three main aspects: the client, the data science component, and the API communicating between the model and the client.

The below table summarizes the analysis for the language chosen for the data science component; where each option was given a score within H - High, M - Medium, and L - Low.

Table 2: Selection of data science language (Self-Composed)

| Data science | Data science | | |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------|
| To implement the core d | ata science components two of the most popular languages | that are | used |
| widely for data science | were analyzed. | | |
| Aspect | Relevance | Python | R |
| Availability of libraries. | A language that supports multiple libraries is paramount as the author would require multiple different techniques to gather the required data and streamline the model and algorithm development. | Н | M |
| Author familiarity and ease of implementation. | Implementing the algorithm, the mathematical intricacies, and the respective model should be as simple as possible. It is an additional benefit if the author has hands-on experience with the chosen language, | Н | M |
| Learning curve | The difficulty of the chosen language must not be a hindrance as the goal is to utilize the tool to implement a system rather than spending time learning the language. | L | M |
| Community and documentation. | Community support and well-written documentation is paramount as the author will not have time to debug trivial issues. | Н | M |
| Conclusion | | | |

Based on the analysis, the author decided to use **Python**, as it was more relevant.

To develop the user interface not much competition is present to analyze. **JavaScript** is the standalone leader and is the choice of the author as it is dynamic and can handle user interactions seamlessly. Although recent technology has presented the usage of C# for frontend development, high latency issues and lack of community knowledge are a downfall.

To setup the communication between the model and the user interface APIs are required. Multiple technologies are available for API development. The author chose **Python** as their core data science component is also built using Python; therefore, utilizing the same language would reduce the time taken to learn new languages for insignificant reasons.

2.4. Selection of development framework

2.4.1 DL framework

The author chose Python for developing the core data science component. As the core algorithm and model will be DL-based, DL frameworks must be meticulously analyzed to choose the most relevant framework. The two most popular frameworks, TensorFlow and PytTorch, were analyzed.

| Table 3: Selection of DL framework (Sel | ^c -Composed) |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------|
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------|

| Framework | Description |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| TensorFlow | Used for production level applications, has detailed documentation, community |
| | support and handles large datasets. It also provides better visualization options |
| | which makes it easy to debug and monitor training, which is important as a |
| | novel algorithm is being built and no comparison is present. |
| PyTorch | Is more lightweight and developer-friendly, as it provides a more higher-level |
| | development. Therefore, has a much smaller learning curve, easier to get |
| | started, and feels more intuitive as it is simpler to build models. |

Conclusion

The author opted to use **TensorFlow**. Although it is more complicated, the higher-level API: Keras, is now officially a part of TensorFlow. Therefore, model development has become much simpler. Additionally, building the algorithm requires more low-level details.

(PyTorch vs. TensorFlow: 2022 Deep Learning Comparison | Built In, 2022)

2.4.2. UI framework

As JavaScript was chosen for developing the UI, respective JavaScript frontend frameworks and libraries must be analyzed. There is an ocean of JavaScript libraries- the top four were chosen for evaluation; the four being Angular, Vue, Svelte, and React.

Table 4: Selection of UI framework (Self-Composed)

| Framework | Description |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Angular | Suitable for large scale applications with dedicated submodules for particular |
| | functionalities. However, can be less performant in comparison and |
| | unnecessarily heavy. |
| Vue | Tiny framework that takes little to no time to startup, and is much more intuitive |
| | as the code is simple and straightforward. Additionally, based on simulations, |
| | it has been identified to perform better than Angular and React. However, has |
| | much fewer resources. |
| Svelte | Most lightweight and truly reactive. Much more performant than the rest; |
| | however, has a small community of developers and is relatively new. |
| React | Customizable and promotes code reusability via functions as components. |
| | Carries a large community and is open-source while being SEO friendly. |
| | Additionally, the React developer tools is a very handy tool. |
| | · |

Conclusion

Based on the analysis, the author chose **React** as the GUI built will be simple and there is no requirement for large-scale applications, as it is not the primary focus.

(Angular vs React | Angular vs Vue | React vs Vue - Know the Difference, 2021)

2.4.3. API web framework

As python was chosen for the API development, respective Python web frameworks must be analyzed to choose the more relevant one. Analysis was conducted between Django and Flask as they are the two most popular frameworks.

Table 5: Selection of web framework (Self-Composed)

| Framework | Description | |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Flask | A very lightweight framework that provides only the simplest of | |
| | functionalities. However, is the preferred choice for ML API development due | |
| | to it being lightweight. | |
| Django | Suitable for more larger scaled applications that provides a vast range of | |
| | functionalities and is stricter and less flexible. Therefore, is much more | |
| | demanding and heavier. | |

Conclusion

The author chose **Flask** as it provides only the necessities in exposing an ML model and since the luxury features provided by Django (ex: authentication) were not required.

(Flask Vs Django: Which Python Framework to Choose?, 2021)

2.5. Other libraries & tools

Table 6: Chosen libraries (Self-Composed)

| Library | Justification |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| NumPy | Facilitates mathematical functions and calculations that is immensely required |
| | when building the algorithm. |
| Pandas | To create dataframes to perform analysis, cleaning, transformations, filtration |
| | etc. on the datasets. |
| Scikit-learn | To create data splits and feature scaling. |
| Lingua | To detect the language of the tweets. As this project is limited to using only |
| | English tweets, they must first be identified. |
| SpacCy | To perform NER to extract entities that could potentially be within the pre- |
| | defined impactful index. |
| Matplotlib + | For analysis, visualizations and dashboarding. |
| Seaborn | |
| Beautiful | For scraping the block reward size and the Twitter volume from the public |
| Soup | dashboard. |
| VADER | Perform sentiment analysis on the tweets. |

| TensorBoard | Visualize and obtain insights of the model training process associated | |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | evaluation metrics and additional dashboarding. | |
| Redux | For API requests from the client. | |
| Ant design | Makes creating appealing user interfaces hassle-free. | |

2.6. Integrated Development Environment (IDE)

Table 7: Chosen IDEs (Self-Composed)

| IDE | Justification |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kaggle | Consists of 32GB of RAM; therefore, all datasets can be loaded and processed at |
| | once without needing to process sections of data at a time. Additionally, provides easy integration with existing Kaggle datasets and user-uploaded datasets. |
| Jupyter | For local trials and testing. |
| VSCode | Lightweight and extremely powerful. Consists of multiple shortcuts, extensions |
| | and snippets that can significantly boost development productivity. |

2.7. Summary of chosen tools & technologies

Table 8: Chosen tools & technologies (Self-Composed)

| Component | Tools |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Programming languages | Python, JavaScript |
| Development framework | Flask, TensorFlow |
| UI development framework | Ant design |
| Libraries | React, NumPy, Pandas, Scikit-learn, Beautiful Soup, Lingua, |
| | Matplotlib, Seaborn, VADER sentiment analyzer. |
| IDEs | Kaggle and Jupyter notebooks; VSCode. |
| Version control | Git + GitHub |

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF CORE FUNCTIONALITIES

The novel algorithm, the scripts to fetch the required data, and the preprocessing performed can be considered as the core functionalities of the project.

3.1. Algorithm implementation

The author initially implemented the LTC architecture since there is no modern reference utilizing recommended best practices and approaches. The author then built on this architecture, replacing the underlying ODEs with SDEs.

```
class LTSCell(tf.keras.layers.Layer):
  def __init__(self, units, **kwargs):
   Initializes the LTS cell & parameters
   Calls parent Layer constructor to initialize required fields
   super(LTSCell, self).__init__(**kwargs)
   self.input_size = -1
   self.units = units
   self.built = False
   # Number of SDE solver steps in one RNN step
   self._sde_solver_unfolds = 6
   self._solver = SDESolver.EulerMaruyama
   self._noise_type = NoiseType.diagonal
   self._input_mapping = MappingType.Affine
   self._erev_init_factor = 1
   self._w_init_max = 1.0
   self._w_init_min = 0.01
   self._cm_init_min = 0.5
   self._cm_init_max = 0.5
   self._gleak_init_min = 1
   self._gleak_init_max = 1
   self._w_min_value = 0.00001
   self._w_max_value = 1000
   self._gleak_min_value = 0.00001
   self._gleak_max_value = 1000
   self._cm_t_min_value = 0.000001
   self._cm_t_max_value = 1000
   self._fix_cm = None
   self._fix_gleak = None
   self._fix_vleak = None
   self._input_weights = None
   self._input_biases = None
```

Figure 2: Initialize algorithm (*Self-Composed*)

The above code snippet initializes the algorithm cell with the necessary variable maximum and minimum values. In the above method, the built model can perform input-independent initializations. By inheriting from the base Keras Layer class, the ability to be used in the higher level of the model's layer definition is obtained (as existing LSTM and RNN cells).

Figure 3: Build algorithm (Self-Composed)

The above snippet defines what occurs upon initialization; in other words, it "builds" the algorithm cell. A helper function is utilized here that defines the variables (sigma, mu, weights, and leakage conductance variables (Hasani et al., 2020)). The input shape is available within the above function; therefore, the model can initialize the variables used here. The below snippet demonstrates how some of these variables are initialized.

```
# Define base stochastic differential equation variables
 # Define sensory variables
                                      self.mu = tf.Variable(
 self.sensory_mu = tf.Variable(
                                      tf.random.uniform(
  tf.random.uniform(
                                        [self.units, self.units],
    [self.input_size, self.units],
                                        minval = 0.3,
    minval = 0.3,
                                        maxval = 0.8,
    maxval = 0.8,
                                        dtype = tf.float32
   dtype = tf.float32
  name = 'sensory_mu',
                                       name = 'mu',
  trainable = True,
                                       trainable = True,
# Synaptic leakage conductance variables of the neural dynamics of small species
if self. fix vleak is None:
 self.vleak = tf.Variable(
    tf.random.uniform(
      [self.units],
      minval = -0.2,
     maxval = 0.2,
    dtype = tf.float32
    ),
   name = 'vleak',
   trainable = True,
else:
 self.vleak = tf.Variable(
   tf.constant(self._fix_vleak, dtype = tf.float32),
   name = 'vleak',
   trainable = False,
    shape = [self.units]
```

Figure 4: Algorithm - sensory, stochastic and leakage variables (Self-Composed)

The final step is the forward computation process that will occur on each epoch, in other words, the forward propagation process.

Figure 5: Algorithm - forward propagation (Self-Composed)

The above function is run automatically on each epoch. Initially, a helper function defines the weights and biases of the network, as demonstrated below.

```
def _map_inputs(self, inputs):
 Maps the inputs to the sensory layer
 Initializes weights & biases to be used
 # Create a workaround from creating tf Variables every function call
 # init with None and set only if not None - aka only first time
 if self._input_weights is None:
  self._input_weights = tf.Variable(
   lambda: tf.ones(
      [self.input_size],
       dtype = tf.float32
     name = 'input_weights',
     trainable = True
 if self._input_biases is None:
   self._input_biases = tf.Variable(
     lambda: tf.zeros(
       [self.input_size],
       dtype = tf.float32
     name = 'input_biases',
     trainable = True
 inputs = inputs * self._input_weights
 inputs = inputs + self._input_biases
 return inputs
```

Figure 6: Algorithm - define weights and biases (Self-Composed)

As determined in previous chapters, the optimal way of performing the forward computation of SDEs is to use the Euler-Maruyama method. The below code snippet is an implementation of the Euler-Maruyama SDE solver used by the author utilizing Brownian motion as the noise, as demonstrated by Duvenaud (2021).

```
atf.function
def _sde_solver_euler_maruyama(self, inputs, states):
 Implement Euler Maruyama implicit SDE solver
 # Define a simple Wiener process (Brownian motion)
 time step = 1
 brownian_motion = tf.Variable(
  tf.random.normal(
    [self.units],
    mean = 0.0,
    stddev = tf.sqrt(time_step),
    dtype = tf.float32
 for _ in range(self._sde_solver_unfolds):
  # Compute drift and diffusion terms
   drift = self._sde_solver_drift(inputs, states)
 diffusion = self._sde_solver_diffusion(inputs, states)
 # Compute the next state
 states = states + drift * time_step + diffusion * brownian_motion
return states
```

Figure 7: Algorithm - Euler-Maruyama SDE solver (Self-Composed)

3.2. Data fetchers

The data fetchers are scripts that are used to extract the data to be used by the model. The scripts are placed under **APPENDIX I**.

3.3. Preprocessing

Preprocessing steps are required to prepare the data fetched from the data fetchers before being used by the model. The preprocessing scripts are also placed under **APPENDIX I**.

4. CHAPTER SUMMARY

This chapter focused on defining the technologies and tools that facilitate the software development that would demonstrate the research. Additionally, the implementation of the core features is demonstrated with accompanying code snippets.

REFERENCES

Flask Vs Django: Which Python Framework to Choose? (2021). *InterviewBit*. Available from https://www.interviewbit.com/blog/flask-vs-django/ [Accessed 12 December 2022].

Angular vs React | Angular vs Vue | React vs Vue - Know the Difference. (2021). *Radixweb*. Available from https://radixweb.com/blog/angular-vs-react-vs-vue [Accessed 12 December 2022].

PyTorch vs. TensorFlow: 2022 Deep Learning Comparison | Built In. (2022). Available from https://builtin.com/data-science/pytorch-vs-tensorflow [Accessed 12 December 2022].

Hasani, R. et al. (2020). Liquid Time-constant Networks. Available from https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2006.04439 [Accessed 25 September 2022].

Duvenaud, D (2021). Directions in ML: Latent Stochastic Differential Equations: An Unexplored Model Class. *YouTube*. Available from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6iEjF08xgBg. [Accessed on 30 Sep. 2022].

APPENDIX I – Implementation Snippets

Fetch historical prices

Figure 8: Fetch historical prices (Self-Composed)

The above script describes a couple of functions that can be used to fetch the latest BTC historical prices data and create a new updated CSV file that can be later read from by the model. A third-party API was used to fetch the data as existing APIs are all discontinued.

Fetch Twitter volume & block reward size

Figure 9: Fetch Twitter volume (Self-Composed)

Figure 10: Fetch block reward size (Self-Composed)

The above scripts fetch the Twitter volume and block reward, that were fetched from a website that exposes this data publicly. Therefore, a simple website scraping tool can be used without requiring any authentication or authorization.

Fetch tweet data

Figure 11: Scrape tweets (Self-Composed)

Obtaining the tweet data required a more tedious process as the Twitter API had been updated to only provide tweets for the past week. However, third-party libraries provide this functionality. Tweets fetched were limited to 500 for a single day due to time, performance, and storage constraints, and as the application is not the core contribution. Initially, tweets were fetched up to a specific time point; in future, the above script could be run to scrape tweets of specific dates that are described to be from the days that are currently existing in the data folder up to the day at which the script is run. There is a further limitation as only '#bitcoin' is searched.

Figure 12: Clean tweets (Self-Composed)

As this research is currently limited to only English, the tweets are filtered and non-English tweets are removed.

Fetch Google Trends

```
def get_new_trends_data():
   Fetch latest Trends data
   pytrends = TrendReq()
    kw list = ['bitcoin'
   pytrends.build_payload(
       kw_list,
cat=0,
       timeframe='now 7-d',
       geo='
      gprop='
   curr data = pytrends.interest over time()
   return curr_data
def format_new_data(df):
   Converts the obtained data into the format of the available data
  df.rename(columns={ 'bitcoin': 'bitcoin_unscaled' }, inplace=True)
   # Create stringified dates
   df.index = [str(i) for i in pd.to_datetime(df.index).date]
df.index.rename('date', inplace=True)
   # As the data obtained is for every hour, get an average of it all for each day
   grouped_df = df.groupby(level=0)
    avg_df = grouped_df.agg({ 'bitcoin_unscaled': 'mean' })
   return avg_df
```

Figure 13: Fetch Google Trends (Self-Composed)

Fetching Google Trends data was also a relatively straightforward procedure, as Python exposes a library specifically for this purpose. However, rate-limitations had to be overcome by running the script multiple times for specific data ranges at a time rather than the entire history.

Sentiment analysis

The main step of preprocessing is to perform sentiment analysis on the obtained tweet data. In this research, VADER sentiment analyzer is used as determined in previous chapters.

```
def calculate_sentiment(sentence):

"""

Calculate the sentiment of a single tweet (sentence)

"""

sid_obj = SentimentIntensityAnalyzer()

try:

sentiment_dict = sid_obj.polarity_scores(sentence)
    return sentiment_dict("neg'], sentiment_dict["neu'], sentiment_dict["pos'], sentiment_dict["compound']
    except Exception as e:
    print(f'Something went wrong with this sentence: {sentence}')
    return e

def get_sentiments(dfs):
    ""

dfs → comes from the tweet_scraper script (only the new fetched dates)
    updates all dfs with respective sentiment columns

for i, df in tqdm(enumerate(dfs)):
    # Certain files have timestamp, certain have date
    if df.iloc[0].get('timestamp'):
        df_filename = str(df.iloc(0]['timestamp'])
        else:
        df_filename = str(df.iloc(0]['date'])

print(f'currently at df: {i-1} | {df_filename}')
    negative_scores = []
    positive_scores = []
    positive_scores = []
    compound_scores = []
    reg, neu, pos, compound = calculate_sentiment(df.iloc[j]['text'])
    except:
        neg, neu, pos, compound = None, None, None

        negative_scores.append(neg)
        positive_scores.append(neg)
        positive_scores.append(neg)
        compound_scores.append(compound)

df['negative_score'] = negative_scores
    df'(positive_score'] = negative_scores
    df'(positive_score') = negative_scores
    df'(rompound_score') = compound_scores
    export_data(df, df_filename)
```

Figure 14: Analyze sentiments (Self-Composed)

The above script is used to perform sentiment analysis on the tweets and concatenates the negative, positive, neutral, and compound scores into the existing tweet dataset, which can then be condensed down to create an average score for a single day.

Tweet dataset condensation

```
Load all tweet csvs in folder into a list of dfs which can then be condensed
    dfs = [pd.read_csv(f'{FOLDER_PATH}/{i}', engine='python') for i in ALL_FILES]
def condense tweets(dfs):
     Condense tweet dfs into a single df of averaged sentiment values
     for each date
   condensed df = None
     for i, df in tqdm(enumerate(dfs)):
         # Certain files have timestamp column, certain have date if df.iloc[0].get('timestamp'):

| df_filename = str(df.iloc[0]['timestamp'])
        else:
      df_filename = str(df.iloc[0]['date'])
print(f'Currently at df: {i+1} | {df_filename}')
       # Get the average values for each date
averages = list(df[['negative_score', 'neutral_score', 'positive_score', 'compound_score']].mean())
data = {
               '- l
'date': [df_filename],
               'negative_score': averages[0],
'neutral_score': averages[1],
'positive_score': averages[2],
'compound_score': averages[3],
       tweet_df = pd.DataFrame(data, index=None)
        if condensed_df is not None:
    condensed_df = pd.concat([condensed_df, tweet_df])
       return condensed df
def export_data(df):
     Save data
   df.to_csv(OUTPUT_PATH)
```

Figure 15: Combine and condense tweets (*Self-Composed*)

As the other data being used directly create a single CSV file with a value for each date, the condensation process is not required. However, as the tweet data fetched consists of a CSV file for each date, this data must be compressed to the same format.

The above script condenses the tweet dataset into a single CSV file by averaging the sentiment scores for each day.

Final dataset creation

```
def create_combined_dataset():
   Create and clean the final combined dataset
   exogenous_features = get_exogenous_datasets()
   filtered_prices = get_prices()
 combined df = filtered prices.copy(deep=True)
   # Combine datasets together and add NaN to empty date rows
    for i in exogenous_features:
        combined_df = pd.merge(
           combined_df,
           on=['date'],
           how='left'
   # Impute missing values with the respective columns mean
   combined_df.fillna(combined_df.mean(numeric_only=True), inplace=True)
   return combined_df
def export_data(df):
   Save data
   df.to_csv(OUTPUT_PATH)
def create_final_dataset():
   Main runner
   print('\nRunning final dataset creation ...', end='\n')
   combined_df = create_combined_dataset()
    export_data(combined_df)
   print('\nFinal dataset created', end='\n')
```

Figure 16: Combine all datasets (Self-Composed)

The above script is used to create the final dataset that is used by the model. It fetches all the datasets and combines them into a single data frame. Initially, a helper function is called that removes unneeded columns from the data files, which were decided upon conducting correlation tests. Missing values of each feature of specific dates are imputed by the mean of their respective columns. This combined dataset can then be saved so that the model can finally utilize it.