Informatics Institute of Technology In Collaboration With

The University of Westminster, UK



The University of Westminster, Coat of Arms

A Novel Approach to Time Series Forecasting using Liquid Time-constant Networks

A Project Introduction by Mr. Ammar Raneez W1761196 | 2019163

Supervised by Mr. Torin Wirasingha

November 2022

This Project Proposal is submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the BSc (Hons) Computer Science degree at the University of Westminster.

Contents

List of Tables	i
1. CHAPTER OVERVIEW	1
2. PROBLEM DOMAIN	1
2.1 Time series forecasting	1
2.2 Liquid Time-Constant (LTC) networks	1
2.3 Cryptocurrencies	2
3. PROBLEM DEFINITION	3
3.1 Problem statement	3
4. AIM & OBJECTIVES	3
4.1 Aim	3
4.2 Research objectives	3
5. NOVELTY OF THE RESEARCH	6
5.1 Problem novelty	6
5.2 Solution novelty	6
6. RESEARCH GAP	6
7. CONTRIBUTION TO THE BODY OF KNOWLEDGE	
7.1 Research domain contribution	7
7.2 Problem domain contribution	7
8. RESEARCH CHALLENGE	7
9. CHAPTER SUMMARY	8
REFERENCES	l
List of Tables	
Table 1: Research Objectives (Self-Composed)	Δ

Acronyms

AI Artificial Intelligence.

API Application Programming Interface.

ARIMA Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average.

BPTT Back-Propagation Through Time.

BTC Bitcoin.

CT-GRU Continuous-time Gated Recurrent Unit.

CT-RNN Continuous-time Recurrent Neural Network.

DL Deep Learning.

GPU Graphics Processing Unit.

LSTM Long Short-Term Memory.

LTC Liquid Time-constant.

ML Machine Learning.

(s)MAPE Symmetric Mean Absolute Product Error.

MASE Mean Absolute Scaled Error.

MSE Mean Squared Error.

N-BEATS Neural Basis Expansion Analysis for interpretable Time Series

NLP Natural Language Processing.

ODE Ordinary Differential Equations.

POC Proof-Of-Concept.

REST Representational State Transfer.

RMSE Root Mean Squared Error.

RNN Recurrent Neural Network.

TS Time Series.

SDE Stochastic Differential Equations.

1. CHAPTER OVERVIEW

In this chapter, the author aims to identify and provide the reader with an overview of the current issues in time series forecasting and highlight what a liquid time-constant network is and what it aims to solve. In detail, the author will define the problem and evaluate the necessary literature to arrive at a justifiable research gap, respective research objectives, and challenges that would arise. The novelty within the chosen problem and the proposed solution are also stated.

2. PROBLEM DOMAIN

2.1 Time series forecasting

TS forecasting is a significant business issue and an area where ML could create an impact. It is the foundation for contemporary business practices, including pivotal domains like customer management, inventory control, marketing, and finance. As a result, it has a comprehensive financial impact, with millions of dollars for subtle improvements in forecasting accuracy (Jain, 2017).

Having said that, although ML and DL have outperformed classical approaches for NLP and computer vision, the domain of TS still seems to be a struggle compared to classical statistical methodologies (Makridakis et al., 2018a;b). Most of the top-ranking methods in the M4 competition were ensembles of traditional statistical techniques (Makridakis et al., 2018b), while regular ML methods were nowhere near.

Therefore, this competition's winner was a hybrid model of LSTM and classical statistics (Smyl, 2020). Furthermore, they claimed that the only way to improve the accuracy of TS forecasting was by creating such hybrid models, which the author aspires to challenge in this research project.

2.2 Liquid Time-Constant (LTC) networks

LTCs are neural ODEs: hidden layers are not specified; instead, the derivative of hidden states is parameterized by neural networks (Chen et al., 2019). RNNs are successful algorithms for TS data modelling, if there exist continuous time-hidden states determined by ODEs (Chen et al., 2019). Studies show that existing algorithms such as the CT-RNN (Funahashi and Nakamura, 1993;

Rubanova, Chen and Duvenaud, 2019) and CT-GRU (Mozer, Kazakov and Lindsey, 2017) produce such performance. However, they have issues with expressivity and fixed behaviour once trained (Hasani et al., 2020). Therefore, the question arises: what would happen if there were unexpected changes to the characteristic of the inputs during inference? Additionally, these algorithms lose in generalization compared to even a simple LSTM network (Hasani et al., 2021), which raises another question, what is the point of defining a different and 'fancy' approach if they cannot work well in real-world applications?

Hasani et al. state that LTCs can "identify specialized dynamical systems for input features arriving at each time point" (2020, p1). The ability to exhibit stable and bounded behaviour demonstrates that the proposed approach yields better expressivity than traditional implementations.

The LTC state and their respective time constant "exhibit bounded dynamics and ensure the stability of the output dynamics", which is a prominent factor when inputs increase relentlessly (Hasani et al., 2020).

2.3 Cryptocurrencies

The word 'crypto' has been an enormous buzzword recently, especially BTC. It has even come to the point where crypto and BTC are used interchangeably.

Cryptocurrencies are a fully decentralized digital currency form; it is a form of a peer-to-peer system without the need for a third party, thus enabling safer online transactions (S. Nakamoto, 2008). BTC is the first and the most popular digital currency to date, piquing many academic researchers' interest (Rahouti et al., 2018).

However, being at the forefront of the digital currency world, it has developed high volatility, making it difficult to predict future rates and a disadvantage for multiple investors (Kervanci and Akay, 2020). Despite that, recent advances in ML and statistics have shown acceptable results in the analysis and prediction of cryptocurrencies, yet the root cause of these algorithms persists: they are static.

3. PROBLEM DEFINITION

As of writing this report, there is no application of LTC networks in any domain since this novel neural ODE has only recently been announced (Hasani et al., 2020). Existing intelligent systems utilize more traditional approaches of neural nets developed some time ago.

Having mentioned that, most applications of ML available do perform quite well (ex: image classification, transfer learning, NLP), yet, as mentioned, the field of TS forecasting is subpar (Makridakis et al., 2018a;b). Existing TS forecasting algorithms cannot adapt to unforeseen changes in data streams; consequently, they could perform relatively poorly when used in areas of high volatility (in this case: the forecasting of BTC).

Building an algorithm inspired by the LTC architecture and its application on an ML domain that still can struggle could be the stepping stone for future intelligent systems by aiding further research. Additionally, as a supplement, it could provide hope to crypto investors for more straightforward predictions.

3.1 Problem statement

Existing TS forecasting systems cannot adapt to incoming data streams with unexpected changes and characteristics that are different from the data on which they were trained; implementing an algorithm capable of having the 'liquid' adaptability mentioned could be an advancement for more capable, accurate, and interpretable TS forecasting systems.

4. AIM & OBJECTIVES

4.1 Aim

The aim of this research is to design, develop & evaluate a novel algorithm inspired by the LTC so that it can build intelligent systems by developing a novel architecture for TS forecasting, which could be the stepping stone to be further expanded to other domains as well.

Specifically, this research project will produce a TS forecasting system utilizing the said algorithm, focused on the forecasting of BTC.

4.2 Research objectives

Research objectives are milestones that the author must achieve for the research to succeed.

Table 1: Research Objectives (Self-Composed)

Objective	Description	Learning	Research
		Outcomes	Questions
Literature	Collate relevant information by reading,		
Review	understanding, and evaluating previous work.		
	• RO1: Conduct preliminary studies and		
	investigations on existing TS forecasting		
	systems.	LO1,	
	• RO2: Analyze the requirement for	LO2,	R Q1
	specialized TS algorithms.	LO4, LO5	
	• RO3: Conduct a preliminary study on		
	neural ODEs, LTCs & SDEs.		
	• RO4: Obtain deep insights into the		
	architecture behind the LTC.		
Requirement	Collect and analyze project requirements using		
Elicitation	appropriate tools and techniques.		
	• RO1: Gather the requirements and		
	architectures of LTCs and SDEs.	LO1,	
	• RO2: Collate the most up-to-date details of	LO2, LO3	R Q1
	BTC.		
	RO3: Design a schedule, associated		
	deliverables, and the Gantt chart.		
Design	Design the architecture and a corresponding system		
	capable of effectively solving the identified		
	problems.		
	• RO1: Design an efficient and novel LTC	LO1	RQ2
	implementation.		
	RO2: Design an automated flow to update		
	the built network with the latest data.		

	• RO3: Design an ML pipeline for easy		
	deployments.		
Implementation	Implement a system that is capable of addressing the		
	research gaps.		
	• R O1: Implement a novel LTC architecture.		
	RO2: Integrate the algorithm developed into	LO1,	RQ2
	a TS forecasting application.	LO5,	
	• R O3: Integrate the intelligent system into the	LO6, LO7	
	prototype to display forecasts.		
	• RO4: Consider any legal, social, ethical &		
	professional issues upon implementation.		
Evaluation	Effectively test the algorithm implemented, the		
	system, and the respective data science model using		
	recommended techniques.		
	• RO1: Create a test plan & test cases and	LO4	PO2
	perform unit, performance, and integration		R Q2, R Q3
	testing.		KQ 3
	RO2: Evaluate the developed algorithm and		
	the respective model against the mentioned		
	evaluation metrics.		
Documentation	Document the progression of the research project		-
	and inform about any challenges faced.		
	• R O1: Document any legal, social, ethical &		
	professional issues faced and how they were	LO6, LO8	
	solved.	LOb, LOb	
	• R O2: Create a coherent report of new skills		
	obtained throughout the project plan and		
	critical evaluations.		

5. NOVELTY OF THE RESEARCH

5.1 Problem novelty

The core novelty of this research can be defined as the lack of adaptability to changes in existing TS algorithms and respective systems built utilizing them; in other words, they are static.

5.2 Solution novelty

A solution for this problem could be a dynamic algorithmic architecture that can adapt and change its underlying mathematical expressions and evaluation strategies based on changes in the characteristics of the incoming data streams. Further enhancements are required to avoid sudden and tiny changes common in TS data.

6. RESEARCH GAP

The literature defines only a single paper for the proposed algorithmic solution (Hasani et al., 2020). Where every other work is not directly related to the algorithm but is to the family of neural ODEs (CT-RNN (Rubanova, Chen and Duvenaud, 2019) and CT-GRU (Mozer, Kazakov and Lindsey, 2017)) and the secondary problem domain of cryptocurrencies and TS. Furthermore, no algorithmic solution exists for the proposed LTC architecture for model implementation.

Gap in existing forecasting algorithms

It is also worth noting that, because of this, existing forecasting solutions are all implemented using traditional deep neural net approaches (ex: LSTM (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997)) that are static and hence have the limitation of not being able to learn and adapt during inference (Hasani et al., 2020), which results in the model's accuracy degrading overtime – a 'data drift' (Poulopoulos, 2021).

Gap in chosen algorithm

The proposed LTC architecture uses a sequence of linear ODEs, which are now considered obsolete and lack instantaneous adaptability. Recent advancements in this field suggest the usage of SDEs instead, as they are more flexible (Duvenaud, 2021). An additional issue is that ODEs model 'deterministic dynamics' – uncertainty, or any unobserved interactions cannot be modelled, which is inevitable in TS data.

7. CONTRIBUTION TO THE BODY OF KNOWLEDGE

In a nutshell, the author desires to answer the following hypothesis:

• **H**01 – Would a novel architecture built by a novel algorithm utilizing an LTC architecture with SDEs instead of ODEs be an advancement for TS forecasting?

7.1 Research domain contribution

An implementation of the LTC algorithm with the abovementioned change will be developed, following the proposed architecture, to facilitate the model creation. Additionally, the algorithm built will be generalized without being problem-specific so that it can be applied elsewhere to evaluate its performance and identify whether the architecture would also be an advancement to other domains.

Moreover, hypothesis **H**01 will be evaluated by identifying whether the developed architecture provides strong robustness and accuracy and outperforms currently existing TS forecasting approaches.

7.2 Problem domain contribution

Having understood the issues in the current literature, a solution capable of solving the mentioned issues could advance for future research. Adapting to unforeseen changes and being highly expressive could be the stepping stone within the TS forecasting community.

Moreover, based on the above critique, creating a more robust forecasting solution considering the mentioned factors (Twitter, Google Trends) could mean that the highly volatile market of cryptocurrencies could be predicted much more efficiently and be the way forward for investors.

8. RESEARCH CHALLENGE

Existing architectures scale up, and the LTC scales down - with more expressive nodes (Hasani et al., 2020). Having adapted to the "deeper is usually better" mindset of deep neural nets, a challenge opens up in identifying the requirement of scaling down and what a neural ODE aims to solve.

LTCs are a new approach with only a single research paper regarding its proposed solution. Currently, it is only in the experimental stage and utilizes a novel formulation compared to other existing neural ODEs (Hasani et al., 2020). The broader domain of neural ODEs (Chen et al., 2019) is also relatively new; hence the scarcity of references could create more challenges for further research or implementation of systems.

SDEs are an advanced topic in mathematics, and modelling them as neural SDEs have had a couple of research conducted; however, they were primarily for specific purposes. Therefore, no generic papers exist for neural SDEs, unlike neural ODEs, which would make modelling difficult.

Currently, existing TS forecasting systems are built using statistical ensemble methods (Makridakis et al., 2018b) or traditional neural net architectures (Hasani et al., 2021), which creates a new challenge where there is no reference implementation.

The chosen domain of application is an open system. Open system forecasting is usually poor and generally difficult to beat the naïve forecast (A naïve forecast is not necessarily bad, 2014) since it can depend on any external factor. Therefore, there is the possibility of discouragement from continuing the research if the results are not as expected.

9. CHAPTER SUMMARY

In this chapter, the author provided an overview of the research project carried out alongside respective reasons for the research and problem to be a novelty, and the challenges that they can face upon solving it. Furthermore, the necessary goals that must be aimed to consider the research successful were proposed and mapped to the learning outcomes that must be attained by the chosen degree.

REFERENCES

S. Nakamoto, (2020). *Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system*. Available from https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf [Accessed 25 September 2022].

Rahouti, M., Xiong, K. and Ghani, N. (2018). Bitcoin Concepts, Threats, and Machine-Learning Security Solutions. *IEEE Access*, 6, 67189–67205. Available from https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2874539 [Accessed 25 September 2022].

Kervanci, I. sibel and Akay, F. (2020). Review on Bitcoin Price Prediction Using Machine Learning and Statistical Methods. *Sakarya University Journal of Computer and Information Sciences*. Available from https://doi.org/10.35377/saucis.03.03.774276 [Accessed 25 September 2022].

Makridakis, S., Spiliotis, E. and Assimakopoulos, V. (2018a). Statistical and Machine Learning forecasting methods: Concerns and ways forward. *PLOS ONE*, 13 (3), e0194889. Available from https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194889 [Accessed 25 September 2022].

Makridakis, S., Spiliotis, E. and Assimakopoulos, V. (2018b). The M4 Competition: Results, findings, conclusion and way forward. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 34 (4), 802–808. Available from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2018.06.001 [Accessed 25 September 2022].

Smyl, S. (2020). A hybrid method of exponential smoothing and recurrent neural networks for time series forecasting. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 36 (1), 75–85. Available from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2019.03.017 [Accessed 25 September 2022].

Hasani, R. et al. (2020). Liquid Time-constant Networks. Available from https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2006.04439 [Accessed 25 September 2022].

Chen, R.T.Q. et al. (2019). Neural Ordinary Differential Equations. Available from https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1806.07366 [Accessed 25 September 2022].

Poulopoulos, D. (2021). Is "Liquid" ML the answer to autonomous driving? *Medium*. Available from https://towardsdatascience.com/is-liquid-ml-the-answer-to-autonomous-driving-bf2e899a9065 [Accessed 25 September 2022].

Hochreiter, S. and Schmidhuber, J. (1997). Long Short-Term Memory. *Neural Computation*, 9 (8), 1735–1780. Available from https://doi.org/10.1162/neco.1997.9.8.1735 [Accessed 25 September 2022].

BI4ALL. (2021). Supervised Machine Learning in Time Series Forecasting. *BI4ALL - Turning Data Into Insights*. Available from https://www.bi4all.pt/en/news/en-blog/supervised-machine-learning-in-time-series-forecasting/ [Accessed 12 October 2022].

Hasani, R. et al. (2021). Liquid Neural Networks. *YouTube*. Available from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IlliqYiRhMU&t=350s. [Accessed on 30 Sep. 2022].

Mozer, M.C., Kazakov, D. and Lindsey, R.V. (2017). Discrete Event, Continuous Time RNNs. Available from https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1710.04110 [Accessed 14 October 2022].

Funahashi, K. and Nakamura, Y. (1993). Approximation of dynamical systems by continuous time recurrent neural networks. *Neural Networks*, 6 (6), 801–806. Available from https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-6080(05)80125-X [Accessed 14 October 2022].

A naive forecast is not necessarily bad. (2014). *The Business Forecasting Deal*. Available from https://blogs.sas.com/content/forecasting/2014/04/30/a-naive-forecast-is-not-necessarily-bad/ [Accessed 15 October 2022].

Rubanova, Y., Chen, R.T.Q. and Duvenaud, D. (2019). Latent ODEs for Irregularly-Sampled Time Series. Available from https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1907.03907 [Accessed 18 October 2022].

Duvenaud, D (2021). Directions in ML: Latent Stochastic Differential Equations: An Unexplored Model Class. *YouTube*. Available from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6iEjF08xgBg. [Accessed on 30 Sep. 2022].