

Homework 5

Problem 1. Using (a) and the description of V_λ in the theorem show that

$$V_{\lambda'} = V_\lambda \otimes U',$$

where λ' is the conjugate partition to λ and U' is the alternating representation.

Proof. Let V and W be subrepresentations of the regular representation and let $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ be a map such that $\varphi(e_g) = \text{sgn}(g)e_g$ and extend this map linearly. Then we claim there is an isomorphism between W and $V \otimes U'$. Let $\iota : V \rightarrow V \otimes U'$ where $\iota : v \mapsto v \otimes u'$ for some basis element $u' \in U'$. Now let $e_g \in W$ so that we have

$$h(\iota(\varphi^{-1}(e_g))) = h(\iota \text{sgn}(g)e_g) = h(\text{sgn}(g)e_g \otimes u') = \text{sgn}(g)e_{hg} \otimes \text{sgn}(h)u' = \text{sgn}(gh)(e_{hg} \otimes u').$$

and

$$\iota(\varphi^{-1}(he_g)) = \iota(\varphi^{-1}(e_{hg})) = \iota(\text{sgn}(hg)e_{hg}) = \text{sgn}(hg)e_{hg} \otimes u' = \text{sgn}(gh)(e_{hg} \otimes u')$$

since $\text{sgn}(gh) = \text{sgn}(hg)$. So the map is G -linear and is obviously a bijection. Now let $\varphi : Aa_\lambda b_\lambda \rightarrow A$ be defined as $\varphi : e_g \mapsto \text{sgn}(g)e_g$ and extended linearly. Then note that $\varphi(a_\lambda) = b_{\lambda'}$ and $\varphi(b_\lambda) = a_{\lambda'}$. By part (a) we know $Aa_\lambda b_\lambda = Ab_\lambda a_\lambda$. Also φ is a A -homomorphism since

$$\varphi(e_g e_h) = \varphi(e_{gh}) = \text{sgn}(gh)e_{gh} = \text{sgn}(g)\text{sgn}(h)e_g e_h = \varphi(e_g)\varphi(e_h).$$

Thus the image of $Ab_\lambda a_\lambda$ under φ is $Aa_{\lambda'} b_{\lambda'} = V_{\lambda'}$. By the above, this is isomorphic to $V \otimes U'$. \square

Problem 2. Show that for general d , the standard representation V corresponds to the partition $d = (d - 1) + 1$. As a challenge, you can try to prove that the exterior powers of the standard representation V are represented by a “hook”.

Proof. We can deduce this by noting that the character of the standard representation is $\chi_V = \chi_{\mathbb{C}^d} - \chi_U$ where U and \mathbb{C}^d are the trivial and permutation representations respectively. So for a conjugacy class C_i , $\chi_V(C_i)$ is -1 plus the number of things C_i fixes in the permutation representation. Note that this is the number of 1-cycles in the cycle-type of C_i , or i_1 . Thus $\chi_V(C_i) = i_1 - 1$. By Problem ??, this is the character corresponding to $(d - 1, 1)$. \square

Problem 3. Deduce the hook length formula from the Frobenius formula (4.11).

Proof. We use induction. The base case for the trivial representation is clear. Suppose we're given a Young diagram from a partition λ and we enter in the hook lengths h_1, \dots, h_d . From the Frobenius formula we know

$$\dim(V_\lambda) = \frac{d!}{l_1! \dots l_k!} \prod_{i < j} (l_i - l_j).$$

Note that the numbers in the first column are the numbers l_1, \dots, l_k . If we ignore the first column then we have a smaller Young diagram which satisfies the hook length formula by induction. Call this partition λ' and note $\prod_{i < j} ((l_i - 1) - (l_j - 1)) = \prod_{i < j} (l_i - l_j)$. Then we have

$$\dim(V_{\lambda'}) = \frac{(d - k)!}{\frac{1}{l_1 \dots l_k} \prod_i h_i} = \frac{(d - k)!}{(l_1 - 1)! \dots (l_k - 1)!} \prod_{i < j} (l_i - l_j).$$

Multiplying by $(d(d - 1) \dots (d - k + 1)) / (l_1 \dots l_k)$ gives the formula for V_λ . \square

Problem 4. Use the hook length formula to show that the only irreducible representations of S_d of dimension less than d are the trivial and alternating representation U and U' of dimension 1, the standard representation V and $V' = V \otimes U'$ of dimension $d - 1$ and three other examples: the two-dimensional representation S_4 corresponding to the partition $4 = 2 + 2$, and the two five-dimensional representations of S_6 corresponding to the partitions $6 = 3 + 3$ and $6 = 2 + 2 + 2$.

Proof. Note that U and U' clearly have dimension 1 and V corresponds to a partition $(d - 1, 1)$ by Problem standard so it has dimension $d - 1$ by the formula. By Problem ??, $\dim(V) = \dim(V') = d - 1$ as well. The dimension of the S_4 representation corresponding to $2 + 2$ is $4!/(3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1) = 2$, the dimension of the S_6 representation corresponding to $3 + 3$ is $6!/(4 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1) = 5$ and this is the same as the dimension of the representation corresponding to $2 + 2 + 2$ by Problem ??.

We use induction. Assume that we have a Young diagram with the hook lengths h_1, \dots, h_d filled in. Also assume that if we remove the first column, the resulting partition corresponds to a representation which isn't one of the above exceptions. By induction, this representation has dimension

$$\dim(V_{\lambda'}) = \frac{(d - k)!}{\frac{1}{l_1 \cdots l_k} \prod_i h_i} \geq d - k.$$

If we multiply both sides by $(d(d - 1) \cdots (d - k + 1))/(l_1 \cdots l_k)$ we

$$\dim(V_\lambda) \geq \frac{d(d - 1) \cdots (d - k)}{l_1 \cdots l_k}.$$

The only possibility for $l_1 \cdots l_k$ containing a factor of d is if λ is a hook. Excluding the possibilities that V_λ is U , U' , V or V' , this means that $l_1 \cdots l_k$ is of the form $d(d - 3)^2(d - 4)^2 \cdots 1^2$. In this case we're left with at least $(d - 1)(d - 2) > d$ in the numerator, so $\dim(V_\lambda) \geq d$. \square

Problem 5. Using Frobenius's formula or otherwise, show that:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{(d-1,1)}(C_i) &= i_1 - 1; \\ \chi_{(d-2,11)}(C_i) &= \frac{1}{2}(i_1 - 1)(i_1 - 2) - i_2; \\ \chi_{(d-2,2)}(C_i) &= \frac{1}{2}(i_1 - 1)(i_1 - 2) + i_2 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Can you continue this list?

Proof. Let $\lambda = (d - 1, 1)$. Then by the Frobenius formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\lambda(C_i) &= [(x_1 - x_2)(x_1 + x_2)^{i_1}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{i_2} \cdots (x_1^d + x_2^d)^{i_d}]_{(d,1)} \\ &= \left[(x_1 - x_2) \left(x_1^{i_1} + \binom{i_1}{1} x_1^{i_1-1} x_2 + \cdots \right) (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{i_2} \cdots (x_1^d + x_2^d)^{i_d} \right]_{(d,1)} \end{aligned}$$

where we've expanded the second term with the binomial formula. We need to find all the terms $x_1^{d-1}x_2$, so we look for terms where the exponent of x_2 is 1. So we can choose a $-x_2$ from the first term, and all x_1 terms from the rest of the terms, or a $\binom{i_1}{1}x_1^{i_1-1}x_2$ term from the second term and all x_1 terms from the rest of the the terms. Summing these two gives $\chi_\lambda(C_i) = i - 1$.

Now let $\lambda = (d - 2, 1, 1)$. Then by the Frobenius formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\lambda(C_i) &= [(x_1 - x_2)(x_2 - x_3)(x_1 - x_3)(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^{i_1}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{i_2} \cdots (x_1^d + x_2^d + x_3^d)^{i_d}]_{(d,2,1)} \\ &= \left[(x_1 - x_2)(x_2 - x_3)(x_1 - x_3) \left(\binom{i_1}{i_1-1 \ 1} x_1^{i_1-1} x_3 + \binom{i_1}{i_1-1 \ 1} x_1^{i_1-1} x_2 + \binom{i_1}{i_1-2 \ 1 \ 1} x_1^{i_1-2} x_2 x_3 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \binom{i_1}{i_1-2 \ 2} x_1^{i_1-2} x_2^2 + \cdots \right) \left(\binom{i_2}{i_2-1 \ 1} (x_1^2)^{i_2-1} x_2^2 + \cdots \right) \cdots (x_1^d + x_2^d + x_3^d)^{i_d} \right]_{(d,2,1)} \end{aligned}$$

where the relevant terms have been expanded using the multinomial formula. Now it's easy to pick out the only terms which have an exponent of 1 for x_3 . Starting from the right-most terms in the fourth term, these coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} -\binom{i_1}{i_1-2\ 2} + \binom{i_1}{i_1-2\ 1\ 1} - \binom{i_1}{i_1-1\ 1\ 1} - \binom{i_1}{i_1-1\ 1\ 1} - \binom{i_2}{i_2-1\ 1} &= \frac{-i_1(i_1-1)}{2} + i_1(i_1-1) - 2i_1 - i_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(i_1-1)(i_1-2) - i_2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally let $\lambda = (d-2, 2)$. The by the Frobenius formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\lambda(C_i) &= [(x_1 - x_2)(x_1 + x_2)^{i_1}(x_1^2 + x_2)^{i_2} \cdots (x_1^d + x_2^d)^{i_d}]_{(d-1,2)} \\ &= \left[(x_1 - x_2) \left(x_1^{i_1} + \binom{i_1}{1} x_1^{i_1-1} x_2 + \binom{i_1}{2} x_1^{i_1-2} x_2^2 + \cdots \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(x_1^{2i_2} + \binom{i_2}{1} x_1^{2(i_1-1)} x_2^2 + \cdots \right) \cdots (x_1^d + x_2^d)^{i_d} \right]_{(d-1,2)} \end{aligned}$$

where we've expanded the second and third terms using the binomial theorem. Now we're looking for terms with x_2 exponent 2. There are three such terms found by either taking the second term from the third term, the third term from the second term, or the second term from the second term and the second term from the first term. Adding these coefficients up we have

$$\binom{i_2}{1} + \binom{i_1}{2} - \binom{i_1}{1} = i_2 + \frac{i_1(i_1-1)}{2} - i_1 = \frac{1}{2}(i_1-1)(i_1-2) + i_2 - 1.$$

□

Problem 6. If g is a cycle of length d in S_d , show that $\chi_\lambda(g)$ is ± 1 if λ is a hook, and zero if λ is not a hook:

$$\chi_\lambda(g) = \begin{cases} (-1)^s & \text{if } \lambda = (d-s, 1, \dots, 1), 0 \leq s \leq d-1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda = (d-s, 1, \dots, 1)$ is a hook. Note that $g \in C_i$ where $i_d = 1$ and $i_j = 0$ for $j \neq d$. The the frobenius formula reduces to

$$\chi_\lambda(g) = [\Delta(x)(x_1^d + x_2^d + \cdots + x_{s+1}^d)]_{(l_1, \dots, l_{s+1})}.$$

Note also that $l_1 = d-s+(s+1)-1 = d$ and $l_j = s+2-j$ for $j > 1$. Since there are s terms with x_1 in $\Delta(x)$, to get a term with exponent d for x_1 we must choose x_1^d from the last term and then choose $-x_i$ from all the $(x_1 - x_i)$ terms in $\Delta(x)$. This gives $(-1)^s$ so far.

Now, one of these terms contained a $-x_2$, so we must choose $l_2 - 1 = s - 1$ more, all of which must be from terms of the form $(x_2 - x_i)$, so this doesn't change the coefficient. Similarly, we must choose $s-3$ terms containing x_3 . Continuing in this fashion, we eventually choose all the terms and so the coefficient remains at $(-1)^s$.

On the other hand, if λ is not a hook, then $l_1 \neq d$. But then the coefficient of $x_1^{l_1} \cdots x_k^{l_k}$ in $\Delta(x)(x_1^d + \cdots + x_k^d)$ must be zero. To see this, note that we must choose x_1^d from the last term, and then some number of terms in $\Delta(x)$. But each time we choose x_1 from a term $(x_1 - x_i)$, there is a corresponding choice where we choose $-x_i$. All of these choices cancel out and so we end up with 0 in the end. □

Problem 7. If V is the standard representation of S_d , prove the decompositions into irreducible representations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sym}^2 V &\cong U \oplus V \oplus V_{(d-2,2)}, \\ V \otimes V &= \text{Sym}^2 V \oplus \wedge^2 V \cong U \oplus V \oplus V_{(d-2,2)} \oplus V_{(d-2,1,1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $g \in S_d$ and let C_i be the conjugacy class of g . Using Problems ?? and ?? we can evaluate the character on the right of the first formula as $\frac{1}{2}(i_1^2 - i_1 + 2i_2)$. To compute the lefthand side we can use the character formula for $\text{Sym}^2 V$, namely $\frac{1}{2}(\chi_V(g)^2 + \chi_V(g^2))$. To compute $\chi_V(g^2) = i_1 - 1$, we need to find all the cycles which become 1-cycles in g^2 . This includes all the 1-cycles of g , plus all the 2-cycles of g (which we multiply by 2 since there are two elements in the cycle). Thus $\chi_V(g^2) = (i_1 + 2i_2) - 1$ and

$$\chi_{\text{Sym}^2 V}(g) = \frac{1}{2}((i_1 - 1)^2 + (i_1 + 2i_2) - 1) = \frac{1}{2}(i_1^2 - i_1 + 2i_2)$$

as desired.

For the second part, we already have all the formulas. For the character of the left hand side we add

$$\chi_{\text{Sym}^2 V}(g) + \chi_{\wedge^2 V}(g) = \frac{1}{2}(\chi_V(g)^2 + \chi_V(g^2)) + \frac{1}{2}(\chi_V(g)^2 - \chi_V(g^2)) = \chi_V(g)^2 = i_1^2 - 2i_1 + 1.$$

For the righthand side, we simply add the character for $V_{d-2,1,1}$ from Problem ?? to the above character for $U \oplus V \oplus V_{(d-2,2)}$. This is

$$\frac{1}{2}(i_1^2 - i_1 + 2i_2) + \frac{1}{2}(i_1 - 1)(i_1 - 2) - i_2 = i_1^2 - 2i_1 + 1$$

so the characters are the same. □