

Homework 4

Problem 1. Let G be a connected graph on at least three vertices, and let $e = uv$ be a cut edge of G . Show that either u or v is a cut vertex of G .

Proof. Note that since e is a cut edge of G , then e belongs to no cycle. Since there is clearly a path from u to v through e , there can thus be no other path from u to v . Because G has at least three vertices, either u or v must have one other neighbor, w . Without loss of generality, suppose u has neighbor w . Then $G - u$ results in a graph in which there is no path from w to v , otherwise that path adjoined with wu and uv would make a cycle containing e . Since $G - u$ is now disconnected we have $c(G - u) > c(G)$ and so u is cut vertex of G . \square

Problem 2. Let G be a nonseparable graph, and let e be an edge of G . Show that the graph obtained from G by subdividing e is nonseparable.

Proof. Since G is nonseparable, it is connected and has no separating vertices. It's clear that subdividing e will keep G connected, and so it remains to be shown that no separating vertices are introduced. In the case that G consists of one vertex and a loop, subdividing e creates the graph on two vertices with two parallel edges which is nonseparable. Assume that G has more than one vertex, and so G has no loops as it's nonseparable. Let $e = uv$ and subdivide e so that the path uwv is created. Call this new graph G' . Suppose that w is a separating vertex. Then G' consists of two connected components joined only at w . But the only neighbors of w are u and v and so G/uv is also separable with a separating vertex u . But this graph is G , which is nonseparable. Therefore w is not a separating vertex. Since this is the only vertex introduced in the process, we know that G' is nonseparable. \square

Problem 3. Let G be a graph, and let e be an edge of G . Show that:

1) If $G \setminus e$ is nonseparable and e is not a loop of G , then G is nonseparable.

Proof. If $G \setminus e$ is nonseparable then it is connected and the graph G is clearly connected as well as adding edges will not destroy any paths. We must show that if $e = uv$ then neither u nor v is a separating vertex in G . Suppose u is a separating vertex of G . Then G can be decomposed into two connected subgraphs which have only u in common. Note that one of these subgraphs must not contain e . Suppose we remove e from the other subgraph. Since $G \setminus e$ is nonseparable, it is connected and so there exists a path connecting each point in this subgraph to u . But then this subdivides $G \setminus e$ into two connected subgraphs which only intersect at u . This is a contradiction so u is not a separating vertex and a similar case holds for v . Since e is not a loop, we can state that G is nonseparable. \square

2) If G/e is nonseparable and e is neither a loop nor a cut edge of G , then G is nonseparable.

Proof. Note that since e is not a cut edge nor a loop, it must belong to a cycle, C , of G . Then since G/e is nonseparable, an edge of C and any other edge of G lie in a common cycle. Now take e' in G . We know that e' lies on common cycle with some edge, e'' in C . Then we can form a cycle containing e and e' by combining the path connecting one end of e' to e'' , the path connecting the two ends of e'' , which contains e , and the path connecting the other end of e'' to e' . This path combined with e' creates a cycle containing e and e' . Thus, any two edges of G are contained in a common cycle and G is nonseparable. \square

Problem 4. 1) Let B be a block of a graph G , and let P be a path in G connecting two vertices of B . Show that P is contained in B .

Proof. Suppose that P is not entirely contained in B . Since B is nonseparable, it is connected and so there exists a path entirely in B connecting the ends of P . But then this path, combined with P creates a cycle which is not contained in a single block. This is a contradiction and so P must be entirely contained in B . \square

2) Deduce that a spanning subgraph T of a connected graph G is a spanning tree of G if and only if $T \cap B$ is a spanning tree of B for every block B of G .

Proof. Suppose T is a spanning tree of G and let B be a block of G . Consider two vertices u and v of B . Since T is a tree there exists a path in T between u and v , and by Part 1) this path lies entirely in B . Thus $T \cap B$ is a spanning tree of B . Conversely, suppose that T is a spanning subgraph of G such that $T \cap B$ is a spanning tree of B for each block B of G . Consider two vertices u and v of G such that $u \in B_1$ and $v \in B_n$. Since G is connected, it has a block tree $B(G)$. Then there's a path in $B(G)$ which connects B_1 and B_n . This path alternates blocks B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n with separating vertices, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1} . Now take the path in $T \cap B_1$ which connects u with s_1 , then adjoin it with the path in $T \cap B_2$ which connects s_1 with s_2 . Continue in the way until we adjoin the path in $T \cap B_n$ which connects s_{n-1} with v . This shows that T is a spanning tree. \square

Problem 5. Let G be a nonseparable graph and v a vertex of G of degree at least 4 with at least two distinct neighbors. Also let f be an edge of G not incident to v . Let $H = G \setminus f$ be a separable graph whose block tree is a path. Suppose that v is a separating vertex of H such that there are two edges, $e_1 = vv_1$ and $e_2 = vv_2$, which are incident to v and lie in distinct blocks of H . Let G' be the graph obtained by splitting off e_1 and e_2 with an edge e . Show that:

1) G' is connected.

Proof. We need only consider paths in G which contain v . Note that any such path must contain at least one of e_1 or e_2 since the block tree of H is a path. Any path which contains e_1 and e_2 in succession remains intact in G' since the connection e_1e_2 is simply replaced by e . Consider a path with endpoints p_1 and p_2 in G which contains e_1 and is not followed by e_2 . Since G is nonseparable and v has degree at least 4, we know that e_1 lies on a cycle in G , and that this cycle must be contained in the block containing e_1 . Then any path which contains e_1 can be replaced by the remainder of this cycle and likewise for e_2 . This shows that G' is connected. \square

2) Each edge of G' lies in a cycle.

Proof. Consider an edge, $e' \neq e$, in G' . Suppose first that e' is completely contained in a block, B , of H and does not have vertices which are separating vertices of H . We know that G is nonseparable and so each pair of edges lies on a common cycle. Thus e' and some other edge in B lie on a common cycle of G' and this cycle must lie entirely in B . If e' contains a separating vertex of H , then it lies in part of the cycle formed by the path connecting all the separating vertices of H , and f . Finally, if $e' = e$, then e lies in this cycle as well. Therefore every edge of G' lies in a cycle. \square

Problem 6. Let G be a nonseparable graph, and let e be an edge of G such that $G \setminus e$ is separable. Show that the block tree of $G \setminus e$ is a path.

Proof. Suppose that $B(G)$ is not a path and consider some vertex, v , which has degree at least 3. Suppose that this vertex corresponds to a separating vertex, s , in $G \setminus e$. Then there are at least three blocks, B_1, B_2 and B_3 which intersect at s . Consider two edges $e_1 \in B_1$ and $e_2 \in B_2$. Note that since G is nonseparable, there exists a cycle, C , containing e_1 and e_2 . Since $G \setminus e$ is separable and e_1 and e_2 are in different blocks, this cycle must contain e . Moreover, e must join B_1 and B_2 directly. Likewise, for two edges $e_2 \in B_2$ and $e_3 \in B_3$, there exists a cycle C' which contains e , and e joins B_2 and B_3 directly. But in a similar way, e must join B_1 and B_3 directly, which is impossible.

Now suppose that v corresponds to a block, B . Then there are at least three separating vertices which are part of B . Note that a leaf of $B(G)$ must correspond to a block, and so each of these separating vertices belongs to exactly one other block. There are then at least three blocks which are connected to B and the above proof holds to show that this situation is impossible. Therefore each vertex of $B(G)$ has degree less than 3. \square

Problem 7. *Let F be a nonseparable proper subgraph of a graph G , and let P be an ear of F . Then $F \cup P$ is nonseparable.*

Proof. We know any two edges of F lie on a common cycle in $F \cup P$. Consider the ends of P , p_1 and p_2 . Since F is nonseparable there exists a path in F which connects p_1 and p_2 . Then this path together with P creates a cycle in $F \cup P$. Thus any two edges in P lie in a cycle in $F \cup P$. Now consider some edge $e_1 = uv$ in F . There exist paths P_1 from u to p_1 and P_2 from v to p_2 . Then for any edge e_2 in P the cycle $P_1 P P_2 e_1$ contains both e_1 and e_2 . Thus any two edges of $F \cup P$ lie on a common cycle. \square

Problem 8. *Show that every edge of a nonseparable graph is either deletable or contractible.*

Proof. In the case that $G = K_2$, the edge can be contracted to form K_1 which is also nonseparable. Assume that G has three or more vertices. Let e be an edge of a nonseparable graph G and suppose that $G \setminus e$ is separable. Note that since G is nonseparable, there are no cut vertices and so any two distinct vertices are connected by internally disjoint paths. Note that e cannot be a loop since G is nonseparable. Thus, $G \setminus e$ must have at least one cut vertex since it has a separating vertex. Therefore there exist two vertices, u and v which are not connected by internally disjoint paths in $G \setminus e$, but are in G . Therefore one of the paths contain e and identifying the two ends of e will necessarily preserve this connection. Then there are no cut vertices in G/e and G/e is thus nonseparable.

Now suppose that G/e is separable. Since no connections are broken when contracting e , no paths are disconnected and so there are no cut vertices in G/e except at the point where e was contracted. Suppose that e is contracted to the vertex v . Then v must be a separating vertex of G/e . Note that a connected subgraph containing v could not have only contained one vertex of e in G , since then G would have been separable. Thus, some connected subgraph contained both vertices of e and thus made a cycle with e . Then in $G \setminus e$ this cycle is broken, but any paths which contained e can be replaced with the remaining part of the cycle which joins the two ends of e . Thus, $G \setminus e$ is nonseparable. \square