

### Homework 3

**Exercise 1** Let

$$A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq A_3 \supseteq \dots$$

be a sequence of closed nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $A_1$  is bounded. Then

$$\bigcap_n A_n \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* Since  $A_1$  is bounded and any  $A_i$  is a subset of  $A_1$  we have  $A_i$  is bounded as well. Thus there exists a greatest lower bound for every set in the sequence. Let  $I = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x = \inf A_i \text{ for some } A_i\}$ .  $I$  is nonempty and it is bounded above by  $\sup A_1$  since every element of  $I$  is less than every element of some  $A_n$ , and every element of  $A_n$  is less than  $\sup A_1$ . So  $\sup I$  exists. Suppose to the contrary that  $\sup I \notin \bigcap_n A_n$ . Then there exists some  $A_i$  such that  $\sup I \notin A_i$ . We know that  $\inf A_i \in A_i$  by Theorem 6.8 and so  $\sup I$  cannot be a lower bound of  $A_i$  because it must be greater than or equal to  $\inf A_i$  and not in  $A_i$ . Consider the case where  $\sup I$  is between two elements of  $A_i$ . We have  $\inf A_i$  is greater than or equal to  $\inf A_j$  for  $j \leq i$  and we have  $\inf A_j \in A_i$  for  $j \geq i$ . But since  $\sup I$  is not in  $A_i$  and  $A_i$  is closed,  $\sup I$  is not a limit point of  $A_i$  and so there exists a disjoint region from  $A_i$  which contains  $\sup I$ . But then there exists some other point in this region which is less than  $\sup I$  and still greater than every point in  $I$  since all of these points are in  $A_i$  or are less than  $\inf A_i$ . This is a contradiction and so  $\sup I$  is not between two elements of  $A_i$ . So we have  $\sup I$  is an upper bound for  $A_i$ . But  $\sup A_i \in A_i$  and so  $\sup A_i < \sup I$ . But we must have  $\sup A_i$  is greater than every greatest upper bound of all the sets otherwise two sets would be disjoint. So then we have an upper bound for  $I$  which is less than  $\sup I$ . This is a contradiction and so  $\sup I \in \bigcap_n A_n$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2** Show that Exercise 1 does not hold for open intervals.

*Proof.* Define a series of sets where  $A_1 = (0; 1)$  and  $A_n = (0; \frac{1}{n})$ . Suppose that  $\bigcap_n A_n \neq \emptyset$ . Then suppose  $x \in \bigcap_n A_n$ . We have  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and so there exists some  $q \in (0; x)$  such that  $q$  is rational. But then since  $0 < q < 1$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , by the Archimedean property there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $\frac{1}{q} < k$ . But then  $\frac{1}{k} < q < x$  and so  $x \notin (0; \frac{1}{k})$ . This means that  $x \notin \bigcap_n A_n$  and so the intersection must be empty.  $\square$

**Exercise 3** Show that Exercise 1 does not hold if we omit boundedness.

*Proof.* Consider  $\mathbb{N}$  and make a series of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  where each succeeding subset removes the least element from the previous one. That is  $A_{n+1} = A_n \setminus \{\text{the least element of } A_n\}$ . Each succeeding subset in this sequence is a subset of the previous one, but the intersection of all of them will be empty because every natural number is eventually excluded from some set.  $\square$

**Exercise 4** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be a sequence of closed intervals. Assume that for all  $i, j > 0$ ,  $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$ . Show that

$$\bigcap_n A_n \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all  $A_i$  in the sequence. Let  $I = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x = \inf A_i \text{ for some } A_i\}$  and let  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x = \sup A_i \text{ for some } A_i\}$ . We know that  $I$  is nonempty and bounded above because every  $A_i$  is bounded above. So we have  $\sup I$  exists and by a similar argument  $\inf S$  exists. Note that for all  $A_i, A_j \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $\inf A_i < \sup A_j$  because  $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$ . Also note that  $\sup I$  is either in  $I$  or is a limit point of  $I$  and the same is true for  $\inf S$  and  $S$  by Theorem 6.8. Assume that  $\sup I > \inf S$ . There are four cases:

*Case 1:* Let  $\sup I \in I$  and  $\inf S \in S$ . This is a contradiction because every element of  $I$  and  $S$  is either  $\inf A_i$  or  $\sup A_i$  for some  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  and we never have  $\inf A_i > \sup A_j$ .

*Case 2:* Let  $\sup I \in I$  and let  $\inf S$  be a limit point of  $S$ . Then we let  $(a; b)$  be a region containing  $\inf S$  such that there exists some  $x \in S$  where  $x \in (a; b)$ . Since this is true for any region containing  $\inf S$ , suppose that  $b < \sup I$ . But then we have some  $x \in S$  and  $\sup I \in I$  such that  $x < \sup I$  which is a contradiction.

*Case 3:* Let  $\inf S \in S$  and let  $\sup I$  be a limit point of  $I$ . This is a contradiction by a similar argument to *Case 2*.

*Case 4:* Let  $\inf S$  be a limit point of  $S$  and let  $\sup I$  be a limit point of  $I$ . So there exist two disjoint regions,  $(a; b)$  and  $(b; c)$  such that  $\inf S \in (a; b)$  and  $\sup I \in (b; c)$  and there exist elements  $s \in S$  and  $i \in I$  such that  $s \in (a; b)$  and  $i \in (b; c)$ . But then we have  $s < i$  which is a contradiction.

So we have  $\sup I \leq \inf S$ . In the case where  $\inf S = \sup I$  we have  $\inf S \leq \sup A_i$  and  $\sup I \geq \inf A_i$  for all  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ . But then  $\inf S \leq \sup A_i$  and  $\inf S \geq \inf A_i$  for all  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ . But by definition  $A_i = [\inf A_i; \sup A_i]$  and so we have  $\inf S \in \bigcap_n A_n$ . In the case where  $\sup I < \inf S$  we consider  $x \in [\sup I; \inf S]$ . Then  $x \leq \sup A_i$  and  $x \geq \inf A_i$  for all  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus we have  $x \in \bigcap_n A_n$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 5** Show that if  $A$  is an uncountable set of positive real numbers, then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that there are uncountably many elements of  $A$  that are bigger than  $\varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that for an uncountable set of positive reals and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are countably many elements of this set greater than  $\varepsilon$ . Then consider some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an uncountable set of positive reals  $A$ . We have countably many elements of  $A$  greater than  $\varepsilon$  and since two countable sets will union to a countable set, there must be uncountably many elements of  $A$  less than  $\varepsilon$ . But then consider the reciprocals of every element in  $A$ . We now have an uncountable set with countably many elements less than  $1/\varepsilon$  and uncountably many elements of greater than  $1/\varepsilon$ . But  $1/\varepsilon > 0$  and so this is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Exercise 6** Is there an uncountable set of pairwise disjoint real regions?

No.

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a set of pairwise disjoint real regions. Every element of  $S$  contains some rational number because between every two real numbers there exists a rational number. But since every two elements are disjoint, we have every element containing a unique rational number. But then we can make a function from  $S$  to a subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$  by mapping each element of  $S$  to a rational representative. This function is clearly surjective and it must be injective because every element maps to a distinct and unique rational number. This subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable. Thus  $S$  is countable.  $\square$

**Exercise 7** Let  $A$  be an uncountable subset of the reals. Show that there exists  $a \in A$  which is a limit point of  $A$ .

*Proof.* Assume that there exists no limit point  $a \in A$  for an uncountable set  $A$ . For all  $x \in A$  there exists some region  $(a; b)$  such that  $x \in (a; b)$ . Choose these regions to be disjoint as in Exercise 6 from Homework 2. But now we have an uncountable set of pairwise disjoint real regions which is a contradiction from Exercise 6. Thus there must exist some limit point of  $A$  in  $A$ .  $\square$