

Homework 1

Problem 1. Prove IP2 by induction on the property $Q(x) = "P(k) \text{ holds for all } k < x."$

Proof. Note $Q(0)$ is vacuously true since there are no natural numbers less than 0. Suppose $Q(n)$ is true. Then $P(k)$ holds for all $k < n$. Now if $P(n)$ is true, then $P(k)$ holds for all $k < n + 1$ and thus $Q(n + 1)$ is true. Then Q holds for any natural number n which means P holds for the same set of numbers. This proves IP2 holds. \square

Problem 2. Prove that the relation $<$, as we defined it in class, is transitive on \mathbb{N} . That is, show that for all $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $k < m$ and $m < n$ then $k < n$.

Proof. Let $P(n)$ be the statment "For all $k < m$ and $m < n$, $k < n$ ". Note that $P(0)$ is vacuously true since there are no natural numbers less than 0. Suppose $P(n)$ is true. Choose $k < n + 1$ and $m < k$. If $m < n$ then we know $k < n$ by our inductive hypothesis. It remains to show the case $k = n$. Suppose $k = n$ and $m < n$. Then $m \subseteq n$. But note that $n + 1 = n \cup \{n\}$. Thus $m \subseteq n + 1$ and so $m < n + 1$. By the principle of induction, $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Problem 3. Prove that $(\mathbb{N}, <)$ is a well ordered set.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a subset with no least element. Let $B = \mathbb{N} \setminus A$ be the set of natural numbers not in A . Note that $0 \in B$ because 0 is less than every natural number and so it would be the least element of A . Also, if $n \in B$, then $n + 1 \in B$ as well, otherwise $n + 1$ would be a least element of A . But then $B = \mathbb{N}$ and so $A = \emptyset$. Thus all nonempty subsets of \mathbb{N} have least elements. \square

Problem 4. Prove that there is no function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(n) > f(n + 1)$.

Proof. Suppose such a function f exists. We can show that each element of $f(\mathbb{N})$ is distinct. Suppose $f(k) < f(n)$ for all $k < n$. Then note that $f(n) < f(n + 1)$ and by the transitivity of $<$ on \mathbb{N} , we have $f(k) < f(n + 1)$ for each $k < n + 1$. Thus by the second version of the induction principle, we know every element of $f(\mathbb{N})$ is distinct.

Let $f(0) = k$. Note that there are only k natural numbers less than k . Consider $f(k + 1)$. We know $f(k + 1) < f(k), f(k - 1), \dots, f(1), f(0)$. Since each of $f(0), f(1), \dots, f(k)$ is distinct, by the pigeon hole principle, one of these must be equal to $f(k + 1)$. This is a contradiction and so f cannot exist. \square

Problem 5. Verify that the definition we gave in class for \models is unambiguous for each wff A .

Proof. Let \mathcal{S} be a set of sentence symbols and $M \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ be a model. First suppose that A has length 1. Then either $A \in M$ or $A \notin M$ and so either $M \models A$ or $M \not\models A$. Now suppose that for all wffs B of length n , we have $M \models B$. Then by definition $M \models A = (\neg(B))$. Also, if C is a wff of length n , then $M \models A = ((B) \wedge (C))$. Since these are the only two ways of making a wff, by the principle of induction there is no ambiguity in the symbol \models for any wff A . \square