



# Machine Learning


Team Members:  
Mahmoud Mostafa,  
Omar Ayman, Eslam Gamal

Supervisor: Dr. Ayman Antar

# Handwritten Digit Recognition

A Comparative Analysis of  
Classification Algorithms

Graduate-Level Machine Learning Project



# Project Overview & Objectives

## Objective

Implement and compare multiple machine learning approaches for recognizing handwritten digits, focusing on accuracy, efficiency, and model robustness.

## Relevance

Handwritten digit recognition is a fundamental problem in computer vision with critical applications in postal automation, bank check processing, and automated data entry systems.

## Key Models Explored

Multi-Layer Perceptron

Logistic Regression


Linear Regression (OvA)

Naive Bayes (GaussianNB)

# Dataset: DIDA 10K Version

|                       |                                      |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| <b>Dataset Size</b>   | 10,000 samples of handwritten digits |
| <b>Input Format</b>   | 28x28 pixel grayscale images         |
| <b>Representation</b> | Flattened 784-dimensional vectors    |
| <b>Output Classes</b> | 10 classes (digits 0 through 9)      |

Source: [didadataset.github.io/DIDA/](https://didadataset.github.io/DIDA/)



# Methodology: Data Preprocessing

## Normalization

Pixel values were scaled to the **[0, 1]** range. This ensures numerical stability and facilitates faster convergence during the model training phase.

## Data Splitting

The dataset was divided into an **80% Training Set** (8,000 samples) for optimization and a **20% Testing Set** (2,000 samples) for final evaluation.

## Validation

Implemented **5-fold Cross-Validation** to ensure model robustness. This technique provides a more reliable estimate of model performance on unseen data.

# Methodology: Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)


## Architecture

A feed-forward neural network consisting of an input layer (784 neurons), a minimum of two hidden layers with optimized neuron counts, and a 10-neuron output layer.

## Optimization

Hyperparameters including learning rate, batch size, and number of epochs were tuned using iterative optimization to minimize classification error on the training set.

**Key Strength:** Capable of capturing complex non-linear relationships in high-dimensional pixel data through hierarchical feature learning.



# Methodology: Regression Models

## Logistic Regression

### Multi-class Setup

Implemented using a multinomial probabilistic approach to handle the 10-digit classification task directly.

### Optimization

Focused on convergence criteria and L2 regularization to ensure model stability and prevent overfitting on high-dimensional pixel data.

## Linear Regression (OvA)

### One-vs-All Strategy

Adapted for classification by training 10 separate binary linear models, each identifying one specific digit against all others.

### Decision Logic

Predictions are made by selecting the class whose corresponding model yields the highest confidence score for the input vector.

# Methodology: Naive Bayes Classifier

## Probabilistic Approach

Based on **Bayes' Theorem**, this model calculates the probability of a digit class given the observed pixel intensities. It assumes that each pixel's value is independent of others, simplifying the complex joint probability distribution.

## Implementation

The **Gaussian Naive Bayes (GaussianNB)** variant was selected for this project. It assumes that the continuous pixel intensity values for each class follow a normal (Gaussian) distribution.

## Role as Baseline

Serves as a **performance baseline** to quantify the gains achieved by more complex discriminative models like Logistic Regression and Multi-Layer Perceptrons. It highlights the necessity of capturing spatial dependencies in digit recognition.

### Key Assumption


Feature Independence: Despite being "naive" in image data where pixels are highly correlated, it provides a fast and efficient baseline.

# Results: Performance Metrics Summary

| Model                   | Accuracy | Precision | Recall | F1-Score |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------|--------|----------|
| MLP Neural Network      | 0.841    | 0.849     | 0.841  | 0.841    |
| Logistic Regression     | 0.747    | 0.747     | 0.747  | 0.746    |
| Linear Regression (OvA) | 0.689    | 0.689     | 0.689  | 0.687    |
| Naive Bayes             | 0.514    | 0.543     | 0.514  | 0.516    |

\* Metrics evaluated on the 20% test set (2,000 samples). Highlighting indicates the top-performing model.

# Results: Accuracy Comparison Visualized



## MLP Superiority

The Multi-Layer Perceptron significantly outperforms all other models, achieving ~84% accuracy.

## Linear Baselines

Logistic and Linear Regression show moderate performance, capturing basic linear patterns.

## NB Limitations

Naive Bayes shows the lowest accuracy, highlighting the impact of the pixel independence assumption.

# Results: Training Time vs. Accuracy



## Computational Efficiency


Models like **Naive Bayes** and **Linear Regression** offer near-instant training (<1s) but suffer from lower predictive power.

## The MLP Trade-off

The **MLP Neural Network** requires significantly more time (~107s) due to its iterative backpropagation process.

**Conclusion:** For this dataset, the 10% accuracy gain of MLP over Logistic Regression justifies the increased computational cost.

# Results: Error Analysis via Confusion Matrix



## Diagonal Dominance

High values along the main diagonal confirm the **MLP's high accuracy** across all 10 digit classes.

## Common Errors

Minor misclassifications occur between visually similar digits, such as **4 and 9** or **3 and 8**, where structural features overlap.

## Model Robustness

The model maintains consistent performance without significant bias toward any specific digit, demonstrating effective feature extraction.



# Key Takeaways

## Model Superiority

Multi-Layer Perceptrons (MLP) are significantly better suited for image-based recognition tasks, achieving 84.1% accuracy compared to traditional linear baselines.

## Methodology Impact

Rigorous data normalization and 5-fold cross-validation were critical in ensuring model stability and providing a reliable estimate of real-world performance.

## Performance vs. Speed

While MLP requires higher computational resources, the substantial gain in predictive power justifies the increased training time for precision-critical applications.

*The project successfully validated the efficacy of neural networks on the DIDA dataset, establishing a robust framework for handwritten digit classification.*



A white rectangular area containing a large, bold, dark blue question. The background features a subtle, semi-transparent network of blue dots and connecting lines, resembling a complex web or a molecular structure.

**Do You Have Any  
Questions.?**