Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality In Healthcare

Aqleema Mehmood*, Amna Bibi†, Amna Noreen‡

*2022-BSE-006, †2022-BSE-004, ‡2022-BSE-005
Department of Software Engineering, [Fatima Jinnah Women University], [Rawalpindi, Pakistan]
Email: {aqleemamehmood@gmail.com, ab7455888@gmail.com, amnanoreen353@gmail.com}bse@fjwu.edu.pk

***Abstract*—Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) are transforming the health sector with novel solutions for diagnosis, surgery, rehabilitation, and medical education. These immersive technologies provide richer visualization, real-time data integration, and interactive simulation that improve clinical precision and learning outcomes by significant margins. In the operating theatre, AR and VR improve precision by providing real-time tool tracking, 3D visualization of anatomical features, and procedural guidance. Advances in rehabilitation like brain-controlled exoskeletons and game spaces for therapy advance neuroplasticity and facilitate patient engagement. Additionally, immersive diagnostic technologies enable healthcare practitioners to engage with information in more intuitive ways, enabling early detection and evaluation.**

This review combines the current advances in AR/VR in healthcare and presents a comprehensive overview of their current impact and future potential. It also discusses key issues like hardware limitations, data security concerns, integration with current systems, and resistance of users and professionals to these technologies. By taking into account current trends such as the intersection of AR/VR with Artificial Intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and edge computing, we provide an outlook perspective on the successful scale-up of these technologies. The findings suggest that with continued investment and innovation, AR and VR are likely to have a major impact on patient care, improve clinical decision-making, and transform medical education over the next few years.

Keywords: Augmented Reality, Virtual Reality, Healthcare Technology, Medical Training, Surgical Precision, Rehabilitation, Immersive Diagnostics, AI in Healthcare, Edge Computing, Digital Health.

I. Introduction

Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) are revolutionary technologies that are revolutionizing how we engage with the physical environment and digital information. VR fully immerses users into computer-generated virtual worlds, whereas AR overlays digital information onto real-world environments. These emerging technologies are being used more and more across many industries, including education, healthcare, entertainment, and commerce. As the technology continues to develop, AR and VR can transform human-computer interaction and enable new ways of learning, communication, and solving problems.

This review article provides a glimpse into the rapidly changing scenario of AR and VR, giving a brief account of their current status, usage, issues, and potential future uses in the healthcare sector. It must be kept in mind that this is a literature review, free of original clinical findings or experimental evidence.

New technologies such as AR and VR enable interactive and immersive simulations that are revolutionizing traditional teaching methods. However, since these technologies are in their infancy, increased investment and improvement are required to meet the rising needs of modern education. The research also examines the most notable differences, advantages, and possible developments that AR and VR bring to the healthcare sector.

Through the use of sophisticated computer simulation, VR allows individuals to mimic virtual three-dimensional spaces, typically accessed using wearable technology like headsets and goggles. For example, VR surgical simulations allow new surgeons to practice operations, which eventually reduce the number of errors in real operations. The use of AR and VR in the healthcare sector is quickly evolving, especially in educational systems and interactive learning processes.

VR significantly promotes knowledge of human anatomy using 3D stereoscopic visualizations that enhance spatial awareness. AR, on the other hand, integrates virtual and real elements in real-time and allows learners to engage with digital elements that facilitate practical knowledge. Some of its uses are intravenous injection training, where simulated environments facilitate clinical competence.

Some VR platforms currently accommodate a variety of training contexts, ranging from subcutaneous injections to endoscopic procedures. These virtual settings, frequently supplemented by artificial intelligence, cater to diverse learning styles and the quality of healthcare training.

Health care professionals can improve training and treatment with VR and AR. These technologies are no longer in the theory tool phase but are becoming real solutions, not only assisting teachers but giving students interactive, immersive experiences.

The development of digital technologies and AI is also driving the incorporation of automation into learning and working environments. As the changing education needs of the 21st century require pedagogy to change as well, AR enables student engagement through active learning of complex systems—especially in anatomy, where spatial understanding is critical.

AR has demonstrated potential in diagnostics by providing real-time data overlays to enhance assessment accuracy. Such interactive features enhance understanding and memory. As its advantages are being increasingly realized, more and more medical institutions are integrating AR materials into their training curriculum.

VR is becoming an innovative tool in physical and mental health treatments. It provides safe settings for anxiety disorder exposure therapy and increases motivation in physical therapy, allowing for recovery from stroke or injury.

Besides, AR is enhancing patient care by overlaying vital information, including surgical guidance, on a surgeon's line of sight. This results in more accuracy and less mistake. For example, radiologists can use AR to overlay imaging information on patients and thus improve diagnostic accuracy and patient understanding.

To best prepare the future generation of healthcare providers, nursing education must incorporate the newest technologies like AR and VR into traditional skills. Both of these technologies can be used to maximize clinical processes, improve patient outcomes, and enhance quality of care. As such, nursing curricula today must incorporate AR, VR, and simulation education. The paper is divided into various sections: Introduction, Review Objectives, Methodology, Literature Review, Historical Evolution, Applications, Technological Development, Challenges and Oppurtunities, Comparative Analysis, Economic Considerations, Ethical Issues, Global Adoption Trends, Future Scope, and Conclusion.

II. Review Objective

This review gives an overview of the convergence of Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) in healthcare from a multidisciplinary viewpoint. The main aims are to:

Trace Technological Developments:
Follow the trajectory of AR/VR from their early beginnings in gaming and military use through to their deployment in clinical care between 2010 and 2024. Mark significant milestones like FDA-clearance of VR treatments and AR-enhanced operating platforms (e.g., Medivis SurgicalAR). Assess the convergence of building-block innovations like edge computing, artificial intelligence (AI), and 5G, without which contemporary AR/VR health infrastructures would not function.

Evaluate Clinical Applications:

- *Operative Precision:* Monitor improvements like a 32% reduction in errors in neurosurgery, 15–20% reduction in time taken for surgery, and a 41% reduction in errors caused during training through VR simulations.
- *Rehabilitation:* Investigate results of 73% functional recovery using brain-controlled exoskeletons and 89% adherence during children's VR rehabilitation sessions.
- *Diagnostics:* Evaluate the accuracy to submillimeter levels in tumor localization and a 67% decrease in needlestick trauma using AR-assisted imaging techniques.
- *Patient Involvement:* Evaluate projects like Cedars-Sinai's VR-based pain control, which have decreased patient anxiety rates by 40%.

Benchmark Technological Advances:
Compare the hardware (e.g., HoloLens 2 vs. Magic Leap 2) and software (e.g., Osso VR vs. Touch Surgery) employed in AR/VR surgical training. Compare computational infrastructures like federated learning (data privacy) and edge computing (to support sub-150ms latency for real-time AR/VR operations).

Identify Systemic Barriers:

- *Technical:* Describe ergonomic issues with head-mounted devices (HMDs), latency concerns especially in remote areas (only 34% of which have 5G coverage), and integration challenges with existing legacy platforms.
- *Ethical:* Investigate threats like possible disclosure of biometric information, difficulties in getting informed consent in immersive therapies, and legal consequences concerning AR-guided diagnosis procedures.
- *Economic:*
  Perform a cost-benefit analysis of AR/VR systems (which range from $3,500 to$15,000 per unit) compared to their long-term rate of return on investment, including a 40% decrease in training costs and shorter hospital stay times.

Conduct Comparative Analysis:
Distinguish AR/VR methods from conventional methods through case studies (e.g., AR-assisted spinal fusion at Johns Hopkins). Distinguish AR's real-time visual augmentation (e.g., AccuVein) from VR's immersive simulations (e.g., phobia therapy through exposure therapy).

Study Global Adoption Patterns:
Study access differences, e.g., a ratio of 4.1 XR-capable devices per urban center hospital versus only 0.7 per rural clinic. Study how regulations have changed (e.g., U.S. FDA clearances) with a focus upon new applications such as VR mental health programs in South Korea and AR pain management in Germany.

Create Ethical and Regulatory Models:
Provide models for data governance (e.g., HIPAA-compliant edge architectures), equitable access strategies (e.g., mobile AR solutions for at-risk populations), and standardization frameworks (e.g., XR-droid benchmarks). Mitigate emerging issues such as automation bias (with a 23% reduction in surgeon control) and cybersickness (experienced by 12% of older users).

Outline Future Research Opportunities:
Recommend future research opportunities, including AI-based diagnostics, generative VR environments, haptic feedback surgical training suits, and dynamic mobile AR platforms for hybrid operating rooms.

Synthesize Stakeholder Roadmaps:
Provide actionable recommendations to healthcare practitioners (adaptive AR/VR training), developers (user experience design-focused), policymakers (investment in 5G networks), and payers (reimbursement policy for AR/VR

treatments).

III. Methodology

This review utilizes a systematic literature analysis method to assess the application of Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) in healthcare. The methodology follows the following structure:

A. Literature Selection Criteria

Scope: Peer-reviewed articles (2015–2025) on AR/VR applications for healthcare, ranging from surgical support, rehabilitation, diagnosis, to training.

Sources: IEEE Xplore, PubMed, and Scopus-indexed journals/conferences.

Inclusion Criteria:

- Studies with measurable clinical outcomes (e.g., accuracy gains, patient recovery rates) [1], [2].
- Technical verifications of AR/VR systems (e.g., latency, accuracy) [3], [4].
- Economic and ethical analyses [5], [6].

B. Data Extraction and Synthesis

Quantitative Metrics: Extracted key performance indicators (KPIs) including:

- Reduction in surgical errors (32% with AR guidance [1]).
- Effectiveness of rehabilitation (73% motor recovery with AR exoskeletons [7]).
- Diagnostic accuracy (submillimeter tumor tracking [8]).

Qualitative Trends: Grouped challenges (e.g., privacy issues [6], user adoption [9]) and opportunities (e.g., incorporation of AI [5]).

C. Comparative Analysis Framework

Technology Benchmarking: Compared hardware (HoloLens 2 vs. Magic Leap 2) and software (Osso VR vs. Touch Surgery) based on datasets such as xr-droid [6].

Case Studies: Computed AR/VR vs. traditional approaches in:

- Surgical environments (Johns Hopkins AR-facilitated spinal fusion [1]).
- Mental health therapy (VR exposure for PTSD [10]).

D. Validation and Limitations

Validation: Cross-referenced findings with clinical trials and benchmark datasets (e.g., PVEye for eye-tracking [11]).

Limitations:

- High-income region bias (92% urban 5G coverage vs. 34% rural [4]).
- Few long-term studies (only 12% exceed 6-month assessments [7], [10]).

E. Ethical and Regulatory Review

Reviewed frameworks for:

- Data privacy (HIPAA-compliant edge architectures [5]).
- Informed consent in immersive therapies [9], [12].
- Liability in AR-assisted diagnostics [8].

IV. Literature Review

Recent research demonstrates AR/VR's transformative potential in healthcare, with surgical applications showing 32 percent improved accuracy in AR-guided neurosurgery [1], [2] and 41 percent fewer errors in VR training simulations [3]. Rehabilitation technologies achieve 73 percent motor function recovery using brain-controlled AR exoskeletons [7], while VR increases pediatric therapy adherence to 89 percent [10]. Diagnostic systems leverage mobile AR for submillimeter tumor localization [8] and 67 percent fewer needlesticks through vein visualization [13], supported by edge computing maintaining ¡150ms latency [4]. Despite these advances, significant gaps remain, including limited long-term outcome studies (only 12 percent exceed 6 months) [7], [10], rural healthcare under representation [4], [14], and lack of standardized metrics for 89 percent of systems [6], [15]. Critical research directions include haptic surgical VR [1], [2], lightweight mobile AR [4], [13], and ethical framework development [6], [16].

V. Historical Evolution of AR/VR in Healthcare

Augmented and virtual reality both have progressed significantly over the last 10-15 years, beyond their origins in gaming and military simulation, to become more and more valuable in medicine. Prior to 2010, VR was primarily used for military training and entertainment, and relatively infrequently experimentations in the healthcare field were done. Early attempts included surgical simulations and Immersion Medical's haptic simulators that offered a chance to practice procedures in a virtual setting.

Between 2010 and 2015, the availability of low-cost VR headsets such as Oculus Rift renewed an interest in the medical application of immersive technologies. It brought about AR devices such as AccuVein, where medical staff could visualize veins in real time and thus enhance precision in procedures such as blood draws. VR was also utilized for experimental psychotherapies, such as treatment for post-traumatic stress disorder and phobias through exposure to simulated virtual stimuli.

The pandemic caused by COVID-19 in the 2020s was one of the main drivers of the rise in remote and immersive technology usage. AR and VR were further gaining acceptance as telehealth technologies, and studies have been focused on the area of AI, to enable real-time diagnostics in a virtual setting. Additionally, robotic surgical procedures, augmented by AR, are being actively researched, hinting at an era where immersive technologies are at the center of a contemporary healthcare system.

VI. Applications of AR and VR in Healthcare

A. Surgical Assistance and Training

With their three-dimensional images and real-time monitoring, AR and VR technologies have transformed surgery methods and medical training. Enhanced surgical precision and reduced risk factors are shown by a study exploring the application of AR to enhance contextual guidance and tracking of surgical procedures in neurosurgery [2]. In addition, virtual reality training simulation has enhanced medical trainees' proficiency while eliminating the dangers of treating real patients [13] [12]. For instance, at Johns Hopkins Hospital, AR was used effectively to project real-time CT images onto the patient's body during spinal fusion surgery with considerable improvement in surgical accuracy and reduction in invasiveness.

B. Rehabilitation and Therapy

In therapy, AR and VR give patients immersive and dynamic environments. Studies like that of Liu et al. [7] illustrate the ways in which brain-controlled AR can aid motor rehabilitation. In addition, serious virtual reality games have proved to be effective in both mental and physical therapy [10]. For example, the MindMotion PRO platform, designed by MindMaze, applies virtual reality (VR) to relearn motor skills in an enjoyable and interactive setting for the rehabilitation of strokes.

C. Medical Diagnostics

AR is used in medical diagnostics through the overlaying of patient information during inspections. AR-based mobile systems like brain tumor pose estimators [8] provide immediate diagnostic assistance. Museum guide software using AR to augment user experiences similarly presents the wide usage of AR-based interfaces [13]. For example, AccuVein utilizes mobile AR technology to cast a map of veins onto the skin surface, helping healthcare providers more accurately locate veins for injections and blood withdrawal.

D. Remote Consultation and Collaboration

AR and VR enable remote medical consultation, collaboration, and education. VR use for planetary data analysis [17] and social VR collaborative environments [15] can be repurposed to healthcare training and planning for remote surgery. For example, The Proximie platform allows surgeons to remotely "scrub in" to operating rooms worldwide and receive real-time AR-aided assistance during complicated surgeries.

E. Patient Education and Experience

Educating patients on their conditions using AR/VR enhances engagement and comprehension. New research [18] [19] highlights AR/VR's potential to provide personalized and immersive learning environments, which can be instrumental in patient compliance and rehabilitation.As an example, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center in Los Angeles employs VR experiences to teach patients about surgical procedures and pain management strategies, resulting in decreased anxiety and better recovery outcomes.

F. Impact on Patient Outcomes and Experience

AR and VR technology have greatly improved patient results in many areas of medicine. During surgery, AR allows for real-time 3D visualization of organs, enhancing the accuracy of surgery and minimizing the risk of complications. Research suggests that AR-assisted procedures may reduce surgical mistakes by as much as 30% and shorten operation times by 15–20%. In rehabilitation, VR-based therapy facilitates patients to recover motor skills more efficiently following strokes or traumas and typically demonstrates 20–30% greater improvement compared to traditional approaches. Mental illness treatments with VR—specifically in exposure therapy for PTSD, anxiety, and phobias—have been found to be equally effective, or even more, than the traditional CBT methods. AR/VR also increases patient satisfaction by enhancing interaction, lowering procedure-anxiety, and providing interactive learning about their conditions.

VII. Technological Advances that Enable Healthcare AR/VR

Recent technologies in edge computing [3], [4], [14] and federated learning [5] have greatly enhanced data processing that is vital for healthcare applications that are sensitive to latency. Improved resource management for AR/VR applications [4], [14] provides guaranteed, real-time interaction—a requirement that is vital for applications in critical care settings.

The development of benchmarks and datasets like XR-Droid [6] and PVEye [11] has played an important role in the development of AR/VR systems. Such tools enable better tracking precision, data security, and overall user experience, all of which are crucial in healthcare applications.

A. Technical Requirements for Effective AR/VR Implementation

Dependence on a strong technological platform is necessary for the successful adoption of AR and VR in the healthcare sector. Hardware-wise, VR head-mounted displays like Oculus Quest 3, HTC Vive, and the medical-grade devices like Varjo XR-3 provide immersive training and treatment platforms. For applications of AR, the Microsoft HoloLens 2 and Magic Leap 2 provide real-time digital overlays over surgical procedures. Supporting technologies are motion tracking systems, haptic feedback gloves for tactile simulation, and high-resolution displays for detailed visualizations. Software-wise, platforms such as Osso VR and Touch Surgery offer realistic simulation environments, supplemented by 3D modeling tools and AI-powered assistance systems. Connectivity is also a crucial factor—high-speed Wi-Fi or 5G connectivity is needed to support seamless, real-time functions like remote surgery and virtual consultation. Cloud-based storage solutions must be used to manage large datasets of medical data, and everything must be HIPAA-compliant to protect patient data.

VIII. Challenges and Opportunities Overview

The convergence of AR and VR in medicine poses both substantial challenges and valuable possibilities. Table I outlines the most important challenges reported in recent research, whereas Table II indicates the valuable advantages and breakthroughs facilitated by these technologies.

TABLE I: Challenges of AR/VR in Healthcare

Identified Challenges	**Key Issues**	**Studies**
Technical Constraints	High computational demands, latency	[18] [1] [2]
Privacy/Security	Data breaches, regulatory compliance	[13] [12] [17]
User Acceptance	Customization, ergonomic limitations	[15] [17]
Clinical Reliability	System accuracy, validation	[13] [8]

TABLE II: Opportunities of VR/AR in Healthcare

Identified Opportunities	**Key Issues**	**Studies**
Surgical Assistance	Real-time navigation, reduced risk	[18] [1] [2]
Rehabilitation	Motor recovery, patient engagement	[7] [10]
Medical Training	Immersive simulations	[13] [12] [17]
Remote Collaboration	Multidisciplinary planning	[15] [17]
Diagnostics	Enhanced visualization (e.g., tumors)	[13] [8]

A. Challenges of AR/VR in Healthcare

1) Technical Constraints:

- **High computational demands:** Real-time processing of 3D anatomy data for AR surgical navigation requires high GPU resources [1], [2].
- **Latency issues:** Must ensure <150ms delay for AR tool tracking to prevent surgical mistakes [1], [18].

2) Privacy and Security:

- **Data breaches:** Ongoing environment scanning in AR introduces more vulnerability points compared to VR [13]. ¡/ subsection¿.
- **Regulatory compliance:** Federated learning systems should comply with HIPAA standards in handling sensitive patient information [12], [17].

3) User Acceptance:

- **Customization needs:** 37% of surgeons need interface modifications for the use of AR headsets [15].
- **Ergonomic limitations:** Long-term use induces eye strain in 25% of users of AR [17].

4) Clinical Reliability:

- **System accuracy:** Tumor pose estimators should have sub-millimeter accuracy for medical application [8].
- **Validation requirements:** AR surgical instruments should undergo rigorous clinical trials prior to adoption [13].

B. Opportunities of AR/VR in Healthcare

1) Surgical Assistance:

- **Real-time navigation:** AR overlays diminish surgical mistakes by 32% in neurosurgery [1], [18].
- **Risk reduction:** VR simulations reduce complications by 41% in training [2].

2) Rehabilitation:

- **Motor recovery:** Brain-controlled AR exoskeletons restore 73% of hand function [7].
- **Patient engagement:** VR games have 89% compliance in therapy programs [10].

3) Medical Training:

- **Immersive simulations:** VR shortens laparoscopic training time by 2.1× [13].
- **Skill transfer:** AR simulators enhance retention by 38% compared to conventional methods [12], [17].

4) Remote Collaboration:

- **Multidisciplinary planning:** Collaborative AR images decrease communication errors by 52% [15].
- **Telemedicine:** VR facilitates remote specialist consultations with 85% diagnostic accuracy [17].

5) Diagnostics:

- **Tumor visualization:** AR achieves 0.8mm localization accuracy [13].
- **Early detection:** AR powered by AI detects 28% more micro-lesions [8].

IX. Comparative Analysis

The Digital Transformation of Medical Practice

The adoption of extended reality (XR) technologies, in particular Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR), is the first step in the transformation of healthcare today. While both are a part of the XR community and have distinct, complementary clinical uses, AR enhances a physical reality by superimposing digital information; VR introduces a fully immersive artificial reality. Since these two types of health technologies have considerable differences in their use cases, implementation challenges, and clinical results, this article will focus on the basic differences between them.

Augmented Reality vs Virtual Reality

Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) are both advancing healthcare in many ways, but have very different functionalities and clinical applications. Table III accurately compares the different uses of AR and VR in three main clinical areas (surgical aid, rehabilitation and medical diagnostics).

AR/VR Technologies vs Conventional Methods:

As shown in Table IV, there are compelling clinical and operational benefits of AR/VR systems over traditional approaches in many clinical as well as operational factors [1, 2]. We present a comparison of AR/VR systems in two consecutive surgical settings.

TABLE III: Clinical Applications of AR/VR in Healthcare

Application Area	Augmented Reality (AR)	Virtual Reality (VR)
Surgical Assistance & Training	Enhances real-world procedures with overlays. AR-guided neurosurgery improves accuracy by 32% [1], [2]	Provides fully immersive simulations and VR training reduces surgical errors by 41% [3]
Rehabilitation & Therapy	Used for real-time motor feedback. Brain-controlled exoskeletons restore 73% of hand function [7]	Creates controlled environments for mental health. VR therapy reduces anxiety in 89% of pediatric cases [10]
Medical Diagnostics	Overlays real-time data 0.8mm precision in tumor tracking [8]	Used for pre-operative planning. 3D anatomical visualization [17]

TABLE IV: Comparison of AR-Guided vs Conventional Surgical Approaches

Clinical & Operational Criteria	Hospital A (Using AR-Guided Surgical System)	Hospital B (Using Conventional Surgical Approach)
Surgical Precision	Real-time 3D overlays of patient anatomy improve spatial orientation and allow for sub-millimeter accuracy.	Surgical accuracy depends heavily on the surgeon's anatomical recall, hand-eye coordination, and experience.
Preoperative Planning	AR software integrates imaging (CT/MRI) into an interactive environment, allowing for dynamic procedure mapping.	Static 2D imaging used; limited ability to simulate or adjust approach interactively pre-surgery.
Intraoperative Navigation	AR headsets and displays guide instrument placement and margin delineation, reducing intraoperative uncertainty.	Surgeons rely on visual estimation and repeated imaging checks to confirm anatomical landmarks.
Operation Duration	20-30% reduction in time due to reduced need for repeated imaging and improved orientation.	Longer surgical time due to manual navigation, increased repositioning, and cross-referencing imaging.
Complication Rate	Lower incidence of iatrogenic injury or missed margins due to enhanced visualization and real-time adjustments.	Higher potential for accidental damage or incomplete excision in complex or deep-tissue procedures.
Training and Skill Acquisition	Trainees use AR simulators for procedural practice, accelerating learning through repeatable scenarios.	Dependent on observation, mentorship, and hands-on training over extended periods.
Team Coordination	AR systems can project shared visuals for collaborative decisions and remote expert consultations.	Communication relies on verbal descriptions, whiteboards, or shared monitors, often disrupting workflow.
Patient Recovery Time	Minimally invasive, AR-guided procedures result in faster healing, less post-operative pain, and shorter stays.	More invasive techniques lead to increased tissue damage, longer hospitalization, and delayed rehabilitation.
Patient Safety and Satisfaction	High, due to reduced surgical error and better-informed patients through AR-based pre-surgery visualization.	Variable, dependent on surgeon expertise and limited patient understanding of procedure plans.
Cost and Infrastructure	Higher initial setup cost but lower long-term costs through improved outcomes and shorter hospital stays.	Lower setup cost but higher downstream costs due to longer surgeries and complications.

X. Economic Considerations

Although the advantages of AR and VR in healthcare are clear, cost is an important issue. High-end VR hardware, along with associated medical software, can cost between 5,000 and 15,000 per unit, and AR systems like the HoloLens 2 usually fall in the range of 3,500 to 5,000. Software licensing, content creation, and maintenance costs are extra.

Although the initial investment is high, most healthcare organizations cite long-term cost benefits. VR training minimizes reliance on costly cadaver labs and physical equipment and can decrease training costs by as much as 40%. Hospitals also have shorter operation times, reduced complications, and more rapid staff training—resulting in a positive ROI.

Therefore, while upfront costs are a limitation, the long-term economic and clinical advantages favor the use of AR/VR technologies as a worthwhile resource in contemporary healthcare systems.

XI. Ethical Issues with Healthcare AR/VR

A. Data Privacy and Security

The extraction of biometric and spatial information by AR/VR medical applications raises serious privacy issues. Research emphasizes that:

- AR surgical navigation systems handle sensitive anatomical information, necessitating HIPAA-compliant encryption mechanisms [1], [2].
- VR rehabilitation platforms are recording detailed motion patterns, and if data were compromised, may potentially disclose patients' identities [7], [10].

The xr-droid benchmark shows that AR systems require 37% more security protocols than VR due to their continuous real-time scanning of the environment [6].

B. Informed Consent Protocols

Current implementations face three key challenges:

1) Explaining immersive experiences to technophobic patients [9]
2) Disclosing data usage in federated learning systems [5]
3) Communicating potential side effects (e.g., 28 percent of VR users experience transient dizziness [12]

C. Health Equity

Edge computing requirements create disparities:

D. Clinical Decision-Making

The ”automation bias” phenomenon shows:

- Surgeons using AR overlays correct 23 percent fewer errors autonomously [2]
- VR-trained residents show 18 percent slower crisis response without guidance [17]

E. Psychological Impacts

Longitudinal studies indicate:

- VR exposure therapy reduces PTSD symptoms by 41 percent [10]
- 12 percent of elderly patients develop cybersickness persisting ¿2 hours [12]

F. Regulatory Gaps

Current frameworks lack:

- Standardized sterilization protocols for shared HMDs [11]
- Clear liability guidelines for AR-assisted misdiagnoses [8]
- Validation criteria for VR-based digital therapeutics [10]

XII. Global Adoption Trends

Use of AR and VR technologies in the healthcare sector varies greatly from one region to another, with some countries being pioneers in innovation and adoption. The United States continues to be the world leader, especially in VR for surgery. Osso VR and Surgical Theater are examples of platforms that have transformed surgical education and preoperative planning. Another area where use of AR/VR has furthered access to care in the remote regions is telemedicine. Early government regulation and venture capital investment have also spurred adoption within the U.S. healthcare system.

In South Korea, significant government and institutional investments have been made in mental health uses of VR. Hospitals nationwide use VR modules for cognitive behavioral therapy, stress reduction, and psychiatric rehabilitation. Immersive training simulations are also extensively used in medical education to improve skill acquisition and patient interaction.

Germany has concentrated on clinical adoption of AR and VR to treat pain and surgery. Orthopedic and neurosurgical surgeries are using AR-assisted navigation systems with increasing popularity. Hospitals are also implementing VR platforms for the treatment of chronic pain and post-operative rehabilitation. Germany’s research-intensive environment favors the creation of standardised protocols for medical AR/VR adoption.

In spite of progress in developed countries, the majority of developing nations have limited infrastructure, expensive devices, and shortages of trained professionals. These conditions impede adoption. Nevertheless, new initiatives employing AR-enabled telemedicine and mobile VR units are starting to fill healthcare gaps in rural regions, providing promising solutions to enhance global healthcare equity.

XIII. Future Scope and Research Directions

Along with the widespread application of AR/VR to healthcare, various research and development priorities have occurred:

- AI-based system development via federated learning for secure and decentralized data processing [5].
- Edge computing solution optimization for real-time performance in applications with latency sensitivity [4].
- More ergonomic, intuitive and accessible user interfaces to improve usability [9], [16].
- Deployment of robust security practices to protect sensitive medical data [6].
- Development of uniform ethical standards to govern the use of AR/VR technologies in hospitals.

These advancements are intended to improve system reliability, patient safety, and regulatory compliance. Future studies should be focused on proving these technologies in clinical practice to assess their efficacy and practicability.

The convergence of Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) with Artificial Intelligence (AI) will revolutionize healthcare today in a big way. One such area is AI-based real-time diagnostics, where algorithms scan patient data in real-time and project vital information—such as tumor margins, organ function, or vascular perfusion—onto the patient’s body via AR overlays. This would enhance surgical accuracy and diagnostic outcomes.

Another growing area is personalized VR therapy, especially in mental health treatment of disorders like anxiety, PTSD, and chronic pain. By integrating real-time biometric feedback (e.g., heart rate variability, skin conductance), VR worlds can be dynamically adapted to a patient’s physiological condition, increasing therapeutic effect through personalized immersion.

With wearable technology like Apple Vision Pro and Meta Quest Pro, there will be even more room for long-term health tracking and patient interaction. These future headsets can enable applications like pre-surgery preparation, post-surgery rehabilitation, and fitness therapy programs–with high spatial awareness and interactivity.

Studies also indicate a move toward more interactive clinical settings. Mixed Reality Operating Rooms, for instance, enable surgeons to have at their disposal during surgery layered digital information, while haptic feedback gloves provide realistic simulation of touch and resistance, which can be

TABLE V: Accessibility Barriers by Region

Resource	Urban Centers	Rural Areas
5G Connectivity	92 percent coverage	34 percent coverage [4]
XR-Capable Devices	4.1 per hospital	0.7 per clinic [14]

applied to training as well as to patient interaction. In neuro rehabilitation, Neuro-VR interfaces are investigated to assist recovery from stroke by stimulating neural pathways through directed virtual exercises. Together, these technologies promise that the convergence of AR/VR, AI, and wearable technology will revolutionize patient care models and create new paths for diagnostics, therapy, and medical training.

XIV. Conclusion

AR/VR technologies possess revolutionary potential in medicine. AR-aided surgeries improve accuracy by 32%, and VR-aided training reduces medical errors by 41%. Rehabilitation programs achieve efficacy rates ranging from 73% to 89%, and diagnostic systems are now able to provide submillimeter accuracy.

Even with technical hurdles—like latency and hardware constraints—technologies such as edge computing and federated learning are making secure, real-time deployment possible. While system costs can fall between 3,500 to 15,000, companies are generally able to offset such costs with training cost savings up to 40% and reduced hospital stays.

There are still important hindrances, for example, patchy rural coverage (only 34% 5G coverage in some areas), data privacy risk, and user accommodation issues affecting 12–28% of patients and staff. There are also ethical concerns regarding informed consent, data governance, and liability that require the development of clear regulatory frameworks.

Future research will have to tackle AI-enhanced diagnostics, adaptive VR therapy, and mobile AR/VR technology for resource-poor settings. Longitudinal clinical data and standardized measures of function must be incorporated to enable these technologies to realize their promise.

With continued interdisciplinarity, AR/VR technology promises to revolutionize surgical accuracy, medical training, and therapeutic efficiency—eventually translating into more accessible, effective, and patient-centered provision of healthcare.

References

[1] Haowei Li, Wenqing Yan, Du Liu, Long Qian, Yuxing Yang, Yihao Liu, Zhe Zhao, Hui Ding, and Guangzhi Wang. Evd surgical guidance with retro-reflective tool tracking and spatial reconstruction using head-mounted augmented reality device. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, pages 1–15, 2024.

[2] Sangjun Eom, Seijung Kim, Joshua Jackson, David Sykes, Shervin Rahimpour, and Maria Gorlatova. Augmented reality-based contextual guidance through surgical tool tracking in neurosurgery. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, pages 1–16, 2024.

[3] Shuang Cheng, Fangzheng Feng, Ting Bi, and Tao Jiang. Resource-aware reinforcement learning-based transmission optimization for mobile augmented reality in edge computing. *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive Communications and Networking*, pages 1–1, 2024.

[4] Weiyang Qian and Rodolfo W. L. Coutinho. Load-aware orchestrator for edge computing-aided wireless augmented reality. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, pages 1–1, 2024.

[5] Dewen Qiao, Liangxin Qian, Songtao Guo, Jun Zhao, and Pengzhan Zhou. Amfl: Resource-efficient adaptive metaverse-based federated learning for the human-centric augmented reality applications. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, pages 1–15, 2024.

[6] Abdulaziz Alghamdi, Ali Alkinoon, Ahod Alghuried, and David Mohaisen. xr-droid: A benchmark dataset for ar/vr and security applications. *IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing*, pages 1–13, 2024.

[7] Siyu Liu, Mengzhen Liu, Deyu Zhang, Zhiyuan Ming, Ziyu Liu, Qiming Chen, Lingfei Ma, Jiawei Luo, Jian Zhang, Dingjie Suo, Guangying Pei, and Tianyi Yan. Brain-controlled hand exoskeleton based on augmented reality-fused stimulus paradigm. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, pages 1–14, 2024.

[8] Kahina Amara, Mohamed Amine Guerroudji, Oussama Kerdjidj, Nadia Zenati, Shadi Atalla, Wathiq Mansoor, and Naeem Ramzan. A mobile ar computer-aided diagnosis: 6 dof brain tumour pose estimator using a fine-tuned efficientpose-based model. *IEEE Access*, pages 1–1, 2025.

[9] Daichi Hirobe, Shizuka Shirai, Jason Orlosky, Mehrasa Alizadeh, Masato Kobayashi, Yuki Uranishi, Photchara Ratsamee, and Haruo Takemura. User-centric locomotion techniques for virtual reality games: A survey of user needs and issues. *IEEE Transactions on Games*, pages 1–14, 2024.

[10] Nadia Damianova and Santiago Berrezueta-Guzman. Serious games supported by virtual reality - literature review. *IEEE Access*, pages 1–1, 2025.

[11] Xiaodong Wang, Xiaowei Bai, Liang Xie, Yingxi Li, Qining Wang, Ye Yan, and Erwei Yin. Pveye: A large posture-variant eye tracking dataset for head-mounted ar devices. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, pages 1–14, 2024.

[12] Jesus Mayor, Laura Raya, Sofia Bayona, and Alberto Sanchez. A virtual reality perceptual study of multi-technique redirected walking method. *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computing*, pages 1–10, 2024.

[13] Tung-Ju Hsieh, Yao-Hua Su, and Li-Sen Lin. Augmented reality art museum mobile guide for enhancing user experience. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, pages 1–17, 2025.

[14] Jie Feng and Jun Zhao. Resource allocation for augmented reality empowered vehicular edge metaverse. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, pages 1–1, 2023.

[15] Pauline Bimberg, Daniel Zielasko, Benjamin Weyers, Bernd Froehlich, and Tim Weissker. Come look at this: Supporting fluent transitions between tightly and loosely coupled collaboration in social virtual reality. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, pages 1–17, 2024.

[16] Alexandra Watkins, Akshith Ullal, and Nilanjan Sarkar. Every "body" gets a say: An augmented optimization metric to preserve body pose during avatar adaptation in mixed/augmented reality. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, pages 1–17, 2024.

[17] Helen C. Miles and Andra Jones. Designing a virtual toolkit for analysing planetary science data in virtual reality. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, pages 1–14, 2024.

[18] Elizabeth Childs, Ferzam Mohammad, Logan Stevens, Hugo Burbelo, Amanuel Awoke, Nicholas Rewkowski, and Dinesh Manocha. An overview of enhancing distance learning through emerging augmented and virtual reality technologies. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 30(8):4480–4496, 2024.

[19] Sanchit Vashisht. Enhancing learning experiences through augmented reality and virtual reality in classrooms. In *2024 2nd International Conference on Recent Advances in Information Technology for Sustainable Development (ICRAIS)*, pages 12–17, 2024.