#### **Relational Model**

Dr. Odelu Vanga

Indian Institute of Information Technology Sri City

http://www.iiits.ac.in/people/regular-faculty/dr-odelu-vanga/

## **Tuple Relational Calculus**

- A nonprocedural query language, where each query is of the form  $\{t \mid P(t)\}$
- It is the set of all tuples t such that predicate P is true for t
- t is a tuple variable, t[A] denotes the value of tuple t on attribute A
- $t \in r$  denotes that tuple t is in relation r
- *P* is a *formula* similar to that of the predicate calculus

#### **Predicate Calculus Formula**

- 1. Set of attributes and constants
- 2. Set of comparison operators: (e.g., <,  $\leq$ , =,  $\neq$ , >,  $\geq$ )
- 3. Set of connectives: and  $(\land)$ , or  $(\lor)$ , not  $(\neg)$
- 4. Implication  $(\Rightarrow)$ :  $x \Rightarrow y$ , if x true, then y is true


$$x \Longrightarrow y \equiv \neg x \vee y$$

for example: 
$$x = 2$$
 implies  $x + 3 = 5$ 

- 5. Set of quantifiers:
  - $\ni$   $\exists t \in r(Q(t)) \equiv$  "there exists" a tuple t in relation r such that predicate Q(t) is true
  - $\forall t \in r (Q(t)) \equiv Q \text{ is true "for all" tuples } t \text{ in relation } r$ such that predicate Q(t) is true


# **Banking Example**

- branch (branch-name, branch-city, assets)
- customer (customer-name, customer-street, customer-city)
- account (account-number, branch-name, balance)
- *loan (loan-number, branch-name, amount)*
- depositor (customer-name, account-number)
- borrower (customer-name, loan-number)



• Find the *loan-number*, *branch-name*, and *amount* for loans of over \$1200


$$\{t \mid t \in loan \land t [amount] > 1200\}$$



• Find the loan number for each loan of an amount greater than \$1200


```
\{t \mid \exists s \in \text{loan } (t[loan-number] = s[loan-number] \land s [amount] > 1200\}
```

Notice that a relation on schema [customer-name] is implicitly defined by the query




• Find the names of all customers having a loan, an account, or both at the bank

```
\{t \mid \exists s \in borrower(t[customer-name] = s[customer-name]) \\ \lor \exists u \in depositor(t[customer-name] = u[customer-name]) \}
```




• Find the names of all customers who have a loan and an account at the bank


```
\{t \mid \exists s \in borrower(t[customer-name] = s[customer-name]) \\ \land \exists u \in depositor(t[customer-name] = u[customer-name]) \}
```



• Find the names of all customers having a loan at the Perryridge branch




• Find the names of all customers who have a loan at the Perryridge branch, but no account at any branch of the bank



• Find the names of all customers who have an account at all branches located in Brooklyn:

```
\{t \mid \exists c \in \text{customer } (t[\text{customer-name}] = c[\text{customer-name}]) \land \\ \forall s \in branch(s[branch-city] = \text{``Brooklyn''} \Rightarrow \\ \exists u \in account \ (s[branch-name] = u[\text{branch-name}] \\ \land \exists s \in depositor \ (t[\text{customer-name}] = s[\text{customer-name}] \\ \land s[account-number] = u[\text{account-number}] \ )) \ )\}
```



# Safety of Expressions

- It is possible to write tuple calculus expressions that generate infinite relations.
- For example,  $\{t \mid \neg t \in r\}$  results in an infinite relation if the domain of any attribute of relation r is infinite
- To guard against the problem, we restrict the set of allowable expressions to safe expressions.
- An expression  $\{t \mid P(t)\}$  in the tuple relational calculus is *safe* if every component of t appears in one of the relations, tuples, or constants that appear in P

#### **Domain Relational Calculus**


• Each query is an expression of the form:

$$\{ \langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \rangle \mid P(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \}$$

- $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  represent domain variables
- P represents a formula similar to that of the predicate calculus


• Find the *branch-name*, *loan-number*, and *amount* for loans of over \$1200  $\{ \langle l, b, a \rangle \mid \langle l, b, a \rangle \in loan \land a \geq 1200 \}$ 

• Find the names of all customers who have a loan of over \$1200  $\{ \langle c \rangle \mid \exists l, b, a \ (\langle c, l \rangle \in borrower \land \langle l, b, a \rangle \in loan \land a \geq 1200) \}$ 




• Find the names of all customers who have a loan from the Perryridge branch and the loan amount:

```
\{ \langle c, a \rangle \mid \exists 1 (\langle c, l \rangle \in borrower \land \exists b (\langle l, b, a \rangle \in loan \land b = \text{``Perryridge''})) \} or \{ \langle c, a \rangle \mid \exists 1 (\langle c, l \rangle \in borrower \land \langle l, \text{``Perryridge''}, a \rangle \in loan) \}
```




• Find the names of all customers having a loan, an account, or both at the Perryridge branch:

```
\{ \langle c \rangle \mid \exists 1 (\{ \langle c, 1 \rangle \in borrower \\ \land \exists b, a (\langle 1, b, a \rangle \in loan \land b = \text{``Perryridge''})) \\ \lor \exists a (\langle c, a \rangle \in depositor \\ \land \exists b, n (\langle a, b, n \rangle \in account \land b = \text{``Perryridge''})) \}
```



• Find the names of all customers who have an account at all branches located in Brooklyn:

$$\{ \langle c \rangle \mid \exists n \ (\langle c, s, n \rangle \in \text{customer}) \land \\ \forall x, y, z (\langle x, y, z \rangle \in \text{branch} \land y = \text{``Brooklyn''}) \Rightarrow \\ \exists a, b \ (\langle a, y, b \rangle \in \text{account} \land \langle c, a \rangle \in \text{depositor}) \}$$



#### Safety of Expressions

$$\{ \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \rangle \mid P(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \}$$

It is safe if all of the following hold:

- 1. All values that appear in tuples of the expression are values from dom(P) (that is, the values appear either in P or in a tuple of a relation mentioned in P).
- 2. For every "there exists" sub-formula of the form  $\exists x (P_1(x))$ , the sub-formula is true if an only if  $P_1(x)$  is true for all values x from  $dom(P_1)$ .
- 3. For every "for all" sub-formula of the form  $\forall x (P_1(x))$ , the sub-formula is true if and only if  $P_1(x)$  is true for all values x from  $dom(P_1)$ .

# **THANK YOU**