

arXiv

**Amoolya Suresh Babu**

**University of North Texas, Department of Information Science**

**INFO 5200: INFO 5200 Section 021 - Information Organization (Spring 2025 1)**

**Dr. Brady Lund**

**05/03/2025**

## arXiv <https://arxiv.org/>

The world of academia benefits immensely when experts collaborate. arXiv <https://arxiv.org/> is one of the platforms that allows this by allowing researchers and scientists to share their work before they are officially published (Han, 2025). Through this, individuals are able to get useful feedback, which they utilize in improving their studies. Cornell University is the body responsible for managing this information organization system. It primarily archives scholarly materials in the field of physics, computer science, electrical engineering, statistics, finance, quantitative biology, mathematics, and economics.

The services that users gain from this platform include production, API access, web distribution, search, compilation, and retrieval. This is a case study of arXiv, it will cover its background details, its current status, and what its future might look like.

## Background

This system was launched in 1991 at the Los Alamos National Laboratory, where it was initially known as xxx.lanl.gov (arXiv, n.d.). The primary reason for creating this platform was to provide a level ground where researchers could access the latest studies at the same time (Ginsparg, 2021). Before its creation, it would take a long time before scientists from various regions to know the current research in their fields. This was because there was no central area where these resources could be accessed. These limitations slowed down scientific innovations and made it hard for those in the science to collaborate. To overcome this challenge, this repository was built so that researchers could post their preprints for everyone to view at no cost (Han, 2025). The platform gave experts a chance to moderate submitted documents to ensure that

they were original, utilized accurate data, and presented in a scientifically neutral language.

arXiv was embedded in Cornell University's library in 2001, then shifted to computer and information science in 2019 (Ginsparg, 2021).

Before the creation of this system, physicists were connected through BITNET and DECnet (Garisto, 2019). Prior to this, it was very difficult to have scientific communication. As technology continued to develop, scientists started using email to share their preprints. Though this method could allow sharing of resources, it was not very effective since it could only be accessed by those whose address is known to the sender. The approach of sending files by electronic mail was started by Joanne Cohn, who was distributing string theory papers through this method (Garisto, 2019). Even before the birth of arXiv, researchers were able to send their work to others by mailing copies of their papers. However, this approach had limited reach and was slow. The founder of this system, Paul Ginsparg, saw these challenges and the inability of the platform to serve the global community of scientists and decided to create this database (Ginsparg, 2011). Some of the advantages realized by this new creation include the ability to submit and read new studies immediately.

Based on the initial content of the site, its primary users were physicists during its inception. The creator of the platform notes that these scientists saw arXiv as a tool that would provide them with valuable information in physics (Garisto, 2019). The repository has grown over the years and now has resources in diverse subjects like mathematics, computer science, economics, electrical engineering, quantitative biology, and finance. The inclusion of these different fields means that the user group of the system has also diversified. Based on the technicality of the materials contained in this collection, the population is probably made up of those with advanced degrees in STEM related studies. These individuals are knowledgeable

about research methods and writing. The people using this platform come from different nations of the world. This is in accordance with the list of submissions, which contains institutions from different countries (arXiv, 2023).

This system was mostly built from scratch. Ginsparg (2021) notes that this repository played a pioneering role in an automated database. Before its existence, the collections that were available shared their preprints manually through mail. The founders did not get their idea from something that existed. The concept and structure of arXiv were new. Another aspect that indicates this platform was to a larger extent, built independently is that it was the first to use an abstract landing web page (Ginsparg, 2021). Using an original design that was later adopted by other systems is a clear indication that the concepts of this repository were unique and never borrowed. arXiv inspired other academic databases. The reason for stating that it was mostly developed from scratch is that the technology it was based on already existed. The internet protocols, like email, which were used in the creation of this platform, were present even before the formation of arXiv.

Paul Ginsparg is the founder of this system. He was a physicist at the Los Alamos National Laboratory (Garisto, 2019). His knowledge of theoretical physics and computing allowed him to develop a platform that made it possible for researchers to share their preprints. When he launched it in 1991, it was referred to as xxx.lanl.gov (arXiv, n.d.). This creation allowed scientists to submit their papers via email and make them available to others immediately. Joanne Cohn is also a notable person in this invention. While at the Institute for Advanced Study, he began distributing TeX files of string theory through electronic mail, and when the list grew to 180 physicists, Paul Ginsparg offered to automate the list, resulting in the birth of arXiv (Garisto, 2019). The growth of this repository to where it is today can be attributed

to the various research organizations that help fund and ensure that this database is free, open, and updated.

This platform is a very good fit for Cornell University, the institution that is responsible for managing it. The founder of this school had a mission of ensuring that anyone could get the information that they want (Cornell University, n.d.). arXiv supports this goal by providing open and free access to a repository with vast scientific preprints that have been shared by other scientists. Being an Ivy League university, this resource allows the organization to improve its research and innovation by promoting collaborative research.

## Fields within The System

The information objects that are primarily entered into this database are preprints, which are research papers shared before they are formally peer reviewed. The higher percentage of submissions in this repository is research articles. Apart from these, the platform also holds other types of documents, which include scholarly work presented in books or chapters, conference papers, academic discussion or critique of what has been submitted, and course materials. These resources cover different subjects ranging from physics, economics, mathematics, statistics, computer science, quantitative biology and finance, and electrical engineering. Not everything that falls within these fields is accepted; there are some documents that are not allowed in this repository. These include book reviews and announcements, call for papers, course projects, patent applications, posters, presentation slides, very short work, undergraduate or high school research, workshop summaries, and opinion pieces (arXiv, n.d.). All the information about what

should be submitted and what will be rejected is provided on the site to allow scientists to review before they share their work.

The primary role of an information retrieval system is to allow users to search and retrieve materials that match their needs (Järvelin & Wilson, 2003). This is usually achieved through the use of metadata, which helps in describing resources to promote their accessibility (Ma, 2009). For arXiv, it uses various fields that support the organization, search, and retrieval of preprints. Number one is the title, which shows the name of an item. Next is the author, and it gives the writer of a paper. The third is the submission date, which provides the day, month, and year when an article was submitted. Fourth is the subject category indicating the specific area of study a document belongs to. Then there is the abstract that gives a short summary of a material.

The fields used in arXiv align with the purpose of the system. They help this platform attain its core objective of ensuring researchers can share their preprints, which can then be accessed by other experts for review. The title gives the label of a paper, which assists in classification and discovery since the repository hosts several resources. The author helps in organizing documents by creator and makes it easier to retrieve materials from the same person or organization. The abstract gives an overview of an article, which allows quick evaluation. The subject category is very essential since it enables the categorization of preprints based on their study area. The submission date supports archiving materials based on when it was submitted.

These fields are also appropriate for those using arXiv. They help those using this system to accomplish the four user tasks of find, identify, select, and obtain. The title is utilized to search for an item when its name is known. Having this them makes it possible for one to judge the relevance of a resource. The author enables the determination of the credibility of a material based on the expert who wrote it. When they are aware of the name of a writer, they use it to

locate a preprint. The abstract allows reviewers to quickly understand the overall idea of a paper and decide whether to read it or not. Subject category is very essential for the user group of arXiv. The repository receives a large amount of materials, and it allows experts to know whether an item falls within their expertise. The submission date allows sorting work in accordance with when they were submitted.

There are a number of fields that I would add to this platform to improve satisfaction. The first one is the version history. This would help reviewers to know the number of changes a document has undergone since its first submission. The other is author contact to allow collaboration between the submitters and those reviewing their preprints. Language is another important field that I would add to allow filtering based on a dialect that a person understands.

## Evaluation

The arXiv website provides valuable information on how the platform is evaluated. One approach used in this process is user experience testing and feedback. It regularly sends surveys, new changes previews, and other testing opportunities to the individuals who are interested (arXiv, n.d.). This method is very important as it ensures that any alterations made to the site align with what is needed by those utilizing it. Information regarding its technical evaluation is also provided, though this is not current. This procedure assesses various aspects of the infrastructure, such as metadata extraction, publishing workflow, and interface (Steinhart, 2018). Users are actively involved in the assessment of arXiv through surveys and feedback.

This platform is expected to continue being a valuable tool to researchers. Scientific innovation requires collaboration, which is promoted by this system, which promotes free and

faster access to preprints. This is one of the reasons that will ensure this repository continues to be relevant to academia. In the future, this platform could include open peer review models. Integration of artificial intelligence is also a possibility. AI could be useful in quality control by checking articles that might be similar. The chances of this system being replaced are there. However, they are slim due to the good history and reputation of this database. An area of concern is the interface, which seems very old compared to other modern sites.

The management of this platform is done by Cornell University. It is headed by a steward, followed by faculty directors, and then the advisory council (arXiv, n.d.). Their qualification includes a degree in library or information science. The individual should also have expertise in scientific publications since the system is dominated by materials in the science field. The management is experienced in managing digital repositories.

## Conclusion

arXiv drives innovation in academia by allowing collaboration between experts from various fields. The platform makes it easier for one to submit their preprints, which are then reviewed by others before they become officially published. This aspect ensures that creators get a valuable insight regarding their work, which they can use in making improvements. Cornell University has played a pivotal role in ensuring the growth of this system through funding and offering advisory services. This platform will continue being useful to scientists as it allows them to access recent research immediately when they become submitted. Some perspective that can be used to make this platform more valuable is the integration of AI.

## References

- arXiv . (n.d.). *About arXiv*. Retrieved from arXiv : <https://info.arxiv.org/about/index.html>
- arXiv. (2023). *Annual report*. Retrieved from arXiv:  
[https://info.arxiv.org/about/reports/2023\\_arXiv\\_annual\\_report.pdf](https://info.arxiv.org/about/reports/2023_arXiv_annual_report.pdf)
- Cornell University. (n.d.). *About*. Retrieved from Cornell University: <https://www.cornell.edu/>
- Garisto, D. (2019). Preprints make inroads outside of physics. *APS News*, 28, 9.
- Ginsparg, P. (2011). ArXiv at 20. *Nature*, 476(7359), 145-147.
- Ginsparg, P. (2021). Lessons from arXiv's 30 years of information sharing. *Nature Reviews Physics*, 3(9), 602-603.
- Han, S. (2025). *Inside arXiv—the Most Transformative Platform in All of Science*. Retrieved from WIRED: <https://www.wired.com/story/inside-arxiv-most-transformative-code-science/>
- Järvelin, K., & Wilson, T. D. (2003). On conceptual models for information seeking and retrieval research. *Information research*, 9(1), 9-28.
- Ma, J. (2009). Metadata in ARL libraries: A survey of metadata practices. *Journal of Library Metadata*, 9(1-2), 1-14.
- Steinhart, G. (2018). *arXiv Technical Evaluation Rubric*. Retrieved from arXiv:  
<https://blog.arxiv.org/2018/01/04/arxiv-technical-evaluation-rubric/>