

Lonely Together: How The Double-Edged Reality of Always-On Social Media Makes Adolescents Lonely

Word count: 1499

Introduction

Does always-on social media engagement make people lonely? This essay argues that it does - though not in every case, and not always in obvious ways. Social platforms are designed to connect people, but are double-edged, and increasingly cultivating disconnection instead, resulting in being “lonely together”. This essay explores the real issues teenagers face, from adolescent mental-health and bullying to digital identity and hyper-personalisation. These systems, driven by engagement-based capitalism, often reward behaviours that undermine genuine connection.

Recent data shows 99% of adolescents use screens two hours before bed, over half once in bed, and a third after failing to sleep (Taylor, 2024). The always-on nature of social media reflects its pervasiveness and accessibility: producing a constant stream of interaction and imposing emotional labour on users. Though not universal, social media increasingly contributes to loneliness among teenagers due to how platforms are structured.

Loneliness is not the absence of social contact but the perceived discrepancy between one’s desired and actual social relationships: a subjective, emotionally painful experience that can affect anyone, even those surrounded by others. It is particularly prevalent among younger generations. Research shows that Gen Z reports the highest rates of loneliness, with adolescents vulnerable to social disconnection during formative identity-building years, what researchers describe as a form of networked solitude (Wang, 2024).

The Mental Cost of Constant Connection

Social media is increasingly implicated in worsening adolescent mental-health. According to recent findings, teenagers aged 12–15 who use social media for over three hours per day are twice as likely to report symptoms of anxiety or depression. These effects are compounded by algorithmic design. Platforms such as TikTok and Instagram’s algorithms track user behaviour to quickly personalise content feeds, reinforcing user anxieties after searching for mental-health related content (Katella, 2024).

One mechanism behind this is social comparison, individuals assess self-worth relative to others. While this occurs offline, social media platforms heighten the effect by curating idealised lifestyles and filtered appearances. As Kayala et al (2023) explain, this fosters feelings of inadequacy, especially regarding body image, with 46% of teenagers reporting that social media made them feel worse about themselves (Smith et al, 2021). These feelings of inadequacy lead users to withdraw emotionally or distrust the authenticity of their social interactions. As a result, their perceived sense of connection diminishes, intensifying feelings of loneliness despite being socially active online.

Social media also exploits users’ neurobiological vulnerabilities. Platforms reward engagement with ‘likes’, comments and algorithmic attention, triggering dopamine-driven behavioural loops. Instagram and TikTok feeds fuel compulsive habits. As Sharif (2024) argues, this addiction is not simply a matter of weak willpower, but a neurological

consequence of design, taking advantage of short dopamine hits and creating an addictive reliance.

Adolescent Vulnerabilities and Misrecognition in the Digital Age

One useful way to understand social media's contribution to loneliness is distinguishing between connection and companionship. The former can occur quickly and superficially; the latter requires shared experience, emotional intimacy, and time (Swetha, 2023). Always-on engagement tends to prioritise the former. Platforms such as Instagram and TikTok centre on broadcasting and self-presentation, offering little space for sustained, reciprocal relationships (Kim et al., 2025). This environment encourages frequent interaction but rarely cultivates emotional depth.

One key developmental task in adolescence is forming a coherent identity: a process shaped significantly by peer feedback and group belonging. Social identity, derived from one's association with social groups, must be balanced with personal identity (Branje et al., 2021). While social media enables identity exploration, it also promotes validation-seeking and performative behaviour. Adolescents increasingly shape idealised versions of themselves online, leading to internal role confusion (Sora Pazer, 2024).

Media representations *Euphoria*, *13 Reasons Why*, and *Adolescence* have drawn widespread attention for their raw portrayals of teenage life in the digital age. These follow teens navigating peer pressure and the darker sides of digital adolescence, where shame, body image, and constant surveillance fuel anxiety and alienation (Krause, 2025). This alienation creates a gap between how adolescents wish to be seen and how they feel they are seen, eroding the sense of being genuinely understood and feeding to loneliness. Digital misrecognition - the sense of being misunderstood, excluded, or undervalued by digital systems, can erode wellbeing and social connection (Dainow, 2016), leading to feelings of isolation, detachment, and diminished self-worth (Smith, Leonis and Anandavalli, 2021).

Cyberbullying highlights these effects. Victims may experience emotional distress, social isolation, and in some cases, suicidal ideation (Nixon, 2014). Unlike traditional bullying, it is continuous and ever-present. Meanwhile, platform features blur social boundaries and heighten social pressure. Snap Maps reveal friends' real-time location, while streaks gamify daily interaction: fostering 'always online' culture that reinforces anxiety and fear of exclusion (Manousos, 2021). Fear-of-missing-out drives comparison and presence maintenance, creating anxious dependencies on digital validation and social insufficiency. These create a social environment where the pressure to be digitally present and emotionally available is constant - yet the rewards for doing so are often superficial, unpredictable, or absent altogether.

How Platform Design Distorts Connection

Social media algorithms are designed to maximise engagement, often at the expense of diversity of ideas. By curating content based on previous behaviours and interests, platforms create filter bubbles isolating users from differing perspectives. Combined with users' tendency to follow like-minded accounts, this produces a highly personalised, and rose-tinted view of the world (Chinyanganya, 2024). These echo-chambers reinforce rigid mindsets and entrench users within ideologically and emotionally narrow environments. For users already experiencing loneliness or mental-health struggles, this insulation can deepen alienation (Wei, 2024). Without exposure to emotionally diverse or dissenting perspectives, adolescents

may feel intellectually and socially isolated from others and from a fuller sense of self-expression and shared understanding.

These issues are magnified in emerging digital spaces for example the metaverse, an immersive virtual environment, where intimacy risks becoming a commodified experience, and emotional engagement is packaged and delivered on demand. While marketed as solutions to loneliness, these environments may exacerbate it, substituting the unpredictability of human connection with algorithmically engineered comfort (Jackson and Mochcovitch, 2023).

Constant exposure to emotionally and cognitively stimulating digital content also leads to digital fatigue. In immersive spaces, users often lose track of time - a 2021 study found that virtual reality gamers played for 29% longer than they realised. This temporal distortion has been linked to future-shock, a form of disorientation triggered when technological change outpaces our psychological and social capacity to adapt. Sensory overload in such spaces is directly correlated with feelings of dissociation and anxiety (WGSN, 2024).

While techno-utopians praise the creative and connective potential of these platforms, they tend to overlook their psychological costs. Always-on, hyper personalised engagement may provide entertainment and escape, but it does little to address the deeper roots of loneliness. In many cases, it replaces vulnerability with consumption, and connection with convenience.

Why Social Media's Upsides Don't Apply to Teens

A common argument favouring social media is enabling connection, overcoming geographic boundaries. Users can interact with anyone, anywhere, at any time – a valuable feature. Tech-industry advocates often cite this as a social good, particularly for marginalised users or those with limited mobility (Patulny, 2020). Qualitative research during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic supports this: some participants reported online friendships evolving into face-to-face relationships, or that discovering shared experiences online helped reduce isolation (NIHR, 2023). Digital tools have also shown benefits for older adults, helping reduce perceived loneliness and enhancing life satisfaction (Kusumota et al., 2022).

While these findings are important, they aren't directly applicable to adolescents today. Much of this research draws from atypical contexts - particularly the pandemic - or reflects patterns in adults who generally use digital tools more intentionally, often supplementing existing social structures. Adolescents are still mid identity-formation and social boundary-setting. Their online engagement more likely centres on validation, comparison, and performance - dynamics that undermine rather than reinforce emotional wellbeing (Wang, 2024).

Platforms such as Discord may foster companionship through chatrooms and shared spaces. However, mainstream platforms like Instagram or TikTok are structurally oriented toward broadcasting and self-presentation - offering little space for sustained, reciprocal relationships (Kim et al., 2025). While social media can reduce loneliness, this potential is limited by platform design, and often overshadowed by structural incentives prioritising attention over emotional fulfilment.

Conclusion

Always-on social media engagement was designed to connect us - but for many, especially adolescents, it does the opposite. As this essay has shown, the emotional labour of constant interaction, platform architectures that reward performance over authenticity, and the

commodification of connection all contribute to rising loneliness among the young. While some users find belonging online, these benefits are uneven and limited by design. Social media companies like Meta, ByteDance, and Snap must be held to a higher standard: one that prioritises mental-wellbeing over attention economics. Designing for depth, not just engagement, is vital. Beyond platform change, addressing this issue requires a broader cultural shift, including education on digital boundaries, stronger regulation of algorithmic environments, and investment in digital literacy. Only then can we build online spaces that truly support companionship, identity formation, and emotional health, rather than eroding them.

Bibliography

- Branje, S., de Moor, E.L., Spitzer, J. and Becht, A.I. (2021). *Dynamics of Identity Development in Adolescence: A Decade in Review*. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, [online] 31(4), pp.908–927. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9298910/>.
- Chinyanganya, R. (2024). "How algorithms and filter bubbles decide what we see on social media". [online] *BBC Bitesize*. Available at: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/bitesize/articles/zd9tt39>.
- Dainow, B. (2016). "Digital alienation as the foundation of online privacy concerns". *ACM SIGCAS Computers and Society*, 45(3), pp.109–117. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1145/2874239.2874255>.
- Jackson, P. and Mochcovitch, D. (2023). "Philosophical and Societal Impacts of Commodification in Metaverse". doi:<https://doi.org/10.61737/mrpm5683>.
- Karim, F. (2020). "Social Media Use and Its Connection to Mental-health: A Systematic Review". *Cureus*, 12(6). doi:<https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.8627>.
- Katella, K. (2024). "How Social Media Affects Your Teen's Mental-health: A Parent's Guide." [online] *Yale Medicine*. Available at: <https://www.yalemedicine.org/news/social-media-teen-mental-health-a-parents-guide>.
- Kayala, H., Madhu, P. and Werkun, E. (2023). "Social Comparison on Social Media | OxJournal". [online] *OxJournal*. Available at: <https://www.oxjournal.org/social-comparison-on-social-media/>.
- Kim, J., Klein-Balajee, T., Kelly, R.M. and Hiniker, A. (2025). *Discord's Design Encourages 'Third Place' Social Media Experiences*. *arXiv* (Cornell University). doi:<https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2501.09951>.
- Krause, N. (2025). "Why does 'Adolescence' hit a nerve?" [online] *BPS*. Available at: <https://www.bps.org.uk/psychologist/why-does-adolescence-hit-nerve> [Accessed 14 Apr. 2025].
- Kusumota, L., Diniz, M.A.A., Ribeiro, R.M., Costa da Silva, I.L., Figueira, A.L.G., Rodrigues, F.R. and Rodrigues, R.A.P. (2022). "Impact of digital social media on the

perception of loneliness and social isolation in older adults". [online]
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1590/1518-8345.5641.3526>.

Manousos, Z. (2021). "A location generation: Why Snap Map is harmful for teens' mental-health". [online] *The Algonquin Harbinger*. Available
at: <https://arhsharbinger.com/25203/opinion/a-location-generation-why-snap-map-is-harmful-for-teens-mental-health/>.

NIHR (2023). *socialcaretalk*. [online] *socialcaretalk*. Available
at: <https://socialcaretalk.org/experiences/loneliness/social-media-and-loneliness/> [Accessed 14 Apr. 2025].

Nixon, C. (2014). *Current perspectives: The impact of cyberbullying on adolescent health. Adolescent Health, Medicine and Therapeutics*, [online] 5(5), pp.143–158.
doi:<https://doi.org/10.2147/ahmt.s36456>.

Patulny, R. (2020). "2020: Does social media make us more or less lonely? Depends on how you use it - University of Wollongong – UOW". [online] *www.uow.edu.au*. Available
at: <https://www.uow.edu.au/media/2020/does-social-media-make-us-more-or-less-lonely-depends-on-how-you-use-it.php>.

Sharif, F. (2024). "Likes, Loops, and Limbic Systems: Unveiling the Neurological Impact of Social Media". [online] *Medium*. Available at: <https://medium.com/neurotechx/likes-loops-and-limbic-systems-unveiling-the-neurological-impact-of-social-media-20dbef6b2795>.

Smith, D., Leonis, T. and Anandavalli, S. (2021). *Belonging and Loneliness in Cyberspace: Impacts of Social Media on Adolescents' Well-being. Australian Journal of Psychology*, [online] 73(1), pp.12–23. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00049530.2021.1898914>.

Sora Pazer (2024). "The Impact of Social Media Use on Identity Formation among Adolescents". [online] *ResearchGate*. Available
at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384893227_The_Impact_of_Social_Media_Use_on_Identity_Formation_among_Adolescents.

Swetha (2023). "The Power of Connection: Understanding the Need for Companionship". [online] *Medium*. Available at: <https://medium.com/@swethayedugani09/the-power-of-connection-understanding-the-need-for-companionship-bb7b707e19bb>.

Taylor, R. (2024). "It's almost impossible to keep teens off their phones in bed – but new research shows it really does affect their sleep". [online] *The Conversation*. Available
at: <https://theconversation.com/its-almost-impossible-to-keep-teens-off-their-phones-in-bed-but-new-research-shows-it-really-does-affect-their-sleep-237955>.

Wang, Y.-L. (2024). *The relationship between social media usage and loneliness among younger and older adults: the moderating effect of shyness. BMC Psychology*, 12(1).
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-024-01727-4>.

Wei, M. (2024). "AI Recommendation Algorithms Can Worsen Loneliness". [online] *Psychology Today*. Available at: <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/urban-survival/202404/ai-recommendation-algorithms-can-worsen-loneliness>.

WGSN (2024). *Future Consumer 2024*. [PDF] WGSN. Available at: [uploaded document]
(Accessed: 14 April 2025).