

Will Generative AI Cause Mass Unemployment?

Student Number : 10899510

This style of article was modelled on “Wired Ideas”

Word count: 998

Throughout history, every technological revolution has carried with it a familiar feeling: fear. Fear that the tools we create will render us obsolete. Society is often anxious about technologies that spark the deepest cultural disruption, and few innovations have fuelled such widespread unease as generative AI, particularly around its potential economic impacts. Generative AI is trained using data and machine learning, but it lacks emotional intelligence and our human foundations of unique creativity, morality, and empathy. It is alien to us, with its values based on logic and efficiency: but this means its inability to mirror the emotional depth of human thought limits its power to truly replace us, and whilst deep-set anxiety about AI is understandable, it's incorrectly placed. So, will generative AI cause mass unemployment? The evidence says no, but it will reshape how, where, and why we work.

We've seen these fears before. While technological shifts undoubtedly displace some workers, there is no convincing evidence of a jobless future. History tells us technologies often create more jobs than they eliminate. What's more likely than mass unemployment, is an economic transformation in how we work and what roles we value. In previous technological revolutions, reduction of manual labour allowed for expanded access to education and a shift toward electricity, steam and service roles, whose impacts unfolded gradually and allowed society time to adapt. Although breakthrough innovations can occur rapidly, their diffusion and impact on employment typically stretches over decades. For example, steam-powered farming tools were available in the 1800s, but widespread adoption took hold in the 1920s. While AI is advancing faster than previous revolutions the pace of technological development is not the same as the pace of widespread adoption. Infrastructure, skilled users, regulatory frameworks, and cultural acceptance is still required for society to adapt. This same pattern is evident in past innovations triggering public concern, such as the calculator in schools, or automated checkouts in supermarkets: only to be absorbed into workflows rather than triggering collapse.

In a similar way to these historical examples, integrating generative AI into the labour market will not be seamless or instant. The transition demands complementary investments, such as training, regulation, and technical infrastructure, which slows adoption and gives society time to adapt. This lag creates space for new kinds of work to emerge alongside the technology, rather than in its shadow. Already, we're seeing the rise of roles like AI ethics consultants, prompt engineers, and algorithmic curators - positions unimaginable a decade ago, now gaining traction as essential. In fact, one-third of all US jobs

created in the last quarter-century didn't exist before. These changes suggest a shift in the nature of employment, not its erasure. While some routine roles - in areas like customer service, copywriting, or basic coding - are more exposed to automation, AI is more often used to augment human effort than replace it entirely. Tools like GitHub Copilot have increased productivity for software developers, and in journalism, AI is being used to automate formulaic weather and sports reporting, freeing human writers to focus on novel analysis. These examples reflect a broader pattern: not elimination of work, but evolution - one that redefines tasks, creates hybrid roles, and demands new skills rather than abandoning old ones altogether.

We'll also see phases of AI being implemented throughout larger companies, for two reasons: public resistance in consumer facing industries and misunderstanding how to use AI effectively. For instance, AI-generated models and campaigns have been met with criticism for lacking authenticity. Levi's 2023 and H&M's 2025 decisions to introduce AI-generated models to increase diversity sparked backlash, as audiences demanded real representation, and use of digital clones only drove the tension between already excluded communities. AI, at least for now, struggles to replicate authenticity and emotional resonance. Additionally, the lack of integration of AI into workflows in an efficient way will phase job evolution, although 80% of companies are investing in AI, over half of them aren't sure how to use it. People don't understand how to use these tools. Without agreed regulations and frameworks for use-cases and intellectual properties, it's hard to develop meaningful approaches. Only 1% of CEOs agree their companies fully integrate AI into workflows to drive substantial business outcomes. Therefore the adoption curve of impactful AI implementation will be much slower than allows for accelerated mass unemployment.

Many arguments for AI replacement of human overstate the speed and scale of disruption. McKinsey state 30% of current work activities could be automated by 2030, but ignores historical precedent discussing roles that emerge alongside automation. Additionally, the assumption that AI will universally outperform humans fails to account for the limitations of current systems. Emotional intelligence, ethical judgement, and contextual awareness are required for many people-facing professions, such as healthcare, education, and management. Many of the strongest claims about AI-induced job loss assume that capability equals deployment: ignoring logistical, cultural, and legal frictions that have slowed every past workplace transformation. These frictions, not just the tech's limits, are why mass unemployment remains unlikely.

We can conclude that AI will be disruptive, but not apocalyptic. The fear of mass unemployment, though understandable, is largely overstated. What's more likely is phased disruption: a shift where certain roles are automated, others evolve, and entirely new ones emerge in response to changing demands. This pattern mirrors every technological revolution before it. Rather than framing AI as an existential

threat to the workforce, we should treat it a reason to invest in human potential for transformation. The emergence of this technology should be a call to action for governments, educators, and industries to invest in inclusive access to AI tools. The focus must be not just on what is being replaced, but on how we prepare people for what's coming next. Ultimately, the question isn't "Will AI take our jobs?" but rather, "Are we ready for the new way of working it will create?" Those who can adapt, learn, and collaborate with these systems will shape the future, not be sidelined by it.

Reference list

Clark, M. (2023). *Levi's addresses backlash after using AI models to 'increase diversity'*. [online] The Independent. Available at: <https://www.independent.co.uk/life-style/fashion/levis-ai-models-diversity-backlash-b2310280.html>.

Crist, C. (2024). *Although 80% of companies are investing in AI, half aren't sure how to use it*. [online] HR Dive. Available at: <https://www.hrdive.com/news/companies-investing-in-ai-but-arent-sure-about-impact/710550/> [Accessed 7 Apr. 2025].

Crouch, G. (2024). *Why Societies Fear Technologies*. [online] Medium. Available at: <https://gilescrouch.medium.com/why-societies-fear-technologies-b60e74965d95>.

Deming, D., Ong, C. and Summers, L. (2025). Technological Disruption in the Labor Market. [online] doi:<https://doi.org/10.3386/w33323>.

Ellingrud, K., Sanghvi, S., Singh Dandona, G., Madgavkar, A., Chui, M., White, O. and Hasebe, P. (2023). *Generative AI and the Future of Work in America*. [online] www.mckinsey.com. Available at: <https://www.mckinsey.com/mgi/our-research/generative-ai-and-the-future-of-work-in-america>.

Howarth, J. (2024). *60+ Stats On AI Replacing Jobs (2024)*. [online] Exploding Topics. Available at: <https://explodingtopics.com/blog/ai-replacing-jobs>.

Kim, Y.-K. and Sullivan, P. (2019). Emotional Branding Speaks to Consumers' heart: the Case of Fashion Brands. *Fashion and Textiles*, 6(1), pp.1–16.
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40691-018-0164-y>.

Liam Kay-McClean (2024). *AI will struggle to replace human creativity | News*. [online] Research Live. Available at: <https://www.research-live.com/article/news/ai-will-struggle-to-replace-human-creativity/id/5128663> [Accessed 7 Apr. 2025].

Mayer, H., Yee, L., Chui, M. and Roberts, R. (2025). *Superagency in the workplace: Empowering people to unlock AI's full potential*. [online] McKinsey & Company. Available at: <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/mckinsey-digital/our-insights/superagency-in-the-workplace-empowering-people-to-unlock-ais-full-potential-at-work>.

McMahon, L. (2025). H&M to use digital clones of models in ads and social media. *BBC News*. [online] 27 Mar. Available at: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/articles/c3vwg73xndeo>.

Mokyr, J., Vickers, C. and Ziebarth, N.L. (2015). The History of Technological Anxiety and the Future of Economic Growth: Is This Time Different? *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 29(3), pp.31–50. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.29.3.31>.

Montpellier, I.F., Charlotte de (2024). *AI will fundamentally transform the job market but the risk of mass unemployment is low*. [online] ING Think. Available at: <https://think.ing.com/articles/ai-will-fundamentally-transform-job-market-but-risk-of-mass-unemployment-is-low/>.

Murphy, D. (2020). *Adopting new technology is vital – but why is it so hard*. [online] Insights - Empowering Digital Workplaces. Available at: <https://insights.ricoh.co.uk/simplifying-technology/adopting-new-technology> [Accessed 7 Apr. 2025].

Philipp Carlsson-Szlezak and Swartz, P. (2024). *Why AI will not lead to technological unemployment*. [online] World Economic Forum. Available at: <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2024/08/why-ai-will-not-lead-to-a-world-without-work/> [Accessed 7 Apr. 2025].

Piyachart (Arm) Isarabhakdee (2025). *AI will drive growth. But only Authentic Intelligence can empower the world*. [online] World Economic Forum. Available at: <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/03/ai-authentic-intelligence/> [Accessed 7 Apr. 2025].

Rotman, D. (2024). *People are worried that AI will take everyone's jobs. We've been here before*. [online] MIT Technology Review. Available at: <https://www.technologyreview.com/2024/01/27/1087041/technological-unemployment-elon-musk-jobs-ai/>.

Sah, A.K. (2024). *Title: AI Will Not Replace Human Workforce*. [online] doi:<https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.19598.78405>.

Stewart-Darling, F. (2024). *Why AI can't replace the human touch*. [online] www.peoplemanagement.co.uk. Available at:

<https://www.peoplemanagement.co.uk/article/1877784/why-ai-cant-replace-human-touch>
[Accessed 7 Apr. 2025].

United Nations (2022). *Will robots and AI cause mass unemployment?* [online] United Nations. Available at: <https://www.un.org/en/desa/will-robots-and-ai-cause-mass-unemployment-not-necessarily-they-do-bring-other> [Accessed 7 Apr. 2025].