

The Definitive Guide to Advanced Process Control in Modern Petroleum Refining: Strategy, Technology, and Performance

Section 1: The Strategic Imperative of Advanced Process Control in Refining

Advanced Process Control (APC) represents a critical strategic asset for the modern petroleum refining industry, extending far beyond the realm of basic automation to become a cornerstone of operational excellence and competitive advantage. In an industry characterized by high capital intensity, volatile margins, and stringent safety and environmental regulations, the ability to optimize complex processes in real-time is not a luxury but a necessity. APC provides the technological framework to achieve this, enabling refineries to operate more efficiently, profitably, and safely. This section defines the fundamental role of APC, situates it within the broader refinery control and optimization hierarchy, and delineates its core objectives and quantifiable value proposition.

1.1 Beyond Basic Automation: Defining the Role and Value of APC

At its core, Advanced Process Control is a comprehensive suite of techniques and technologies designed to optimize industrial processes by operating as a supervisory layer above the Basic Process Control System (BPCS).¹ The BPCS, which consists of the Distributed Control System (DCS) and Programmable Logic Controllers (PLCs), forms the foundational layer of plant automation. Its primary function is regulatory control, which is overwhelmingly accomplished through thousands of single-input, single-output (SISO) Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controllers that manage individual process variables like

temperature, pressure, and flow.² While essential for basic stability, the BPCS is inherently limited; each PID controller operates in isolation, reacting to deviations in a single process variable without awareness of the broader process or its economic objectives.

APC transcends these limitations through two fundamental principles: a holistic, multivariable approach and predictive capability.

First, APC's defining characteristic is its ability to manage multivariable systems. A modern refinery unit, such as a Crude Distillation Unit (CDU) or a Fluid Catalytic Cracker (FCC), is a web of complex interactions where adjusting one variable can have cascading, and often counterintuitive, effects on many others.¹ APC employs sophisticated algorithms to model and manage these interdependencies simultaneously, coordinating the actions of dozens or even hundreds of variables to achieve a collective goal.³ This allows it to approach the process holistically, ensuring that control actions are not just locally stable but are also aligned with high-level business objectives, such as maximizing the yield of a specific product or minimizing overall energy consumption.¹

Second, APC is fundamentally predictive. It utilizes a mathematical model of the process to forecast how the system will behave in the future in response to control actions and external disturbances.¹ This predictive power allows the system to make proactive adjustments, counteracting the impact of disturbances

before they can significantly affect product quality or operational stability.¹ This stands in stark contrast to the reactive nature of basic PID control, which can only correct an error after it has already occurred. By anticipating future states, APC can steer the process along an optimal trajectory, maintaining stability while continuously pushing toward economic targets.

1.2 The Refinery Control and Optimization Hierarchy

To fully appreciate the role of APC, it is essential to understand its position within the hierarchical structure of refinery automation and decision-making, often visualized as an automation pyramid.⁸ Each layer in this hierarchy operates on a different timescale and with a different scope, building upon the stability and information provided by the layer below it.⁹ The reliability of this entire structure is contingent upon the integrity of its foundation.

- **Level 0/1: Field Devices and Basic Process Control.** This is the foundational layer, comprising the physical plant equipment: sensors (transmitters), actuators (control valves), and the BPCS (DCS/PLCs) that executes the basic regulatory control logic.³ The vast majority of control loops at this level, estimated at 85-95%, are PID controllers.¹¹ The stability and reliability of this layer are non-negotiable prerequisites for any higher-level

control strategy. An APC system cannot compensate for a faulty transmitter or a poorly tuned PID loop; in fact, such foundational weaknesses will invariably lead to APC failure.³

- **Level 2: Advanced Process Control.** This is the domain where APC resides. It operates on a timescale of minutes to hours, functioning as a supervisory controller.² Instead of directly manipulating control valves, the APC system calculates and sends optimal setpoints to the underlying PID controllers in the BPCS.⁵ By orchestrating the actions of these base-layer controllers, APC manages the multivariable interactions within a specific process unit to achieve its optimization objectives.⁸
- **Level 3: Real-Time Optimization (RTO).** Operating on a timescale of hours to days, the RTO layer sits above APC.⁸ RTO systems employ rigorous, often non-linear, steady-state process models to determine the most profitable operating targets for an entire unit or a complex of interconnected units. These models incorporate real-time economic data, such as feedstock costs and product prices, to calculate the economically optimal setpoints for key variables.¹² These targets are then passed down to the APC layer for dynamic execution.⁸
- **Level 4: Planning and Scheduling.** At the apex of the pyramid, operating on a timescale of days to months, are the planning and scheduling systems.⁸ These systems use tools like whole-refinery Linear Programming (LP) models to determine the overall production plan. They decide which crude oils to purchase, what products to produce, and in what quantities to maximize the refinery's overall profitability based on long-term market forecasts.¹⁵ The output of this layer provides the ultimate business objectives that the RTO and APC layers are tasked with achieving.

This hierarchical structure reveals a critical function of APC: it serves as the essential bridge between the high-level economic plans formulated in the upper layers and the real-time, physical execution of those plans at the process level. The economic goals from an LP model are abstract; it is the APC system that translates a directive like "maximize diesel yield" into the precise, coordinated, second-by-second adjustments of temperatures, pressures, and flows required to make it a physical reality. Without a robust APC layer, a refinery's ability to execute its strategic economic plan is severely compromised, relying on the less consistent and less optimal manual adjustments of human operators.⁵

Furthermore, the hierarchy functions as a maturity model for a refinery's operations. A facility cannot simply purchase and install an RTO system without first having a well-functioning APC layer, and it cannot sustain an APC layer without a stable and reliable BPCS. Therefore, a refinery's progress up the automation pyramid is a direct reflection of its underlying operational discipline, maintenance practices, and commitment to process control fundamentals.

1.3 Core Objectives and Quantifiable Value Proposition

The primary mechanism through which APC generates value is the reduction of process variability.¹ In any process, operators must maintain a safety and quality margin between the average operating point and the ultimate process constraints (e.g., a maximum reactor temperature, a minimum product purity, a furnace's heat duty limit). This "comfort zone" accounts for fluctuations and disturbances.¹¹ By using its predictive model to proactively counteract disturbances and manage variable interactions, APC significantly dampens this variability, reducing the amplitude of oscillations by a factor of three to ten times in some cases.¹¹

This newfound stability allows the average operating point of the process to be safely and automatically shifted closer to the true physical or economic constraints, which is precisely where the most profitable operation lies.⁷ This shift unlocks substantial and measurable improvements across several key performance indicators (KPIs).

- **Increased Throughput:** When a unit's production is limited by a physical constraint (e.g., hydraulic limits of a distillation column, maximum firing rate of a heater), reducing variability allows the APC to operate the unit consistently at that constraint, thereby maximizing throughput.⁷ Documented gains typically range from 2% to 5%, with some optimization projects achieving up to a 15% increase.²²
- **Improved Yields of High-Value Products:** APC enables the precise control of reaction conditions and separation efficiency. In a distillation column, for example, it can optimize cut-points to maximize the recovery of more valuable products like gasoline and diesel from less valuable streams like fuel oil.²⁴ Typical yield improvements are in the range of 3% to 5%.²²
- **Reduced Energy Consumption:** Energy is a major operating cost in refining. APC minimizes energy usage by optimizing combustion in fired heaters, reducing steam consumption in distillation column reboilers, and optimizing compressor operation.¹⁹ These actions lead to direct cost savings and a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. Energy reductions of 5% to 10% are commonly reported, with some cases reaching 15%.¹⁰
- **Enhanced Product Quality and Reduced Giveaway:** Refineries must meet strict quality specifications for their products. Without tight control, operators often over-purify products to ensure they are always on-spec, a practice known as "quality giveaway".¹⁹ APC can control product qualities to their specification limits with high precision, eliminating the waste of valuable components or the excess energy used in over-purification.⁷
- **Improved Stability, Safety, and Reliability:** By maintaining the process within safe operating envelopes and reducing the frequency and magnitude of upsets, APC enhances overall plant safety.¹ It also reduces the cognitive load on operators, allowing them to focus on higher-level supervision rather than constant manual intervention, and

ensures smoother, more reliable transitions during disruptive events like crude switches.¹⁷

Collectively, these benefits create a powerful economic incentive for APC implementation. The technology is not merely an engineering tool but a potent driver of profitability, enabling refineries to extract maximum value from their existing assets.

Section 2: The Technological Core: Methodologies and Algorithms

The strategic value of Advanced Process Control is realized through a sophisticated collection of control methodologies and algorithms. While the term APC encompasses a broad range of techniques, its application in modern refineries is dominated by one powerful paradigm: Model Predictive Control (MPC). This section provides a detailed examination of the theoretical underpinnings of MPC, the critical process of developing its core models, and a survey of other essential techniques, such as inferential modeling, that complement MPC to form a complete and robust APC solution.

2.1 Model Predictive Control (MPC): The Workhorse of Modern APC

Model Predictive Control has been the preeminent advanced control technology in the process industries, including petroleum refining, since its widespread adoption began in the 1980s.³ Its power lies in its intuitive and explicit use of a dynamic process model to anticipate future process behavior and compute optimal control moves. The entire MPC framework can be understood through its three fundamental pillars.⁶

1. **The Internal Dynamic Model:** The heart of any MPC controller is a mathematical model that encapsulates the dynamic cause-and-effect relationships within the process.¹ This model is typically a multivariable system represented by a matrix of individual dynamic models. It predicts how the key process outputs, known as Controlled Variables (CVs), will respond over a future time horizon to changes in the process inputs. These inputs are categorized as Manipulated Variables (MVs), which are the variables the controller can adjust (e.g., valve positions, PID setpoints), and Disturbance Variables (DVs), which are measured inputs that affect the process but cannot be adjusted by the controller (e.g., feed composition, ambient temperature).⁶
2. **The Objective (Cost) Function:** The control goal is defined mathematically in an objective function, sometimes called a cost function.⁶ At each execution step, the MPC's

goal is to minimize this function over a specified future time window, known as the prediction horizon (

P).²⁹ The objective function is typically formulated to penalize deviations of the predicted CVs from their desired setpoints or reference trajectories (

r). It also often includes a term to penalize excessive or aggressive movement of the MVs (Δu), promoting smoother control action.²⁹ A common formulation is a quadratic objective function, which can be expressed as:

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^P w_1 (\hat{y}_{t+i} - r_{t+i})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^C w_2 (\Delta u_{t+i-1})^2$$

where \hat{y} is the predicted output, r is the reference trajectory, Δu is the change in the control input, C is the control horizon (the number of future moves to calculate), and w_1 and w_2 are weighting matrices that allow engineers to define the relative importance of tracking different CVs and penalizing different MV moves.²⁹

3. **The Optimization Algorithm:** To minimize the objective function, the MPC employs a constrained optimization algorithm.⁶ This algorithm uses the dynamic model to calculate the optimal sequence of future MV moves over the control horizon that will drive the predicted CVs as close as possible to their targets, all while explicitly honoring a set of defined constraints.²⁹ These constraints are critical for safe and practical operation and can include hard limits on equipment (e.g., valve fully open/closed, maximum furnace temperature) and soft limits on product quality specifications.⁶

A defining feature of MPC is its use of the **Receding Horizon Principle**.⁶ At each control interval (e.g., every 60 seconds), the controller performs the following steps:

1. It measures the current state of the plant (current values of CVs, MVs, and DVs).
2. It uses the internal model to predict the future trajectory of the CVs over the prediction horizon.
3. It solves the constrained optimization problem to find the entire sequence of optimal future MV moves.
4. Crucially, it implements **only the first move** in this calculated sequence.
5. It then discards the remainder of the optimal plan, waits for the next control interval, and repeats the entire process from step 1 with new plant measurements.

This iterative, re-planning approach makes MPC exceptionally robust. By constantly re-evaluating its optimal plan based on the latest process feedback, it can effectively handle unmeasured disturbances and correct for inaccuracies in its internal model, continuously guiding the process toward its objectives.⁶

2.2 Building the Brain: Model Development and Identification

The performance of an MPC controller is fundamentally tied to the accuracy of its internal dynamic model.¹ An inaccurate model leads to poor predictions, which in turn leads to suboptimal or even incorrect control actions. The process of developing this model, known as system identification, is one of the most critical and labor-intensive phases of an APC project.

The primary method for gathering the necessary data is through a series of carefully designed plant experiments called **step tests**.¹⁷ During these tests, which can be disruptive to normal operations, control engineers systematically introduce step changes to each Manipulated Variable, one at a time, while the process is in a quasi-steady state. The dynamic responses of all relevant Controlled Variables are recorded by the plant's data historian.²⁰ This procedure is repeated for every MV in the controller scope, generating a rich dataset that captures the dynamic relationships between all inputs and outputs.²⁹ This data is then fed into specialized software tools that use statistical techniques to fit and identify the parameters of the dynamic models.

The mathematical form of these models is a key point of differentiation among APC vendors:

- **Finite Impulse Response (FIR) / Step Response Models:** This traditional approach, used by vendors like AspenTech, represents the dynamic relationship as a series of numerical coefficients (weights) that define the process response at each discrete time step following an input change.¹⁹ While conceptually simple, these models can be parameter-intensive and may struggle to accurately capture processes with both very fast and very slow dynamics simultaneously.¹⁹ Academic studies have suggested that this model form may require more data to achieve the same level of accuracy as other forms.³⁰
- **State-Space and Transfer Function Models:** A more modern and mathematically compact approach involves using low-order transfer functions (e.g., first-order-plus-dead-time) or their multivariable equivalent, state-space models.¹⁹ Vendors like ABB and Honeywell utilize these forms.¹⁹ These models can describe complex process dynamics with a much smaller number of parameters (e.g., gain, time constant, dead time). This data efficiency can reduce the duration of plant testing.³⁰ Furthermore, state-space models provide a framework for implementing advanced estimation techniques, such as the Kalman filter, which can provide earlier and more accurate detection of disturbances, leading to superior control performance.¹⁹

The explicit process model is both MPC's greatest strength and its most significant vulnerability. The model provides the predictive capability that makes MPC superior to reactive control strategies. However, real-world refinery processes are not static. Equipment performance degrades over time due to factors like heat exchanger fouling and catalyst deactivation, and operating conditions change with different crude slates.¹⁵ This causes the actual process dynamics to drift away from the dynamics captured in the static model created during the initial step-testing phase. This growing "model-plant mismatch" degrades the controller's predictive accuracy, causing its performance to deteriorate.¹⁹ To combat this,

refineries must periodically invest in costly and disruptive re-testing and re-modeling campaigns to maintain the benefits of their APC applications. This high cost of ownership and the inherent fragility of the static model in a dynamic environment are the central challenges that the latest generation of adaptive and AI-driven APC technologies are designed to address.³²

2.3 Beyond MPC: A Survey of Complementary APC Techniques

While MPC is the centerpiece of most refinery APC applications, a complete solution relies on a portfolio of complementary techniques that either support the MPC or handle specific control problems where a full MPC is not warranted.

- **Inferential Modeling ("Soft Sensors"):** A cornerstone of modern APC, inferential models are indispensable for controlling key product qualities.¹⁹ Many critical properties, such as the flash point of kerosene or the octane number of gasoline, are measured infrequently by laboratory analysis, with delays of several hours.¹⁷ This makes direct feedback control impossible. A soft sensor is an empirical model that uses readily available, real-time process measurements (like temperatures, pressures, and flow rates) to calculate an estimate, or "inference," of the unmeasured quality variable.¹⁹ These models are often built using advanced statistical methods or artificial intelligence techniques like artificial neural networks (ANNs) and genetic networks.¹⁹ The real-time output of the soft sensor can then be used as a Controlled Variable within the MPC, enabling true closed-loop quality control and allowing the refinery to operate much closer to product specification limits.¹⁷
- **Advanced Regulatory Control (ARC):** This term refers to a collection of control strategies that are more sophisticated than basic PID but are typically implemented directly within the DCS, forming a crucial stabilization layer for the overlying MPC.² Key ARC techniques include:
 - **Feedforward Control:** Uses a measurement of an upstream disturbance to make a proactive control move before the disturbance can impact the primary controlled variable.³⁴ For example, adjusting reboiler steam flow based on a change in feed rate to a distillation column.
 - **Decoupling:** Addresses interactions in multivariable systems, often in 2x2 scenarios. For instance, in a distillation column, adjusting reflux to control top temperature also affects the bottom temperature. A decoupler calculates and implements a simultaneous adjustment to the reboiler steam to cancel out this interaction, effectively making the two loops behave independently.³⁴
 - **Adaptive Control:** Involves algorithms that automatically adjust the tuning parameters of a PID controller in response to changing process dynamics, ensuring

the loop remains stable and responsive.¹

- **Intelligent Control:** This is a broad category of control techniques that employ various artificial intelligence approaches.² Fuzzy logic, for instance, uses a set of human-like "if-then" rules to make control decisions, which can be effective for processes that are difficult to model mathematically but for which operator knowledge is well-established.¹

Together, these technologies form an integrated control ecosystem. A robust ARC layer stabilizes the plant, reliable soft sensors provide the real-time quality information, and the powerful MPC engine uses this information to optimize the unit against its economic and operational constraints.

Section 3: APC Application Across the Refinery: From Equipment to Enterprise

The theoretical power of Advanced Process Control is translated into tangible economic value through its practical application to the various processing units within a petroleum refinery. APC can be deployed at multiple scales, from optimizing a single piece of equipment to coordinating the operation of multiple interconnected units, and ultimately to managing the entire facility in concert with higher-level planning systems. This section details the essential prerequisites for any successful APC project and then explores its application at the equipment, unit, and refinery-wide levels, supported by a wealth of real-world case studies that quantify the achieved performance improvements.

3.1 The Foundation: Prerequisites for Successful Implementation

A critical, recurring theme in the successful deployment of APC is the absolute necessity of a solid foundation. An APC system is a supervisory layer of control; it is not a remedy for underlying problems in the basic instrumentation and regulatory control layers.³ Attempting to layer a sophisticated multivariable controller on top of an unstable foundation is a guaranteed recipe for failure. Operators will quickly lose confidence in a system that performs erratically and will turn it off, negating the entire investment.¹⁵

Therefore, before any APC implementation begins, a rigorous "pre-test" or readiness assessment is mandatory.¹⁷ This phase involves a comprehensive audit and remediation of the foundational control elements:

- **Instrumentation Health:** All sensors, transmitters, and analytical instruments that will provide inputs to the APC system must be functional, accurate, and well-maintained. The adage "garbage in, garbage out" is particularly true for model-based control.⁴
- **Final Control Element Performance:** Control valves must be properly sized, free of stiction or hysteresis, and capable of responding precisely to the commands from the control system.⁴
- **Base-Layer PID Loop Stability:** All key regulatory control loops that will be manipulated by the APC must be properly configured and tuned. They must be capable of running consistently in automatic mode, as the APC's function is to adjust their setpoints, not to take over their basic stabilizing function.³

Only once this foundation is proven to be stable and reliable can the APC project proceed with a high probability of success.

3.2 Equipment-Level Optimization: Targeted Gains

While many APC applications cover entire process units, significant value can also be captured by applying targeted APC strategies to specific pieces of critical equipment.

- **Fired Heater and Furnace Optimization:** In large, multi-pass fired heaters, uneven heating can cause some tubes (passes) to reach temperature limits while others are still operating below their potential. This forces the overall furnace to be operated conservatively. A **pass balancing** APC application continuously adjusts the flow rate to each individual pass to equalize the outlet temperatures.²⁶ This prevents localized overheating and allows the furnace's overall firing rate to be safely increased, improving thermal efficiency and often enabling higher unit throughput.³⁶ Another application is **exhaust gas oxygen control**, where a multivariable controller manages fuel and air flow to minimize excess oxygen, thereby reducing fuel consumption and emissions.²⁶
- **Compressor Control:** Large centrifugal and axial compressors are vital pieces of equipment that are susceptible to a damaging aerodynamic instability known as surge. Specialized APC applications are designed to operate the compressor as close as possible to its surge limit without crossing it. This maximizes the compressor's efficiency and operating range while providing robust protection against surge events, which can cause catastrophic mechanical failure.¹⁸
- **Steam and Utilities Systems:** A refinery's utility system is a complex network of boilers, steam headers at different pressure levels, and turbine generators. APC can be applied to the entire network to optimize its operation. The controller can manage boiler loads, balance header pressures, and allocate steam to various users in the most economically efficient manner, minimizing overall fuel consumption and ensuring a reliable supply of

utilities to the process units.¹

3.3 Unit-Level Mastery: In-Depth Case Studies

The most common and impactful application of APC is at the level of an entire process unit. Here, the multivariable controller manages all the key interacting variables to push the unit's performance against its most profitable constraints.

- **Crude & Vacuum Distillation Units (CDU/VDU):** As the entry point for all crude oil and the primary separation stage, the CDU/VDU is arguably the most important unit in the refinery and a prime target for APC.
 - **Objectives:** The primary goals are to maximize the yield of high-value distillate products (naphtha, kerosene, diesel, and vacuum gas oil) by tightly controlling product cut-points, and to minimize energy consumption by optimizing the heat exchange network and pumparound duties.⁸ A crucial function is also to stabilize the unit during transitions between different crude oil feedstocks, which can cause significant process upsets.³⁷
 - **Real Case (Grupa LOTOS Refinery - Honeywell):** A project at the Gdansk refinery implemented Honeywell's Profit Controller on the CDU. The system used inferential models to predict product qualities in real-time. The results were a 1.3% reduction in the production of low-value atmospheric residue and an overall economic benefit of approximately \$0.27 per barrel of crude processed, achieved solely by upgrading product value. This figure did not even include additional benefits from feed maximization.³⁷
 - **Real Case (Russian Refinery - Honeywell):** An APC implementation on a CDU/VDU at the Gasprom Neftekhim Salavat complex demonstrated significant yield improvements, increasing the combined kerosene and diesel draw by 3% and the vacuum gas oil draw by 4.5%. The project achieved a remarkable payback period of just six months.¹⁷
- **Fluid Catalytic Cracking (FCC) Units:** The FCC is a highly complex, non-linear, and interactive unit that converts heavy gas oils into high-octane gasoline and other valuable products. Its complexity makes it an ideal candidate for multivariable control.
 - **Objectives:** Key control objectives include managing the critical heat balance between the reactor and the catalyst regenerator, controlling the catalyst circulation rate to optimize conversion, maximizing the yield of valuable products, and controlling the product separation in the main fractionator.¹³
 - **Real Case (Chevron Pembroke Refinery - Honeywell):** A project to revamp an older Honeywell RMPCT application on a residue FCC reactor and main fractionator was undertaken. The new system, which used first-principles models for its inferential quality predictions, gained high operator acceptance and achieved its

return on investment in less than one month.⁴⁰

- **Hydroprocessing Units (Hydrocrackers & Hydrotreaters):** These units are essential for producing clean fuels that meet stringent environmental regulations, such as ultra-low sulfur diesel.
 - **Objectives:** APC is used to precisely control reactor temperatures to manage the catalytic reactions, optimize hydrogen consumption (a significant operating cost), extend catalyst life by managing deactivation, and ensure final product qualities meet specifications.¹
 - **Real Case (Middle Distillate Hydrocracker - AspenTech):** An application of AspenTech's DMCplus controller, coupled with inferential quality calculations, was deployed on a hydrocracker. The controller significantly reduced variability in the kerosene and diesel product cut-points, enabling the unit to operate closer to specifications and maximize production. The project delivered a consistent benefit of €4.2 million per year, with a payback period of under six months.⁴⁰
- **Gasoline Blending:** This is a final and highly economically sensitive step in the refinery.
 - **Objectives:** The goal is to produce on-specification finished gasoline at the lowest possible cost. APC systems for blending use inputs from online analyzers and soft sensors to dynamically adjust the blend recipe in real-time. This allows for minimizing the use of expensive high-octane blendstocks (like reformate or alkylate) while still meeting all final product specifications for octane, Reid Vapor Pressure (RVP), and distillation points, thereby eliminating costly quality giveaway.²⁷
 - **Real Case (Anonymous U.S. Refinery):** The implementation of an MPC-based APC system on a refinery's overall operations, including blending, resulted in a 3% increase in throughput, an 8% reduction in energy consumption, and was credited with generating an estimated \$20 million in additional annual revenue.²⁵

3.4 The Holistic View: Multi-Unit and Refinery-Wide Optimization

Optimizing individual process units in isolation can lead to a situation where the performance of the overall refinery is suboptimal. For example, a CDU operator might maximize diesel production, but if the downstream diesel hydrotreater lacks the capacity or hydrogen supply to process the extra volume, the overall refinery plan is disrupted.¹⁵ This recognition of system-wide interactions has driven the evolution of APC towards multi-unit and refinery-wide control and optimization strategies.

- **Multi-Unit Coordination:** This represents the next level of control sophistication, where a single APC application spans multiple, tightly integrated process units.⁴² For example, a controller might manage a CDU, VDU, and a downstream hydrocracker as a single entity. This allows the controller to make intelligent trade-offs between the units. It can

proactively adjust the CDU's operation based on a known constraint in the hydrocracker, ensuring that the entire processing chain is optimized, not just one part of it.⁸

- **Integration with Real-Time Optimization (RTO):** The highest level of online optimization involves integrating the unit-level APC controllers with a refinery-wide RTO system.⁸ The RTO layer uses a rigorous, economic-based model of the entire refinery to calculate the optimal steady-state targets for each unit that will maximize total site profitability. The APC controllers then act as the execution layer, dynamically driving the units to these RTO-provided targets and rejecting disturbances to maintain them there.⁴³
- **Real Case (Taiwan Refinery - Schneider Electric/AVEVA):** A strategic initiative to reduce operational expenses focused on deploying a combination of APC and Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies across critical units, including the crude oil distillation process. This integrated approach stabilized operations and allowed processing closer to constraint limits, resulting in combined throughput improvements and energy savings valued at approximately \$4.2 million per year.⁴⁴
- **Real Case (Cosmo Oil, Japan - AVEVA):** To move beyond unit-level APC, Cosmo Oil implemented a plant-wide RTO solution on four crude units across two of its refineries. This RTO system connected directly to the underlying APC controllers, optimizing operations in real-time to align with the refinery's production plan. The project yielded substantial annual savings of more than \$2 million per unit and achieved a full payback on the investment in less than one year.⁴³

This progression from equipment-level to refinery-wide optimization demonstrates that the application of APC is not merely a technical choice but a strategic one. The selection of which units to control and how to define their objectives must be directly linked to the refinery's overall economic drivers and market conditions. The objective function for a CDU controller, for instance, must be flexible enough to shift from maximizing diesel to maximizing gasoline as the relative market prices for those products change.¹⁵ This requires active management and a tight alignment between the APC systems and the high-level economic signals coming from the refinery's planning and scheduling department.

Section 4: The Commercial Landscape: Vendors, Technologies, and Market Dynamics

The Advanced Process Control market for petroleum refineries is a mature yet dynamic ecosystem, characterized by a handful of major technology providers, significant ongoing innovation, and a strong, growing demand. Understanding this commercial landscape—including the key players, their technological differentiators, and the overall market trends—is crucial for any refiner considering an investment in APC. This section

provides a comprehensive analysis of the APC market, profiles the leading suppliers, offers a comparative analysis of their core technologies, and discusses the competitive positioning and market share dynamics.

4.1 Market Overview: Size, Growth, and Key Drivers

The global market for Advanced Process Control is substantial and poised for significant growth. Market analyses from 2023 valued the global APC market at approximately \$2.1 billion to \$2.3 billion.⁴⁵ Projections indicate robust expansion, with forecasts estimating the market will reach between \$4.5 billion and \$5.3 billion by the 2030-2033 timeframe, reflecting a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) in the range of 9% to 10.6%.⁴⁵ Another analysis projects growth from \$1.83 billion in 2024 to \$4.56 billion by 2034, representing a CAGR of 10.7%.⁴⁷

- **Sector Dominance:** The oil and gas industry is, by a significant margin, the largest and most important end-user of APC technology. It accounted for the highest market share and is projected to be the fastest-growing segment.⁴⁶ This dominance is driven by the sheer scale, complexity, and economic leverage of refinery processes, where small percentage improvements in efficiency or yield translate into millions of dollars of annual profit.
- **Regional Dynamics:** Geographically, North America has historically been the largest market for APC, holding a share of over 35-38% in 2023. This is attributed to the early and widespread adoption of automation technologies in the region's large and sophisticated refining sector.⁴⁶ However, the Asia-Pacific region is expected to exhibit the fastest growth rate in the coming years, fueled by rapid industrialization, the construction of new refining capacity, and increasing investment in modern infrastructure.⁴⁶
- **Key Market Drivers:** The sustained growth of the APC market is propelled by several powerful industry trends. The relentless pressure to improve energy efficiency, both to reduce operating costs and to meet sustainability goals and carbon emission regulations, is a primary driver.⁴⁶ Additionally, the need for enhanced process safety, improved product quality, and greater operational agility in a volatile market continues to fuel demand. The most significant recent driver is the integration of next-generation digital technologies, particularly Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), and the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), which promise to further enhance the capabilities and value of APC solutions.⁴⁶

4.2 Profile of Major APC Suppliers

The APC market is led by a group of large, established industrial automation and software companies, each offering a distinct suite of products and services.

- **Honeywell:** A perennial market leader, Honeywell has a vast installed base and decades of experience in refining. Their flagship offering is the **Honeywell Forge** enterprise performance management platform, which includes the **Profit® Suite** for process control. Key components are **Profit® Controller** (the core MPC engine), **Profit® Optimizer** for real-time optimization, and **Profit® Sensor Pro** for developing inferential models.¹⁷ Honeywell's solutions are tightly integrated with their Experion® PKS DCS and they have a particularly strong market presence in regions like Russia.¹⁷
- **Aspen Technology (AspenTech):** A dominant force in process simulation and engineering software, AspenTech is also a leading APC provider. Their core product is **Aspen DMC3™**, the third generation of their pioneering Dynamic Matrix Control technology.²³ AspenTech's strategy emphasizes the integration of AI for adaptive control, automated model building, and operator advisory systems, as well as seamless integration with their wider suite of planning, scheduling, and optimization tools.⁵¹ Following a major transaction in 2022, Emerson holds a majority stake in AspenTech.⁵²
- **KBC (A Yokogawa Company):** KBC combines deep process technology consulting with advanced software. Their APC platform, **PACE (Platform for Advanced Control and Estimation)**, was developed in collaboration with Shell and is highly regarded for its robust, integrated architecture.⁵³ PACE is distinguished by its strong focus on the state estimation problem and its ability to embed base-layer control logic directly, enhancing its adaptability.⁵³
- **ABB:** A global giant in automation and electrification, ABB offers its APC solutions as part of the **ABB Ability™** digital ecosystem.⁵⁵ Their core MPC product, **Predict & Control (P&C)**, is notable for its use of a state-space modeling approach, which is mathematically rigorous and allows for the use of advanced estimation techniques like the Kalman filter to improve disturbance rejection.¹⁹
- **Emerson:** A leading provider of automation solutions centered on its **DeltaV™ Distributed Control System**. Emerson offers a suite of embedded APC tools, including **DeltaV Predict** and **PredictPro** for model predictive control, and **DeltaV Neural** for building soft sensors.⁵² With their majority ownership of AspenTech, Emerson now also offers the Aspen DMC3 suite, providing customers with a broad portfolio of APC solutions.⁵²
- **Schneider Electric (via AVEVA):** Schneider Electric delivers its industrial software solutions, including APC, through its subsidiary AVEVA. These solutions are integrated within the **EcoStruxure™** architecture.⁵⁸ AVEVA's APC software is designed to improve process profitability by enhancing quality, increasing throughput, and reducing energy usage through state-of-the-art automatic control.⁶⁰

4.3 Comparative Technology Analysis

While all major vendors offer powerful MPC solutions, they differ in their underlying technological philosophies, particularly in modeling, optimization, and lifecycle management. These differences can have significant implications for implementation, performance, and long-term maintenance.

A key trend reshaping the competitive landscape is the "platformization" of APC. Vendors are moving away from selling APC as a standalone product and are instead integrating it into broader digital platforms like ABB Ability™, Honeywell Forge, and EcoStruxure. These platforms aim to create a unified digital ecosystem that breaks down traditional data silos between operations, engineering, maintenance, and business planning.⁴² This strategy offers the potential for seamless data flow and more holistic optimization across the enterprise. However, it also means that the selection of an APC vendor is increasingly a long-term commitment to that vendor's entire digital ecosystem, a factor that must be carefully considered in the procurement process. This trend also highlights the importance of "vendor-agnostic" monitoring and optimization tools, as most large refining companies operate a heterogeneous environment with legacy systems from multiple suppliers.²¹

Vendor	Flagship APC Product	Core Modeling Approach	Key Differentiators & Features	Key AI/ML Features
Honeywell	Profit® Suite	Laplace Transform Models	Deep integration with Experion® PKS DCS; strong RTO offering (Profit Optimizer); extensive global installed base. ³⁰	Smart APC Supervisor: AI/ML supervisory layer for optimizing APC targets; "Explainable AI" integration into Experion. ⁶¹
AspenTech	Aspen DMC3™	Finite Impulse Response (FIR) / Step	Patented adaptive control	Maestro: AI-powered tool for

		Response Models	technology; tight integration with AspenTech's planning & scheduling suite; strong focus on usability. ²³	building models from historical data. Aspen Virtual Advisor (AVA): AI-based operator guidance system. ⁵¹
KBC (Yokogawa)	PACE	Flexible Estimation Layer with Process Output Variables (POVs)	Co-developed with Shell; embeds base layer control (BLC) logic; strong focus on state estimation and model robustness. ⁵³	Pioneering use of Reinforcement Learning (FKDPP algorithm) for autonomous control in complex applications. ⁶⁴
ABB	Predict & Control (P&C)	State-Space Models	Utilizes Kalman filters for advanced disturbance estimation; part of the comprehensive ABB Ability™ platform. ¹⁹	APCA Suite: Integrates analytics and AI tools like Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) and Support Vector Machines (SVM) into the model builder. ⁵⁶
Emerson	DeltaV PredictPro	Not specified (likely FIR)	Natively embedded within the DeltaV DCS for seamless integration;	DeltaV Neural: Embedded tool for developing neural

			now also offers the full AspenTech suite. ⁵²	network-based soft sensors. ⁵⁷
Schneider (AVEVA)	AVEVA APC	Not specified	Integrated into the EcoStruxure platform, combining power and process automation; leverages AVEVA's strong data management capabilities. ⁵⁸	AI is integrated for managing critical quality parameters and enabling a "self-healing" supply chain. ⁶⁷

4.4 Market Share and Competitive Positioning

The APC market in refining is highly concentrated among the major vendors. While precise, publicly available market share data is proprietary to market research firms, a qualitative and estimated quantitative assessment can be made based on industry reports, installed base, and vendor reputation.

Honeywell and AspenTech are widely regarded as the historical market leaders, each holding a significant share of the installed base globally.¹⁷ Their long history and extensive track record of successful implementations have solidified their positions. The combination of Emerson and AspenTech has created a formidable entity with an exceptionally broad portfolio. KBC/Yokogawa and ABB are also very strong competitors, often differentiated by their deep domain expertise and unique technological approaches. Schneider Electric/AVEVA and other major automation players like Siemens and Rockwell Automation hold smaller but still significant shares of the market.⁴⁶

Vendor	Estimated Market Share (Refining)	Key Strengths / Regional Dominance
--------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Honeywell	25-35%	Largest installed base, strong brand recognition, dominant in certain regions (e.g., Russia), comprehensive suite from control to RTO.
AspenTech / Emerson	25-35%	Dominant in process simulation, strong in adaptive control and AI, broad portfolio through Emerson's automation hardware and services.
KBC / Yokogawa	10-20%	Deep process consulting expertise, strong partnership with Shell, technologically advanced platform (PACE).
ABB	5-15%	Major global automation player, technologically robust state-space modeling, strong in integrated power and automation projects.
Schneider / AVEVA	5-10%	Strong in industrial software and data management, integrated EcoStruxure platform.
Others (Siemens, etc.)	<5%	Strong in other industrial sectors, with a smaller but present footprint in refining APC.

Note: Market share figures are estimates synthesized from multiple market reports and qualitative industry assessments for illustrative purposes. ⁴⁶

Section 5: The Next Generation: The Convergence of APC with AI and Machine Learning

The field of Advanced Process Control is currently undergoing its most significant evolution since the advent of MPC, driven by the rapid integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML). This convergence is not merely an incremental improvement; it represents a paradigm shift that addresses the fundamental limitations of traditional APC and paves the way for a new era of smarter, more adaptive, and ultimately autonomous refinery operations. This section examines how AI and ML are overcoming historical challenges, details their practical applications in enhancing APC, surveys the specific AI initiatives of major vendors, and looks toward the future of the self-optimizing plant.

5.1 Overcoming Traditional Limitations with AI/ML

Conventional APC, for all its power, has inherent weaknesses that AI and ML are uniquely positioned to solve.

- **The Static Model Problem:** The most significant challenge in traditional APC is its reliance on a static process model. As plant conditions drift due to catalyst aging, equipment fouling, or changes in feedstock, the fixed model becomes less accurate, causing control performance to degrade.¹⁹ AI/ML offers a direct solution through **adaptive models**. These systems can continuously learn from new, real-time plant data and automatically update the internal process models, ensuring that the controller's "brain" always reflects the current state of the plant. This eliminates the need for costly and disruptive manual re-testing and sustains peak performance over time.³³
- **The Non-Linearity Challenge:** Real-world chemical and physical processes in a refinery are inherently non-linear. Traditional MPC typically approximates these complex behaviors using linear models, which can be inaccurate, especially over wide operating ranges or during grade transitions.¹ AI techniques, particularly deep learning and artificial neural networks (ANNs), excel at modeling complex, non-linear relationships directly from data.²⁴ By incorporating these non-linear models, AI-enhanced APC can achieve more accurate predictions and superior optimization performance, especially in challenging applications like polymer reactors or processes with complex reaction kinetics.⁷¹
- **The Expertise Bottleneck:** Implementing and maintaining a traditional APC application is a knowledge-intensive process that requires highly skilled and experienced control

engineers.⁷⁰ This expertise is a scarce resource, and its demand often creates a bottleneck for wider APC deployment. AI-powered tools are being developed to democratize this expertise by automating the most challenging tasks. For example, ML algorithms can now mine years of historical plant data to automatically build an initial "seed model," significantly reducing or eliminating the need for manual step-testing.⁵¹ This lowers the barrier to entry and accelerates the time-to-value for new APC projects.⁷⁴

5.2 AI-Enhanced APC in Practice

The integration of AI and ML is manifesting in several practical, value-adding applications that are being deployed in refineries today.

- **Adaptive Process Control:** This is the most mature and widespread application of ML in APC. Patented technologies from vendors like AspenTech allow the controller to operate in a dual mode: it continues to control and optimize the process in a closed loop, while an online model identification engine runs concurrently in the background.²³ This engine uses the small, naturally occurring variations in the process during normal operation to continuously validate and refine the dynamic models. This "simultaneous optimization and testing" approach keeps the models evergreen and sustains the benefits of the APC application indefinitely.²⁴
- **AI-Powered Soft Sensors:** While traditional statistical methods have long been used for inferential modeling, the application of deep learning and other advanced ML techniques is creating a new generation of soft sensors that are more accurate, robust, and capable of capturing complex non-linear relationships.²³ AspenTech's Aspen Inferential Qualities, for example, uses deep learning technology to provide accurate real-time estimates of infrequently measured properties, enabling tighter quality control.⁶³
- **Anomaly Detection and Predictive Maintenance:** The reliability of an APC system depends on the health of the underlying sensors and actuators. ML algorithms, such as autoencoders or clustering methods, can be trained on historical data to learn the "normal" operating signature of a process unit.³³ They can then monitor real-time data streams and flag subtle deviations or patterns that are precursors to equipment failure, such as a sticking control valve or a drifting sensor, long before they would trigger a conventional alarm. This provides an early warning system that enhances the reliability of the entire control foundation.³³
- **Reinforcement Learning (RL):** This represents the cutting edge of AI in process control. Unlike supervised learning, which learns from a fixed dataset, RL involves an "agent" that learns the optimal control strategy through a process of trial and error, typically within a high-fidelity simulation of the plant.⁷⁰ The agent is rewarded for actions that move the process toward its goal and penalized for those that do not. Over millions of simulated

trials, it can discover novel and highly effective control policies that may be non-intuitive to a human engineer.³³ This technology is moving from the pilot stage to real-world application, promising a new level of autonomous optimization.⁶⁴

This evolution signifies a fundamental shift. AI is transforming APC from a static control tool into a dynamic knowledge capture system. By learning directly from historical and real-time data, these systems are effectively capturing decades of operational "experience" and embedding it into their models. Tools like AI-powered operator advisors then serve to transfer this knowledge back to the human user, creating a virtuous cycle of continuous learning and improvement. As the highly experienced generation of refinery operators and engineers approaches retirement, these AI-infused systems will become a critical repository of institutional knowledge, ensuring that expert-level performance is maintained 24/7.⁵³

5.3 Vendor AI/ML Initiatives and Offerings

All major APC vendors are aggressively investing in and integrating AI/ML capabilities into their platforms, recognizing it as the key competitive differentiator for the next decade.

Vendor	AI-Powered Product/Feature	Technology Used	Primary Application
AspenTech	Aspen DMC3 with Maestro & AVA	Machine Learning, Deep Learning	Adaptive Control, Automated Model Building, Operator Advisory System. ²³
Honeywell	Honeywell Forge Smart APC Supervisor	Machine Learning, AI Models	Supervisory Optimization of APC Targets, Performance Monitoring. ⁴⁹
KBC (Yokogawa)	FKDPP Algorithm	Reinforcement Learning	Autonomous Control for complex, non-linear processes beyond traditional APC. ⁶⁴

ABB	ABB Ability™ APCA Suite	Machine Learning (ANN, SVM)	Integrated Analytics, Predictive Control, Non-linear Modeling. ⁵⁶
Emerson	DeltaV Neural, AspenTech Suite	Neural Networks, Machine Learning	Embedded Soft Sensors, Adaptive Control (via AspenTech). ⁵⁷
Schneider (AVEVA)	EcoStruxure Platform Integration	Machine Learning, AI	Quality Management, Predictive Maintenance, Supply Chain Optimization. ⁶⁷
Imubit	Deep Learning Process Control (DLPC)	Deep Reinforcement Learning	Direct replacement for traditional MPC using closed-loop neural networks. ⁷⁰

5.4 The Road to Autonomous Operations

The integration of AI with APC is a critical enabler of the long-term vision of the "self-optimizing plant" or the fully autonomous refinery.⁵¹ In this future state, interconnected AI systems will manage entire production networks with minimal human intervention. These systems will be able to learn from data, adapt to changing market and plant conditions, and continuously optimize for profitability, safety, and sustainability in a closed loop.³³ While full autonomy is still on the horizon, the AI-enhanced APC systems being deployed today are the foundational building blocks, providing the adaptive control, real-time learning, and intelligent decision-making capabilities that will make this vision a reality. They are a practical, scalable solution to the core industry challenges of soaring energy costs, a shrinking skilled workforce, and the relentless need for consistent, peak performance.⁵³

Section 6: The Business Case: Project Execution and

Quantifiable Returns

Ultimately, the adoption of Advanced Process Control is a business decision driven by the promise of a significant return on investment. While the technology is complex, the business case is remarkably straightforward and compelling. APC projects, when executed correctly, consistently deliver substantial, quantifiable improvements in refinery performance that far outweigh their initial costs, often with exceptionally short payback periods. This final section synthesizes the preceding technical and market analysis into a practical framework for understanding the APC project lifecycle, typical investment levels, and the impressive financial returns documented in real-world refinery applications.

6.1 The APC Project Lifecycle: A Phased Approach

A successful APC implementation is not a simple software installation but a structured engineering project that follows a well-defined, multi-phase lifecycle. This methodical approach is essential for managing risk, ensuring alignment with business objectives, and delivering and sustaining the expected benefits.¹⁷

1. **Phase 1: Feasibility Study and Benefits Estimation.** This is the most critical phase of the entire project.⁷⁹ It begins with a thorough site survey and analysis of the target process unit. Engineers and consultants work to identify the key economic drivers, operational constraints, and sources of process variability. Based on this analysis, they develop a detailed estimate of the potential financial benefits (e.g., increased throughput, improved yield, energy savings) and the expected return on investment (ROI). This feasibility study forms the core of the business case presented to management to secure project funding.¹⁷
2. **Phase 2: Design and Development.** Once the project is approved, the detailed design work begins. This phase includes the foundational "pre-test" activities, such as auditing and tuning the base-layer control loops and verifying instrument functionality.¹⁷ This is followed by the data collection step, which traditionally involves performing disruptive plant step tests but is increasingly being accomplished by using AI-powered tools to mine historical data.⁵¹ Using this data, the engineering team performs system identification to build the dynamic process models and then configures the multivariable controller, defining all the manipulated variables, controlled variables, constraints, and the economic objective function.⁷⁹
3. **Phase 3: Commissioning and Deployment.** This phase involves installing the APC software, establishing a reliable communication link with the DCS, and conducting rigorous system integration tests to ensure safety and reliability.¹⁷ A crucial part of this

phase is comprehensive operator training to ensure buy-in and proper use of the new system.¹⁵ The controller is typically first deployed in an "advisory" or open-loop mode, where it makes predictions and recommends control moves without automatically implementing them. This allows operators and engineers to validate its performance and build confidence. Once validated, the controller is switched to full automatic, closed-loop mode.⁷⁰

4. **Phase 4: Post-Implementation Audit and Sustained Maintenance.** After the controller has been running in closed-loop mode for a period, a formal post-audit is conducted. This involves analyzing plant data to rigorously quantify the actual benefits achieved and comparing them against the initial estimates from the feasibility study.¹⁷ Following the audit, an ongoing maintenance and support program is established. This is vital for sustaining the benefits over the long term, as it involves monitoring controller performance, updating models as the process changes (either manually or through adaptive control technology), and providing periodic refresher training for operators.¹⁹

6.2 Investment and Timelines

- **Project Costs:** The capital expenditure for an APC project is primarily in software and specialized engineering services, rather than major hardware. The total cost for a single unit application can vary widely based on complexity, but typically ranges from **\$100,000 to over \$1,000,000**.⁸⁰ This cost encompasses software licensing, any necessary upgrades to the DCS or instrumentation, and the significant man-hour investment required from both the vendor's specialists and the refinery's in-house engineering team for the design, testing, commissioning, and training phases.
- **Implementation Timelines:** The duration of an APC project can also vary. Traditional projects, heavily reliant on manual step-testing and model building, typically have a timeline of **five to twelve months** from kickoff to a fully commissioned, closed-loop controller.⁸⁰ However, the advent of new methodologies that leverage AI to build "seed models" from historical data is dramatically compressing this timeline. Vendors now claim that new controller deployments can be achieved in a matter of **weeks instead of months**, delivering value to the refinery much faster.³² For example, a case study at an Eni refinery using AspenTech's Adaptive Process Control technology saw a new application built and generating benefits online within just six weeks.³²

6.3 A Compendium of Realized Benefits and ROI

The most compelling aspect of the business case for APC is the consistently high and rapid return on investment. Unlike many large-scale capital projects in a refinery that may have payback periods measured in years, APC projects are renowned for paying for themselves in a matter of months. This is due to the combination of a relatively modest capital outlay and the immediate, continuous, and substantial financial benefits generated by even small improvements in the performance of a large-scale processing unit.

The following table consolidates the quantified financial outcomes from a wide range of real-world refinery case studies, providing powerful evidence of the technology's proven economic impact.

Refinery/Company	Unit Type	APC Vendor/Product	Key Quantified Benefit(s)	Annual Savings (Est.)	Reported Payback Period
Anonymous US Refinery	Blending/General	MPC-based	3% throughput increase, 8% energy reduction	~\$20 Million	Not Specified ²⁵
Cosmo Oil (Japan)	Crude Distillation Units (x4)	AVEVA (RTO/APC)	Optimized operations aligned with plan	>\$2 Million per unit	< 1 Year ⁴³
Taiwan Refinery	Crude Distillation / Various	Schneider Electric / AVEVA (APC+AI)	Throughput increase, energy savings	~\$4.2 Million	Not Specified ⁴⁴
Indonesian Refinery	Not Specified	KBC / Yokogawa (PACE)	22,000 tons/year CO ₂ reduction	~\$3.2 Million	Not Specified ⁵³
Middle Distillate Hydrocracker	Hydrocracker	AspenTech (DMCplus)	Reduced cut-point variability, max	~€4.2 Million (~\$4.5M)	< 6 Months ⁴⁰

			production		
SARAS Refinery (Italy)	Aromatics (FORMEX)	Honeywell (Profit Suite)	10% steam savings, 6,200 tons/year CO2 reduction	Not Specified	< 6 Months ⁸²
Russian Refinery	Crude Distillation Unit	Honeywell (Profit Controller)	3% Kero+Diesel yield, 4.5% VGO yield	Not Specified	6 Months ¹⁷
Samsung Total Chemicals	Alkylation Unit	AspenTech (DMCplus)	Improved quality control, energy savings	~\$2 Million	Not Specified ⁴⁰
TASNEE (Saudi Arabia)	Polypropylene Plant	AspenTech (DMCplus)	Reactor composition control	Not Specified	< 3 Months ⁴⁰
Chevron Pembroke (UK)	Residue FCC	Honeywell (RMPCT)	Revamp of older application, high uptime	Not Specified	< 1 Month ⁴⁰
Grupa LOTOS (Poland)	Crude Distillation Unit	Honeywell (Profit Controller)	1.3% residue reduction, value upgrade	~\$0.27/bbl of crude	Not Specified ³⁷

This extensive and consistent track record of success solidifies the position of Advanced Process Control as one of the highest-return, lowest-risk investments a refinery can make to improve its bottom line. The evidence clearly shows that APC is not an experimental technology but a proven, indispensable tool for achieving and sustaining operational excellence in the competitive landscape of modern petroleum refining.

Conclusion

Advanced Process Control has firmly established itself as an essential technology for modern petroleum refining, evolving from a niche optimization tool into a strategic imperative for profitability, safety, and sustainability. This comprehensive analysis has traversed the full spectrum of APC, from its foundational principles to its most advanced applications, revealing a technology that is both mature in its value delivery and dynamic in its evolution.

The core value of APC is derived from its ability to move beyond the limitations of basic single-loop control. By employing a holistic, multivariable, and predictive approach—dominated by the Model Predictive Control (MPC) methodology—APC stabilizes complex processes and enables them to be operated consistently closer to their true economic and physical constraints. This shift from a reactive "comfort zone" to a proactive, optimized state unlocks a powerful and well-documented stream of benefits, including significant increases in throughput, higher yields of valuable products, substantial reductions in energy consumption, and improved product quality.

The implementation of APC is a structured, multi-phase engineering project that requires a steadfast commitment to foundational excellence. The success of a multi-million-dollar APC system is inextricably linked to the health of the underlying instrumentation and basic regulatory controls. However, for refineries that make this commitment, the returns are extraordinary. The body of evidence from real-world case studies across a diverse range of refinery units is overwhelming: APC projects consistently deliver multi-million-dollar annual benefits with payback periods often measured in months, not years, making them one of the most attractive investments in the industry.

The commercial landscape is dominated by a handful of sophisticated technology providers—including Honeywell, AspenTech/Emerson, KBC/Yokogawa, ABB, and Schneider Electric/AVEVA—each offering powerful platforms that are increasingly integrated into broader digital ecosystems. While they differ in their specific modeling philosophies and algorithmic approaches, they share a common trajectory toward more intelligent, user-friendly, and maintainable solutions.

The most profound recent development is the convergence of APC with Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning. This is not a future concept but a present-day reality that is fundamentally reshaping the technology. AI is directly addressing the historical Achilles' heel of APC—the static process model—by enabling adaptive controllers that learn and evolve with the plant. It is automating the most complex engineering tasks, lowering implementation barriers, and creating more robust inferential sensors. This infusion of AI is transforming APC from a static control tool into a dynamic knowledge-capture system, preserving decades of

operational experience in its algorithms and paving the definitive path toward the ultimate goal of the autonomous, self-optimizing refinery.

In conclusion, for any refining organization seeking to enhance its competitive position, Advanced Process Control is not an optional upgrade but a fundamental component of a modern operational strategy. It is the critical execution layer that translates high-level economic plans into real-time, optimized reality, and with the integration of AI, it is poised to deliver even greater levels of performance and intelligence in the years to come.

Works cited

1. Advanced Process Control: Definition, Benefits, and Applications - [www.cruxocm.com](https://www.cruxocm.com/advanced-process-control/), accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.cruxocm.com/advanced-process-control/>
2. Advanced process control - Wikipedia, accessed September 13, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Advanced_process_control
3. How industries can take advantage of APC like the refining sector - Control Engineering, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.controleng.com/how-industries-can-take-advantage-of-apc-like-the-refining-sector/>
4. Implement Advanced Process Control | AIChE, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.aiche.org/resources/publications/cep/2018/october/implement-advanced-process-control>
5. Advanced process control: Indispensable process optimization tool, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.isa.org/intech-home/2019/january-february/features/advanced-process-control-process-optimization-tool>
6. Model predictive control - Wikipedia, accessed September 13, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Model_predictive_control
7. Advanced Process Control: Optimizing Downstream Operations - Veriforce, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://veriforce.com/blog/advanced-process-control-optimizing-downstream-operations>
8. How to Use Model Predictive Control to Improve the Distillation ..., accessed September 13, 2025, <https://blog.isa.org/how-to-use-model-predictive-control-improve-distillation-process>
9. Standards-based integration of advanced process control and optimization - PMC, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6604654/>
10. Advanced Process Control (APC): Implementation, Considerations, and Industry Benefits, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://zeroinstrument.com/advanced-process-control-apc-implementation-considerations-and-industry-benefits/>
11. Latest Advancements in Process Control in Refineries and Chemical Plants - Oil &

- Gas Portal, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.oil-gasportal.com/latest-advancements-in-process-control-in-refineries-and-chemical-plants/?print=print>
12. Question 49: How do you use today's Advanced Process Control (APC) technology to achieve crude unit operation that supports short-and long-term planning goals? How do you achieve alignment between the crude unit APC and those of downstream units? | American Fuel & Petrochemical Manufacturers, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.afpm.org/data-reports/technical-papers/qa-search/question-49-how-do-you-use-todays-advanced-process-control>
 13. Advanced Process Control and Optimization Solutions | Yokogawa America, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.yokogawa.com/us/library/resources/yokogawa-technical-reports/advanced-process-control-and-optimization-solutions/>
 14. Short-term planning model for petroleum refinery production using model predictive control, accessed September 13, 2025,
https://repository.lsu.edu/chem_engineering_pubs/589/
 15. How do you use today's Advanced Process Control (APC) technology to achieve crude unit operation that supports sh, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.afpm.org/print/pdf/node/41893>
 16. Optimize Refining Operations Using Plant Digital Twin Based on Molecular Modeling - AspenTech, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.aspentech.com/-/media/aspentech/home/resources/white-papers/pdfs/fy21/q3/at-05347-wp-molecular-modeling.pdf>
 17. (PDF) Advanced process control system for a crude distillation unit. A case study, accessed September 13, 2025,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/313594054_Advanced_process_control_system_for_a_crude_distillation_unit_A_case_study
 18. Implementing Advanced Process Control For Refineries And Chemical Plants | PiControl Solutions LLC, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.picontrolsolutions.com/papers/papers-implementing-advanced-process-control-for-refineries-and-chemical-plants/>
 19. Advanced Process Control The proven way to process optimization - ABB, accessed September 13, 2025,
https://library.e.abb.com/public/fa34b897958489a9c1257ad7005753d5/Brochure_APC_low.pdf
 20. (PDF) Advanced Process Control Application and Optimization in Industrial Facilities, accessed September 13, 2025,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/273304387_Advanced_Process_Control_Application_and_Optimization_in_Industrial_Facilities
 21. Advanced Process Control - Honeywell Process Solutions, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://process.honeywell.com/us/en/products/industrial-software/process-optimization/advanced-process-control>
 22. The potential of advanced process controls in energy and materials - McKinsey,

- accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/metals-and-mining/our-insights/the-potential-of-advanced-process-controls-in-energy-and-materials>
23. Aspen DMC3 | Industry-Leading Adaptive Process Control ..., accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.aspentech.com/en/products/msc/aspen-dmc3>
 24. Advanced Process Control | Maximize profitability - AspenTech, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.aspentech.com/en/applications/msc/advanced-process-control>
 25. Advanced Process Control (APC): The Secret Weapon of the Refining Industry—And Its Potential Beyond - Just Measure it - Zero Instrument, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://zeroinstrument.com/advanced-process-control-apc-the-secret-weapon-of-the-refining-industry-and-its-potential-beyond/>
 26. Energy-saving Solutions by Advanced Process Control (APC) Technology, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.yokogawa.com/us/library/resources/yokogawa-technical-reports/energy-saving-solutions-by-advanced-process-control-apc-technology/>
 27. Maximizing Benefits through Advanced Process Control (APC) in Gasoline Blending, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.trindent.com/2024/06/21/maximizing-benefits-through-advanced-process-control-apc-in-gasoline-blending-2/>
 28. Model Predictive Control: An Overview and Selected Applications - AIChE, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.aiche.org/ili/academy/webinars/model-predictive-control-overview-and-selected-applications>
 29. MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL OF A CRUDE DISTILLATION UNIT AN INDUSTRIAL APPLICATION, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://skoge.folk.ntnu.no/prost/proceedings/adchem09/cd/abstract/63.pdf>
 30. Re: DMC vs RMPCT - Control Consulting, accessed September 13, 2025,
<http://www.controlconsulting.com/board/messages/100.html>
 31. Advanced Process Control (APC) - 4 Sight Holdings, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://4sight.cloud/clusters/operational-technologies/asset-optimisation/advanced-process-control>
 32. Eni Develops New APC Application and Achieves ... - AspenTech, accessed September 13, 2025,
https://home.aspentech.com/-/media/aspentech/home/resources/case-study/pdfs/fy18/q3/at-03787-cs-eni.pdf?sc_lang=en
 33. Integrating AI into Advanced Process Control - Tridiagonal.AI, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://tridiagonal.ai/resources/blogs/integrating-ai-into-advanced-process-control>
 34. en.wikipedia.org, accessed September 13, 2025,
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Advanced_process_control#:~:text=Advanced%20process%20control%20\(APC\)%20refers%20to%20several%20proven%20advance](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Advanced_process_control#:~:text=Advanced%20process%20control%20(APC)%20refers%20to%20several%20proven%20advance)

- [d%20control.capabilities%20at%20the%20DCS%20level.](#)
35. A Short Review on Applying AI/ML to Petroleum Products Optimization - Preprints.org, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202404.0442/v1/download>
 36. Energy-saving Solutions by Advanced Process Control (APC) Technology | Yokogawa Electric Corporation, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.yokogawa.com/library/resources/yokogawa-technical-reports/energy-saving-solutions-by-advanced-process-control-apc-technology/>
 37. APC solutions in the crude and vacuum distillation complex ..., accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.engineerlive.com/content/20487>
 38. ON APPLYING ADVANCED PROCESS CONTROL FOR FCCU IN A PETROLEUM REFINERY, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.nt.ntnu.no/users/skoge/prost/proceedings/aiche-2008/data/papers/P134725.pdf>
 39. Fluid Catalytic Cracking (FCC) Process Modeling, Simulation, and Control | Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research - ACS Publications, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/ie200743c>
 40. Case Studies | Applied Manufacturing Technologies, accessed September 13, 2025, <http://applied-mt.com/case-studies/>
 41. Question 45: What are the recent improvements in hydroprocessing units' advanced process control? What is your experience with their reliability?, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.afpm.org/data-reports/technical-papers/qa-search/question-45-what-are-recent-improvements-hydroprocessing>
 42. Integrating production planning using APC - International Society of Automation (ISA), accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.isa.org/intech-home/2019/november-december/features/integrating-production-planning-using-apc-and-othe>
 43. How Cosmo Oil deployed process digital twin to enable real-time optimization and save \$2M/crude unit annually - AVEVA, accessed September 13, 2025, https://www.aveva.com/content/dam/aveva/documents/perspectives/success-stories/SuccessStory_AVEVA_CosmoOil_08-20.pdf.coredownload.inline.pdf
 44. Advanced Process Control and AI helps Taiwan Refinery Capture ..., accessed September 13, 2025, <https://blog.se.com/industry/life-science/2020/03/19/advanced-process-control-and-ai-helps-taiwan-refinery-capture-4-2m-in-operational-benefits/>
 45. Advanced Process Control Market Size & Share Report 2030, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/advanced-process-control-apc-market>
 46. Advanced Process Control (APC) Market Size & Forecast, 2033, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.alliedmarketresearch.com/advanced-process-control-market-A34949>
 47. Global Advanced Process Control (APC) Market Insight, Growth, Industry Trends |

- Reports and Data - EIN Presswire, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.einpresswire.com/article/839742754/global-advanced-process-control-apc-market-insight-growth-industry-trends-reports-and-data>
48. Advanced Process Control Market Size, Growth, Trend and Forecast to 2020 | MarketsandMarkets, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/advanced-process-control-market-61285471.html>
49. Advanced Process Control - Honeywell Forge, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.honeywellforge.ai/us/en/product/industrials/advanced-process-control>
50. Profit™ Suite - Honeywell Process Solutions, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://process.honeywell.com/content/dam/process/en/documents/document-lists/hps-doc/pulp-and-paper/pmt-hps-profit-suite-brochure.pdf>
51. A Proven History of Innovation in Advanced Process Control and Beyond - AspenTech, accessed September 13, 2025,
https://www.aspentech.com/-/media/aspentech/home/resources/white-papers/pdfs/fy22/q1/at-07404-afpm-whitepaper_final.pdf
52. Lifecycle Services – Control Performance | Emerson US, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.emerson.com/en-us/automation/services-consulting/lifecycle-services/deltav-dcs/control-performance-solutions>
53. Advanced Process Control - PACE | KBC, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.kbc.global/process-optimization/technology/advanced-process-control/>
54. ScienceDirect ScienceDirect - KBC Global, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.kbc.global/resources/downloads/pace-yokogawa-shell-next-generation-apc.pdf>
55. Chemicals and Refining - ABB, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://new.abb.com/chemical>
56. Advanced Process Control and Analytics in industrial automation - Model Predictive Control - MPC technology from ABB, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://new.abb.com/industrial-software/features/model-predictive-control-mpc/advanced-process-control-and-analytics-in-industrial-automation>
57. Advanced Control & Analytics | Emerson US, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.emerson.com/en-us/automation/control-and-safety-systems/distributed-control-systems-dcs/deltav-distributed-control-system/deltav-advanced-control>
58. Mineral Processing & Refining Solutions | Schneider Electric Global, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.se.com/ww/en/work/solutions/for-business/mining-minerals-metals/processing-and-refining.jsp>
59. EcoStruxure Power and Process | Schneider Electric United States, accessed September 13, 2025,
<https://www.se.com/us/en/work/solutions/industrial-automation-solutions/power-and-process/>

60. Aspen DMC3 Reviews in 2025 - SourceForge, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://sourceforge.net/software/product/Aspen-DMC3/>
61. Honeywell Forge Smart APC Supervisor - Hydrocarbon Processing, accessed September 13, 2025, <http://www.hydrocarbonprocessing.com/media/he2nflsj/honeywell-forge-smart-apc-supervisor.pdf>
62. ai solutions - Honeywell Process Solutions, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://process.honeywell.com/content/dam/process/en/documents/document-lists/hps-bro-industrial-ai-solutions-EN.pdf>
63. Aspen Virtual Advisor (AVA) for DMC3 | Optimize Process Control with AI-Powered APC Insights | AspenTech, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.aspentech.com/en/products/msc/aspen-virtual-advisor-ava-for-dmc3>
64. In a World First, Yokogawa and JSR Use AI to Autonomously Control a Chemical Plant for 35 Consecutive Days, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.yokogawa.com/us/news/press-releases/2022/2022-03-22/>
65. Yokogawa Launches Autonomous Control AI Service for Use with Edge Controllers, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.yokogawa.com/news/press-releases/2023/2023-02-27/>
66. Advanced Process Control ABB | PDF | Technology & Engineering - Scribd, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/doc/254049137/Advanced-Process-Control-ABB>
67. Artificial Intelligence solutions and AI use cases | Schneider Electric USA, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.se.com/us/en/work/solutions/artificial-intelligence/solutions.jsp>
68. AI in energy. AI in industry | Schneider Electric USA, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.se.com/us/en/work/solutions/artificial-intelligence/>
69. Aspen vs Honeywell APC : r/ChemicalEngineering - Reddit, accessed September 13, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/ChemicalEngineering/comments/19accpu/aspen_vs_honeywell_apc/
70. Advanced Process Control + AI: Here's How Plants are Evolving - Imubit, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://imubit.com/article/advanced-process-control-ai/>
71. AI Process Optimization for Refineries - Imubit, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://imubit.com/controls-engineer-hfs/>
72. Petrochemical Production Facility Drives Value and Maximizes Return with Non-linear APC, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.aspentech.com/en/resources/case-studies/petrochemical-production-facility-drives-value-and-maximizes-return-with-non-linear-apc>
73. Advanced Process Control and Online Optimization - ARC Advisory Group, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.arcweb.com/market-analysis/advanced-process-control-online-optimization>
74. Sponsored: Maximize production with next-gen adaptive process control, accessed September 13, 2025,

- <https://www.hydrocarbonprocessing.com/news/2024/06/sponsored-maximize-production-with-next-gen-adaptive-process-control/>
75. Aspen DMC3 Adaptive Process Control | APC software - AspenTech, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.aspentech.com/en/resources/video/aspen-dmc3-adaptive-process-control>
 76. AI in Refinery Operations: A Shift Toward Sustainable Growth - Imubit, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://imubit.com/article/refinery-operations/>
 77. ABB AND CARBON RE TO ENHANCE LOW-CARBON CEMENT PRODUCTION WITH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE | Industry EMEA, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.industryemea.com/news/86450-abb-and-carbon-re-to-enhance-low-carbon-cement-production-with-artificial-intelligence>
 78. Industrial AI | Emerson US, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.emerson.com/en-us/expertise/automation/industrial-ai>
 79. Advanced Process Control Design Steps | PiControl Solutions LLC, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.picontrolsolutions.com/blog/advanced-process-control-apc-design-steps/>
 80. Automated Process Control For Oil Refineries | AI/ML Development ..., accessed September 13, 2025, <https://aimlprogramming.com/services/automated-process-control-for-oil-refineries/>
 81. Advanced process control improves refinery, chemical plant operations, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.controleng.com/advanced-process-control-improves-refinery-chemical-plant-operations/>
 82. Unlock Economic & Environmental Gains with Advanced Process ..., accessed September 13, 2025, <https://process.honeywell.com/us/en/campaigns/pas/unlock-economic-and-environmental-gains>