

# Handin8

April 30, 2022

By Christian Amstrup Petersen, Student number: 202104742

```
[17]: import numpy as np
```

We're given the following matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## A The characteristic polynomial

We here want to find the characteristic polynomial  $p$  for  $A$ . We get this by using proposition 21.15, so:

$$p(A) = \det(A - \lambda I_3)$$

Following definition 21.12, we notice that parts of the equation is nulified, due to  $A_{01} = 0$  and  $A_{02} = 2$ , so we are left with:

$$\begin{aligned} p(A) &= (2 - \lambda) \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 1 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (2 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 - 2\lambda) \\ &= -\lambda^3 + 4\lambda^2 - 4\lambda \end{aligned}$$

## B Eigenvalues

We're asked to show that 0 and 2 are Eigenvalues to  $A$ . To determine our eigenvalues normally, we would have to solve for the roots of  $p(A)$  (See prop. 21.2), but we are now asked to verify instead. This simplifies the process, as we can just set  $\lambda$  equal to one of our given values, and checking if  $p(A)$  then equals 0. For  $\lambda = 0$ :

$$-0^3 + 4 \cdot 0^2 - 4 \cdot 0 = 0$$

We can conclude that 0 is an eigenvalue for  $A$ . Now for  $\lambda = 2$ :

$$-2^3 + 4 \cdot 2^2 - 4 \cdot 2 = 0$$

$$-8 + 16 - 8 = 0$$

Therefore 2 is also an eigenvalue for  $A$ .

## C More(!) Eigenvalues! (Maybe)

As stated above, we could find the Eigenvalues by solving  $p(A)$  for its roots, so let us just do that:

```
[4]: coeff = [-1, 4, -4, 0]
     eigen = np.roots(coeff)
     eigen
```

```
[4]: array([2., 2., 0.])
```

Although we have a duplicate root of 2, this changes nothing for what we proved in assignment b, and we can thus conclude, that only  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and  $\lambda_1 = 2$  (and an added  $\lambda_2 = 2$ ) are eigenvalues for  $A$ .

## D Eigen-va... I mean -vectors

We now turn our eyes to definition 21.1. Using our  $\lambda_0$ ,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , we now want to determine our eigenvectors  $v_0$ ,  $v_1$ , and  $v_2$ . Firstly, for also later use, we denote the following:

We take the following formula from the definition:

$$(A - \lambda_x I_n)v_x = 0$$

From doing some 'række'-operations on any given  $(A - \lambda_x I_3)$ , we can construct an echelon-matrix, from which we can create our  $v_x$ . So for  $\lambda_0$ , we just get  $(A - \lambda_0 I_3) = A$ , and due to  $A_{R1} = A_{R2}$ , we subtract one from the other and therefore get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} v_0 = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow v_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And for  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , we get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Here we split up the calculations for  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Firstly

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} v_1 = 0$$

Here we eliminate one of the remaining rows by adding the other to it, so we're left with:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} v_1 = 0$$

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

For  $v_2$ , we use a piece of advice from Mr. Swann, as we have a row of free variables, and we can simply set the related entrance in  $v_2$  to 1, and leave the rest as zeros, so we get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can now denote this collection as

$$V = [v_0 | v_1 | v_2]$$

Taking a look at prop. 21.5, stating that eigenvectors are linearly independent, we fulfill the first requirement in def. 19.1, for  $V$  being a basis in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . For the other requirement, we know that we're dealing with a quadratic matrix, and thus use prop. 19.4, so we can conclude that  $V$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

## E The $V$ , the upside one, and the inverted

We now want to choose fitting variables for the equation:

$$A = V \Lambda V^{-1}$$

But this is just the equation seen in prop 21.6, and to all we need to do is introduce

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \text{diag}(0, 2, 2),$$

and use numpy to calculate the inverted  $V$ :

```
[16]: V = np.array([[0.,0.,1.], [1.,1.,0.], [-1.,1.,0.]])
      np.linalg.inv(V)
```

```
[16]: array([[ 0. ,  0.5, -0.5],
             [ 0. ,  0.5,  0.5],
             [ 1. ,  0. ,  0. ]])
```

So

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.5 & -0.5 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = A$$

## F It's not a Markov-chain

For this part of the handin, we're asked to decide  $A^k$  for all  $k$ . And thanks to dear Mr. Swann and his wonderful notes, it's a fairly easy job. Taking a look just above formula 20.3, we get the formula:

$$A^k = TC^kT^{-1},$$

which resembles our little equation from assignment (e) in this handin, with the only requirement for this to hold, being that  $C$  is a diagonal matrix. Following this formula, switching out with our own values, we get that

$$A^k = V\Lambda^kV^{-1}$$