Review Observations

Paper Title: AI DRIVEN INCOME TAX FRAUD DETECTION SYSTEM

Authors: Dr. Srinivasan T R, Rashmi R, Marhaba Eram, Amulya C R.

Dear Author(s),

Please find the paper review reflections for your paper below:

The paper titled "AI Driven Income Tax Fraud Detection System" presents a commendable initiative in the realm of leveraging artificial intelligence for tax fraud detection. The incorporation of a Streamlit-based interface catering to both registered and unregistered users showcases a user-friendly approach, making the system accessible to a wider audience. This inclusive design is particularly notable as it recognizes the diverse user base involved in tax-related processes. The utilization of PAN numbers for registered users and machine learning algorithms such as Random Forest, Logistic Regression, and Gradient Boosting for unregistered users reflects a comprehensive and well-thought-out strategy for tackling tax fraud from multiple angles.

The project's emphasis on meticulous data exploration, feature engineering, and the use of performance evaluation metrics like precision, recall, and accuracy demonstrates a commitment to robust methodology. The temporal scope of the historical tax-related data spanning from 2012-2022 for training and evaluation purposes adds depth and relevance to the machine learning models employed. This comprehensive approach not only enhances the predictive capabilities of the system but also ensures its adaptability to evolving patterns of tax fraud over time. The overall goal of augmenting tax monitoring capabilities and providing tax authorities with a proactive toolset for detecting fraud among both registered and unregistered taxpayers is commendable, contributing significantly to the advancement of tax compliance systems.

However, the paper could benefit from providing more details on the specific challenges encountered during the development and implementation phases of the system. A discussion on how the identified challenges were addressed or mitigated would enhance the practical insights for readers interested in implementing similar systems. Additionally, while the paper mentions the use of machine learning algorithms, a brief explanation of why these specific algorithms were chosen and how they complement each other in the fraud detection process would strengthen the technical depth of the paper. Such details would provide a more nuanced understanding of the decision-making process behind the algorithm selection.

Furthermore, the paper could delve into potential ethical considerations associated with implementing an AI-driven tax fraud detection system. Addressing issues related to privacy, bias in machine learning models, and transparency in decision-making would contribute to a more well-rounded discussion. Ethical considerations are paramount in AI applications, especially when dealing with sensitive financial data and individual taxpayer information. Integrating this aspect into the paper would enhance its overall completeness and relevance in the context of responsible AI development.

Thanks,
Editor