## Problem Set 2 MGMT 737

## Spring 2021

- 1. Propensity Scores. This analysis will use the dataset from Problem Set 1 as well as the PSID dataset from Dehijia and Wahba, lalonde\_psid.csv. These datasets have identical variables. The new dataset is a sample of observations from the Panel Survey of Income Dynamics that can be used as alternative control observations. Importantly, these observations were not in the initial randomization.
  - (a) Using age, education, hispanic, black, married, nodegree, RE74 and RE75, construct a propensity score using the *treated* group in lalonde\_nsw.csv and the control sample of lalonde\_psid.csv. Use a logit regression model to do so (you may use a canned routine to run the regression). Report the average p-score for the treated and control samples, and plot the propensity score densities for the treatment and control groups.
  - (b) Using your p-score estimates, calculate the IPW and SIPW estimate for control and treated mean of the outcome, and the average treatment effect. Contrast these estimates to the control mean of the outcome from the NSW sample, and the treatment effect from last week's problem set.
  - (c) Compare the ATE in the previous question to the treatment effect estimated using a linear regression using the PSID and NSW treatment sample, with age, education, hispanic, black, married, nodegree, RE74 and RE75 as controls.
  - (d) Now revisit your estimates from part a and b, and following Crump et al. (2009), discard all units with estimated propensities outside the range of [0.1, 0.9]. Reestimate the IPW and SIPW estimator of the ATE from part b using this trimmed sample.
  - (e) Finally, calculate the IPW and SIPW estimates for the ATE using this trimmed sample for Black and non-Black individuals. Compare this estimate to the ATE for Black and non-Black individuals using the full randomized sample.