

Algorithmic Efficiency

We can measure an algorithm based on how many computer instructions it takes to solve a problem of a given size as a function n of the size of the input data.

When we measure this way, we get two benefits:

1. We can compare two algorithms for a given sized input.
2. We can predict the performance of those algorithms when they are applied to less or more data.

This is the idea between the **Big-O** concept used in computer science.

The Big-O approach measures an algorithm by the gross number of steps that it requires to process an input of **size N** in the **WORST CASE SCENARIO**.

Example:

Algorithm X requires $5N^2 + 3N + 20$ steps to process N items.

With Big-O, we ignore the **coefficients** and **lower-order** terms of the expression, so the Big-O of algorithm X is N^2 .

A function $f(n)$ is $O(g(N))$ if there exists N_0 and k such that for all $N \geq N_0$, $f(N) \leq k * g(N)$

To compute the Big-O of a function, first we need to determine the number of operations an algorithm performs.

Operations:

- Accessing an item (e.g. in an array)
- Evaluating a mathematical expression
- Traversing a single link in a linked list, etc.

```
int arr[i][n];

for(int i = 0; i < n; i++) <=initializes i once, performs n comparisons between i and n, increments the variable i n times
{
    for(int j = 0; j < n; j++) <=initializes j n different times, performs n^2 comparisons between j and n, increments the variable j n^2 times
    {
        arr[i][j] = 0; <=sets arr[i][j]'s value n^2 times
    }
}
```

$$f(n) = 1 + n + n + n + n^2 + n^2 + n^2 = 3n^2 + 3n + 1$$

$\implies f(n)$ becomes $O(n^2)$

If I say, "this algorithm is $O(n^2)$, to process n items", this algorithm requires roughly n^2 operations.

Big-O: The Complete Approach

- Determine how many steps $f(n)$ an algorithm requires to solve a problem, in terms of the number of items n
- Keep the most significant term of that function and throw away the rest
 - $f(n) = 3n^2 + 3n + 1$ becomes $f(n) = 3n^2$
 - $f(n) = 2n\log(n) + 3n$ becomes $f(n) = 2n\log(n)$
- Remove any constant multiplier from the function
 - $f(n) = 3n^2$ becomes $f(n) = n^2$
 - $f(n) = 2n\log(n)$ becomes $f(n) = n\log(n)$
- This gives you your big O
 - $O(n^2)$
 - $O(n \log(n))$

To simplify, all you need to do is focus on the most frequently occurring operations to save time.

Find the Big-O Challenge

```
for(int i = 0; i < n; i += 2)

    sum++;
```

$O(n / 2) \Rightarrow O(n)$

```
for(int i = 0; i < q; i++) //the outer loop runs q times

    for(int j = 0; j < q; j++) //every time the outer loop runs once, the inner loop runs q times

        s

            sum++;
```

$O(q * q) = O(n^2)$

```
for(int i = 0; i < n; i++) //outer loop runs n times

    for(int j = 0; j < n * n; j++) //every time outer loop runs once, inner loop runs n * n times

        s

            sum++;
```

$O(n * n^2) = O(n^3)$

These examples are important!!!

```
k = n;

while(k > 1)

{

    sum++;
```

```

    k = k / 2;
}

```

k goes from n to n / 2 to n / 4 all the way down to 1. It takes $\log_2(n)$ steps to finish, since we divide by 2 each time.

$O(\log_2(n))$

```

for(int i = 0; i < n; i++) //loop runs n times
{
    int k = n;
    while(k > 1) //k goes from n/3 to n/9 all the way down to 1
    {
        sum++;
        k = k / 3;
    } //the while loop takes log3(n) steps to finish
}

```

$O(n * \log_3(n))$

Other examples:

```

for(int j = 0; j < n; j++) //runs n times
    for(int k = 0; k < j; k++) //substitute j with n
        sum++; ==>  $O(n^2)$ 
for(int i = 0; i < q * q; i++)
    for(int j = 0; j < i; j++) //substitute i with q * q
        sum++; ==>  $O(n^2 * n^2) = O(n^4)$ ;
for(int i = 0; i < n; i++)
    for(int j = 0; j < i * i; j++) //substitute i * i for n * n
        for(int k = 0; k < j; k++) //substitute j for n * n
            sum++; ==>  $O(n * n^2 * n^2) = O(n^5)$ 
for(int i = 0; i < p; i++)
    for(int j = 0; j < i * i; j++) //substitute i * i for p * p
        for(int k = 0; k < i; k++) //substitute i for p
            sum++; ==>  $O(p * p^2 * p) = O(p^4) = O(n^4)$ 

```

```

for(int i = 0; i < n; i++) //loop runs n times
{
    Circ arr[n]; //a Circ object is constructed n times when the loop runs once
    arr[i].setRadius(i);
} ==>  $O(n * n) = O(n^2)$ 

```

```

for(int i = 0; i < n; i++) //outer loop runs n times
{
    int k = i; //replace i with n
    while(k > 1)
    {
        sum++;
        k = k / 2;
    } //take log2(n) steps to finish
}

```

$O(n * \log_2(n))$

Big-O for Multi-input Algorithms

Often, an algorithm will operate on two (or more) independent data sets, each of a different size.

In these cases, when we compute the algorithm's Big-O, we must take into account both independent sizes.

```

//the number of people, p, and the number of foods, f, are completely independent
void buffet(string people[], int p, string foods[], int f)
{
    int i, j;
    for(int i = 0; i < p; i++) //outer loop runs p times
        for(int j = 0; j < f; j++) //inner loop runs f times
            cout << people[i] << " ate " << foods[j] << endl;
} ==>  $O(p * f)$ 

```

```

void tinder(string csmajors[], int c, string eemajors[], int e)
{
    for(int i = 0; i < c; i++) //outer loop runs c times
        for(int j = 0; j < c; j++) //inner loop runs c times
            cout << csmajors[i] << " dates " << csmajors[j] << endl; ==> O(c^2)

    for(int k = 0; k < e; k++) //loop runs e times
        cout << eemajors[k] << " sits at home."; ==> O(e)

} ==> O(c^2 + e)

```

$O(c^2 + e)$

Not! just $O(c^2)$ because we must include both independent variables in our Big-O

We must include both variables in the Big-O even if one is higher-order than the other because either variable could dominate the other! Don't forget - you must still eliminate lower-order terms for each independent variable.

```

void barf(int n, int q)
{
    for(int i = 0; i < n; i++) //outer loop runs n times
    {
        if(i == n / 2) //the 1 time i is equal to n/2, we run this inner loop q times
        {
            for(int k = 0; k < q; k++)
                cout << "Muahahaha";
        }
        else
            cout << "Burp!";
    }
}

```

$O(n + q)$

Accessing an element of an array can be done in constant time, so it wouldn't matter how big the array is.

If it's true for $O(N - 1)$, it's true for $O(N)$ as well.

Common Big O functions

- $O(1)$ - constant time

- $O(\log n)$ - logarithmic time
- $O(n \log n)$ - polylogarithmic time
- $O(n)$ - linear time
- $O(n^2)$ - quadratic time
- $O(n^c)$ - polynomial time
- $O(c^n)$ - exponential time

Exponential time is very inefficient for big algorithms.

```
for(int i = 0; i < N; i++) <=====O(N)
    c[i] = a[i] + b[i]; <=====O(1)

//overall: O(N)
```

```
for(int i = 0; i < N; i++) <=====O(N^2)
{ <=====O(N)
    a[i] *= 2; <=====O(1)
    for(int j = 0; j < N; j++) <=====O(N)
    {
        d[i][j] = a[i] * c[j]; <=====O(1)
    }
}

//overall: O(N^2)
```

Just because there's a nested loop does not mean its efficiency is going to be $O(N^2)$

```
for(int i = 0; i < N; i++) <=====O(N)
{ <=====O(1)
    a[i] *= 2; <=====O(1)
    for(int j = 0; j < 100; j++) <=====O(1)
        d[i][j] = a[i] * c[j]; <=====O(1)
}

//overall: O(N)
```

```

for(int i = 0; i < N; i++) <=====O(N^2)
{ <=====O(i)
    a[i] *= 2; <=====O(1)
    for(int j = 0; j < i; j++) <=====O(i) = O(N)
        d[i][j] = a[i] * c[j]; <=====O(1)
    }
//overall: O(N^2)

```

```

for(int i = 0; i < N; i++) <=====O(N^2)
{
    if(find(a, a + N, 10 * i) != a + N) <=====O(N^2 log N)
        count++; <=====O(1)
}

```

```

for(int i = 0; i < N; i++) <=====O(N^2 log N)
{ <=====O(N log N)
    a[i] *= 2; <=====O(1)
    for(int j = 0; j < N; j++) <=====O(N log N)
        d[i][j] = f(a, N); <=====O(log N) //f(a, N) is O(log N)
    }
}

```

STL and Big-O

STL (stacks, queues, sets, vectors, lists, and maps) use algorithms to get things done...and these algorithms have Big-Os too!

For example, if we want to search for a word in a set that contains n words, it requires $O(\log_2(n))$ steps!

```

void inDict(set<string> &d, string w)
{
    if(d.find(w) == d.end())
        cout << w << " isn't in dictionary!";
}

```

```
}
```

If we want to add a value to the end of a vector holding n items, it takes just one step, so it's $O(1)$!

```
void otherFunc(vector<int> &vec)
{
    vec.push_back(42);
}
```

And if we want to delete the 1st value from a vector containing n items, it takes n steps, making it $O(n)$.

```
void otherFunc1(vector<int> &vec)
{
    vec.erase(vec.begin());
}
```

```
void spellCheck(set<string> &dict, string doc[], int D)
{
    for(int i = 0; i < D; i++) //loop runs D times
        inDict(dict, doc[i]); //searching a set of N items requires log2(N) steps
}
```

$O(D * \log_2(n))$

```
void printNums(vector<int> &v)
{
    int q = v.size();
    for(int i = 0; i < q; i++) //runs q times
    {
        int a = v[0]; //O(1)
        cout << a;
        v.erase(v.begin()); //O(q)
    }
}
```



```
        v.push_back(a); //O(1);  
  
    }  
  
} ==> q * (1 + q + 1) = O(q^2)
```

$O(q^2)$

Cheat Sheet

List

- Inserting an item: $O(1)$
- Deleting an item: $O(1)$
- Accessing an item (top or bottom): $O(1)$
- Accessing an item (middle): $O(n)$
- Finding an item: $O(n)$

Set

- Inserting a new item: $O(\log_2(n))$
- Finding an item: $O(\log_2(n))$
- Deleting an item: $O(\log_2(n))$

Queue and Stack

- Inserting a new item: $O(1)$
- Popping an item: $O(1)$
- Examining the top: $O(1)$

Vector

- Inserting an item (top or middle): $O(n)$
- Inserting an item (bottom): $O(1)$
- Deleting an item (top or middle): $O(n)$
- Deleting an item (bottom): $O(1)$
- Accessing an item: $O(1)$
- Finding an item: $O(n)$

Map

- Inserting a new item: $O(\log_2(n))$
- Finding an item: $O(\log_2(n))$
- Deleting an item: $O(\log_2(n))$