Image-based segmentation and localization of surgical instruments using deep neural networks

Amelie Wagner

Abstract-Segmentation and localization of surgical instruments in endoscopic videos during minimally invasive surgery is a current challenge for evaluating and supporting the performance of the surgeon. In this work, the segmentation and localization task are solved by an adjusted TernausNet-11 network. For the instrument segmentation task, every pixel of the network input mage is labeled as black for background or white for instrument. The instrument localization task is solved by the prediction of a heatmap where the instrument center point is located. Afterwards, the center point coordinates of each instrument are extracted by using weighted k-means clustering. Every model proposed in this work is evaluated on the robotic dataset of the CAI 2015 Endoscopic Vision subchallenge On rument segmentation and tracking according to the challenge guidelines. The evaluation results show that the proposed method is able to solve both tasks on the same level as state-of-the-art methods.

I. Introduction

Minimally invasive surgery (MIS) is a surgical technique that has several advantages in comparison to the commonly used standard open approach, for example shorter recovery time and hospital stays after the intervention [1]. Because the field of view for the surgeon is reduced by the endoscopic camera and the instruments can only be seen two-dimensional, it is important to support the surgeon during this procedure [2]. Segmenting and locating the instruments directly out of the endoscopic video images is an attractive possibility for this, especially because no modification of the surgical scene is required. The goal of this work is the segmentation and localization of surgical instruments out of endoscopic video images. For the segmentation task, the image is partitioned into black pixels for background and white pixels for instrument. For the localization task, the coordinates of the instrument center point are extracted out of the image. In this work, a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) is used for segmenting and localizing the instrument. It is based on TernausNet-11 [3] that already solves the segmentation task. The resulting localization network is able to solve the localization task based on the information learned for segmentation.

II. METHODS

A. Instrument Localization Network

The segmentation network TernausNet-11 tended by three more layers to solve the localization task. It predicts two outputs, one for the segmentation and one for the localization task. The output of the segmentation output layer is a binary image, that contains a white segmentation mask where the instrument is located. The output for the localization task is a greyscale heatmap. A high pixel value at the predicted heatmap

indicates a high probability that the instrument center point is located at this pixel position. The network is abbreviated as *LocNet*.

B. Heatmaps

It is necessary to convert the localization targets, given as two-dimensional image positions, into greyscale images, in order to make it possible for LocNet to process them. The heatmaps are generated by calculating a two-dimensional Gaussian distribution $gauss2D(x_r,y_r)$, centered at the center point (x_{cp},y_{cp}) of the instrument.

$$H(x_r, y_r) = gauss2D(x_r, y_r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x_r - x_{cp})^2 + (y_r - y_{cp})^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
(1)

The standard deviation σ controls the spread of the Gaussian around the instrument location. σ^2 denotes the variance. By iterating over the dimensions of the training input image and applying $gauss2D(x_r,y_r)$, a heatmap with the same dimensions is generated out of the ground truth instrument position. (x_r,y_r) is one pixel position of the training image, which corresponds to the pixel position in the generated heatmap. When two instruments are visible in the input image, the heatmap is generated by calculating two Gaussian distributions, one for each instrument



heatmaps predicted by the network are mostly nave a power range from [127, 255]. In order to improve the extraction of the instrument position, the pixel values of the predicted heatmaps are thresholded with threshold set to 129:

$$thresh(H(x_r, y_r)) = \begin{cases} H(x_r, y_r) & \text{if } H(x_r, y_r) \ge threshold \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In a second postprocessing step, histogram equalization [4] is used to increase the contrast of the Gaussian distribution in the image.

To get the instrument position out of the thresholded heatmap, k-means clustering is used. It is a commonly used method to automatically partition a dataset into k groups. [5] When the input to the corresponding predicted heatmap contains one instrument, k is set to 1. When two instruments are visible in the input image, k is set to 2. The pixel values of the thresholded heatmap are used as weights for the k-means clustering to improve the results, because a higher pixel value indicates a higher probability that the instrument center point is located at that position.



Fig. 1. Example image out of Endoscopic Vision Challenge 2015.



Fig. 2. Example image out of Endoscopic Vision Challenge 2015 with superimposed mask.

segmentation

III. EVALUATION

A. Endoscopic Vision Challenge 2015

The datasets used for segmentation and localization are proposed in the subchallenge Instrument Segmentation and Tracking of the MICCAI Endoscopic Vision Challenge 2015 [6]. The subchallenge is abbreviated as *EndoVis15*. In the robotic set of the subchallenge that is used in this work, is separated in a segmentation and a localization part. [6] One input image has two different ground truth annotations, one for each subchallenge part. The segmentation part is abbreviated as *EndoVis15-S*, the tracking part is abbreviated as *EndoVis15-T*.



Fig. 3. Example image out of Endoscopic Vision Challenge 2015 with superimposed heatmap.

Best Localization Results LOSO						
Dataset1 left/right instr.	Dataset2	Dataset3	Dataset4	mean dist.		
17.58/15.15	11.36	10.83	12.05	13.85		
	TAB	LE I				

RESULTS FOR THE DIFFERENT DATASETS FOR THE BEST LOSO LOCNET MODEL. MEAN DIST. IS THE MEAN DISTANCE OVER ALL DATASETS. DATASET1 IS DISTINGUISHED INTO LEFT AND RIGHT INSTRUMENT (LEFT/RIGHT INSTR.). THE EVALUATION RESULTS ARE GIVEN AS MEAN VALUE OVER ALL PREDICTION RESULTS. THE DISTANCE BETWEEN GROUND TRUTH LOCATION AND PREDICTED LOCATION OF THE INSTRUMENT IS GIVEN IN PIXELS.

Localization Results Datasets T4					
Dataset5 left/right instr.	Dataset6 left/right instr.	mean dist.			
89.80/117.91	88.17/120.02 TABLE II	106.4			

Results of the best T4 LocNet model. Each dataset is distinguished into left and right instrument (left/right instr.). Mean dist. is the mean distance over all datasets. The evaluation results are given as mean value over all prediction results \pm the standard deviation. The distance between ground truth location and predicted location of the instrument is given in pixels.

B. Experiments

After preprocessing the proposed datasets, LocNet was trained and evaluated in different ways with the EndoVis-15 datasets. Each model is trained and evaluated according to one of the EndoVis15 challenge guidelines: On each of the four surgeries, one model is trained. Then it is tested with the remaining three surgeries (LOSO). The other evaluation method consists of training on all train sets and then testing on the test sets (T4). The results of the best models for each evaluation method are proposed in table I and table II. The models were trained to the conditions stated in table III.



IV. CONCLUSION

The achieved results are comparable to other state-of-theart approaches. Besides taking further postprocessing steps to improve results, the proposed method could be combined with a temporal tracking algorithm. In this case, the estimated instrument locations could serve as input to trackers such as Kalman filter or Particle filter [7]. Temporal tracking would be especially helpful to deal with occlusions and to associate each heatmap with either the left or the right instrument, even when instruments cross.

Training Conditions LocNet					
val. method	epochs	γ			
LOSO model	50	1/2			
T4 model	500	1/2			
TABLE III					

Validation method (val.method) specifies if the model was trained according to the LOSO fashion (LOSO), or trained completely on the four training sets and tested on the two test sets (T4). The impact of the segmentation and the localization loss on the model is adjusted by γ .

After preprocessing the proposed datasets, LocNet was trained and evaluated in different ways with the EndoVis-15 datasets. Each model is trained and evaluated according to one of the EndoVis15 challenge guidelines: LOSO fashion, or training on all train sets and then testing on the test sets (T4). LOSO means for each of the four surgeries provided for training, one model is trained with the remaining three surgeries.

REFERENCES

- A. M. Lacy, J. C. Garca-Valdecasas, S. Delgado, A. Castells, P. Taur, J. M. Piqu, and J. Visa, "Laparoscopy-assisted colectomy versus open colectomy for treatment of non-metastatic colon cancer: a randomised trial," *The Lancet*, pp. 2224 – 2229, 2002.
- [2] M. Allan, S. Ourselin, S. Thompson, D. J. Hawkes, J. Kelly, and D. Stoyanov, "Toward Detection and Localization of Instruments in Minimally Invasive Surgery," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, pp. 1050–1058, 2013.
- [3] A. Shvets, A. Rakhlin, A. A. Kalinin, and V. Iglovikov, "Automatic Instrument Segmentation in Robot-Assisted Surgery Using Deep Learning," 2018.
- [4] S. M. Pizer, E. P. Amburn, J. D. Austin, R. Cromartie, A. Geselowitz, T. Greer, B. ter Haar Romeny, J. B. Zimmerman, and K. Zuiderveld, "Adaptive histogram equalization and its variations," *Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing*, pp. 355 – 368, 1987.
- [5] D. A. Sipkins, X. Wei, J. W. Wu, J. M. Runnels, D. Côté, T. K. Means, A. D. Luster, D. T. Scadden, and C. P. Lin, "In vivo imaging of specialized bone marrow endothelial microdomains for tumour engraftment," *Nature*, p. 969, 2005.
- [6] "MICCAI Endoscopic Vision Challenge: Subchallenge Instrument Segmentation and Tracking," https://endovissub-instrument.grandchallenge.org/, accessed: 2018-09-15.
- [7] R. G. Brown, P. Y. Hwang et al., Introduction to random signals and applied Kalman filtering. Wiley New York, 1992.