What factors explained the rise to prominence of the UK Independence Party (UKIP) as a force in British politics?

Adham Adnan

May 2025

Introduction

The rise of populist, anti-establishment parties has redefined political landscapes across Europe, challenging conventional party systems and exposing deep fractures in liberal democracies. Nowhere was this more consequential than in the UK, where UKIP evolved from a fringe actor into a disruptive political force. This essay argues that UKIP's rise to prominence was not a sudden populist rupture, but the culmination of long-term structural disaffection and discursive realignment in British politics. First, a deepening crisis of political representation, created fertile ground for anti-establishment mobilisation. Second, the politicisation of EU integration, particularly around immigration following the 2004 enlargement, provided UKIP with a potent symbolic issue through which to channel public anxiety. Third, UKIP's capacity to frame these grievances within a populist, emotive, and media-amplified narrative transformed diffuse frustrations into electoral traction. These dynamics were not discrete but mutually reinforcing: elite detachment amplified the salience of EU-related issues, while populist rhetoric reconstituted economic and political discontent as identity-driven mobilisation. Tracing UKIP's trajectory from the 1990s to the 2016 EU referendum, this essay shows how structural estrangement and symbolic entrepreneurship converged to recalibrate the terms of mainstream political debate in Britain.

Anti-Politics and the Crisis of Representation

The emergence of UKIP as a political force cannot be understood without reference to a deeper crisis of political representation that unfolded from the 1990s onwards. Rather than simply responding to isolated events or policy failures, UKIP's appeal drew strength from a wider erosion of trust in mainstream parties, questioning the partisan identities and growing a perception that political elites were unresponsive to the lived realities of large sections of the British people. Between 1990 and 1999, confidence in Parliament and the EU had significantly halved from 47% to 22%, showing a significant decrease in positive views of political institutions (Duffy et al., 2023). This condition, described as "anti-politics" (Flinders, 2018) created an opening for outsider parties to claim moral authority over issues such as economic inequality and cultural backlash theory. It is important to note that UKIP's early contests in elections were more lows than highs, as other parties such as the Referendum Party and British National Party also competed in local and national elections, and were better funded or gained more votes (Hanna and Busher, 2018). Similarly, Peter Mair's (2013) concept of a "representational void" offers a useful lens through which to understand UKIP's political ascent. As mainstream parties converged programmatically and turned their focus increasingly toward governance rather than representation, voters particularly those in older, working-class demographics found themselves politically unmoored. Although UKIP did not create this void, it gradually effectively positioned itself as a driving force.

UKIP's ability to frame the political class as detached and self-serving, resonated among older, working-class voters in England who felt abandoned by both Labour and the Conservatives (Evans and Menon, 2017). This was reflected in UKIP's entrance to the EU parliament in 2009, where Euroscepticism and capitalising on dissatisfied Conservative and Labour voters amassed 2.5 million votes (Sutcliffe, 2012; Whitaker and Lynch, 2011). From the perspective of valence theory (Stokes, 1963), UKIP's appeal lay in its perceived ability to communicate honestly and competently on the concerns that mainstream parties had neglected. This strategy is evident in UKIP's 2009 party election broadcast, where Nigel Farage directly blamed "bureaucrats based in Brussels" for the problems facing everyday Britons (UKIP, 2009). While such claims were simplistic, they were emotionally resonant and cut through in a climate of elite distrust. However, UKIP's deployment of Eurosceptic sentiment in their campaigns can be further understood in the context of British local politics. Vargas-Silva (2014) argues that the 2009 MP expenses scandal allowed UKIP to secure protest votes. Though Farage himself was implicated in the misuse of MEP allowances, his framing of the funds as used for "the UKIP cause" (Helm, 2009) allowed him to distinguish his actions from the corruption associated with the main parties. As Flinders and Anderson (2019) argue, this period marked the emergence of a new political style, one in which transgressions could be reinterpreted as evidence of outsider authenticity rather than cause for disqualification. The simple and effective appeal to voters who felt left behind over Britain's economic and social issues is a significant factor in UKIP's rise to prominence as it provided a clear alternative (Goodwin, 2014).

While it is tempting to view UKIP's rise as the product of a sudden populist surge or charismatic leadership, the evidence suggests a more structural foundation rooted in long-term representational decay. The party's ability to exploit a growing disconnect between citizens and the political elite, what Mair (2013) termed the "void" offered it a moral platform that resonated particularly with disillusioned older, working-class voters. Yet the crisis of representation and anti-politics only created the conditions for insurgency; it did not, by itself, determine UKIP's rise to prominence. The transformation of EU integration, particularly after the 2004 enlargement, into a potent symbol of lost sovereignty and uncontrolled immigration provided the party with a tangible issue through which to articulate its critique.

Politicisation of EU integration and immigration

If UKIP's rise was enabled by a deep crisis of political representation, it was politically weaponised through the politicisation of EU integration, particularly in relation to immigration.

This rupture provided UKIP with a powerful opportunity: to link immigration, EU membership, and loss of control into a single political narrative. Immigration, in this context, became more than a policy concern, it was politicised as a threat to sovereignty, social cohesion, and national identity.

This strategic linkage was particularly potent in the aftermath of the 2004 EU enlargement and the Labour government's refusal to impose transitional controls on migration. Unlike most EU countries, Britain opened its labour market immediately, leading to levels of migration far beyond public expectations (Dustmann, Frattini, Halls, 2010). As Sobolewska (2020) observes, Farage's leadership was instrumental in consolidating a disaffected conservative electorate by combining three grievances: uncontrolled immigration, EU overreach, and elite betrayal. In this respect, UKIP's success was not only a matter of public concern over migration but also Farage's ability to translate those concerns into a populist master-narrative. His argument that both immigration control and political renewal were impossible without leaving the EU was "plausible and appealing" to "identity conservatives" (Sobolewska, 2020, p. 176). UKIP's polling performance illustrates this dynamic: support more than doubled between 2010 and early 2013, rising from under 5% to over 10% during the coalition years (Sobolewska, 2020, p. 175). This shift reflects how UKIP's ability to frame the political stakes, rather than the salience of immigration alone drove its growth.

From the mid-2000s onwards, UKIP reframed the European Union not as a distant regulatory institution but as a symbol of national decline, with immigration serving as its most tangible and emotionally resonant consequence (Lynch and Whitaker, 2013). Farage's ability to merge EU integration and immigration sought to entrench in voters' minds the idea that immigration control was impossible without leaving the European Union (Ford and Goodwin, 2014a). As Breeze (2019, p. 31) shows, while Labour used words like "attack" metaphorically, UKIP often linked them directly to physical violence, terrorist activity, or immigration-related disruption. This discursive strategy blurred the line between legitimate public concern and latent xenophobia. Farage occasionally crossed into overtly exclusionary terrain, stating in 2014 that parts of Britain were "like a foreign land" and that "in many parts of England you don't hear English spoken anymore" (Owen, 2023, p. 751). While such remarks were controversial, they were not outliers, they exemplified UKIP's tactic of embedding cultural anxiety within its broader anti-EU message. This narrative made abstract concerns about sovereignty tangible by linking them to everyday experiences of migration and border control. The result was a rhetorical formula that resonated strongly with voters who felt economically vulnerable and culturally marginalised. By presenting open borders as a failure not only of policy but of political will, UKIP turned what had previously been

perceived as technocratic EU debates into emotionally charged questions of national identity.

UKIP's ability to fuse these grievances did not just attract attention; it shifted the entire political terrain. As Tournier-Sol (2021) argues, UKIP not only politicised EU integration but also compelled mainstream parties to radicalise their own discourse. Farage uses large numbers and quantification (such as 'food bill £400 cheaper', 'fuel bill £112 cheaper') in numbers to support his claim that immigration leads to social and economic problems (Hart and Winter, 2022; Ross and Bhatia, 2021, p. 18). The party's use of large quantification created a "spill-over effect", forcing Labour and the Conservatives to address immigration and Europe on UKIP's terms. In this sense, UKIP was not merely reacting to public opinion, it was reshaping it. The party's disruptive force was crucial to making the 2016 EU referendum possible, not just by pressuring Conservative MPs, but by reframing immigration as an existential national question.

The political potency of UKIP's message thus rested on more than statistics or institutional critique. It transformed anxiety into agency by telling voters not just what was wrong, but who was responsible and what had to be done. Immigration became the symbol through which deeper concerns, about sovereignty, identity, and legitimacy, were mobilised. However, UKIP's rhetorical power cannot be separated from its media strategy, which enabled the party to project its message far beyond its parliamentary presence. It is to this final dimension, the strategic use of populist rhetoric and media amplification.

Populism and Media Amplification

UKIP's transformation from a fringe party into a central force in British politics cannot be understood solely through the issue of immigration. Rather, its rise was underpinned by a populist strategy that fused moral discourse, cultural anxiety, and strategic media engagement. Following Mudde's (2004) typology, UKIP's rhetoric aligns with a populist framework that casts politics as a battle between the virtuous "people" and a corrupt elite. In this narrative, political opponents are not simply wrong but morally compromised, rendering compromise unacceptable and reinforcing a purist, oppositional stance. Under Nigel Farage, UKIP embraced this dichotomy, positioning itself as the authentic voice of ordinary citizens in contrast to the self-serving establishment. Farage's political persona was not built on technocratic detail but on conviction, intuition, and what Kelsey (2015) characterises as a near-spiritual dedication to "spreading the message" (p. 978). His self-presentation

resonated with voters not simply because of what he said, but how he framed himself as someone who instinctively knew what was right, even if he could not rationally explain it .

This affective, symbolic populism was most vividly illustrated through UKIP's use of provocative campaign imagery, such as the infamous 2016 "Breaking Point" poster. The visual depicted a long line of refugees entering Europe, suggesting a breakdown of control and imminent threat to British sovereignty. As Ross and Bhatia (2021) point out, the composition of the image mirrored a flowing river, evoking the metaphor of flooding often found in anti-immigration discourse. Such metaphors played into broader fears of invasion and national loss, effectively linking immigration to a collapse of order. Bradshaw and Haynes (2023) frame this poster as part of a larger communicative assemblage: not just a standalone message, but a convergence of material and expressive forces. Photography, digital circulation, Farage's public image, and public hostility towards refugees creates a potent symbolic image of frustrations. In this view, UKIP's campaign tools operated within a system that mobilised material artefacts to express moral outrage and cultural fear. From a strategic standpoint, this reinforced the party's anti-establishment credentials and enabled it to recast public anxiety as political insight, censored only by a disconnected elite.

This pattern was reinforced by UKIP's broader linguistic strategies. Breeze (2019) shows that UKIP frequently deployed language linking immigration with physical violence, unlike other parties which used similar terms metaphorically. This pointed to a deeper discursive project: not just to oppose immigration, but to portray it as a direct threat to personal and national safety. Similarly, Durrheim et al. (2018) document how critics accused UKIP of legitimising public prejudice and inciting fear among working-class communities. Yet, UKIP's defenders maintained that such rhetoric merely acknowledged what the political mainstream refused to say. Ford and Goodwin (2014b) note that UKIP supporters were motivated not only by concern about immigration or Europe, but by a belief that mainstream politics had ceased to represent their interests. In this sense, media attention did not simply broadcast UKIP's message, it co-constructed it. The "Brussels-plus" worldview they identify, a mix of Euroscepticism, immigration anxiety, and democratic disillusionment, was tailor-made for the media's appetite for conflict and identity-based narratives. This tension, between incitement and recognition, allowed UKIP to present itself as both a disruptor of norms and a restorer of voice (Kutti, 2016).

However, the party's rhetorical clarity was not always matched by policy coherence. As Dennison and Goodwin (2015) note, UKIP's proposed solutions to immigration shifted erratically: from a net migration "cap" of 50,000 to an Australian-style points-based system,

to a five-year moratorium on unskilled migrants. This inconsistency, compounded by contradictory statements from Farage and his party spokespeople, undermined UKIP's technocratic credibility. Yet these blunders did not fatally damage the party. If anything, they illustrate how UKIP's appeal lay less in policy detail than in its symbolic alignment with public frustrations. Bradshaw and Haynes (2023) argue that UKIP's communication strategy was structured more as an expressive assemblage than a programmatic apparatus, more concerned with mood, feeling, and moral assertion than with policy delivery. This tension ultimately constrained the party's long-term electoral potential: it could disrupt, but not govern. Yet its impact on British political discourse was unmistakable. As Geddes (2013) sums it up, UKIP moved Euroscepticism from the margins to the centre, forcing mainstream parties to respond on terrain it had defined. UKIP's success was never just about content, it was also about communication. The party understood that immigration was not only a material concern, but a metaphor through which deeper grievances could be articulated. By fusing populist moralism with strategic media performance, UKIP reshaped what could be said, who could say it, and who the public believed. In doing so, it redefined the relationship between electoral politics and emotional identification, laying the groundwork for Britain's most consequential political rupture in a generation.

Conclusion

UKIP's ascent cannot be understood through electoral metrics or leadership charisma alone. Rather, its success stemmed from its ability to symbolically frame long-standing grievances about representation, sovereignty, and identity, within a populist narrative that resonated with politically alienated voters. UKIP did not merely reflect public concerns; it actively redefined them through emotive discourse and disruptive media strategies. Its rise reveals how the politicisation of technocratic issues like EU integration can serve as a gateway for deeper cultural and democratic anxieties. While UKIP's long-term governing viability was limited by policy incoherence, its discursive and strategic interventions reshaped the political mainstream, culminating in the Brexit referendum. Its political vocabulary of sovereignty, betrayal, and elite detachment endured, shaping the rhetoric of successors like Reform UK and leaving a lasting imprint on Britain's post-Brexit political terrain. Ultimately, UKIP's legacy lies not in the number of seats it won, but in the political terrain it transformed.

Bibliography

Bradshaw, A. and Haynes, P. (2023) 'The assemblage of British politics' breaking point', *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 23(4), pp. 971–989. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/14695405231160597.

Breeze, R. (2019) 'Emotion in politics: Affective-discursive practices in UKIP and Labour', *Discourse & Society*, 30(1), pp. 24–43. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/0957926518801074.

Caiani, M. and Císař, O. (eds) (2019) *Radical right movement parties in Europe*. London New York: Routledge (Extremism and Democracy).

Dennison, J. and Goodwin, M. (2015) 'Immigration, Issue Ownership and the Rise of UKIP', *Parliamentary Affairs*, 68(suppl 1), pp. 168–187. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsv034.

Duffy, B. *et al.* (2023) *Trust in trouble? UK and international confidence in institutions*. King's College London. Available at: https://doi.org/10.18742/PUB01-126.

Durrheim, K. *et al.* (2018) 'How racism discourse can mobilize right‐wing populism: The construction of identity and alliance in reactions to UKIP's Brexit "Breaking Point" campaign', *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 28(6), pp. 385–405. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.2347.

Dustmann, C., Frattini, T. and Halls, C. (2010) 'Assessing the Fiscal Costs and Benefits of A8 Migration to the UK*', *Fiscal Studies*, 31(1), pp. 1–41. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-5890.2010.00106.x.

Evans, G. and Mellon, J. (2019) 'Immigration, Euroscepticism, and the rise and fall of UKIP', *Party Politics*, 25(1), pp. 76–87. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068818816969.

Flinders, M. (2018) in The Routledge Handbook of the Politics of Brexit, edited by Patrick Diamond, et al., Taylor & Francis Group, 2018. ProQuest Ebook Central, https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/sheffield/detail.action?docID=5389095.

Flinders, M. and Anderson, A. (2019) 'MPs' Expenses: The Legacy of a Scandal Ten Years On', *Political Insight*, 10(3), pp. 28–31. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/2041905819871844.

Ford, R.A. and Goodwin, M.J. (2014a) Revolt on the right: explaining support for the radical right in Britain. London: Routledge (Routledge studies in extremism and democracy).

Ford, R. and Goodwin, M.J. (2014b) 'Understanding UKIP: Identity, Social Change and the Left Behind', The Political Quarterly, 85(3), pp. 277–284. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-923X.12099.

Geddes, A. (2013) *Britain and the European Union*. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan (The European union series).

Goodwin, M. (2014) 'Explaining the Rise of the UK Independence Party', *Heinrich Böll Stiftung* [Preprint].

Hart, C. and Winter, B. (2022) 'Gesture and legitimation in the anti-immigration discourse of Nigel Farage', *Discourse & Society*, 33(1), pp. 34–55. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/09579265211048560.

Helm, T. (2009) 'Ukip leader Nigel Farage boasts of his £2m in expenses', *The Guardian*, 24 May. Available at: https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2009/may/24/mps-expenses-ukip-nigel-farage.

Kelsey, D. (2016) 'Hero Mythology and Right-Wing Populism: A discourse-mythological case study of Nigel Farage in the: Mail Online', *Journalism Studies*, 17(8), pp. 971–988. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2015.1023571.

Kutti, M. (2016) 'Shift in the political focus of the United Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP) from 2010 to 2015', *Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Tartu. Retrieved January*, 27, p. 2021.

Lynch, P. and Whitaker, R. (2013) 'Rivalry on the right: The Conservatives, the UK Independence Party (UKIP) and the EU issue', *British Politics*, 8(3), pp. 285–312. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1057/bp.2012.29.

Mair, P. (2013) *Ruling the void: the hollowing of Western democracy*. London: Verso.

Mudde, C. (2004) 'The Populist Zeitgeist', *Government and Opposition*, 39(4), pp. 541–563. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-7053.2004.00135.x.

Parker, O. (2023) 'The Politics of Free Movement of People in the United Kingdom: Beyond Securitization and De-securitization?', *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 61(3), pp. 747–762. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.13410.

'Party Election Broadcast by the UK Independence Party (2009 local elections)' (2009). Available at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XAbYKfVPFp4.

Ross, A.S. and Bhatia, A. (2021) '"Ruled Britannia": Metaphorical Construction of the EU as Enemy in UKIP Campaign Posters', *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, 26(1), pp. 188–209. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161220935812.

Sobolewska, M. (2020) *Brexitland: Identity, Diversity and the Reshaping of British Politics*. Cambridge: University of Cambridge ESOL Examinations.

Stokes, D.E. (1963) 'Spatial Models of Party Competition', *The American Political Science Review*, 57(2), pp. 368–377. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2307/1952828.

SUTCLIFFE, J.B. (2012) 'THE ROOTS AND CONSEQUENCES OF EUROSKEPTICISM', *Geopolitics, History, and International Relations*, 4(1), pp. 107–127.

Tournier-Sol, K. (2021) 'From UKIP to the Brexit party: the politicization of European integration and disruptive impact on national and European arenas', *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, 29(3), pp. 380–390. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/14782804.2020.1785849.

Vargas-Silva, C. (2014) 'What do we know about EU migration to the UK?', *British Politics and Policy at LSE* [Preprint].

Whitaker, R. and Lynch, P. (2011) 'Explaining Support for the UK Independence Party at the 2009 European Parliament Elections', *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*, 21(3), pp. 359–379. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/17457289.2011.588439.