Some notes and solutions to Russell and Norvig's Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach (AIMA, 3rd edition)

May 31, 2012

Contents

1	DONE 1.1	2
2	DONE 1.2 2.1 Turing, Computing Machinery and Intelligence	2
3	DONE 1.3	7
4	DONE 1.4	7
5	DONE 1.5	7
6	DONE 1.6	8
7	DONE 1.7	8
8	DONE 1.8	9
9	DONE 1.9	9
10	DONE 1.10	10
11	DONE 1.11	10
12	DONE 1.12	10
13	DONE 1.13	11

14 DONE 1.14																11									
15	15 DONE 1.15															12									
16	Not	es																							12
	16.1	1																							12
	16.2	Lectu	res																						16
		16.2.1	1																						16
		16 2 2	2																						16

CLOSED: 2011-10-10 Mon 03:03

Intelligence A spontaneous faculty for associating impressions (more general than ideas); synthesizing abstractions from disparate stimuli; deducing conclusions from abstractions.

Intelligence is an emergent property of simples like e.g. neurons.

Artificial intelligence Mechanism for performing association, abstraction, deduction which appears to be spontaneous; may also be an emergent property of bit-pushing.

Agent Self-contained, autonomous input-processing mechanism.

Rationality The appropriate application of or *ratio*; this includes the mechanical process of deduction, as well as an ill-defined notion of common-sense.

Logical reasoning The mechanical aspect of rationality.

2 DONE 1.2

CLOSED: 2011-10-10 Mon 03:03

The Mathematical Objection (3) still holds up: the halting problem; on the other hands, humans are also susceptible to the halting problem, aren't they?

If one falls towards the humanity side of the humanity-rationality AI-axis, this deficit is reducible.

Lady Lovelace's Objection (6) is interesting: it denies *ex nihilo*; are genetic algorithms a counter-example?

The Argument from Informality of Behaviour (8) could be solved by fuzzy dispatch.

A modern refutation might be that there are not enough graduate students to make a satisfactory ontology of world-knowledge; thank the gods, then, for mechanical turks and unsupervised learning!

We came pretty damn close to 30% in the 2008 Loebner prize; why not double it to 60% in 2058? Despite Moore's law, let's say that AI proceeds linearly.

2.1 Turing, Computing Machinery and Intelligence

- Can machines think?
- It is A's object in the game to try and cause C to make the wrong identification.
 - Didn't realize there was an adversarial element to the Turing test.
- What will happen when a machine takes the part of A in this game?
- . . . drawing a fairly sharp line between the physical and the intellectual capacities of man.
 - A reasonable dualism
- May not machines carry out something which ought to be described as thinking but which is very different from what a man does?
 - The humanity/rationality plane of AI?
- Imitation game
 - Simulacrum sufficeth
- It is probably possible to rear a complete individual from a single cell of the skin (say) of a man . . . but we would not be inclined to regard it as a case of "constructing a thinking machine".

- Digital computer:
 - 1. Store
 - 2. Executive unit
 - 3. Control
- It is not normally possible to determine from observing a machine whether it has a random element, for a similar effect can be produced by such devices as making the choices depend on the digits of the decimal for π .
- Discrete state machines: strictly speaking there are no such machines. Everything really moves continuously.
- This is reminiscent of Laplace's view that from the complete state of the universe at one moment of time, as described by the positions and velocities of all particles, it should be possible to predict all future states.
- This special property of digital computers, that they can mimic any discrete state machine, is described by saying that they are universal machines.
- "Are there imaginable digital computers which would do well in the imitation game?" \rightarrow "Are there discrete state machines which would do well?"
- I believe that in about fifty years' time it will be possible to programme computers, with a storage capacity of about 10⁹, to make them play the imitation game so well that an average interrogator will not have more than 70% chance of making the right identification after five minutes of questioning.
 - Russell/Norvig, 12: storage units: 10¹5
 - Loebner prize:

Elbot of Artificial Solutions won the 2008 Loebner Prize bronze award, for most human-like artificial conversational entity, through fooling three of the twelve judges who interrogated it (in the human-parallel comparisons) into believing it was human. This is coming very close to the 30% traditionally required to consider that a program has actually passed the Turing test.

* From a judge:

He predicted that by the end of the century, computers would have a 30 per cent chance of being mistaken for a human being in five minutes of text-based conversation.

I thought this was mistaken (should be 70), but it is indeed correct.

- In other words, a damn-good guess.
- Conjectures are of great importance since they suggest useful lines of research.
- We might expect that He would only exercise this power in conjunction with a mutation which provided the elephant with an appropriately improved brain to minister to the needs of this soul.
- We like to believe that Man is in some subtle way superior to the rest of creation.
- "The consequences of machines thinking would be too dreadful." I do not think that this argument sufficiently substantial to require refutation. Consolation would would be more appropriate: perhaps this should be sought the transmigration of souls.
- There are limitations to the powers of discrete-state machines. The best known of these results is known as Gödel's theorem, and shows that in any sufficiently powerful logical system statements can be formulated which can neither be proved nor disproved within the system, unless possibly the system itself is inconsistent.
- "Will this machine every answer 'Yes' to any question?" It can be shown that the answer is either wrong or not forthcoming.
- The only way to know that a man thinks is to be that particular man. It is in fact the solipsist point of view.
- I do not wish to give the impression that I think there is no mystery about consciousness. There is, for instance, something of a paradox connected with any attempt to localise it.
- When a burnt child fears the fire and shows that he fears it by avoiding it, I should say that he was applying scientific induction.

- It would deliberately introduce mistakes in a manner calculated to confuse the interrogator.
- By observing the results of its own behaviour it can modify its own programmes so as to achieve some purpose more effectively.
- This is the assumption that as soon as a fact is presented to a mind all consequences of that fact spring into the mind simultaneously with it.
- The undistributed middle is glaring.
- I would defy anyone to learn from these replies sufficint about the programme to be able to predict any replies to untried values.
- A smallish proportion are super-critical. An idea presented to such a mind may give rise to a whole "theory" consisting of secondary, tertiary and more remote ideas.
 - Sponteneity
- These last two paragraphs should be described as "recitations tending to produce belief."
- The only satisfactory support that can be given will be that provided by waiting for the end of the century and then doing the experiment described.
- Estimates for the storage capacity of the brain vary from 10¹0 to 10¹5 binary digits.
 - Russell/Norvig (12): 10¹3 synapses
- At my present rate of working I produce about a thousand digits of programme a day, so that about sixty workers, working steadily through the fifty years might accomplish the job, if nothing went into the wastepaper basket.
 - Mythical man-month?
- The child-programme and the education process
- One might have a complete system of logical inference "built in". The store would be largely occupied with definitions and propositions. Certain propositions may be described as "imperatives". As soon as an imperative is classed as "well-established" the appropriate action takes place.

- Compare McCarthy, Programs with Common Sense, regarding imperatives.
- These choices make the difference between a brilliant and a footling reasoner
- We can only see a short distance ahead, but we can see plenty there that needs to be done.

CLOSED: 2011-10-12 Wed 12:58

Reflex actions are rational in the sense that they are the result of induction on e.g. hot objects and the scientific method (see Turing); though the acquisition may require intelligence (induction, storage), the reflex itself is not intelligent in the sense that it requires no induction: it is immediate.

Reflex actions are not irrational, either, in the sense that someone does a cost-benefit analysis and decides to contravene it; let's call reflex actions pararational, therefore: neither rational nor irrational. There's no time to apply a utility function and behave accordingly (or discordingly (sic)).

4 DONE 1.4

Tom Evan's ANALOGY is an ad-hoc geometric solver, and would not therefore program. In people, you might be able to generalize from IQ-tests to success; but not so with domain-specific AI.

5 DONE 1.5

CLOSED: 2012-05-28 Mon 21:35

Aplysia, Eric Kandel

20,000 neurons; memory-updates/second: 10⁻⁹; cycletime: 10¹⁵, high end;

Is memory-updates/second merely memory / cycle time? In which case: $20000\ /\ 10^-9 =$

 10^5 (20000) neurons, cycle time: 10^{-3} ; memory updates per second? Not sure what the relationship between operations/sec and memory updates/sec; the former is an upper bound, though. Could it be that memory updates/sec is also bounded, somehow, by storage units? There is also the relationship between neuros and synapses.

In humans, 7,000 synapses per neuron; hence 10^{14} from 10^{11} . How many synapses per aplysia-neuron?

From this paper:

On average, we found 24 contacts per pair of neurons.

Let's say, then, that sea slugs have 10^6 synapses; let's also say that, like humans, this is an upper bound on memory updates per second due to the e.g. refractory period.

That gives 10^6 memory updates per second; which means that a supercomputer houses the potential of 10^8 sea slugs.

6 DONE 1.6

CLOSED: 2012-05-28 Mon 21:43

This post on the limits of introspection posits that:

Mental processes are the results of opaque preferences, and . . . our own "introspected" goals and preferences are a product of the same machinery that infers goals and preferences in others in order to predict their behavior.

Accordingly, introspection is accurate to the extent that we can infer our own thoughts from the mental model we've extrapolated from watching others.

In other words, the processes which lead to thought are to thought opaque.

7 DONE 1.7

CLOSED: 2012-05-31 Thu 02:17

Bar code scanners should hopefully be a trivial mapping from codes to products; if, on the other hand, you could scan and select similar products someone might be interested in: well, then.

The search engine problem is probably AI-complete; current solutions are some AI-complete-like heuristics.

Voice-activated telephone menus might be artificially intelligent in the sense that they have to recover signal from noise and make sense of it.

Internet routing algorithms are classic agents in the sense that they have environments (connection data), sensors (the ability to peer into network devices) and actuators (the ability to re-route traffic).

8 DONE 1.8

CLOSED: 2012-05-31 Thu 02:17

Isn't it the case that humans do do some kind of implicit calculation? Another example is the ability to catch a ball: there are complex physics at play, and yet the human has evolutionarily honed and ad-hoc facilities to perform the same.

Something like Gaussian blur, in other words, is hard-coded into our neurons; vision system, on the other hand, don't have the advantage of fuzzy connections between analog neurons and have to simulate these biological heuristics with algorithms.

9 DONE 1.9

CLOSED: 2012-05-31 Thu 02:19

Evolution might tend to result in systems that maximize certain utility functions (e.g. propagate genes, to that end: stay alive for a while; &c.); this process is pseudo-rational. Pseudo-rational in the sense that it is not rational for rationality's sake; but accidentally rational as it strives to maximize utility.

Maybe there's no distinction to be drawn there after all: ends justifying means.

CLOSED: 2012-05-31 Thu 02:28

AI is science in the sense that it benefits from the scientific method (work done, for instance, on the relationship between goals and actions; cooperation; how brains cause minds; &c.) and precise mathematics.

AI is engineering, on the other hand, in the sense that it inheres in the world; it must find solutions in messy situations: solutions which might be approximate but nevertheless useful.

11 DONE 1.11

CLOSED: 2012-05-31 Thu 02:35

"Surely computers . . . can do only what their programmers tell them" might have been the case, if it weren't for the fact that programmers can program machines to do things even they couldn't do (cf. chess programs that outstrip their masters).¹

This seems like a paradox I don't adequately know how to explain; if it proceeds apace, prepare for the singularity.

12 DONE 1.12

CLOSED: 2012-05-31 Thu 02:41

The relationship between nature and nurture is probably complex; suffice to say: genes might provide an upper bound on the intelligence of an animal that it has to strive to meet. Luck helps; so does discipline.

There is a nature-nuture/code-intelligence analogy only insofar as there is code that adapts to its environment; or a programmer can translate intelligence into code (bounded by the programmer's intelligence, of course).

¹See this article from 2007 on Google's machine translation system: "Using a brute-force statistical approach, the Google machine translation team has developed top performing translation software to and from languages that not even one of the teams members understands, such as Arabic and Chinese."

CLOSED: 2012-05-31 Thu 02:48

It's true that animals, humans and computers are bound by the laws of physics; nevertheless, there is this bizarre phenomenon of emergent behavior wherein the sum is more than its whole of parts.

Consciousness, after all, is an emergent behavior from the propagation of current through neurons; and the world-wide-web has emerged from a decentralized connection of web pages.

14 DONE 1.14

CLOSED: 2011-10-10 Mon 03:52

- 1. The Japanese got this one; just a toy, though.
- 2. There is at least one driverless car in Cairo; it's not self-controlling, though, but rather remotely driven. Driving in clusterfuck-Cairo (like Athens) is taxing for humans, let alone AI. (Google's making political inroads in Nevada, though.) Sufficiently sensitive sensation of surrounding objects, conditions; physics; navigation; are required.
- 3. DARPA Grand Challenge
- 4. This robot fetches a sandwich.
- 5. Grocery IQ will order groceries; a week's worth, though?
- 6. Zia Mahmood got clowned once or twice; like poker, though, bridge is probabilistic and psychological.
- 7. TheoryMine is selling new computer-generated proofs for £15; standard objections apply.
- 8. The Bulhak-Larios Postmodernism Generator is funny; intentionally so?
- 9. Hilariously-named SHYSTER: ad-hoc expert system
- 10. Google Translate

11. Mechanically, but there is a human agent (telemanipulator); see this, though, where "In May 2006 the first AI doctor-conducted unassisted robotic surgery on a 34 year old male to correct heart arythmia."

15 DONE 1.15

CLOSED: 2012-05-31 Thu 03:05

TREC appears to dissolve tracks as they become "solved" (e.g. the spam and terabyte tracks) and take new ones up as they emerge (e.g. the microblog and crowdsourcing tracks).

The Grand Challenge is attempting to solve the problem of driverless transportation (see Google's driverless car); despite recent legislation approving driverless cars (in e.g. California, Nevada, New Jersey), it is still cutting edge.

ICKEPS 2012, for instance, has a track for planning solar array operations on the ISS; seems relevant.

RoboCup is interesting in the sense that it requires advanced perception and cooperation among autonomous agents; I suspect it does not detract much from new ideas, despite the fact that it is still wrestling with some of the oldest (and unsolved) problems in AI (vide supra).

The Loebner Prize, on the other hand, seems a little anachronistic; do people care whether their AI counterparts really act human?

16 Notes

16.1 1

- Two dimensions: thought vs. action, humanity vs. rationality.
- Physical simulation of a person is unnecessary for intelligence.
 - Mind-body dualism of Descartes?
- Cognitive science brings together computer models from AI and experimental techniques from psychology.

- Real cognitive science, however, is necessarily based on experimental investigation of actual humans.
- The standard of rationality is mathematically well defined and completely general.
- We will adopt the working hypothesis that perfect rationality is a good starting point for analysis.
- Limited rationality: acting appropriately when there is not enough time
- Materialism, which holds that the brain's operation according to the laws of physicas constitutes the mind.
- Logical positivism
- Carnap, The Logical Structures of the World, was probably the first theory of mind as a computational process.
- Intelligence requires action as well as reasoning.
- Actions are justified by a logical connection between goals and knowledge of the action's outcome.
- Regression planning system
- The leap to a formal science required a level of mathematical formalization: logic, computation, probability.
- The world is an extremely large problem instance.
- Models based on satisficing—making decisions that are "good enough"—gave a better description of actual human behavior.
- Searle: brains cause minds.
- Behaviorism
- "A cognitive theory should be like a computer program."
- Intelligence and an artifact
- Parallelism—a curious convergence with the properties of the brain.
- The state of a neuron was conceived of as "factually equivalent to a proposition which proposed its adequate stimulus." McCulloch and Pitts (1943)

- Neural events and the relations among them can be treated by means of propositional logic.
- For any logical expression satisfying certain conditions, one can find a net behaving in the fashion it describes.
- For every net behaving under one assumption, there exists another net which behaves under the other and gives the same results.
- Perhaps "computational rationality" would have been more precise and less threatening, but "AI" stuck.
- AI from the start embraced the idea of duplicating human faculties such as creativity.
- John McCarthy referred to this period as the "Look, Ma, no hands!" era.
- "A physical symbol system has the necessary and sufficient means for general intelligent action."
- 1958 . . . McCarthy define Lisp, which was to become the dominant AI programming language for the next 30 years.
- It is useful to have a formal, explicit representation of the world and its workings and to be able to maniplutae that representation with deductive processes.
- McCarthy, Programs with Common Sense
 - In this program the procedures will be described as much as possible in the language itself and, in particular, the heuristics are all so described.
 - If one wants a machine to be able to discover an abstraction, it seems most likely that the machine must be able to represent this abstraction in some relatively simple way.
 - The improving mechanism should be improvable.
 - Must have or evolve concepts of partial success.
 - * Something about ~1995 that made for a cute blog.
 - For example, to mest people, the number 3812 is not an object: they have nothing to say about it except what can be deduced from its structure. On the other hand, to most Americans the

number 1776 is an object because they have filed somewhere the fact that it represents the year when the American Revolution started.

- One might conjecture that division in man between conscious and unconscious thought occurs at the boundary between stimulusresponse heuristics which do not have to be reasoned about but only obeyed, and the others which have to serve as premises in deductions.
- Machine evolution (genetic algorithms): Friedberg, 1958, 1959.
 - Friedberg. 1958. A learning machine Part 1. IBM Journal of Research and Development, 2, 2–13.
 - * From and intent, to be sure, are related quite discontinuously in the compact, economical programs that programmers wrte.
 - Friedberg, Dunham, North. 1959. A learning machine, Part 2.
 IBM Journal of Research and Development, 3, 282–287.
- Failure to come to grips with the "combinatorial explosion"
- The new back-propagation learning algorithms for multilayer netwrks that were to cause an enormous resurgence in neural-net research in the late 1980s were actually discovered first in 1969.
- Bruce Buchanan: a philosopher turned computer scientist
- DENDRAL was the first successful knowledge-intensive system (expert system).
- AI Winter
- Parallel Distributed Processing (Rumelhart, McClelland. 1986)
- Connectionist models: competitors to symbols models and logicist approach
- Ones that act rationally according to the laws of decision theory and do not try to imitate the thought steps of human experts
- Control theory deals with designing devices that act optimally on the basis of feedback from the environment.

16.2 Lectures

16.2.1 1

- AI: mapping from sensors to actuators
 - Voice, child-like engagement
- Fully vs. partially observable
- Deterministic vs. stochastic
- Discrete vs. continuous
- Benign vs. adversarial
- Uncertainty management

16.2.2 2

- Initial state
- $actions(state) \rightarrow a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$
- result(state, action) $\rightarrow state'$
- $goal-test(state) \rightarrow T|F$
- path-cost(stateactionstateactionstate) $\rightarrow n$
- $step-cost(state, action, state') \rightarrow n$
- Navigate the state space by applying actions
- Separate state into three parts: ends of paths (frontier); explored and unexplored regions.
- Step-cost
- Tree-search
 - Family-resemlance; difference: which path to look at first.
- Depth-first search: shortest-first search

•