MPI DNA Sequence Alignment

Primary Objective

This implementation is design to showcase:

- MPI programming using collective operation
- An architecture that can be scaled across multiple processes
- Application of parallel computing to real-world sequence alignment problems

Included features:

- MPI collective operations (Scattery/Gathery) implementation
- Fixed-size data structures optimized for MPI communication
- Round-robin load balancing algorithm
- Sliding window DNA sequence alignment

Excluded features:

- Advanced alignment algorithms
- Real genomic file
- Persistent storage/Database

Scope

The target scale is 2-16 processes, which is suited for desktop. The data scale from 10 to 1000 query sequences.

Algorithm detail

Input data

- Reference DNA: a large DNA sequence representing a chromosome segment
- Sequence: Short DNA segment that align with the reference

Program flow

MPI_Scatterv and MPI_Gatherv for optimal data distribution

Main data structure

```
// Main data structures
struct QueryData {
    int queryIndex;
    int queryLength;
    char querySequence[64];
};

struct ResultData {
    int queryIndex, position, score;
    int queryLength, matchLength;
    char querySequence[64], matchedSegment[64];
};
```

MPI environment setup

```
MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &rank);
MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &numProcesses);
```

We first initialize MPI runtime environment and determine the process rank from 0 to numProcesses - 1

Data generation

We will generate random query sentences

Data Structure conversion

```
// Convert strings to fixed-size MPI structures
vector<QueryData> allQueryData(numQueries);
for (int i = 0; i < numQueries; i++) {
   allQueryData[i] = QueryData(i, queries[i]);
}</pre>
```

We transform variable-length strings to fixed-size structures. This is done to optimize MPI collective communication.

Distribution Calculation

```
// Calculate send counts for round-robin distribution
vector<int> sendCounts(numProcesses, 0);
for (int i = 0; i < numQueries; i++) {
    sendCounts[i % numProcesses]++;</pre>
```

```
// Calculate byte-level displacements
vector<int> sendCountsBytes(numProcesses);
vector<int> displacementsBytes(numProcesses);
for (int i = 0; i < numProcesses; i++) {
    sendCountsBytes[i] = sendCounts[i] * sizeof(QueryData);
    displacementsBytes[i] = (i == 0) ? 0 :
        displacementsBytes[i-1] + sendCountsBytes[i-1];
}</pre>
```

We use Round-Robin for load balancing to ensures even distribution across processes.

Query Distribution (MPI_Scatterv)

Sequence matching

Each process will perform the alignSequence() functions

Result Gathering (MPI_Gatherv)

We will determine the receive buffer layout and collect all results. The results are organized by displacement offset. This tell MPI where each process's data begin within the send/receive buffer

Result Processing at Master process

```
// Convert ResultData back to AlignmentResult
vector<AlignmentResult> finalResults;
for (const auto& resultData : allResults) {
    if (resultData.queryIndex >= 0) { // Valid result
        finalResults.push_back(resultData.toAlignmentResult());
    }
}

// Sort by query index for ordered display
sort(finalResults.begin(), finalResults.end(),
    [](const AlignmentResult& a, const AlignmentResult& b) {
        return a.queryIndex < b.queryIndex;
    });</pre>
```

Clean up

After that we finalize MPI, release MPI resources, close communication channels, and terminate the program

Sequence matching algorithm

The alignSequence() functions performs the core alignment logic:

Sliding Window

- The query sequence slides across the entire reference DNA
- At each position, we extract the segment of the reference DNA with the same number of base to the query length
- This creates a window that moves one base at a time across the reference sequence

Scoring System

- For each position, we get the alignment score.
- The score is calculated by the number of exact base matches between the query and the reference sequence. The maximum score is the length of the query sequence, as all base in the query sequence match with the reference segment.

```
AlignmentResult alignSequence(const string& query, int queryIndex) {
  int bestScore = -1;
  int bestPosition = -1;
```

```
string bestMatch;
    // Slide query across reference DNA
    for (size_t i = 0; i <= REFERENCE_DNA.length() - query.length(); i++) {</pre>
        string segment = REFERENCE_DNA.substr(i, query.length());
        // Calculate simple alignment score (number of matching bases)
        int score = 0;
        for (size_t j = 0; j < query.length(); j++) {</pre>
            if (query[j] == segment[j]) {
                score++;
            }
        }
        if (score > bestScore) {
            bestScore = score;
            bestPosition = i;
            bestMatch = segment;
        }
    }
    return AlignmentResult(queryIndex, bestPosition, bestScore, query,
bestMatch);
}
```

This is quite a simple and fast algorithm to implement, making it easy to parallelize across multiple queries

Extension Possibilities

The algorithm can be improved to:

- Smith-Waterman
- Needleman-Wunsch
- BLOSUM (substitution matrices)

Result

```
=== DNA Sequence Alignment with MPI ===
Reference DNA length: 547 bases
Number of query sequences: 20
Number of worker processes: 3
Distributing tasks among workers...
Assigned 7 tasks to worker 1
Assigned 7 tasks to worker 2
Assigned 6 tasks to worker 3
Worker 1 processed query 0 (score: 16/16)
Worker 1 processed query 3 (score: 10/16)
Worker 1 processed query 6 (score: 9/16)
Worker 1 processed query 9 (score: 9/16)
Worker 1 processed query 12 (score: 10/16)
Worker 1 processed query 15 (score: 9/16)
Worker 1 processed query 18 (score: 8/16)
Worker 3 processed query 2 (score: 16/16)
Worker 3 processed query 5 (score: 10/16)
Worker 3 processed query 8 (score: 11/16)
Worker 3 processed query 11 (score: 10/16)
Worker 3 processed query 14 (score: 9/16)
Worker 3 processed query 17 (score: 11/16)
Worker 2 processed query 1 (score: 16/16)
Worker 2 processed query 4 (score: 10/16)
Worker 2 processed query 7 (score: 10/16)
Worker 2 processed query 10 (score: 11/16)
Worker 2 processed query 13 (score: 9/16)
Worker 2 processed query 16 (score: 10/16)
Worker 2 processed query 19 (score: 11/16)
=== Alignment Results ===
Query Position Score Query Sequence Best Match
   0
            0
                    16/16 ATCGATCGATCGATC... ATCGATCGATCGATC... (100.0%)
                    16/16 CACTGCAGGCCGGAG... (100.0%)
   1
            68
   2
           333
                    16/16 GGAAGGGACAGATTA... GGAAGGGACAGATTA... (100.0%)
         110
203
282
   3
                    10/16 TTTCGGGCGCAAAGT... CGTCGGCAGCAGAGC... (62.5%)
                                                                (62.5%)
   4
                    10/16 CTCTCGAGATCCCGA... GTCTTGAGATTGGCA...
                    10/16 CTCCCCTCATGGAAT... CTCCCCTCCCCATG... (62.5%)
   5
          127
   6
                    9/16 GGTCAAATTGCTTCC... GCGCTGATTGGCTGC... (56.2%)
          143
                    10/16 GTTAACGGTACTACG... GATGACGCAACTGGG... (62.5%)
   8
          106
                    11/16 ACGGCATCAGAAGCA... CCGCCGTCGGCAGCA...
                                                                (68.8%)
          138
   9
                    9/16 AAGCTCAAGACACAG... CTGCTGATGACGCAA... (56.2%)
   10
          259
                    11/16 GCAAAGTACCGCCGT... GCGAGGTTCCGGCGG... (68.8%)
   11
          164
                    10/16 GGGCTGTGAACGTGG... GCGCTGTGATAGGTG... (62.5%)
                    10/16 CTCATGACATTCAAT... CCCATGAAAGCCAGG... (62.5%)
   12
           291
   13
          163
                    9/16 CGCGTTGGTATAACT... TGCGCTGTGATAGGT... (56.2%)
   14
          163
                    9/16 GGCGTACTAATAAGG... TGCGCTGTGATAGGT... (56.2%)
   15
          352
                    9/16 TCTAAAACTTAGGCC... TCCGGAACCAATGCG... (56.2%)
                    10/16 TCTCTACTCTGTCGG... CCTCCCCGCCGTCGG... (62.5%)
   16
           101
   17
           216
                    11/16 CTCTCGCAGGTGGCT... CAGGGGCAGGTGGCT... (68.8%)
   18
           60
                    8/16 CTACGTACAACTGCA... ATCGATCGCACTGCA... (50.0%)
   19
           116
                    11/16 AAGCTGATCAAGCCC... CAGCAGAGCAAGCGC... (68.8%)
```

Total execution time: 24 ms