Development and Validation of a Risk Prediction Model of linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia in Vietnamese patients

Friday, March 22, 2024

Write abstract here, note the indentation

1 Checklist

Table 1: TRIPOD-Cluster checklist of items to include when reporting a study developing or validating a multivariable prediction model using clustered data

Section/topic	Item No	Description	Draft date
Title and abstract			
Title	1	Identify the study as developing and/or validating a multivariable prediction model, the target population, and the outcome to be predicted	
Abstract	2	Provide a summary of research objectives, setting, participants, data source, sample size, predictors, outcome, statistical analysis, results, and conclusions*	

Section/topic	Item No	Description	Draft date		
Introduction					
Background and	3a	Explain the medical			
objectives		context (including			
		whether diagnostic or			
		prognostic) and			
		rationale for			
		developing or			
		validating the			
		prediction model,			
		including references to			
		existing models, and			
		the advantages of the			
	3b	study design* Specify the objectives,			
	3 D	including whether the			
		study describes the			
		development or			
		validation of the			
		model*			
Methods		1110 401			
Participants and	4a	Describe eligibility			
data		criteria for participants			
		and datasets*			
	4b	Describe the origin of			
		the data, and how the			
		data were identified,			
		requested, and			
		collected			
Sample size	5	Explain how the	Mar 21		
		sample size was			
		arrived at*			
Outcomes and	6a	Define the outcome	Mar 21		
predictors		that is predicted by			
		the model, including			
		how and when			
	6b	assessed*			
	άθ	Define all predictors used in developing or			
		validating the model, including how and			
		when measured*			
		whom industried			

Section/topic	Item No	Description	Draft date
Data preparation	7a	Describe how the data	
		were prepared for	
		analysis, including any	
		cleaning,	
		harmonisation, linkage,	
		and quality checks	
	7b	Describe the method	
		for assessing risk of	
		bias and applicability	
		in the individual	
		clusters (eg, using	
		PROBAST)	
	$7\mathrm{c}$	For validation, identify	
		any differences in	
		definition and	
		measurement from the	
		development data (eg,	
Data preparation		setting, eligibility	
		criteria, outcome,	
		predictors)*	
	$7\mathrm{d}$	Describe how missing	
		data were handled*	
Data analysis	8a	Describe how	
2 ava anary or		predictors were	
		handled in the	
		analyses	
	8b	Specify the type of	
	OB	model, all model	
		building procedures	
		(eg, any predictor	
		selection and	
		penalisation), and	
		method for validation*	
	8c	Describe how any	
	OC.	heterogeneity across	
		clusters (eg, studies or	
		settings) in model	
		parameter values was	
		_	
		handled	

${f Section/topic}$	Item No	Description	Draft date
	8d	For validation,	
		describe how the	
		predictions were	
		calculated	
	8e	Specify all measures	
		used to assess model	
		performance (eg,	
		calibration,	
		discrimination, and	
		decision curve analysis)	
		and, if relevant, to	
		compare multiple	
		models	
	8f	Describe how any	
		heterogeneity across	
		clusters (eg, studies or	
		settings) in model	
		performance was	
		handled and quantified	
	8g	Describe any model	
		updating (eg,	
		recalibration) arising	
		from the validation,	
		either overall or for	
		particular populations	
		or settings*	
Sensitivity analysis	9	Describe any planned	
		subgroup or sensitivity	
		analysis—eg, assessing	
		performance according	
		to sources of bias,	
		participant	
.		characteristics, setting	
Results	4.0	5	
Participants and	10a	Describe the number	
datasets		of clusters and	
		participants from data	
		identified through to	
		data analysed; a	
		flowchart might be	
		helpful*	

Section/topic	Item No	Description	Draft date
	10b	Report the characteristics overall and where applicable for each data source or setting, including the key dates, predictors, treatments received, sample size, number of outcome events, follow-up time, and amount of missing data*	
	10c	For validation, show a comparison with the development data of the distribution of important variables (demographics, predictors, and outcome)	
Risk of bias	11	Report the results of the risk-of-bias assessment in the individual clusters	
Model development and specification	12a	Report the results of any assessments of heterogeneity across clusters that led to subsequent actions during the model's development (eg, inclusion or exclusion of particular predictors or clusters)	

Section/topic	Item No	Description	Draft date
	12b	Present the final	
		prediction model (ie,	
		all regression	
		coefficients, and model	
		intercept or baseline	
		estimate of the	
		outcome at a given	
		time point) and	
		explain how to use it	
		for predictions in new	
		individuals*	
Model performance	13a	Report performance	
		measures (with	
		uncertainty intervals)	
		for the prediction	
		model, overall and for	
		each cluster	
	13b	Report results of any	
		heterogeneity across	
		clusters in model	
		performance	
Model updating	14	Report the results	
		from any model	
		updating (including	
		the updated model	
		equation and	
		subsequent	
		performance), overall	
		and for each cluster*	
Sensitivity analysis	15	Report results from	
		any subgroup or	
		sensitivity analysis	
Discussion			

Section/topic	Item No	Description	Draft date
Interpretation	16a	Give an overall interpretation of the	
		main results, including	
		heterogeneity across	
		clusters in model	
		performance, in the	
		context of the	
		objectives and	
		previous studies*	
	16b	For validation, discuss	
		the results with	
		reference to the model	
		performance in the	
		development data, and	
		in any previous	
		validations	
	16c	Discuss the strengths	
		of the study and any	
		limitations (eg, missing	
		or incomplete data,	
		non-representativeness,	
		data harmonisation	
		problems)	
Implications	17	Discuss the potential	
		use of the model and	
		implications for future	
		research, with specific	
		view to generalisability	
		and applicability of	
		the model across	
		different settings or	
		(sub) populations	
Other			
information			
Supplementary	18	Provide information	
information		about the availability	
		of supplementary	
		resources (eg, study	
		protocol, analysis code,	
		datasets)*	

Section/topic	Item No	Description	Draft date
Funding	19	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study	

2 Introduction

2.1 Background and objectives

Linezolid is an oxazolidinones antibiotics [1].

3 Methods

3.1 Participants and data

3.2 Sample size

Previous studies developing logistic regression models for LI-TP risk predictions have included 4-6 predictors in their final models [2]; [3]; [4]; [5]. We expect to include about as many candidate predictors, based on results from the expert opinion survey and the Bayesian Model Selection algorithm see 3.3. Some of the candidate predictors might be continuous, which may potentially require non-linear modelling and therefore slightly increase the number of variables.

A general rule of thumb is for at least 10 events be available for each candidate predictor considered in a prediction model [6]. We have a total of 816 eligible patients and 264 of those have experienced the outcome. If the number of candidate predictors is 7, we would have 37 events per candidate predictor, which is considerably greater than the minimum number required. Even if the number of parameters screened is 20, we would still have 13 events per candidate predictor.

However, the aforementioned rule of thumb have generated some debate in the literature, with recent results suggesting that event per variable criterion is too simplistic and has no strong relation to the predictive performance of a model. Riley et al [7] proposed a different set of criteria to estimate minimum sample size for models developed using logistic regression, which are the following:

1. Small optimism in predictor effect estimates, defined as a global shrinkage factor of >= 0.9.

- 2. Small absolute difference of <= 0.05 in the model's apparent and adjusted Nagelkerke's R-squared.
- 3. Precise estimation of the overall risk in the population.

Criteria 1 and 2 aims to reduce the potential of overfitting. Criteria 3 aims to ensure the overall risk is estimated precisely.

3.2.1 Step 1: Choose the number of candidate predictors of interest for inclusion in the model, and calculate the corresponding number of predictor parameters (p)

Note that one predictor may require two or more parameters. For example, a k-category predictor requires k-1 parameters and a continuous predictor model with a non-linear trend requires more than one parameter to be estimated. Also include any potential interaction terms towards the total p.

When using a predictor selection method, p should be defined as the total number of parameters screened, and not just the subset that are included in the final model.

Assuming maximum total p to be 20.

Note

The value of p is assumed to be no larger than 20 because univariate regression shows there are 20 variables that are significantly correlated with the outcome.

Source: Article Notebook

3.2.2 Step 2: Choose sensible values for $R^2_{CS_adj}$ and max($R^2_{CS_app}$) based on previous studies where R^2_{CS} is the Cox-Snell R^2 statistic.

The value of $\max(R^2_{CS_app})$ is based on the overall prevalence or overall rate of the outcome in the population of interest. The incidence of LI-TP in patients treated with linezolid was estimated to be 37% in a meta-analysis by Zhao et al [8].

The value of $R^2_{CS_adj}$ could be based on that for a previously published model in the same setting and population (with similar outcome definition). However, as previous studies does not provide any information to identify a sensible value of the minimum expected Cox-Snell R^2 , the value $R^2_{CS_adj}$ will be assumed to correspond to a $R^2_{Nagelkerke}$ of 0.15.

[1] 0.2048324

Source: Article Notebook

3.2.3 Step 3: Criterion 1

Calculate the sample size required to ensure Van Houwelingen's global shrinkage factor (S_{VH}) is close to 1. A value of $S_{VH} >= 0.90$ is generally recommended, which reflects a small amount of overfitting during model development.

[1] 775

Source: Article Notebook

We see that 775 participants are required to meet criterion 1.

3.2.4 Step 4: Criterion 2

Calculate the shrinkage factor (S_{VH}) required to ensure a small absolute difference of <=0.05 in the developed model's apparent and adjusted Nagelkerke's R^2 . Then derive the required sample size conditional on this value of S_{VH} .

[1] 478

Source: Article Notebook

We see that 478 participants are required to meet criterion 2.

3.2.5 Step 5: Criterion 3

Calculate the sample size required to ensure a precise estimate of the overall risk in the population. The suggested absolute margin of error is ≤ 0.05 .

[1] 359

Source: Article Notebook

We see that 359 participants are required to meet criterion 3.

3.2.6 Step 6: Final sample size

The required minimum sample size is the maximum value from steps 3 to 5, to ensure that each of criteria 1 to 3 are met.

[1] 775

Source: Article Notebook

The final estimate of minimum sample size is 775, therefore our data is sufficient for model development with at most 20 parameters.

3.3 Outcomes and predictors

3.3.1 6a. Define the outcome that is predicted by the model, including how and when assessed

The outcome of interest is linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia, defined as (i) a platelet count of $< 112.5 \times 10^9$ cells/L (75% of the lower limit of normal) for patients with a baseline platelet count in the normal range; (ii) A reduction in platelet count of 25% from the baseline value for patients with a baseline platelet count of < 150 x 10⁹ cells/L [9]; [5]; [10].

Normal platelet count is defined as 150-450 x 10⁹ cells/L. Baseline platelet count is defined as the last recorded PLT value before the start of linezolid therapy. Participants are considered to have met the outcome if they have a platelet count that meets the above criteria at any time during linezolid therapy or within 5 days after the end of therapy.

Warning

Thrombocytopenia may occur within a few days after stopping LZD, when the drug hasn't been completely eliminated. However, it is unknown exactly how long after stopping LZD can a TP event still be attributed to LZD use. The value of 5 days has been chosen almost arbitrarily.

Our rationale is that after 5 days (120 hrs), LZD is guaranteed to be completely eliminated in all patients, as the longest $t_{1/2}$ is 8.3 ± 2.4 hrs in end-stage renal disease patients, +3 SD would be ~16 hrs, so 120 hrs is >7 half-lives, therefore in patients with the worst clearance, 99% of them would have 99% of the drug eliminated from their systems. Furthermore, trough LZD concentration (C_{min}) has previously been identified as a predictor of LI-TP development, and LI-TP itself is mostly reversible after discontinuation, so we would argue that any TP events that occur after LZD has been eliminated from the system would not be related to LZD use.

3.3.2	6b.	Define all predictors	used in	developing	or	validating	the	model,	including	how
	and	when measured								

- 3.4 Data preparation
- 3.4.1 7a. Describe how the data were prepared for analysis, including any cleaning, harmonisation, linkage, and quality checks
- 3.5 Data analysis
- 3.6 Sensitivity analysis
- 4 Results
- 4.1 Participants and datasets
- 4.2 Risk of bias
- 4.3 Model development and specification
- 4.4 Model performance
- 4.5 Model updating
- 4.6 Sensitivity analysis
- 5 Discussion
- 5.1 Interpretation
- 5.2 Implications
- 6 Other information
- **6.1 Supplementary information**
- 6.2 Funding
- 6.3 References
- 1. Cazavet J, Bounes FV, Ruiz S, Seguin T, Crognier L, Rouget A, et al. Risk factor analysis for linezolid-associated thrombocytopenia in critically ill patients. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis

- 2. Liu Y, Liu T, Wei G, Yan P, Fang X, Xie L. Analysis of risk factors and establishment of risk prediction model for linezolid-associated thrombocytopenia. Medical Journal of Chinese People's Liberation Army [Internet]. 2021;46. Available from: https://d.wanfangdata.com.cn/periodical/jfjyxzz202108006
- 3. Duan L, Zhou Q, Feng Z, Zhu C, Cai Y, Wang S, et al. A Regression Model to Predict Linezolid Induced Thrombocytopenia in Neonatal Sepsis Patients: A Ten-Year Retrospective Cohort Study. Front Pharmacol [Internet]. 2022;13:710099. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35185555
- 4. Qin Y, Chen Z, Gao S, Pan MK, Li YX, Lv ZQ, et al. Development and Validation of a Risk Prediction Model of Linezolid-induced Thrombocytopenia in Elderly Patients [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Jun. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-582799/v1
- 5. Xu J, Lu J, Yuan Y, Duan L, Shi L, Chen F, et al. Establishment and validation of a risk prediction model incorporating concentrations of linezolid and its metabolite PNU142300 for linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia. The Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2023;78:1974–81.
- 6. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Feinstein AR, Holford TR. Importance of events per independent variable in proportional hazards regression analysis II. Accuracy and precision of regression estimates. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology [Internet]. 1995;48:1503–10. Available from: https://www.jclinepi.com/article/0895-4356(95)00048-8/abstract
- 7. Riley RD, Snell KI, Ensor J, Burke DL, Harrell Jr FE, Moons KG, et al. Minimum sample size for developing a multivariable prediction model: PART II binary and time-to-event outcomes. Statistics in Medicine [Internet]. 2019;38:1276–96. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/sim.7992
- 8. Zhao X, Peng Q, Hu D, Li W, Ji Q, Dong Q, et al. Prediction of risk factors for linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia based on neural network model. Frontiers in Pharmacology [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2024 Feb 27];15. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/pharmacology/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1292828
- 9. Zyvox prescribing information [Internet]. Available from: https://labeling.pfizer.com/showlabeling.aspx?id=649
- 10. Kawasuji H, Tsuji Y, Ogami C, Kimoto K, Ueno A, Miyajima Y, et al. Proposal of initial and maintenance dosing regimens with linezolid for renal impairment patients. BMC Pharmacology and Toxicology [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2024 Feb 26];22:13. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40360-021-00479-w