

# **CloudChain: implementation of a Blockchain-based Flight Data Recorder for Cloud Accountability**

Paola Persico

*paola.persico@studio.unibo.it*

Magistrale in Informatica  
2020/2021

# Introduction

---


- ❑ **Cloud computing** → computing resources as services
  
- ❑ **Problems:**
  - ❑ SLA violations
  - ❑ Security violations
  - ❑ Data corruption
  - ❑ Data leakage
  
- ❑ **Goal: **accountability****
  - ❑ completeness
  - ❑ accuracy
  - ❑ third-party verifiability

# Introduction

---


- ❑ Solution:
  1. **blockchain-based event logging**
    - a. tamper-proof
    - b. pseudo-anonymous
  2. **smart contract**
    - a. SLA verifier
    - b. accessible by every involved entity
    - c. automatic compensation
- ❑ Case study: **cloud storage**
  - ❑ File upload
  - ❑ File delete
  - ❑ File read

# Protocol design: Upload



- ❑ File encryption before upload
- ❑ File digest computation and storage after upload
- ❑ File deletion if digest NACK
- ❖ Possible SLA violation
  - file is not deleted after digest NACK


# Protocol design: Delete



- ❖ Possible SLA violation
  - file is not deleted after delete request

# Protocol design: Read


## "READ" (found) OPERATION



❑ URL content can be verified by an arbitrator

❖ Possible SLA violation  
➤ file is corrupted


## "READ" (missing) OPERATION



❖ Possible SLA violation  
➤ file is not present but the user never asked for deletion

# Implementation

## ❑ Architecture



❑ Framework → Truffle v.5.4.6



# Implementation: Blockchain

---

- ❑ **Permissioned** blockchain
- ❑ Proof-of-Authority consensus schemes
- ❑ **ConsenSys Quorum**
  - ❑ enterprise blockchain platform built on Quorum
  - ❑ Docker support
  - ❑ DLT
    - a. **Hyperledger Besu** → IBFT2.0, QBFT
    - b. **GoQuorum** → IBFT, QBFT, RAFT
- ❑ 8 nodes
  - ❑ 3 lightweight nodes
  - ❑ 4 validators
  - ❑ 1 RPC node
- ❑ HTTP + WebSockets





# Implementation: Smart Contract

- ❑ Solidity v.0.8.0
- ❑ Interface to interact with Oracle contract
- ❑ Multiple users → *Factory* design pattern

```
contract Factory{
    mapping ( address => address ) private children; //from user to contract
    address private cloud;

    modifier OnlyCloud {require (msg.sender == cloud, "OnlyCloud"); _;}
    modifier Exists (address user) { require (children[user] != address(0), "Exists"); _;}

    event ChildCreated(address childAddress, address _user);

    constructor(){
        cloud = msg.sender;
    }

    function createChild(address _user, uint _price, uint _validityDuration,
        uint lostFileCredits, uint undeletedFileCredits) external OnlyCloud{
        CloudSLA child = new CloudSLA(msg.sender, _user, _price, _validityDuration,
            lostFileCredits, undeletedFileCredits);
        children[_user] = address(child);
        emit ChildCreated(address(child), _user);
    }

    function getSmartContractAddress(address user) external view Exists(user) returns(address){
        return children[user];
    }
}
```



# Implementation: Smart Contract

```
contract CloudSLA {
    address private oracle = 0xc0ED63E3A70BfCB003452B1Cc083db822e1f23e1;
    address private user;
    address private cloud;

    struct Period{
        uint startTime;
        uint endTime;
    }

    enum Violation {lostFile, undeletedFile}

    struct Sla{
        bool paid;
        Period validityPeriod;
        uint credits;
    }

    enum State {defaultValue, uploadRequested, uploadRequestAck, uploadTransferAck, uploaded,
        deleteRequested, deleted, readRequested, readRequestAck, readDeny, checkRequested}

    struct File {
        bytes32 ID;           //hash of filepath
        bool onCloud;
        State[] states;
        bytes32[] digests;    //hashes of content
        string url;           //last url
    }

    mapping ( bytes32 => File ) private files;
    uint price;
    mapping (Violation => uint) violationCredits;
    uint validityDuration;
    Sla private currentSLA;
```



# Implementation: Smart Contract

## ❑ Payment

```
function Deposit() external payable OnlyUser Activatable(msg.value){
    currentSLA.paid = true;
    currentSLA.validityPeriod.startTime = block.timestamp;
    currentSLA.validityPeriod.endTime = block.timestamp + validityDuration;
    emit Paid(msg.sender, currentSLA.validityPeriod.endTime);
}

function EndSla() external OnlyUserOrCloud ValidityPeriodEnded {
    CompensateUser();
    PayCloudProvider();
    delete currentSLA;
}

function CompensateUser() internal {
    uint value = currentSLA.credits < price ? currentSLA.credits : price;
    payable(user).transfer(value);
    emit CompensatedUser(user, value);
}

function PayCloudProvider() internal{
    uint value = address(this).balance;
    payable(cloud).transfer(value);
    emit PaidCloudProvider(cloud, value);
}
```



# Implementation: Smart Contract

## ❑ SLA violation check

```
function FileHashRequest(string calldata filepath) external OnlyUser IsSLAValid{
    bytes32 i = Hash(filepath);
    require(UrlPublished(i));
    FileDigestOracle(oracle).DigestRequest(files[i].url);
    if(files[i].states[files[i].states.length - 1] != State.checkRequested)
        files[i].states.push(State.checkRequested);
}

function FileCheck(string calldata filepath) external OnlyUser IsSLAValid{
    bytes32 i = Hash(filepath);
    require(FileInBC(i) && FileState(i, State.checkRequested));
    bool intactOnCloud = (files[i].digests[files[i].digests.length - 1] ==
        FileDigestOracle(oracle).DigestRetrieve(files[i].url));
    string memory res = "No SLA violations.";

    if(!files[i].onCloud && intactOnCloud) {
        res = "Cloud should have deleted the file but it did not.";
        currentSLA.credits = currentSLA.credits + violationCredits[Violation.undeletedFile];
    } else if (files[i].onCloud && !intactOnCloud){
        res = "File has been corrupted.";
        currentSLA.credits = currentSLA.credits + violationCredits[Violation.lostFile];
    }
    //restore previous state
    files[i].states.push(files[i].states[files[i].states.length - 2]);
    emit FileChecked(msg.sender, filepath, res);
}
```





# Implementation: Smart Contract

## ❑ SLA violation check

```
function ReadRequestDeny(string calldata filepath) external OnlyCloud IsSLAValid{
    bytes32 i = Hash(filepath);
    require(FileState(i, State.readRequested));
    files[i].states.push(State.readDeny);
    emit ReadRequestDenied(msg.sender, filepath, LostFileCheck(i));
}

function LostFileCheck(bytes32 ID) internal returns(bool){
    bool lostFile = !OperationAfterUpload(ID, State.deleteRequested);
    if(lostFile){
        currentSLA.credits = currentSLA.credits + violationCredits[Violation.lostFile];
    }
    return(lostFile);
}
```



# Implementation: Server

---

- ❑ **NodeJS Express**


- ❑ User authentication → *Auth0*

- ❑ exception: blockchain-published url

- ❑ Smart contract interaction

- ❑ transactions → *TruffleContract*

- ❑ event subscriptions → *Web3*



**WebsocketProvider**

- ❑ Contracts instances saved for later usage

- ❑ Scheduling of service termination → *node-schedule*



# Implementation: Oracle

## ❑ Server

- ❑ NodeJS Express
- ❑ Web3 + TruffleContract
- ❑ *Fetch API* to retrieve the file
- ❑ *Crypto* module to compute SHA-256 hash

## ❑ Smart Contract

```
function DigestRequest(string calldata url) external{
    bytes32 i = Hash(url);
    requests[i].ID = i;
    emit DigestRequested(msg.sender, i, url);
}

function DigestStore(string calldata url, bytes32 digest) external OnlyOracle RequestExists(url){
    bytes32 i = Hash(url);
    requests[i].digest = digest;
    emit DigestComputed(msg.sender, i, url, digest);
}

function DigestRetrieve(string calldata url) external view RequestExists(url) returns(bytes32){
    bytes32 i = Hash(url);
    return requests[i].digest;
}
```



# Implementation: Client

---

- ❑ Smart contract interaction
    - ❑ transactions → *TruffleContract* Metamask HttpProvider
    - ❑ event subscriptions → *Web3* WebsocketProvider
  
  - ❑ contract address
    - ❑ retrieved through *Factory* call
    - ❑ stored in *sessionStorage* for later usage
  
  - ❑ AES file encryption and decryption
  - ❑ SHA-256 hashing
  - ❑ Tx feedback + contract dashboard
- window.crypto*






# Evaluation

Demo: <https://cloudchain.com/mycloud/>

Performance:



# Conclusion

---

- ❑ The proposed blockchain-based cloud storage platform can provide an automatic settlement tool for SLA-related disputes
  
- ❑ Future work:
  - ❑ **Scalability** evaluation
  - ❑ Smart contract negotiation
  - ❑ Transactions visualization

Code available at: <https://github.com/emilypeek1/cloud-chain>

# References

---

- [1] [Gabriele D'Angelo, Stefano Ferretti, and Moreno Marzolla. 2018. A Blockchain-based Flight Data Recorder for Cloud Accountability. In Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Cryptocurrencies and Blockchains for Distributed Systems \(CryBlock'18\). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 93–98.](#)
  
- [2] [E. J. Scheid, B. B. Rodrigues, L. Z. Granville and B. Stiller. 2019. Enabling Dynamic SLA Compensation Using Blockchain-based Smart Contracts. IFIP/IEEE Symposium on Integrated Network and Service Management \(IM\), 2019, pp. 53-61.](#)
  
- [3] [ConsenSys Quorum. Getting Started with ConsenSys Quorum.](#)