```
Week 6, Task 6
Consider the following too predicates on Z2: -1-
       B(w.n) = 3x[xED: w < x < n]
       A(min) = men
(a) Show hat A(u,u) \stackrel{\text{val}}{=} B(u,u) if D=\mathbb{R}.
 Let D=R and assume that A(m,n) is true for (m,n) EZ?
 This wears that man.
 Let x= w+h = R=D.
 Then \chi - m = \frac{m+n}{2} - m = \frac{h-m}{2} > 0 Since h-m > 0
                                       assumption.
 Also N-X=N-\frac{u+u}{2}=\frac{h-u}{2}>0, since N>u
 Hence x>m.
                                     (as before)
 and therefore us x.
  So we have found a real number x for which it
 holds that mexen, and therefore
       B(u,n) is true for this particular pair (w,n)EZ?
 This shows that whenever
       A(u,u) is true, also B(u,u) is true. (*)
For the oposite, assume (m.n) EZZ are such that
    B(w,n) is true.
```

This means that there is an element $x \in \mathbb{R} = D$ for which m < x < n. Best then, from the transitivity of "<" on real numbers, we get that m < n holds, i.e., A(m,n) is true.

This shows that whenever B(w,n) is true, also A(w,n) is true. (**)

From (*) and (**) and the definition of it we get A(w, h) yal B(w, h).

(E) Show that $A(w,n) \neq B(w,n)$ if D=Z.

Here it suffices to give a counter example, i.e., Concrete values for u. n. ETL for which

A(m,n) and B(m,n) have different truth volue.

Cousider ne=0 and n=1.

Then we have that A(u,h) = A(0,1) is the proposition "O<1" which is true, but

B(w, w) is the proposition

3x[xez:0<xc<1]

which is not true, since there is no integer number between o and 1.

This shows (6).

REMARK: Notice that B(w,n) = A(w,n) Since whenever B(u,n) is true, also A(u,n) is true (Heis is proper in the second part of (a)) Hence, it wouldn't be possible to find an example where B(w,n) is true, but A(w,n) is false