

Equivalence of propositions

Definition: Two abstract propositions P and Q are equivalent, notation $P \stackrel{\text{val}}{=} Q$, iff they induce the same truth-function

on any sequence containing their common variables

Property: The relation $\stackrel{\text{val}}{=}$ is an equivalence on the set of all abstract propositions.

Example

Are the following equivalent? $b \wedge \neg b$ and $c \wedge \neg c$

b	c	$\neg b$	$\neg c$	$b \wedge \neg b$	$c \wedge \neg c$
0	0				
0	1				
1	0				
1	1				

Example

Are the following equivalent? $b \wedge \neg b$ and $c \wedge \neg c$

b	c	$\neg b$	$\neg c$	$b \wedge \neg b$	$c \wedge \neg c$
0	0	1			
0	1	1			
1	0	0			
1	1	0			

Example

Are the following equivalent? $b \wedge \neg b$ and $c \wedge \neg c$

b	c	$\neg b$	$\neg c$	$b \wedge \neg b$	$c \wedge \neg c$
0	0	1	1		
0	1	1	0		
1	0	0	1		
1	1	0	0		

Example

Are the following equivalent? $b \wedge \neg b$ and $c \wedge \neg c$

b	c	$\neg b$	$\neg c$	$b \wedge \neg b$	$c \wedge \neg c$
0	0	1	1	0	
0	1	1	0	0	
1	0	0	1	0	
1	1	0	0	0	

Example

Are the following equivalent? $b \wedge \neg b$ and $c \wedge \neg c$

b	c	$\neg b$	$\neg c$	$b \wedge \neg b$	$c \wedge \neg c$
0	0	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	0	0

Example

Are the following equivalent? $b \wedge \neg b$ and $c \wedge \neg c$

b	c	$\neg b$	$\neg c$	$b \wedge \neg b$	$c \wedge \neg c$
0	0	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	0	0

Their truth values are the same, so they are equivalent

$$b \wedge \neg b \stackrel{val}{=} c \wedge \neg c$$

Tautologies and contradictions

Def. An abstract proposition P is a **tautology** iff its truth-function is constant 1.

all tautologies are equivalent

Def. An abstract proposition P is a **contradiction** iff its truth-function is constant 0.

all contradictions are equivalent

but not all contingencies!

Def. An abstract proposition P is a **contingency** iff it is neither a tautology nor a contradiction.

Abstract propositions

Definition

Basis (Case 1) T and F are abstract propositions.

Basis (Case 2) Propositional variables are abstract propositions.

Step (Case 1) If P is an abstract proposition, then so is $(\neg P)$.

Step (Case 2) If P and Q are abstract propositions, then so are $(P \wedge Q)$, $(P \vee Q)$, $(P \Rightarrow Q)$, and $(P \Leftrightarrow Q)$.

a recursive/inductive
definition

Propositional Logic

Standard Equivalences

Commutativity and Associativity

Commutativity

$$P \wedge Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \wedge P$$

$$P \vee Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \vee P$$

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \Leftrightarrow P$$

Commutativity and Associativity

Commutativity

$$P \wedge Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \wedge P$$

$$P \vee Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \vee P$$

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \Leftrightarrow P$$

$$P \Rightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{\neq} Q \Rightarrow P$$

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$Q \Rightarrow P$
0	1	1	0

Commutativity and Associativity

Commutativity

$$P \wedge Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \wedge P$$

$$P \vee Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \vee P$$

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \Leftrightarrow P$$

Associativity

$$(P \wedge Q) \wedge R \stackrel{val}{=} P \wedge (Q \wedge R)$$

$$(P \vee Q) \vee R \stackrel{val}{=} P \vee (Q \vee R)$$

$$(P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow R \stackrel{val}{=} P \Leftrightarrow (Q \Leftrightarrow R)$$

Commutativity and Associativity

Commutativity

$$P \wedge Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \wedge P$$

$$P \vee Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \vee P$$

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \Leftrightarrow P$$

Associativity

$$(P \wedge Q) \wedge R \stackrel{val}{=} P \wedge (Q \wedge R)$$

$$(P \vee Q) \vee R \stackrel{val}{=} P \vee (Q \vee R)$$

$$(P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow R \stackrel{val}{=} P \Leftrightarrow (Q \Leftrightarrow R)$$

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow R \stackrel{val}{\neq} P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R)$$

Commutativity and Associativity

Commutativity

$$P \wedge Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \wedge P$$

$$P \vee Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \vee P$$

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \Leftrightarrow P$$

Associativity

$$(P \wedge Q) \wedge R \stackrel{val}{=} P \wedge (Q \wedge R)$$

$$(P \vee Q) \vee R \stackrel{val}{=} P \vee (Q \vee R)$$

$$(P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow R \stackrel{val}{=} P \Leftrightarrow (Q \Leftrightarrow R)$$

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow R \stackrel{val}{\neq} P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R)$$

P	Q	R	$(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow R$	$P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R)$

Commutativity and Associativity

Commutativity

$$P \wedge Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \wedge P$$

$$P \vee Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \vee P$$

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \Leftrightarrow P$$

Associativity

$$(P \wedge Q) \wedge R \stackrel{val}{=} P \wedge (Q \wedge R)$$

$$(P \vee Q) \vee R \stackrel{val}{=} P \vee (Q \vee R)$$

$$(P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow R \stackrel{val}{=} P \Leftrightarrow (Q \Leftrightarrow R)$$

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow R \stackrel{val}{\neq} P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R)$$

P	Q	R	$(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow R$	$P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R)$
0	1	0		

Commutativity and Associativity

Commutativity

$$P \wedge Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \wedge P$$

$$P \vee Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \vee P$$

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} Q \Leftrightarrow P$$

Associativity

$$(P \wedge Q) \wedge R \stackrel{val}{=} P \wedge (Q \wedge R)$$

$$(P \vee Q) \vee R \stackrel{val}{=} P \vee (Q \vee R)$$

$$(P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow R \stackrel{val}{=} P \Leftrightarrow (Q \Leftrightarrow R)$$

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow R \stackrel{val}{\neq} P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R)$$

P	Q	R	$(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow R$	$P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R)$
0	1	0	0	1

Idempotence and Double Negation

Idempotence

$$P \wedge P \stackrel{val}{=} P$$

$$P \vee P \stackrel{val}{=} P$$

$$P \Rightarrow P \stackrel{val}{\neq} P$$

$$P \Leftrightarrow P \stackrel{val}{\neq} P$$

Idempotence and Double Negation

Idempotence

$$P \wedge P \stackrel{val}{=} P$$

$$P \vee P \stackrel{val}{=} P$$

$$P \Rightarrow P \stackrel{val}{\neq} P$$

$$P \Leftrightarrow P \stackrel{val}{\neq} P$$

Double negation

$$\neg\neg P \stackrel{val}{=} P$$

T and F

Inversion

$$\neg T \stackrel{val}{=} F$$

$$\neg F \stackrel{val}{=} T$$

T and F

Inversion

$$\neg T \stackrel{val}{=} F$$

$$\neg F \stackrel{val}{=} T$$

Negation

$$\neg P \stackrel{val}{=} P \Rightarrow F$$

T and F

Inversion

$$\neg T \stackrel{val}{=} F$$

$$\neg F \stackrel{val}{=} T$$

Negation

$$\neg P \stackrel{val}{=} P \Rightarrow F$$

Contradiction

$$P \wedge \neg P \stackrel{val}{=} F$$

T and F

Inversion

$$\neg T \stackrel{val}{=} F$$

$$\neg F \stackrel{val}{=} T$$

Negation

$$\neg P \stackrel{val}{=} P \Rightarrow F$$

Contradiction

$$P \wedge \neg P \stackrel{val}{=} F$$

Excluded Middle

$$P \vee \neg P \stackrel{val}{=} T$$

T and F

Inversion

$$\neg T \stackrel{val}{=} F$$

$$\neg F \stackrel{val}{=} T$$

Negation

$$\neg P \stackrel{val}{=} P \Rightarrow F$$

Contradiction

$$P \wedge \neg P \stackrel{val}{=} F$$

Excluded Middle

$$P \vee \neg P \stackrel{val}{=} T$$

T/F - elimination

$$P \wedge T \stackrel{val}{=} P$$

$$P \wedge F \stackrel{val}{=} F$$

$$P \vee T \stackrel{val}{=} T$$

$$P \vee F \stackrel{val}{=} P$$

T and F

Inversion

$$\neg T \stackrel{val}{=} F$$

$$\neg F \stackrel{val}{=} T$$

Negation

$$\neg P \stackrel{val}{=} P \Rightarrow F$$

Contradiction

$$P \wedge \neg P \stackrel{val}{=} F$$

Excluded Middle

$$P \vee \neg P \stackrel{val}{=} T$$

T/F - elimination

$$P \wedge T \stackrel{val}{=} P$$

$$P \wedge F \stackrel{val}{=} F$$

$$P \vee T \stackrel{val}{=} T$$

$$P \vee F \stackrel{val}{=} P$$

Distributivity, De Morgan

Distributivity

$$P \wedge (Q \vee R) \stackrel{val}{=} (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$$

$$P \vee (Q \wedge R) \stackrel{val}{=} (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$$

Distributivity, De Morgan

Distributivity

$$P \wedge (Q \vee R) \stackrel{val}{=} (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$$

$$P \vee (Q \wedge R) \stackrel{val}{=} (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$$



De Morgan

$$\neg(P \wedge Q) \stackrel{val}{=} \neg P \vee \neg Q$$

$$\neg(P \vee Q) \stackrel{val}{=} \neg P \wedge \neg Q$$

Implication and Contraposition

Implication

$$P \Rightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} \neg P \vee Q$$

$$P \vee Q \stackrel{val}{=} \neg P \Rightarrow Q$$

Implication and Contraposition

Implication

$$P \Rightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} \neg P \vee Q$$

$$P \vee Q \stackrel{val}{=} \neg P \Rightarrow Q$$

Contraposition

$$P \Rightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} \neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$$

Implication and Contraposition

Implication

$$P \Rightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} \neg P \vee Q$$

$$P \vee Q \stackrel{val}{=} \neg P \Rightarrow Q$$

Contraposition

$$P \Rightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} \neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$$

$$P \Rightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{\neq} \neg P \Rightarrow \neg Q$$

common
mistake!

Bi-implication and Self-equivalence

Bi-implication

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} (P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow P)$$

Bi-implication and Self-equivalence

Bi-implication

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} (P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow P)$$

Self-equivalence

$$P \Leftrightarrow P \stackrel{val}{=}$$

Bi-implication and Self-equivalence

Bi-implication

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q \stackrel{val}{=} (P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow P)$$

Self-equivalence

$$P \Leftrightarrow P \stackrel{val}{=} T$$

Calculating with equivalent propositions (the use of standard equivalences)

Recall...

Definition: Two abstract propositions P and Q are equivalent, notation $P \stackrel{\text{val}}{=} Q$, iff they induce the same truth-function

on any sequence containing their common variables

Property: The relation $\stackrel{\text{val}}{=}$ is an equivalence on the set of all abstract propositions.

Substitution

meta rule

Simple

$$\frac{\phi \stackrel{val}{=} \psi}{\phi[\xi/P] \stackrel{val}{=} \psi[\xi/P]}$$

Sequential

$$\frac{\phi \stackrel{val}{=} \psi}{\phi[\xi/P][\eta/Q] \stackrel{val}{=} \psi[\xi/P][\eta/Q]}$$

Simultaneous

$$\frac{\phi \stackrel{val}{=} \psi}{\phi[\xi/P, \eta/Q] \stackrel{val}{=} \psi[\xi/P, \eta/Q]}$$

EVERY
occurrence of P
is substituted!

The rule of Leibniz

Leibniz

$$\phi \stackrel{val}{=} \psi$$

$$C[\phi] \stackrel{val}{=} C[\psi]$$

meta rule

formula that has
 ϕ as a sub formula

single
occurrence is
replaced!