

# Plant Seedlings Classification

Dmitri Jakovlev, Julia Kamaletdinova, and Georgy Shevlyakov

Peter the Great Saint-Petersburg Polytechnic University, Department of Applied Mathematics, Russia

**Abstract.** The abstract should briefly summarize the contents of the paper in 150–250 words.

**Keywords:** First keyword · Second keyword · Another keyword.

## 1 Introduction

The demand for agricultural products is increasing day by day, as the population of the Earth is growing. Even though people are working on plant classification algorithms, approaches are still not as robust as desired. A significant part of work has still been done by people. The question arises of the efficiency with which human resources are used. We will use exhaustible natural resources wisely and increase harvests if we will automatise quality assurance, which objectives are to detect and distinguish weeds among the variety of crop seedlings.

All this naturally leads to idea of automation of the classification process with help of machine learning algorithms. From recent experience, neural networks are well suited for image processing, but we have to pay for it with computational costs. On the other hand, we could use less costly algorithms, but they require finer tuning to achieve a comparable result.

The goal is to implement segmentation and classification of a specific type of data set for low time and computational complexity. In this paper we will research binary classifiers capabilities on the dataset [1] consisting of images of 12 species and containing the most common weed species in Danish agriculture.

## 2 Plant Seedlings Classification

### 2.1 Data

The dataset is a part of the database have been recorded at Aarhus University Flakkebjerg Research station in a collaboration between University of Southern Denmark and Aarhus University. Images are available to researches at <https://vision.eng.au.dk/plant-seedlings-dataset/>. The specific of the dataset is that recorded plants are in different growth stages since detecting weed in it's early stage is the thing makes the task problematic.

The dataset contains 960 unique plant images of 12 species. The sizes of plant classes are not balanced among themselves - from 221 to 654 labeled samples

of each class. Original images are cropped, but have different resolutions from 50x50px to 2000x2000px. Also, images have a different background - some of them on the ground, other on the marked paper.



**Fig. 1.** Data overview

## 2.2 Data preprocessing

### 2.3 Feature selection?

Features of the images define their content. We recognise the information images provide us with taking into account a great number of features. Then we answer what do we see exactly. The same process can be projected on image classification task: image features let the classifier propose the output decision. Another advantage of the approach is that it reduces feature space for a machine learning algorithm. We often need only a part of the information image is carrying, hence we don't need to process and interpret all the pixels, what can lead to extra computational expences.

Selecting features is a complicated and convoluted research area itself, the assertion if supported by the variety of feature types and the need of presenting essential properties on the equal basis with the previous assertion.

As dicucussed before, we need to define the set of features describing the dataset in the best way. Supposed features must meet the following criterion:

- The feature space should be low-dimensional

- The features should not be correlated or be correlated as less as possible
- Selected features should represent the content of an image as fully as possible

We are going to group selected features and define them.

## **2.4 Color features**

## **2.5 Shape features**

**Perimeter**

**Total area**

**Maximal contour area**

**Number of bounding contours**

**Minimum bounding rectangle**

**Circularity**

## **2.6 Classification**

## **3 Results**

## **4 Discussion**

## **5 Conclusion**

## **6 Acknowledgments?**

## **References**

1. Giselsson, T.M., Jørgensen, R.N., Jensen, P.K., Dyrmann, M., Midtiby, H.S.: A public image database for benchmark of plant seedling classification algorithms (2017)