

Plant Seedlings Classification

Dmitri Jakovlev, Julia Kamaletdinova, and Georgy Shevlyakov

Peter the Great Saint-Petersburg Polytechnic University, Department of Applied Mathematics, Russia

Abstract. The abstract should briefly summarize the contents of the paper in 150–250 words.

Keywords: First keyword · Second keyword · Another keyword.

1 Introduction

The demand for agricultural products is increasing day by day, as the population of the Earth is growing. Even though people are working on plant classification algorithms, approaches are still not as robust as desired. A significant part of work has still been done by people. The question arises of the efficiency with which human resources are used. We will use exhaustible natural resources wisely and increase harvests if we will automatise quality assurance, which objectives are to detect and distinguish weeds among the variety of crop seedlings.

All this naturally leads to idea of automation of the classification process with help of machine learning algorithms. From recent experience, neural networks are well suited for image processing, but we have to pay for it with computational costs. On the other hand, we could use less costly algorithms, but they require finer tuning to achieve a comparable result.

The goal is to implement segmentation and classification of a specific type of data set for low time and computational complexity. In this paper we will research binary classifiers capabilities on the dataset [1] consisting of images of 12 species and containing the most common weed species in Danish agriculture.

2 Plant Seedlings Classification

2.1 Data

The dataset is a part of the database have been recorded at Aarhus University Flakkebjerg Research station in a collaboration between University of Southern Denmark and Aarhus University. Images are available to researches at <https://vision.eng.au.dk/plant-seedlings-dataset/>. The specific of the dataset is that recorded plants are in different growth stages since detecting weed in it's early stage is the thing makes the task problematic.



Fig. 1. Data overview

2.2 Data preprocessing

2.3 Feature selection?

2.4 Classification

3 Results

4 Discussion

5 Conclusion

6 Acknowledgments?

References

1. Giselsson, T.M., Jørgensen, R.N., Jensen, P.K., Dyrmann, M., Midtiby, H.S.: A public image database for benchmark of plant seedling classification algorithms (2017)