Use of SCN features: No

Max learning objects: Maximum objects/class Strategy N° 3

Actual Values

NL 2020 Selected Samples prediction using NL 2020 training set, Learning with selected samples classes with no low regional training instances, no extra training categories, No Anthoathecata, Calanoida, Copepoda, Zooplankton classes in learning set


Classification Report Matrix max available learning objects per class

recall f1-score

precision

							Confu	sion Ma ⁻	trix – In _l	percent (of Actual	Value							ma	x available	learning ol	ojects per clá	ISS
																				precision	recall	f1-score	
Temora spp.	60%	10%	5%	20%		<1%	<1%		3%			<1%			<1%	<1%		2%	Temora spp. (n=18103-train=5148)	0.70	0.60	0.65	
Acartia spp.	25%	56%	2%	12%		<1%			3%			<1%			<1%	<1%		<1%	Acartia spp. (n=13302-train=5448)	0.74	0.56	0.64	
Evadne spp.	<1%	<1%	94%	<1%		3%		<1%	<1%	<1%						<1%		<1%	Evadne spp. (n=5228-train=2845)	0.80	0.94	0.86	
Pseudocalanus spp.	31%	16%	<1%	51%		<1%			<1%			<1%	<1%		<1%	<1%		<1%	Pseudocalanus spp. (n=3053-train=4552)	0.23	0.51	0.31	1.0
Centropages spp.	25%	64%		4%	6%		<1%					<1%											
Podon/Pleopsis spp.	24%	<1%	14%	5%		9%			<1%				<1%			2%		45%	Centropages spp. (n=330-train=40)	1.00	0.06	0.11	
Eurytemora spp.	35%	13%	10%	15%		<1%			20%			3%				<1%		4%	Podon/Pleopsis spp. (n=253-train=201)	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.0
Gastropoda (larvae/Limacina)			8%			32%		29%		14%								17%	Eurytemora spp. (n=178-train=88)	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Oithona spp.		5%	4%						88%			1%			1%			1%	Gastropoda (larvae/Limacina) (n=112-train=110)	0.56	0.29	0.38	
Bivalvia (larvae)								9%		91%									Oithona spp. (n=98-train=1409)	0.08	0.88	0.15	0.6
Oikopleura spp.	1%	1%									70%		1%	1%	24%				Bivalvia (larvae) (n=92-train=71)	0.78	0.91	0.84	
Harpacticoida- epibenthic	10%	14%		32%					6%			34%						4%					
																			Oikopleura spp. (n=70-train=761)	0.96	0.70	0.81	
Calanus spp.				16%									84%						Harnacticoida- enibenthic	0.00		2.45	0.4
Chaetognatha				7%							13%			80%					Harpacticoida- epibenthic (n=50-train=136)	0.09	0.34	0.15	0
Fritillaria spp.									29%						71%				Calanus spp. (n=25-train=213)	0.88	0.84	0.86	
Echinodermata (larvae)			20%			20%			40%						20%				Chaetognatha (n=15-train=67)	0.92	0.80	0.86	
Obelia spp. (medusa)																	100%		Fritillaria spp. (n=7-train=3447)	0.05	0.71	0.09	0.2
Polychaeta (larvae)				100%															Echinodermata (larvae) (n=5-train=276)	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Temora S	Acarria	Sop.	Spp. Selidoc	Centropos	Podon/A	Cleopsis Spp.	Castropo	Oithona .	Biralvia Sep.	Oikoplel (larvae)	Harpach	Colonus Colonus	Chaetog,	Pritillarie	Sp. Sp.	Obelia Spl.	Polychaeta (lane	Obelia spp. (medusa) (n=1-train=43)	1.00	1.00	1.00	
					45 Sp.	<i>\$</i> 20.		<i>Pp</i> .	Oithona Oda (lande/lina)		'dej	<i>7</i> 0.	Calanus Coida Coibenth		*	, D	" (lande)	(medusa)	Polychaeta (larvae) (n=1-train=452)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
																				0.40	0.54	0.42	
									Predicte	u values									macro avg	0.49	0.51	0.43	
																			weighted avg	0.69	0.61	0.63	

Predictions of discarded taxa from training



Predicted

Relative Abundance of Top Taxonomic Instances per Sample Val Pred 1.0 -0.8 -Relative Abundance 0.4 0.2 -0.0 S25 S21 S22 S23 S24 S26 S27 S28 S29 S30 Sample Short ID

