



# Introdução ao RooStat

Sandro Fonseca de Souza

21/09/2021

# Referência:

- RooStats twiki page: ([link](#))

# Sumário

- Definição do RooStats
- Aplicativos de estatística e tecnologia do RooStats





# Definição do RooStat

- Projetado para fornecer ferramentas estatísticas avançadas para experimentos do LHC
  - fatorar a descrição do modelo a partir de cálculos estatísticos
  - implementar diferentes técnicas estatísticas
- suporte frequentista, bayesiano ou baseado em probabilidade
- fornecer utilitários para combinação de resultados
- contribuição conjunta entre ATLAS, CMS, RooFit e ROOT

# Aplicações estatísticas

- Estimativa pontual (coberta pelo RooFit)
- Estimativa de intervalos confiança (limites superiores e inferiores)
- Testes de hipótese (exemplos de significância de uma descoberta)






# Aplicações estatísticas

- Construído sobre o RooFit
  - fornece descrição de modelo genérico (baseado em histogramas) usando modelos não combinados ou parametrizados (*unbinned*) ou combinados (*binned*)
  - possibilita ferramentas para facilitar ferramentas de criação de modelos para combinação de modelos
- Utilizar as principais bibliotecas do ROOT
  - minimização (Minuit), integração numérica e etc.
  - ferramentas adicionais fornecidas quando necessário (por exemplo, MC [Markov-Chain](#))


# Tecnologia do RooStats

## Construindo modelos com RooFit



O HistFactory é uma ferramenta para construir funções parametrizadas de densidade de probabilidade (pdfs) na estrutura RooFit/RooStats com base em histogramas ROOT simples e organizados em um arquivo XML

## Modelos com o [HistFactory](#)






# Terminologia

- **observable** (observável) - algo que você mede em um experimento, por exemplo, o momento de uma partícula. Frequentemente, uma função de quantidades medidas, por exemplo, uma massa invariante de várias partículas
- **global observable or auxiliary observable** (observável global ou observável auxiliar) - um observável de outra medição, por exemplo, a luminosidade integrada
- **model** (modelo) - um conjunto de funções de densidade de probabilidade (PDFs), que descreve as distribuições dos observáveis ou funções dos observáveis
- **model parameter** (parâmetro do modelo) - qualquer variável em sua expressão da PDF, que não seja observável
- **parameter of interest (POI)** (parâmetro de interesse) - um parâmetro do modelo que você estuda, por exemplo, uma seção transversal de seu processo de sinal
- **nuisance parameter** (parâmetro nuisance) - todos os outros parâmetros do modelo, que não são o seu parâmetro de interesse
- **data or dataset** (dados ou conjunto de dados) - um conjunto de valores observáveis, medidos em um experimento ou simulados
- **likelihood** (verossimilhança) - um modelo calculado para um determinado conjunto de dados
- **hypothesis** (hipótese) - um modelo específico, com observáveis especificados, POI, parâmetros nuisance e PDFs anteriores (no caso de inferência bayesiana)
- **prior PDF** - uma densidade de probabilidade para um parâmetro observável ou de modelo, que é conhecido a priori, ou seja, antes de uma medição ser considerada. Este é um conceito exclusivamente bayesiano. Prior não tem significado ou lugar em um tipo de inferência frequentista
- **Bayesian** (Bayesiana) - um tipo de inferência estatística que geralmente produz probabilidade da hipótese frente aos dados. Requer uma prévia
- **frequentist** (frequentista) - um tipo de inferência estatística que geralmente produz probabilidade dos dados frente à hipótese

# Exemplo de Análise


Classe RooWorkspace

Simplifique o empacotamento e o compartilhamento de modelos





Pacote HistFactory

Construindo modelos a partir de modelos Monte Carlo



Kit de ferramentas RooStats


Testes estatísticos baseados em verossimilhança de modelos do RooFit



Observação do bóson de higgs 9

# Design do RooStat


Interface C ++ e mapeamento de classes para conceitos estatísticos reais




# Exemplos

1.

End



# backup slides



# The Philosophy behind the design the RooFit and RooStat



## RooFit and HistFactory

1. Modularity, Generality and Flexibility
2. Construction the likelihood function  $L(x|p)$

*Complete description of likelihood model, persistable in ROOT file (RooFit pdf function) Allows full introspection and a-posteriori editing*

## RooStats


Statistical tests on parameter of interest  $p$

1. Procedure can be Bayesian, Frequentist or Hybrid, but always based on  $L(x|p)$
2. Both steps (construction of the Likelihood func. and statistical test) are conceptually separated and implementation are independent.

## ROOWorkspace



# RooWorkspace

- Container for all model objects
  - PDF and their parameters uncertainty and their shapes
  - (multiple) data sets
- Maintain complete description of the model
  - can be saved in a ROOT file
  - All information (likelihood function) is available for further analysis




Wouter Verkerke, NIKHEF

# RooWorkspace





```
// Resurrect model and data
TFile f("model.root") ;
RooWorkspace* w = f.Get("w") ;
RooAbsPdf* model = w->pdf("sum") ;
RooAbsData* data = w->data("xxx") ;
```

```
// Use model and data
model->fitTo(*data) ;
RooPlot* frame =
    w->var("dt")->frame() ;
data->plotOn(frame) ;
model->plotOn(frame) ;
```



# Exemplo de um modelo de RooWorkspace

Modelo de probabilidade que descreve a distribuição de massa invariante ZZ incluindo todas as incertezas sistemáticas possíveis



# The Benefits of Modularity



RooFit and HistFactory

ROOWorkspace

"Simple fit"  
ML Fit with HESSE  
or MINOS)

RooStats using  
Frequentist with  
toys

RooStats using  
Frequentist  
asymptotic


RooStats using Bayesian  
Markov-Chain Monte Carlo

# Métodos do RooStats

## • Interval Calculators


### • ProfileLikelihoodCalculator

- interval estimation using the asymptotic properties of the likelihood function (Minos)




### • BayesianCalculator

- interval estimation based on Bayes theorem using adaptive numerical integration



### • MCMCCalculator

- Bayesian calculator using Markov-Chain Monte Carlo



### • HypoTestInverter

- frequentist interval calculation using hypothesis test
- can compute CLs limits or Feldman-Cousins interval

# Métodos do RooStats (2)

## • Hypothesis Test Calculators

### • FrequentistCalculator



- frequentist hypothesis tests using pseudo-experiments to determine the test statistics distributions (parametric bootstrap)

### • HybridCalculator

- same as frequentist calculator by using a bayesian treatment (marginalization) of systematic uncertainties

### • AsymptoticCalculator

- hypothesis tests using asymptotic likelihood formulae
  - Cowan, Cranmer, Gross, Vitells, arXiv:1007.1727,EPJC 71 (2011) 1-1



# Using RooStats Calculators



- All RooStats calculators require same input:
  - model (described by the `ModelConfig` class which is linked to a workspace)
  - observed data
- Result is a `ConfidenceInterval` object or a `HypoTestResult` object
- Classes for plotting the result are also provided

```
// create the class using data and model
ProfileLikelihoodCalculator plc(data, model);

// set the confidence level
plc.SetConfidenceLevel(0.683);

// compute the interval
LikelihoodInterval* interval = plc.GetInterval();


// plot the interval
LikelihoodIntervalPlot plot(interval);
plot.Draw();
```



# RooStats Hypothesis Tests


- Define null and alternate model. For discovery test
  - null: Background only model ( $\mu = 0$ )
  - alternate: Signal + Background model (e.g.  $\mu = 1$ )
- Select test statistics to use
  - e.g profile likelihood ratio  
(preferred due to known asymptotic formulae)
- Select type of calculator
  - asymptotic or based on toys
  - treatment of nuisance parameters
- Result is p-value for null ( $p_0$ ) and alternate models ( $p_{s+b}$ )

$$\lambda(\mu) = \frac{L(x|\mu, \hat{\nu})}{L(x|\hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu})}$$



# Exemplo de significância de descoberta



- Performing the tests for different mass hypotheses (*i.e.* different signal models):



Expected significance is obtained from median of alternate (S+B) model



# Inversão do teste de hipótese

- Perform an hypothesis test at each value of the parameter
- Interval can be derived by inverting the p-value curve, function of the parameter of interest ( $\mu$ )
  - value of  $\mu$  which has p-value  $\alpha$  (e.g. 0.05), is the upper limit of  $1-\alpha$  confidence interval (e.g. 95%)
    - for upper limits use  $CL_s = CL_{s+b}/CL_b$



# RooStats Hypo Test Inversion

- Can use Frequentist, Hybrid or Asymptotic calculator
- Compute observed, expected limits and bands



# Bayesian Analysis in RooStats

- **RooStats** provides classes for
    - marginalize posterior and estimate credible interval

$$P(\mu|x) = \frac{\int L(x|\mu, \nu) \Pi(\mu, \nu) d\nu}{\underbrace{\iint L(x|\mu, \nu) \Pi(\mu, \nu) d\mu d\nu}_{\text{normalisation term}}}$$

likelihood function      prior probability      nuisance parameters  
 posterior probability      marginalization


## Bayesian Theorem

- support for different integration algorithms:
    - adaptive (numerical)
    - MC integration
    - Markov-Chain
      - can work with models with many parameters (e.g few hundreds)

Any prior can be given (up to know uniform prior are normally used)


Working to include Reference priors (least informative and objective)

  - see *L. Demortier, S. Jain, H. B. Prosper, Phys. Rev. D82, 034002, 2010*




# But fundamental techniques can be complicated to execute...

- Example of confidence interval calculation with Neyman construction
  - Need to construct ‘confidence belt’ using toy MC. Intersection observed data with belt defined interval in POI with guaranteed coverage



# All experimental results *start* with the formulation of a model

- Examples of HEP physics models being tested
  - **SM with  $m(\text{top})=172,173,174 \text{ GeV}$ :** Measurement top quark mass
  - **SM with/without Higgs boson:** Discovery of Higgs boson
  - **SM with composite fermions/Higgs:** Measurement of Higgs coupling properties
- Via chain of physics simulation, showering MC, detector simulation and analysis software, a physics model is reduced to a statistical model
- A statistical model defines  $p(\text{data}|\text{theory})$  for all observable outcomes
  - Example of a statistical model for a counting measurement with a known background




$$P(n|s+b) = \frac{(s+b)^n}{n!} e^{-(s+b)}$$

*NB:  $b$  is a constant in this example*

**Definition: the Likelihood is  $P(\text{observed data}|\text{theory})$**

# Everything starts with the likelihood

- All fundamental statistical procedures are based on the likelihood function as ‘description of the measurement’



Frequentist statistics




Confidence interval on  $s$

Bayesian statistics



Posterior on  $s$

Maximum Likelihood (ML)



$s = x \pm y$

$$P(n|s+b) = \frac{(s+b)^n}{n!} e^{-(s+b)}$$

NB:  $b$  is a constant in this example

Examples:

$$L(N = 15|s = 0)$$

$$L(N = 15|s = 10)$$

**Definition: the Likelihood is  
P(observed data|theory)**


# Everything starts with the likelihood

Frequentist statistics

Bayesian statistics



Maximum Likelihood

$$\lambda_\mu(\vec{N}_{obs}) = \frac{L(\vec{N}|\mu)}{L(\vec{N}|\bar{\mu})} P(\mu) \propto L(x|\mu) \times \pi(\mu) \frac{d \ln L(\vec{p})}{d\vec{p}} = 0$$
$$p_i = \bar{p}_i$$




Confidence interval  
or p value

Bayesian statistics




Posterior on s or  
Bayes factors

Maximum Likelihood




$s = x \pm y$

# How is Higgs discovery different from a simples fit?




$$L(\vec{N} \mid \mu, \vec{\theta}) = \prod_i Poisson(N_i \mid f(x_i, \mu, \vec{\theta}))$$

*"inside ROOT"*




ML estimation of parameters  $\mu, \theta$  using  
MINUIT (MIGRAD, HESSE, MINOS)

$$\mu = 5.3 \pm 1.7$$




# How is Higgs discovery different from a simples fit?



$$L(\vec{N} \mid \mu, \vec{\theta}) = \prod_i \text{Poisson}(N_i \mid f(x_i, \mu, \vec{\theta}))$$

*"inside ROOT"*





ML estimation of parameters  $\mu, \theta$  using  
MINUIT (MIGRAD, HESSE, MINOS)

$$\mu = 5.3 \pm 1.7$$

Likelihood Model orders of magnitude more complicated.

- Describes
  - O(100) signal distributions
  - O(100) control sample distr.
  - O(1000) parameters representing syst. uncertainties
- Frequentist confidence interval construction and/or p-value calculation not available as 'ready-to-run' algorithm in ROOT

# How is Higgs discovery different from a simples fit?



*Higgs combination model*


**Model Building phase (formulation of  $L(x|H)$ )**

ROOT

ROOT


$$L(\vec{N} \mid \mu, \vec{\theta}) = \prod_i \text{Poisson}(N_i \mid f(x_i, \mu, \vec{\theta}))$$

*"inside ROOT"*




ML estimation of parameters  $\mu, \theta$  using  
MINUIT (MIGRAD, HESSE, MINOS)

$$\mu = 5.3 \pm 1.7$$




$$L(\vec{N}_{ZZ}, \vec{N}_{tt}, \vec{N}_{WW} \mid \mu, \vec{\theta}) = \prod \text{Poisson}(N_{ZZ}^i \mid \dots) \cdot \prod \text{Poisson}(N_{tt}^i \mid \dots) \cdot \prod \text{Poisson}(N_{WW}^i \mid \dots) \dots$$

$$\lambda_\mu(\vec{N}_{ZZ}, \vec{N}_{WW}, \vec{N}_{tt}) = \frac{L(\vec{N}_{ZZ}, \vec{N}_{WW}, \vec{N}_{tt} \mid \mu, \hat{\vec{\theta}})}{L(\vec{N}_{ZZ}, \vec{N}_{WW}, \vec{N}_{tt} \mid \hat{\mu}, \hat{\vec{\theta}})}$$




$$p(H_\mu) = \int_{\lambda_{\text{obs}}}^{\infty} f(\lambda \mid H_\mu) d\lambda = \dots$$

# How is Higgs discovery different from a simples fit?




$$L(\vec{N} \mid \mu, \vec{\theta}) = \prod_i \text{Poisson}(N_i \mid f(x_i, \mu, \vec{\theta}))$$

*"inside ROOT"*




ML estimation of parameters  $\mu, \theta$  using  
MINUIT (MIGRAD, HESSE, MINOS)


**Model Usage phase (use  $L(x|H)$  to make statement on  $H$ )**



# How is Higgs discovery different from a simples fit?



$$L(\vec{N} \mid \mu, \vec{\theta}) = \prod_{\text{inside ROOT}}$$




Design goal:

Separate **building of Likelihood model** as much as possible  
from statistical analysis **using the Likelihood model**



- More modular software design
- 'Plug-and-play with statistical techniques
- Factorizes work in collaborative effort

ML estimation of parameters  $\mu, \theta$  using  
MINUIT (MIGRAD, HESSE, MINOS)

$$\mu = 5.3 \pm 1.7$$




Higgs combination model



# The need for fundamental statistical techniques



Frequentist statistics

$$\lambda_{\mu}(\vec{N}_{obs}) = \frac{L(\vec{N}|\mu)}{L(\vec{N}|\bar{\mu})} \quad P(\mu) \propto L(x|\mu) \times \pi(\mu) \quad \frac{d \ln L(\vec{p})}{d\vec{p}} = 0$$





Confidence interval  
or p value

Bayesian statistics



Posterior on s or  
Bayes factors

Maximum Likelihood



$s = x \pm y$

# The need for fundamental statistical techniques

Frequentist statistics


Bayesian statistics

Maximum Likelihood


$$\lambda_\mu(\vec{N}_{obs}) = \frac{L(\vec{N}|\mu)}{L(\vec{N}|\bar{\mu})} P(\mu) \propto L(x|\mu) \times \pi(\mu) \frac{d \ln L(\vec{p})}{d\vec{p}} = 0$$
$$p_i = \bar{p}_i$$

No assumptions  
on normal distributions,  
or asymptotic validity  
for high statistics

Test Statistic  $q_\mu$



Confidence interval  
or p value



$$s = x \pm y$$

Posterior on s or  
Bayes factors

# But fundamental techniques can be complicated to execute...

- Example of confidence interval calculation with Neyman construction
  - Need to construct ‘confidence belt’ using toy MC. Intersection observed data with belt defined interval in POI with guaranteed coverage

