

OSPC Dynamic Scoring Model: An Open Source Model for Dynamic Revenue Estimates

April 1, 2015

Goals of the meeting

- 1 OSPC dynamic scoring model
- 2 Code is open source
- 3 Describe our approach
- 4 Learn from you
- 5 How can we benefit you?

Objectives of the model

- Produce year-by-year macro forecasts for current law and policy baselines
- Produce year-by-year dynamic revenue estimates for a wide array of tax policies
- Provide distributional analysis
 - Individuals:
 - Across age and income groups
 - Annual and lifetime incidence
 - Firms:
 - across tax treatment and production industry

State of our model

- Where we are:
 - Closed economy model specified
 - Solution in place for households and simple firms
 - Calibration in process
- What needs to be done:
 - Add more detail on supply side and government to code
 - International sector
 - Finish calibration
 - Validation/targeting!

Big questions

- Best way to incorporate an open economy?
 - Trade flows and international goods
 - Multinational firms and location of capital
- Monetary policy and inflation
- Household heterogeneity
 - Single vs. dual earners
 - Ricardian vs. non-Ricardian
- Calibration of bequests?
 - Utility weight
 - Transmission process

Overview of the Model

- Households
 - forward looking
 - Live up to 100 periods
 - endogenous labor supply and savings decisions
- Firms
 - fully dynamic
 - endogenous investment and financial policy
- Government
 - taxes, transfers, production of public and private goods, can run deficits
- Rest of world: TBD (currently closed economy)

What's unique?

- 100-period lived households (80 working periods)
- Rich population dynamics (fertility, mortality, immigration)
- Multiple treatments of bequests
- Large set of production industries
- Multiple assumptions about government budget balance
- Nonlinear solution of steady-state and transition path
- Integration of the microsimulation model for individual taxes
- Open source

Household Sector

- OLG model with 100-period-lived agents
- Realistic Demographics: Fertility, Immigration, Mortality
- Realistic Earnings Ability Calibration
- Households Leave Intentional and Unintentional Bequests

Production Sector

- Infinitely lived, representative firms for each production industry
- Firms finance investment with debt, equity, and retained earnings
- Price of capital varies across production industry

Model Dimensions

- Households:
 - 80 years of economic life
 - 7 lifetime income groups
 - 17 consumption goods
- Firms:
 - 24 production industries
 - Corporate and non-corporate sectors in most industries

Consumption Goods

| | Consumption Good Category |
|----|--|
| 1 | Food |
| 2 | Alcohol |
| 3 | Tobacco |
| 4 | Household fuels and utilities |
| 5 | Shelter |
| 6 | Furnishings |
| 7 | Appliances |
| 8 | Apparel |
| 9 | Public transportation |
| 10 | New and used cars, fees, and maintenance |
| 11 | Cash contributions and personal care (personal services) |
| 12 | Financial services |
| 13 | Reading and entertainment (recreation) |
| 14 | Household operations (nondurables) |
| 15 | Gasoline and motor oil |
| 16 | Health care |
| 17 | Education |

Production Industries

| Industry Number | NAICS Code | Industry |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| 1 | 11 | Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting |
| 2 | 211 | Oil and Gas Extraction |
| 3 | 212 and 213 | Mining and Support Activities for Mining |
| 4 | 22 | Utilities |
| 5 | 23 | Construction |
| 6 | 32411 | Petroleum Refineries |
| 7 | 336 | Transportation Equipment Manufacturing |
| 8 | 3391 | Medical Equipment and Supplies Manufacturing |
| 9 | Other codes in 31-33 | Manufacturing |
| 10 | 42 | Wholesale Trade |
| 11 | 44-45 | Retail Trade |
| 12 | 48-49 | Transportation and Warehousing |
| 13 | 51 | Information |
| 14 | 52 | Finance and Insurance |
| 15 | 53 | Real Estate and Rental and Leasing |
| 16 | 54 | Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services |
| 17 | 55 | Management of Companies and Enterprises |
| 18 | 56 | Administrative and Support |
| 19 | 61 | Educational Services |
| 20 | 62 | Health Care and Social Assistance |
| 21 | 71 | Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation |
| 22 | 72 | Accommodation and Food Services |
| 23 | 81 | Other Services (except Government Enterprise) |
| 24 | 92 | Government Enterprise |

Population Dynamics

New cohort every year.

Becomes economically active at age $E=20$.

Immigration and mortality over time.



$$\omega_{1,t+1} = \sum_{s=1}^{E+S} f_s \omega_{s,t} \quad \forall t$$

$$\omega_{s+1,t+1} = (1 + i_s - \rho_s) \omega_{s,t} \quad \forall t, 1 \leq s \leq E + S - 1$$


$$N_t \equiv \sum_{s=E}^{E+S} \omega_{s,t} \quad \forall t$$

Population Dynamics – Population Distribution

Initial and Steady State Population Distributions by Age



Summary of the Consumer's Problem



U , is a CRRA function

$\tilde{c}_{j,s,t}$ is a Stone-Geary function

$c_{i,j,s,t}$, determined by a fixed coefficient function

$X_{m,t}$, are determined by a CES function

Households – Utility Function

Utility from Consumption, Leisure and Bequests
Mortality Risk; Leisure Utility Weights Vary by Age

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_{j,s,t} &= \sum_{u=0}^{E+S-s} \beta^u \left[\prod_{v=s-1}^{s+u-1} (1 - \rho_v) \right] u(c_{j,s+u,t+u}, n_{j,s+u,t+u}, b_{j,s+u+1,t+u+1}) \\
 u(c_{j,s,t}, n_{j,s,t}, b_{j,s+1,t+1}) &= \frac{(c_{j,s,t})^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1 - \sigma} \\
 &+ e^{g_y t(1-\sigma)} \chi_s^n \left(b \left[1 - \left(\frac{n_{j,s,t}}{\tilde{l}} \right)^v \right]^{\frac{1}{v}} + k \right) \\
 &+ \rho_s \chi^b \frac{(b_{j,s+1,t+1})^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1 - \sigma}
 \end{aligned}$$

Households – Budget Constraint

Sources: Labor and Capital Income, Bequests

Uses: Consumption, Savings and Taxes

$$\sum_{i=1}^I p_{i,t} \bar{c}_{i,s} + \tilde{p}_{s,t} \tilde{c}_{j,s,t} + b_{j,s+1,t+1} + T_{j,s,t} \leq w_t e_{j,s} n_{j,s,t} + (1 + r_t) b_{j,s,t} +$$

$$b_{j,1,t} = 0$$

$$BQ_{j,t+1} = (1 + r_{t+1}) \lambda_j \left(\sum_{s=E+1}^{E+S} \rho_s \omega_{s,t} b_{j,s+1,t+1} \right) \quad \forall j, t$$


Households – Lifetime Income Groups

Seven lifetime income groups:

- Top 1%
- Top 2-10%
- Top 11-20%
- Top 21-30%
- Top 31-50%
- Top 51-75%
- Bottom 25%

Households – Earnings Abilities

Figure : Log of Earnings Abilities by Age and Type



Data: 2013 IRS data fitted

Households – Tax Structure

Households pay the following taxes:

- Income taxes on capital and labor income
- Payroll taxes on labor income
- Estate taxes on bequests
- (Potentially) a wealth tax on the stock of assets they own
- Ad valorem consumption taxes

Households – Tax Structure

$$T_{j,s,t}^I = \tau^I(\hat{a}_{j,s,t})a_{j,s,t}$$

$$\text{where } \hat{a}_{j,s,t} \equiv \frac{a_{j,s,t}}{e g_y t} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{j,s,t} \equiv (r_t b_{j,s,t} + w_t e_{j,s} n_{j,s,t})$$

$$T_{j,s,t}^P = \begin{cases} \tau^P w_t e_{j,s} n_{j,s,t} & \text{if } s < R \\ \tau^P w_t e_{j,s} n_{j,s,t} - \theta_j w_t & \text{if } s \geq R \end{cases}$$

$$T_{j,t}^{BQ} = \tau^{BQ} \frac{BQ_{j,t}}{\lambda_j \tilde{N}_t}$$

$$T_{j,s,t}^W = \tau^W(\hat{b}_{j,s,t})b_{j,s,t}, \quad \text{where } \hat{b}_{j,s,t} \equiv \frac{b_{j,s,t}}{e g_y t}$$



$$T_{j,s,t} = T_{j,s,t}^I + T_{j,s,t}^P + T_{j,t}^{BQ} + T_{j,s,t}^W - T_t^L$$

Households – Tax Structure

- These functions are fit using micro data on tax burden
- Micro data come from the OSPC microsimulation model
- We integrate the two
 - Micro output results of macro forecast
 - The macro forecast a result of tax functions
 - Tax functions estimated from micro output
 - A fixed point

Households – Income Tax

Log scale versus normal scale



Firms – Objective

Maximize Firm Value:

$$V_t = \max_{\{I_u, EL_u\}_{u=t}^{\infty}} \sum_{u=t}^{\infty} \prod_{\nu=t}^u \left(\frac{1}{1 + \theta_{\nu}} \right) \left[\left(\frac{1 - \tau_u^d}{1 - \tau_u^g} \right) DIV_u - VN_u \right] \quad (1)$$

Firms – Taxes

Firm-level taxes allow for changes to:

- Income tax rates
- Property tax rates
- Tax depreciation allowances and expensing
- Investment tax credits
- Interest deductibility
- Pre-pay and post-pay consumption tax systems

Firms – Taxes

Total income taxes on the firms are given by:

$$TE_t = \tau_t^b \left[p_t X_t - w_t E L_t - f_e p_t^K I_t - \Phi_t I_t - f_i i_t B_t - f_p \delta b K_t + \dots \right. \\ \left. f_b b p_t^K I_t - f_d \delta^\tau K_t^\tau - \tau_t^p K_t \right] + \tau_t^{ic} p_t^K I_t \quad (2)$$

Government Budget:

$$D_{t+1} + T_t^\tau = (1 + r_t)D_t + T_t^H + G_t^{subs} + G_t^{emp} + I_t^G \quad (3)$$

Stationarizing the Model

| Sources of growth | | | Not growing ^a |
|--|--|--|--------------------------|
| $e^{g_y t}$ | \tilde{N}_t | $e^{g_y t} \tilde{N}_t$ | |
| $\hat{c}_{j,s,t} \equiv \frac{\tilde{c}_{j,s,t}}{e^{g_y t}}$ | $\hat{\omega}_{s,t} \equiv \frac{\omega_{s,t}}{\tilde{N}_t}$ | $\hat{X}_t \equiv \frac{X_t}{e^{g_y t} \tilde{N}_t}$ | $n_{j,s,t}$ |
| $\hat{b}_{j,s,t} \equiv \frac{b_{j,s,t}}{e^{g_y t}}$ | $\hat{E}L_t \equiv \frac{EL_t}{\tilde{N}_t}$ | $\hat{K}_t \equiv \frac{K_t}{e^{g_y t} \tilde{N}_t}$ | r_t |
| $\hat{w}_t \equiv \frac{w_t}{e^{g_y t}}$ | | $\hat{B}Q_{j,t} \equiv \frac{BQ_{j,t}}{e^{g_y t} \tilde{N}_t}$ | |
| $\hat{y}_{j,s,t} \equiv \frac{y_{j,s,t}}{e^{g_y t}}$ | | $\hat{l}_t \equiv \frac{l_t}{e^{g_y t} \tilde{N}_t}$ | |
| $\hat{T}_{j,s,t} \equiv \frac{T_{j,s,t}}{e^{g_y t}}$ | | | |
| $\hat{p}_{s,t} \equiv \frac{\tilde{p}_{s,t}}{e^{g_y t}}$ | | | |
| $\hat{p}_{i,t} \equiv \frac{p_{i,t}}{e^{g_y t}}$ | | | |

^a The interest rate r_t is already stationary because X_t and K_t grow at the same rate. Individual labor supply, $n_{j,s,t}$, is stationary.

Steady-State: 2JS equations

Definition (Stationary steady-state equilibrium)

A non-autarkic stationary steady-state equilibrium in the overlapping generations model with S -period lived agents and heterogeneous ability $e_{j,s}$ is defined as constant allocations $\hat{n}_{j,s,t} = \bar{n}_{j,s}$, $\hat{b}_{j,s+1,t+1} = \bar{b}_{j,s+1}$, and $\hat{b}q_{j,E+S+1,t+1} = \bar{b}q_{j,E+S+1}$ and constant prices $\hat{w}_t = \bar{w}$ and $\hat{r}_t = \bar{r}$ for all j , s , and t such that the following conditions hold:

- ① households J optimize according to 2S Euler equations,
- ② firms $M \times 2$ optimize according to 2 FOCs,
- ③ markets clear according to 3 market clearing conditions, and
- ④ the population has reached its stationary steady state distribution $\bar{\omega}_s$ for all ages s .

Stationary non-steady-state equilibrium

Definition (Stationary non-steady-state equilibrium)

A non-autarkic stationary non-steady-state equilibrium in the overlapping generations model with S -period lived agents and heterogeneous ability $e_{j,s}$ is defined as allocations $n_{j,s,t}$, $\hat{b}_{j,s+1,t+1}$, and $\hat{b}q_{j,E+S+1,t+1}$ and prices \hat{w}_t and r_t for all j , s , and t such that the following conditions hold:

- ① households and firms have symmetric beliefs, $\Omega(\cdot)$, about the evolution of the distribution of savings, and those beliefs about the future distribution of savings equal the realized outcome (rational expectations),
- ② households J optimize according to 2S
- ③ firms $M \times 2$ optimize according to 2 FOCs, and
- ④ markets clear according to 3 market clearing conditions.

Calibrating population dynamics

- Initial population: Census, 2014
- Fertility rates by age: CDC 2010
- Mortality rates by age: SSA 2010

Calibrating individual subutility

Stone-Geary preferences \implies linear expenditure system

- Estimate min consumption and share parameters
- Consumer Expenditure Survey, 2012-2013

Calibrating life-cycle profiles

Need hourly earnings rates in panel data:

- Estimate wage profiles by lifetime income group
- Define lifetime income group by value of labor endowment (not income!)
- Data: PSID, 1980-2011

Calibrating economic depreciation rates

Rates vary by industry and sector

- Rates represent weighted average of economic depreciation rates
- BEA data on capital stock by asset type and industry (2012)
- IRS data on capital stock by industry and tax treatment (2012)

Calibrating inputs and outputs

Relation between production goods, consumption goods, and capital

- BEA PCE Bridge Table 2007 relates consumption and production goods
- BEA Input-Output Table 2007 relates production goods and capital by industry

Other calibration


- Firm financial policy parameters: Fed Flow of Funds
- Production function: BEA NIPA accounts, by industry
- Utility weights:
 - Disutility of labor: PSID hours worked by age
 - Utility of bequests: Estate tax return data (?)

The GitHub Repo

The open, online repository houses all model code, data, and documentation:

<https://github.com/OpenSourcePolicyCenter/dynamic>

The GitHub Repo

 This repository

Explore Gist Blog Help

jdebacker + - ⚙️ 🔖

OpenSourcePolicyCenter / **dynamic**

Unwatch 10 Star 0 Fork 2

BYU-OSPC Dynamic Tax Scoring Model - Python Based

546 commits 2 branches 0 releases 7 contributors

branch: master dynamic / +

edits slides and hh prob

jdebacker authored 12 hours ago latest commit 6355ac5db8

| | | |
|-----------------------|---|--------------|
| Data | edits slides and hh prob | 12 hours ago |
| Model Writeup | edits slides and hh prob | 12 hours ago |
| Outside Documentation | papers and notes | a month ago |
| Papers | edits slides and hh prob | 12 hours ago |
| Python | added Python code | a month ago |
| .gitignore | .gitignore edited online with Bitbucket | 9 months ago |
| README.rst | update readme | 2 days ago |

README.rst

<> Code

Issues 1


Pull requests 0

Wiki

Pulse

Graphs

HTTPS clone URL



You can clone with HTTPS, SSH, or Subversion. ⓘ

Clone in Desktop

Download ZIP

Summary of Model

- Detailed macro model
- Efficient code
- Year by year effects
- Integration with microsimulation model

Going forward

- Where we are:
 - Closed economy model specified
 - Solution in place for households and simple firms
 - Calibration in process
- What needs to be done:
 - Add more detail on supply side and government to code
 - International sector
 - Finish calibration
 - Lots of validation/targeting!

Big questions

- Best way to incorporate an open economy?
 - Trade flows and international goods
 - Multinational firms and location of capital
- Monetary policy and inflation
- Household heterogeneity
 - Single vs. dual earners
 - Ricardian vs. non-Ricardian
- Calibration of bequests?
 - Utility weight
 - Transmission process