

Indian Law





Step-2 --> Submit PDF Text

Preview your Content

Case Description: P.Sathasivam, C.J. Order dated 29.06.2012 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Criminal Writ Petition No 220 of 2010 wherein the High Court quashed the criminal proceedings against Alok Ranjan-Respondent No.3 herein (writ petitioner in the High Court) in C.C. No 1036/CPW/2008 pending before the Metropolitan Magistrate, 19th Court, Esplanade, Mumbai 3) Brief Facts: (a) The appellant, an Indian Revenue Service Officer, joined National Agricultural Co-operative Marketing Federation of India Ltd (NAFED), on deputation on 15.07.2003 as an Executive Director (b) On 0.11.02003, Respondent No.3 herein—Alok Ranjan took over the charge as the new Managing Director of NAFED and he approved the 1st Non-agricultural tie-up of NAFED on 13.10.2003 in order to diversify NAFED's business activities to cope up from severe financial crunch so that income from other businesses can compensate the losses being made on trading of agricultural items Respondent

Clear Preview

Legal Statute Identification

Submit

• Legal Sections: Section 120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 Sections 409, 411, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India Section 21 of the High Court.

Semantic Segmentation

Case Description: P.Sathasivam, C.J. Order dated 29.06.2012 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Criminal Writ Petition No. 220 of 2010 wherein the High Court quashed the criminal proceedings against Alok Ranjan-Respondent No.3 herein (writ petitioner in the High Court) in C.C. No. 1036/CPW/2008 pending before the Metropolitan Magistrate, 19th Court, Esplanade, Mumbai. 3) Brief facts: (a) The appellant, an Indian Revenue Service Officer, joined National Agricultural Co-operative Marketing Federation of India Ltd. (NAFED), on deputation on 15.07.2003 as an Executive Director. (b) On 01.10.2003, Respondent No.3 herein—Alok Ranjan took over the charge as the new Managing Director of NAFED and he approved the 1st Non-agricultural tie-up of NAFED on 13.10.2003 in order to diversify NAFED's business activities to cope up from severe financial crunch so that income from other businesses can compensate the losses being made on trading of agricultural items. Facts Respondent No. 3 participated in all the meetings and approved all the transactions entered into with M/s Swarup Group of Industries (SGI) for the above said purpose. (c) On 20.04.2004, when the Respondent No. 3 was scheduled to go for an international tour to Beijing, the appellant was made the officiating Managing Director for 21.04.2004 to 27.04.2004 in order to attend all urgent

Summary

The case in question is P.Sathasivam, C.Jl. v. Alok Ranjan, Criminal Writ Petition No. 220 of 2010, heard before the High Court of Judicature at Bombay. The case involves the quashing of criminal proceedings against Alok Ranjan, the Respondent No.3 (writ petitioner in the High Court) in C.C. No. 1036/CPW/2008 pending before the Metropolitan Magistrate, 19th Court, Esplanade, Mumbai. The appellant in the case is an Indian Revenue Service Officer who joined National Agricultural Co-operative Marketing Federation of India Ltd. (NAFED) on deputation on 15.07.2003 sa an Executive Director. The respondent, Alok Ranjan, was the Chairman of NAFED from 15.07.2003 to pay tax on the salary received from NAFED during the period of his deputation. 2. Whether the respondent was liable to pay tax on the salary received from NAFED during the period of his deputation. 2. Whether the respondent was liable to pay tax on the salary received from NAFED during the period of his deputation. 3. Alok Ranjan, who was appointed as the Managing Director of the National Agricultural Marketing Federation of India Ltd. (NAFED) on 15.07.2003 The Respondent No. 3 approved the first Non-agricultural Lie-up of NAFED on 13.10.2003 in order to diversify NAFED's business activities to cope up from severe financial crunch. The Assesses argued that the income from the Non-agricultural tie-up was taxable as it was not a business activity and was done to generate profits. The court referred to the provisions of Section 28 of the Income-tax Act, 1961, which states that any income from business or profession is taxable. The court also referred to the part case laws of CTI v. K.P. Varghese (1981) 131 TIR 597 The case in question is NAFED vs. ITO, Assessment Year 2004-05. The case revolves around the assessment of the National Agricultural to-operative Marketing Federation of India Ltd. (NAFED) for the assessment year 2004-05. The Income Tax Officer (ITO) had raised a demand of Rs. 1,00,00,000/- on NAFED for the assessment year 2004-05. NAFED had entered i

Court Judgement Prediction : NA