Avoiding Disasters with Strongly Typed C++

Arno Lepisk

arno@lepisk.se/arno.lepisk@hiq.se



As time before finding bugs increase, the cost of fixing them rises.



Who am I?

Arno Lepisk

Software Engineering consultant



• Production



- Production
- Q&A



- Production
- Q&A
- System testing



- Production
- Q&A
- System testing
- Unit testing

- Production
- Q&A
- System testing
- Unit testing
- Compile time



Type safety



Type safety

Is C++ type safe?

Type safety in C++

Type safety in C++ (cont)

Remedy

struct

```
struct id_type { int val; }
struct size_type { int val; }
void setNodeSize(id_type nodeId,
                 size_type nodeSize);
// uses nodeId.val and nodeSize.val
id_type myId{3};
size_type mySize{7};
setNodeSize(myId, mySize); // OK
setNodeSize(mySize, myId); // Error
```

Add constructor

```
struct id_type {
  id_type(int v) : val(v) {}
  int val;
};
struct size_type {
  size_type(int v) : val(v) {}
  int val;
};
```

Put into template

```
template<typename Tag>
class strongint {
    int val;
public:
    int & get() { return val; }
    const int & get() const { return val; }
    explicit strongint(int v) : val(v) {}
};
```

Put into template

```
template < typename Tag>
class strongint {
    int val;
public:
    int & get() { return val; }
    const int & get() const { return val; }
    explicit strongint(int v) : val(v) {}
};

using id_type = strongint < struct id_tag>;
using size_type = strongint < struct size_tag>;
```



Performance 1

```
void foo(int);
void foo(id_type);

void bar_int() {
    foo(3);
}

void bar_id() {
    foo(id_type(3));
}
```

```
x86-64 clang (trunk) (Editor #1, Compiler #1) C++ ×
x86-64 clang (trunk)
                            -std=c++11 -O3
              .LX0: .text
                                           Demangle
                                                       ■ Libraries ▼
                                                                     + Add new...▼
A▼
       11010
                                    Intel
                                \s+
      1 bar_int():
                                                      # @bar_int()
                            $3, %edi
                   movl
                            foo(int)
                                                          # TAILCALL
                   jmp
      4 bar_id():
                                                      # @bar_id()
       5
                            $3, %edi
                  movl
                            foo(strongint<id tag>) # TAILCALL
       6
                   jmp
```

Performance 2

```
void old_func(int id);

void call_int(int id) {
    old_func(id);
}

void call_id(id_type id) {
    old_func(id.get());
}
```

```
x86-64 gcc (trunk) (Editor #1, Compiler #1) C++ ×
x86-64 gcc (trunk)
                             -std=c++11 -O3
A▼
       11010
               .LX0:
                       .text
                             //
                                 \s+
                                       Intel
                                             Dem
       1 call int(int):
                    qmj
                             old func(int)
       3 call_id(strongint<id_tag>):
                             old_func(int)
                    jmp
       4
```

But we want an int!



But we want an int!

```
template<typename Tag>
class arithmeticstrongint {
    ...
    arithmeticstrongint & operator+(...);
    arithmeticstrongint & operator-(...);
};
```

Hasn't someone else already thouhgt about this?



What is out there?

Jonathan Boccara - NamedType
 https://github.com/joboccara/NamedType

Jonathan Müller - type_safe
https://github.com/foonathan/type_safe

NamedType

type_safe

```
#include <type_safe/strong_typedef.hpp>
using namespace type_safe;
using namespace type_safe::strong_typedef_op;

struct id_type
    : public strong_typedef<id_type, int>
    , public equality_comparison<id_type>
{
    using strong_typedef::strong_typedef;
};
```

Lets make an int!

```
struct my_int
  : public strong_typedef<my_int, int>
  , public output_operator<my_int>
  , public integer_arithmetic<my_int>
  , public equality_comparison<my_int>
  , public relational_comparison<my_int>
{
    using strong_typedef::strong_typedef;
};
```



Using my_int

```
my_int i1(2);
my_int i2(3);

auto i3 = i1 + i2;
static_assert(
    std::is_same_v<decltype(i3),my_int>
);

std::cout << i3 << std::endl;

// compile time error
// auto i4 = i1 + 1;</pre>
```

Get the underlying value

```
my_int mi;
...
// NamedType
auto i = mi.get();

// type_safe
auto i = type_safe::get(mi);
```

Combining types

What do we need?

```
price + price -> price amount + amount -> amount
price - price -> price amount - amount -> amount
price * price ?? amount * amount ??

price * amount -> price amount * price -> price
```

Implementing in type_safe

```
struct amount
  : public strong_typedef<amount, int>
  , public addition<amount>
  , public subtraction<amount>
{ using strong_typedef::strong_typedef; };
struct price
  : public strong_typedef<price, int>
  , public addition<price>
  , public subtraction<price>
  , public mixed_multiplication<price, amount>
{ using strong_typedef::strong_typedef; };
```



Implementing in NamedType

Our own skill

```
template<typename M>
struct MixedMultiplicable {
 template<typename T>
  struct type : public ::fluent::crtp<T, type> {
   friend T operator*(type const & self,
                       M const & other) {
       return T(self.underlying().get() *
                getValue(other));
```

Helpers

Use the skill

Next

```
offset + offset -> offset
offset - offset -> offset
offset * int -> offset

position + offset -> position

position - position -> offset
```

position - positionin NamedType

offset/position in NamedType

type_safe

offset/positionintype_safe

```
struct offset
  : public strong_typedef<offset, int>
  , public addition<offset>
  , public subtraction<offset>
  , public mixed_multiplication<offset, int>
{ using strong_typedef::strong_typedef; };
struct position
  : public strong_typedef<position, int>
  , public mixed_addition<position, offset>
  , public mixed_subtraction<position, offset>
  , public subtract_to_type<position, offset>
{ using strong_typedef::strong_typedef; };
```

use of position/offset

```
position p1(7);
auto o = p1 - position(2);
auto p3 = p1 + o;
static_assert(std::is_same_v<decltype(o),</pre>
                               offset>);
static_assert(std::is_same_v<decltype(p3),</pre>
                               position>);
// Compile error
// auto pe = position(3) + position(4);
static_assert(!has_op_v<std::plus<>>,
                          position, position>);
```

Testing with static_assert

Length

```
void setLength(length_t l);
```

std::chrono::duration

```
using namespace std::chrono_literals;

constexpr auto s = 1s;
constexpr std::chrono::milliseconds ms = s;

static_assert(s.count() == 1);
static_assert(ms.count() == 1000);
```

No!



No.



How does chrono work?

std::ratio

```
milli = std::ratio<1, 1000>;
```

std::ratio

```
milli = std::ratio<1, 1000>;
std::ratio<18,4>::type -> std::ratio<9,2>
std::ratio_add<ratio<1,2>, ratio<1,5>>
  -> std::ratio<7,10>;
std::ratio_subtract<ratio<1,2>, ratio<1,5>>
  -> std::ratio<3,10>;
std::ratio_multiply<ratio<1,2>, ratio<1,5>>
  -> std::ratio<1,10>;
std::ratio_divide<ratio<1,2>, ratio<1,5>>
  -> std::ratio<5,2>;
```

Our own length

Some convenience defines

```
template<typename T> using Meter =
 Length<T>;
template<typename T> using Millimeter =
 Length<T, std::milli>;
template<typename T> using Kilometer =
 Length<T, std::kilo>;
template<typename T> using Inch =
 Length<T, std::ratio<254,10000>::type>;
template<typename T> using Foot =
 Length<T, std::ratio<3048,10000>::type>;
template<typename T> using Mile =
 Length<T, std::ratio<1609344,1000>::type>;
```

Add operations

```
constexpr Meter<int> m1(1);
constexpr Meter<int> m2(2);
static_assert(m1 + m1 == m2);
```

Add operations

```
constexpr Meter<int> m1(1);
constexpr Meter<int> m2(2);

static_assert(m1 + m1 == m2);

length<int, ratio<<1>>(l1) +
length<int, ratio<<1>>(l2)
-> length<int, ratio<1>>(l1+l2)
```

Different underlying types

Meter<int> + Meter<double> -> Meter<???>



Different underlying types

```
Meter<int> + Meter<double> -> Meter<???>
Meter<int>(li) + Meter<double>(ld)
   -> Meter<decltype(li+ld)>
```

Different ratios

$$l1 * (n1/d1) + l2 * (n2/d2)$$

Different ratios

```
l1 * (n1/d1) + l2 * (n2/d2)

gcd(n1/d1, n2/d2) = gcd(n1,n2) / lcm(d1,d2) = nc/dc
```



Different ratios

```
l1 * (n1/d1) + l2 * (n2/d2)
gcd(n1/d1, n2/d2) = gcd(n1,n2) / lcm(d1,d2) = nc/dc

Meter<int>(2) + Foot<int>(3) ->
2 * 1/1 + 3 * 381/1250 ->
2 * 1250 * 1/1250 + 3 * 381 * 1/1250 ->
3643 * 1/1250
```

Use of length

```
constexpr Foot<int> f1(1);
constexpr Inch<int> i12(12);
static_assert(f1 == i12);
```

Use of length

```
constexpr Foot<int> f1(1);
constexpr Inch<int> i12(12);
static_assert(f1 == i12);

constexpr auto c =
  Inch<int>(5) + Centimeter<int>(8);
static_assert(c == Millimeter<int>(207));
```

Add some sugar...

```
static_assert(1_ft == 12_in);
static_assert(1_m == 100_cm);
static_assert((5_in + 8_cm) == 207_mm);
```

Dimensions

- length * length -> area
- length * length *> volume

Dimensions

- length * length -> area
- length * length *> volume
- length / length -> scalar

Dimension aware "length"

Operations on dimension-aware length

```
length<T, D, S> + length<T, D, S> ->
  length<T, D, S>

length<T, D1, S1> * length<T, D2, S2> ->
  length<T, D1+D2, S1*S2>

length<T, D1, S1> / length<T, D2, S2> ->
  length<T, D1-D2, S1/S2>
```

Use of dimension aware length

```
12 ft<sup>2</sup>
1.11484 m<sup>2</sup>
```

Physical quantities

- length * length -> area
- length / time -> velocity
- velocity / time -> acceleration
- acceleration * mass -> force
- force * length -> energy
- energy / time -> power

Unit

```
template<typename U,
        int L, int M, int T,
        typename S>
class unit {
...
};
```

Operations

```
unit<U, L, M, T, S> + unit<U, L, M, T, S> ->
  unit<U, L, M, T, S>

unit<U, L1, M1, T1, S> * unit<U, L2, M2, T2, S> ->
  unit<U, L1+L2, M1+M2, T1+T2, S>

unit<U, L1, M1, T1, S> / unit<U, L2, M2, T2, S> ->
  unit<U, L1-L2, M1-M2, T1-T2, S>
```

Example multiplication

```
Length = unit<int, 1, 0, 0>
Time = unit<int, 0, 0, 1>

Length * Length -> unit<int, 2, 0, 0> // Area
Length / Time -> unit<int, 1, 0,-1> // Velocity
```

Constants

```
Acceleration = unit<T, 1, 0,-2, S>;
constants<int> {
    Acceleration<int, std::centi> g{982};
};
constants<double> {
    Acceleration<double, std::ratio<1>> g{9.82};
};

template<typename T>
constexpr auto g = constants<T>::g;
```

Constants usage

```
auto t = Second<int>(3);
auto d = t*t*g<int>/Id<int>(2);
assert(d == Centimeter<int>(4419));
```

Other units



Other units

Things are getting complicated again

boost::units

https://www.boost.org/doc/libs/1_68_0/doc/html/boost_units.html

Voltage, current, power

```
auto P = (110 * volt) * (0.5 * milli * ampere);
std::cout << P;</pre>
```

55 mW



Length in boost::units

```
3.01 m
1.3048 m
```



Links

- https://github.com/f00ale/strong_type_examples
- https://github.com/f00ale/stype
- https://github.com/f00ale/units

Conclusions

- C++ can be used in a type safe manner
- Use strong types
- A lot can be made without performance impact

Thanks for listening

arno@lepisk.se/arno.lepisk@hiq.se

