

## Exercise 8: Prediction error methods

### Background reading

The background material for this exercise is Sections 3.2, 4.2, and 7.2 of Ljung (*System Identification; Theory for the User*, 2nd Ed., Prentice-Hall, 1999).

### Problem 1: Correlations in a first-order ARMAX model

Consider the model

$$y(k) + ay(k-1) = bu(k-1) + e(k) + ce(k-1),$$

where the error  $e(k)$  is white zero-mean noise with variance  $\lambda^2$ , and the input is a zero-mean white signal  $u(k) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , such that  $u(k)$  is uncorrelated with  $\{e(\tau), \tau \leq k\}$ . Show that the following hold:

- (i)  $\mathbb{E}[y(k)u(k)] = 0$
- (ii)  $\mathbb{E}[y(k)y(k-1)] = \frac{-ab^2\sigma^2 + (c-a)(1-ac)\lambda^2}{1-a^2}$
- (iii)  $\mathbb{E}[y(k)u(k-1)] = b\sigma^2$ .

### Problem 2: Optimal predictor for a first-order ARMAX model

Consider the model

$$y(k) + ay(k-1) = bu(k-1) + e(k) + ce(k-1),$$

where  $|c| < 1$  and  $e(k) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \lambda^2)$ . The parameter vector is given by  $\theta = [a \quad b \quad c]^\top$ .

1. Show that an optimal predictor  $\hat{y}(t|t-1, \theta)$  which minimizes the prediction error variance  $\sigma$  is given by

$$\hat{y}(k|k-1, \theta) = -ay(k-1) + bu(k-1) + ce(k-1).$$

2. What difficulties can be encountered when implementing the optimal predictor? How can this be avoided?
3. Find a practical recursive implementation as a function of the innovation of the optimal predictor.

**MATLAB exercises**

Consider the ARMAX model

$$A(z)y(k) = B(z)u(k) + C(z)e(k), \quad k = 1, \dots, N,$$

where  $N = 10^4$  with the matrices defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &= 1 - 1.5z^{-1} + 0.7z^{-2} \\ B(z) &= 1.0z^{-1} + 0.5z^{-2} \\ C(z) &= 1 - 1.0z^{-1} + 0.2z^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $e(k) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Fix an input sequence  $u(k)$  from the following ARMAX process

$$u(k) = 0.1u(k-1) + 0.12u(k-2) + e_u(k-1) + 0.2e_u(k-2).$$

where  $e_u \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

Assuming that the transfer function  $C(z)$  is exactly known:

1. Obtain least-squares (LS) estimates  $\hat{A}_{LS}(z)$  and  $\hat{B}_{LS}(z)$  for  $A(z)$  and  $B(z)$  respectively.
2. Plot the predicted values along with the true response  $y(k)$  for validation set.
3. Repeat (1) for a different set of realizations of  $e(k)$  and plot the histograms of the parameters.