

Go, Change the World

Academic Year 2024-25 (ODD Semester)

USN	1	R	V							
-----	---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES

Semester : VII Time : _:_

Max Marks: 50 Duration: 90 Mins

S. No	Quiz	M	BT	СО
1	Which sensor is primarily used for high-precision mapping and most accurate real-time localization in autonomous vehicles?	1	L1	1
2	What is the primary purpose of sensor fusion in autonomous driving?	1	L1	2
3	The process of estimating the position of a vehicle based on wheel movement and previous known positions is called	1	L1	1
4	Visual odometry primarily relies on sensors to track movement and estimate vehicle position.	1	L1	1
5	Why is High-Definition Mapping (HD Mapping) important for autonomous driving?	2	L2	2
6	State two differences between GNSS-based localization and Wheel Odometry.	2	L2	1
7	Examine the purpose of an Autonomous Driving Cloud Platform.	2	L2	3

S. No	Questions	M	BT	СО
1	 Scenario: An autonomous vehicle is tasked with navigating through a long tunnel where Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) signals are completely unavailable. Accurate localization is critical to ensure safety, avoid collisions, and maintain the intended route. Task: Propose a sensor fusion approach to maintain accurate localization in this GNSS-denied environment. Your answer should include the following: Explain the strengths and weaknesses of each sensor in the context of tunnel navigation. Justify why the Kalman filter/Particle filter algorithm is appropriate for real-time localization in dynamic tunnel environments. Include details on how the system will handle scenarios like sensor noise, temporary sensor failure, or environmental challenges (e.g., poor lighting, reflections, or occlusions). Explain how sensor calibration will be maintained during prolonged tunnel navigation. 	15	L6	2
2	Scenario: Cloud platforms have become integral in enhancing autonomous vehicle localization by providing access to high-definition (HD) maps, real-time environmental updates, and large-scale computational resources. A prominent	15	L5	3

	example is Audi's use of HD maps via cloud services to improve vehicle localization accuracy and environmental awareness. Task: Conduct a case study analysis focusing on a real-world application of cloud platforms in vehicle localization (e.g., Audi's HD maps deployment or similar systems).			
	 Your analysis should address the following: Highlight the primary goals of integrating cloud-assisted localization. Include a diagram or flowchart to illustrate the data flow between vehicle, cloud, and map services. Assess how cloud-assisted localization affects real-time vehicle control decisions, such as obstacle avoidance, path planning, and emergency braking. Identify the strengths and weaknesses of using cloud platforms for localization, such as improved accuracy and dynamic updates. 			
3	Consider a case where an autonomous vehicle is traveling on a highway during heavy rain. Discuss the challenges faced by localization systems (e.g., LiDAR and camera failures) and propose solutions for robust operation in adverse weather.	10	L4	1
4	A vehicle using dead reckoning and wheel odometry accumulates a 5% error over a 10 km route. Calculate the total positional error and suggest strategies to minimize this error during the journey.	10	L4	2

M-Marks, BT-Blooms Taxonomy Levels, CO-Course Outcomes

Marilya	Particulars	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L6
Marks Distribution	Max Marks	15	28	17	-	04	06	-	20	15	15

Course	Outcomes: After completing the course, the students will be able to
CO1:	Analyse the various driving conditions for autonomous cars and apply AI techniques
CO2:	Identify various problems involved in developing Autonomous Driving cars and suggest the appropriate solutions
CO3:	Integration of advanced driver assistance system with cloud infrastructure for training and modelling
CO4:	Development of Deep learning techniques to analyse the data for decision making.
CO5:	Demonstrate the use of modern tools by exhibiting teamwork and effective communication skills

Scheme and Solutions

S.No	Quiz	Marks
1	LiDAR	1
2	Sensor fusion combines data from multiple sensors to enhance the accuracy and reliability of	1
	localization and perception systems.	
3	Dead Reckoning	1
4	Camera-based	1
5	HD maps provide precise road-level details, including lane markings, traffic signals, and	2
	obstacles, enabling accurate navigation and decision-making.	
6	GNSS: Provides global positioning but struggles in urban canyons or tunnels.	2
	Wheel Odometry: Estimates position locally but accumulates errors over time due to wheel	
	slip or terrain variations.	
7	It supports large-scale data storage, real-time simulations, HD map generation, and AI model	2
	training for autonomous vehicles.	

S.No	Question	Marks
1	Proposed Sensor Fusion Approach for Tunnel Navigation	05
	To maintain accurate localization in a GNSS-denied environment like a tunnel, the autonomous	
	vehicle can utilize a sensor fusion approach combining the following technologies:	
	1. Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU): Measures acceleration and angular velocity,	
	providing short-term motion updates.	
	2. Wheel Encoders : Tracks wheel rotations for odometry, providing distance traveled.	
	3. LiDAR : Maps the tunnel structure, detects obstacles, and tracks environmental features for localization.	
	4. Cameras : Identifies visual landmarks or lane markers for localization and navigation.	
	5. Ultrasonic or Radar Sensors : Detect nearby objects and provide additional obstacle avoidance.	
	6. SLAM (Simultaneous Localization and Mapping) : Integrates sensor data to create a	
	real-time map of the tunnel while simultaneously localizing the vehicle within it.	
	This approach combines dead reckoning (IMU + encoders) with environmental sensing	
	(LiDAR + cameras) for accuracy and robustness.	
	Step-by-Step Decision-Making Process Simulation	
	Step 1: Initialization	
	• Sensors Activated : All sensors (IMU, wheel encoders, LiDAR, cameras) are initialized.	10
	Prior Map Loaded: If a prior map of the tunnel exists, load it to assist SLAM.	
	• Initial Localization : Use GNSS signals before entering the tunnel to establish the	
	starting point.	
	Step 2: Enter Tunnel (GNSS Loss)	
	Dead Reckoning Begins:	
	 Use IMU and wheel encoders to estimate vehicle position. 	
	 Apply error-correction algorithms (e.g., Kalman filters) to reduce drift. 	
	Environmental Sensing:	
	 LiDAR scans the tunnel to detect walls and static features. 	
	o Cameras identify visual cues like reflective markers, lane boundaries, or tunnel	
	lighting patterns.	
	Step 3: SLAM Integration	
	Real-Time Mapping:	
	o SLAM combines LiDAR and camera data to update the vehicle's map of the	
	tunnel.	
	 Correlate detected features with the prior map, if available. 	
	Correction of Dead Reckoning Drift:	

Compare SLAM-based localization with dead reckoning and adjust the position estimate. **Step 4: Obstacle Detection Collision Avoidance:** Use LiDAR and radar to detect obstacles or vehicles ahead. o Adjust speed or trajectory using decision-making algorithms (e.g., Dijkstra or **Step 5: Navigation Decisions Path Planning:** Follow pre-defined waypoints or dynamically calculate the best route based on the updated map. **Speed Control**: Adjust speed to maintain safety based on tunnel conditions and detected obstacles. Step 6: Exit Tunnel **GNSS Reacquisition:** Upon exiting the tunnel, compare the vehicle's estimated position from SLAM and dead reckoning with GNSS data. 2 Overview of Audi's Cloud-Assisted Localization 04 **Technology Used:** HD maps from HERE Technologies provide lane-level accuracy by storing detailed data, such as road geometry, lane markings, traffic signs, and real-time conditions. Vehicles upload sensor data (e.g., LiDAR, cameras) to the cloud, which refines localization by comparing it with pre-mapped HD data. **Cloud Infrastructure:** o Distributed cloud services enable scalable and low-latency data retrieval. o Edge computing near the vehicle network enhances speed and reduces round-trip delays. **Key Factors in Evaluation** 1. Reliability 06 **Strengths:** HD maps offer high precision (centimeter-level accuracy) compared to GNSS alone, which can be inaccurate in urban canyons or tunnels. Cloud platforms ensure consistent map updates, reflecting roadwork, traffic changes, or environmental conditions. Redundancy in cloud architecture mitigates risks of service outages. **Challenges:** Reliability depends on robust vehicle-to-cloud connectivity. Signal loss in remote areas or tunnels can degrade performance. Dependence on HD maps means failure in cloud availability may significantly impact operations. 2. Latency **Performance Metrics:** Cloud-assisted localization requires minimal latency to ensure real-time decisionmaking. For Audi's system: Data retrieval latency: Typically under 100 ms in optimal conditions. Processing latency (edge/cloud): Adds a few milliseconds due to optimized infrastructure. **Impact**: With low latency, vehicles can adjust trajectories in real-time based on updated road information. However, network congestion or poor cellular coverage (e.g., 4G or early-stage 5G) may cause delays, potentially affecting critical decisions. 3. Scalability Strengths: Cloud platforms like HERE are designed to scale globally, supporting millions of vehicles simultaneously. Distributed servers and edge computing nodes reduce server overload and ensure

localized data availability.

Challenges:

	С	Scalability is heavily dependent on the infrastructure.	e robustness of the mobile network	
	С	Handling high-density traffic in urban		
	4 Real Time	requires dynamic resource allocation. e Decision-Making Impact		
		antages:		
	C	Improved Accuracy: Real-time HD	map integration ensures vehicles stay in lanes	
		and adhere to precise route plans.	1 122 1 1 12 1	
	C	reroute or adjust speed preemptively.	oad condition updates allow vehicles to	
	C	Redundancy in Localization: Fusion	n of HD maps with onboard sensors (LiDAR,	
		cameras) mitigates GNSS errors, ensu	uring robust decision-making.	
	• Limi	tations: Any delay in cloud-to-vehicle commu	unication can disrupt time-critical maneuvers,	
		such as emergency braking or obstacle	-	
	C		crease the risk of slower reaction times in fast-	
		changing environments (e.g., pedestri	an crossing scenarios).	
	Comparison	to Traditional Onboard Localization		
	Metric	Cloud-Assisted Localization	Traditional Onboard Localization	05
	Accuracy	Lane-level (centimeter-scale)	Decimeter-scale (sensor-dependent)	
	Reliability	Relies on connectivity + onboard data	Fully onboard; no external dependency	
	Latency	~50-100 ms	Immediate	
	Scalability	Supports large fleets with real-time updates	Limited to vehicle's onboard processing power	
		y Updates maps in real-time	Requires manual updates for map data	
3	Heavy rain por relying on LiE 1. LiDAR Per	aced by Localization Systems in Heavy Foses significant challenges for autonomous DAR and cameras. The key issues include: formance Degradation lenges:	s vehicle localization systems, particularly those	
	o R a an	ain Attenuation : Raindrops scatter and ab ad accuracy.	sorb LiDAR signals, reducing the effective range	02
		llse Returns : Raindrops can reflect LiDAR bint cloud.	beams, causing phantom objects and noise in the	
	o Re		faces absorb or scatter LiDAR beams differently,	
	2. Camera Lii	mitations		
		enges:	9.99	
		educed visibility: Heavy rain decreases vis her visual cues.	ibility, obscuring lane markings, road signs, and	02
	o Gl		nts reflecting on wet surfaces create glare, which	
		_	ra lens can block or distort the field of view.	
	3. GNSS and I	IMU Issues		
		enges:		0.0
	C		y rain but may be less reliable in urban highway	02
	C	scenarios with multipath errors. IMU-based dead reckoning can drift si	ignificantly over time without corrections from	
	A. Dood Cond	LiDAR or cameras. lition Variability		
		lenges:		
	C	Hydroplaning Areas: Water pooling	on roads may not be detected accurately by	
	C	sensors. Dynamic Obstacles : Vehicles and del detect in low-visibility conditions.	bris displaced by wind or water are harder to	
	Dwor 10 1	lutions for Dobrest Legality (1997)	so Moskhov	
		lutions for Robust Localization in Adver sion for Redundancy	rse weatner	

	 Combine data from multiple sensors (e.g., LiDAR, radar, cameras, IMU) to compensate for individual sensor weaknesses. Radar is highly reliable in rain as it penetrates water droplets and provides accurate range and velocity measurements. 	02
	2. Weather-Resilient LiDAR Systems	
	3. Advanced Camera Systems	
	Use polarized lenses to reduce glare from wet surfaces and equip cameras with wipers to keep lenses glass of water desplate.	
	to keep lenses clear of water droplets.	
	 Leverage infrared or thermal cameras to detect road edges and obstacles under low- visibility conditions. 	
	4. Robust Localization Algorithms	
	Use map-matching algorithms to align sensor data with HD maps, correcting for drift	
	and environmental interference.	
	5. Real-Time Weather Adaptation	
	Workflow for Robust Operation During Heavy Rain	
	1. Pre-Drive Weather Assessment:	
	Monitor weather forecasts and adjust the vehicle's sensor fusion strategy in advance. Activate rain appoints algorithms for LiDAR and compared.	
	 Activate rain-specific algorithms for LiDAR and cameras. Dynamic Sensor Fusion: 	
	o Prioritize radar for obstacle detection and use LiDAR selectively.	
	Adjust weighting in fusion algorithms based on real-time rain intensity data.	
	3. Local Map-Based Localization:	02
	Match sensor data with pre-mapped HD features to correct for sensor inaccuracies.	
	Use inertial data from IMU for short-term localization when visual or LiDAR data is	
	unreliable.	
	4. Continuous Calibration:	
	 Monitor sensor performance in real-time and recalibrate as needed. 	
	 Filter out noise and anomalies caused by rain or road conditions. 	
	5. Real-Time Decision Support:	
	 Leverage V2X for collaborative navigation and traffic updates. 	
	 Reduce vehicle speed and adjust trajectories based on localized rain intensity. 	
4	Calculation of Total Positional Error Dead reckoning and wheel odometry errors accumulate over time and distance. If the error is 5% over a 10 km route:	02
	$Total\ Positional\ Error = Distance\ Travelled \times Error\ Rate$	
	${ m Total\ Positional\ Error}={ m Distance\ Travelled} imes{ m Error\ Rate}$ ${ m Total\ Positional\ Error}=10{ m km} imes0.05=0.5{ m km}(500{ m meters})$	
	$Total\ Positional\ Error=10\ km\times0.05=0.5\ km\ (500\ meters)$ Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters . Strategies to Minimize Positional Error	07
	$Total\ Positional\ Error=10\ km\times0.05=0.5\ km\ (500\ meters)$ Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. $\textbf{Strategies\ to\ Minimize\ Positional\ Error}$ 1. Periodic Absolute\ Position\ Updates:	07
	$Total\ Positional\ Error = 10\ km \times 0.05 = 0.5\ km\ (500\ meters)$ Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. $Strategies\ to\ Minimize\ Positional\ Error$ 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates: $ \circ Use\ GNSS\ updates\ periodically\ to\ reset\ positional\ drift.\ For\ instance,\ incorporating $	07
	Total Positional Error = $10 \mathrm{km} \times 0.05 = 0.5 \mathrm{km} (500 \mathrm{meters})$ Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates: • Use GNSS updates periodically to reset positional drift. For instance, incorporating GNSS corrections every kilometer can cap drift.	07
	Total Positional Error = $10 \mathrm{km} \times 0.05 = 0.5 \mathrm{km} (500 \mathrm{meters})$ Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates: O Use GNSS updates periodically to reset positional drift. For instance, incorporating GNSS corrections every kilometer can cap drift. O When GNSS signals are weak (e.g., in urban canyons or tunnels), use alternate absolute	07
	Total Positional Error = $10 \mathrm{km} \times 0.05 = 0.5 \mathrm{km}$ (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates: O Use GNSS updates periodically to reset positional drift. For instance, incorporating GNSS corrections every kilometer can cap drift. O When GNSS signals are weak (e.g., in urban canyons or tunnels), use alternate absolute localization methods, such as LiDAR map matching.	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates: ○ Use GNSS updates periodically to reset positional drift. For instance, incorporating GNSS corrections every kilometer can cap drift. ○ When GNSS signals are weak (e.g., in urban canyons or tunnels), use alternate absolute localization methods, such as LiDAR map matching. 2. Sensor Fusion:	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates: ○ Use GNSS updates periodically to reset positional drift. For instance, incorporating GNSS corrections every kilometer can cap drift. ○ When GNSS signals are weak (e.g., in urban canyons or tunnels), use alternate absolute localization methods, such as LiDAR map matching. 2. Sensor Fusion: ○ Implement sensor fusion algorithms (e.g., Kalman Filter) to combine odometry with	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates:	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates:	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates:	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates:	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates:	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates:	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates:	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates:	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates:	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates:	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates:	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates:	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates:	07
	Total Positional Error = 10 km × 0.05 = 0.5 km (500 meters) Thus, the total positional error at the end of the 10 km route would be 500 meters. Strategies to Minimize Positional Error 1. Periodic Absolute Position Updates:	07

	If the vehicle revisits previously traversed locations (loop closure), use SLAM (Simultaneous Localization and Mapping) techniques to correct accumulated errors by aligning the current position with previously mapped locations.	
7. Hiera	rchical Localization Strategy:	
0	High-frequency updates with wheel odometry and IMU for computational efficiency.	
0	Medium-frequency corrections with LiDAR and map matching in areas with distinctive features.	
0	Low-frequency GNSS updates when absolute corrections are required.	
Example Imp	rovement:	
By introducing	GNSS updates every 1 km and LiDAR corrections every 500 meters, the accumulated error ed at each interval, limiting total drift to a fraction of the standalone 5% error.	(