Design, Technology and Innovation, May 2024

Course Instructor: Prof. B.K. Chakravarthy
Teaching Assistant: Arnav Bhagawati

Assignment 8

W8A8Q1-MSQ: Haptic output is comparatively better than auditory output because.

1. A Visually impaired person is naturally more sensitive to haptic outputs
2. It does not go out loud in public
3. It does not get subdued in traffic noise
4. Haptic outputs are much cheaper than auditory outputs

W8A8Q2-MCQ: Smart canes have what novel feature?

1. Usage of ultrasound to detect obstacles
2. Usage of laser to detect obstacles
3. Detection of objects above a certain height only
4. Detection of manholes

W8A8Q3-MCQ: In the very first prototype of the Smart cane, what was wrong as pointed out by the users?

1. The centre of gravity of the device was off
2. The shape of the device was small and compact
3. The material of the device was skin friendly
4. The colour of the device was not appealing

W8A8Q4-MCQ: What is the problem with the waterproof cane during the rains?

1. It will start beeping as it detects raindrops as objects
2. It will fail after a while
3. It will have leakage due to heavy rainfall
4. It will become inactive

W8A8Q5-MSQ: Why did the first prototype of Smart cane by Computer science students was not up to the mark despite brilliant software programming & computational abilities?

1. Because the Smart cane was unable to detect obstacles appropriately
2. Because the students could not work on the center of gravity & other physical attributes of the Smart cane
3. Because they lacked material knowledge
4. Because they had to settle with substandard materials due to lack of funds

W8A8Q6-MSQ: Why is the detachability factor of the Smart cane important?

1. In case the white cane gets damaged, the smart cane is safe
2. There is no need to buy a separate white cane to use the smart cane
3. It is efficient to clean the white cane when it is dirty
4. To keep the Smart cane dry when it starts raining

W8A8Q7-MSQ: Why do the other solutions like Goggles, Torch etc. fail miserably to solve what Smart Cane had been solving?

1. Because they underestimated the power of the existing cane design and its usage behavior
2. Because the alternatives are very expensive
3. Because the visually impaired people developed uneasiness while using the wearables
4. Because they die out of battery sooner

W8A8Q8-MCQ: Why were foreign mobility products for visually challenged individuals discarded besides usability issues?

1. The foreign products were extremely colorful & vibrant
2. They were not durable
3. They required battery charging frequently which the blind found it to be annoying
4. They were super-expensive

W8A8Q9-MCQ: How does one know if the product is actually affecting the health of the user?

1. The smart cane production team self certifies the product as safe
2. The smart cane innovation team manually tests the product and certifies it to be safe
3. The smart cane is certified with CE & FDA, which means it is safe to use
4. The smart cane is certified by health experts from the Ministry of health

W8A8Q10-MCQ: As was talked about in the "Smart Cane for the Blind" lesson, why was the total length of the attaching device cut shorter to fit blind canes that fold in 5 segments instead of 4?

1. So that it would be convenient to carry by the users
2. So that it would provide better grip to the users
3. So that women users could keep the cane in their purse comfortably
4. So that it can be more ergonomic
