Some Special Matrices

An $n \times n$ matrix A is **diagonal** if $a_{ij} = 0$ when $i \neq j$.

A matrix S is **scalar** if it is diagonal and all of its diagonal elements are equal.

The $n \times n$ identity matrix I_n is a diagonal matrix with only 1s on the diagonal.

An $n \times n$ matrix U is **upper triangular** if $u_{ij} = 0$ when i > j.

An $n \times n$ matrix L is **lower triangular** if $\ell_{ij} = 0$ when i < j.

Give an example of each type of matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{3\times3}$.



Properties of Matrix Operations

We know a few matrix operations now: Addition, Scalar multiplication, Transposition, and Multiplication. Some of these operations exhibit interesting properties.

Properties of Matrix Operations

We know a few matrix operations now:

Addition, Scalar multiplication, Transposition, and Multiplication.

Some of these operations exhibit interesting properties.

(And some don't.)

Matrix addition works the way that you expect. Assume that A, B, C are all matrices of appropriate sizes.

It is commutative:

Matrix addition works the way that you expect. Assume that A, B, C are all matrices of appropriate sizes.

▶ It is commutative: A + B = B + A.

- ▶ It is commutative: A + B = B + A.
- It is associative:

- ▶ It is commutative: A + B = B + A.
- ▶ It is associative: (A + B) + C = A + (B + C).

- ▶ It is commutative: A + B = B + A.
- ▶ It is associative: (A + B) + C = A + (B + C).
- It has an identity:

- ▶ It is commutative: A + B = B + A.
- ▶ It is associative: (A + B) + C = A + (B + C).
- ▶ It has an identity: A + 0 = A.

- ▶ It is commutative: A + B = B + A.
- ▶ It is associative: (A + B) + C = A + (B + C).
- It has an identity: A + 0 = A.
 0 is a matrix where all entries are the real number 0.

- ▶ It is commutative: A + B = B + A.
- ▶ It is associative: (A + B) + C = A + (B + C).
- It has an identity: A + 0 = A.
 0 is a matrix where all entries are the real number 0.
- It has additive inverses:

- ▶ It is commutative: A + B = B + A.
- ▶ It is associative: (A + B) + C = A + (B + C).
- It has an identity: A + 0 = A.
 0 is a matrix where all entries are the real number 0.
- ▶ It has additive inverses: A + (-A) = 0.

- ▶ It is commutative: A + B = B + A.
- ▶ It is associative: (A + B) + C = A + (B + C).
- It has an identity: A + 0 = A.
 0 is a matrix where all entries are the real number 0.
- ▶ It has additive inverses: A + (-A) = 0. -A is the matrix -1 * A.

Matrix addition works the way that you expect. Assume that A, B, C are all matrices of appropriate sizes.

- ▶ It is commutative: A + B = B + A.
- ▶ It is associative: (A + B) + C = A + (B + C).
- It has an identity: A + 0 = A.
 0 is a matrix where all entries are the real number 0.
- ▶ It has additive inverses: A + (-A) = 0. -A is the matrix -1 * A.

(Note: This makes $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ a group under addition.)



Matrix addition works the way that you expect. Assume that A, B, C are all matrices of appropriate sizes.

- ▶ It is commutative: A + B = B + A.
- ▶ It is associative: (A + B) + C = A + (B + C).
- It has an identity: A + 0 = A.
 0 is a matrix where all entries are the real number 0.
- ▶ It has additive inverses: A + (-A) = 0. -A is the matrix -1 * A.

(Note: This makes $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ a group under addition.)

Summary: Matrix Addition is pretty normal.

All of these properties are true because the definition of addition of matrices works well with the properties of addition for real number already.

All of these properties are true because the definition of addition of matrices works well with the properties of addition for real number already. To illustrate this, let's prove the commutative property:

All of these properties are true because the definition of addition of matrices works well with the properties of addition for real number already. To illustrate this, let's prove the commutative property:

Commutative Property of Matrix Addition.

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$ both be $n \times m$ real matrices.

All of these properties are true because the definition of addition of matrices works well with the properties of addition for real number already. To illustrate this, let's prove the commutative property:

Commutative Property of Matrix Addition.

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$ both be $n \times m$ real matrices.

Then

$$A + B := [a_{ij} + b_{ij}] = [b_{ij} + a_{ij}] =: B + A.$$



All of these properties are true because the definition of addition of matrices works well with the properties of addition for real number already. To illustrate this, let's prove the commutative property:

Commutative Property of Matrix Addition.

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$ both be $n \times m$ real matrices. Then

$$A + B := [a_{ij} + b_{ij}] = [b_{ij} + a_{ij}] =: B + A.$$

The left and right equalities hold by definition.



All of these properties are true because the definition of addition of matrices works well with the properties of addition for real number already. To illustrate this, let's prove the commutative property:

Commutative Property of Matrix Addition.

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$ both be $n \times m$ real matrices. Then

$$A + B := [a_{ij} + b_{ij}] = [b_{ij} + a_{ij}] =: B + A.$$

The left and right equalities hold by definition. The center equality holds by the properties of addition of real numbers. \Box

All of these properties are true because the definition of addition of matrices works well with the properties of addition for real number already. To illustrate this, let's prove the commutative property:

Commutative Property of Matrix Addition.

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$ both be $n \times m$ real matrices. Then

$$A + B := [a_{ij} + b_{ij}] = [b_{ij} + a_{ij}] =: B + A.$$

The left and right equalities hold by definition. The center equality holds by the properties of addition of real numbers. \Box

The idea is:

All of these properties are true because the definition of addition of matrices works well with the properties of addition for real number already. To illustrate this, let's prove the commutative property:

Commutative Property of Matrix Addition.

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$ both be $n \times m$ real matrices. Then

$$A + B := [a_{ij} + b_{ij}] = [b_{ij} + a_{ij}] =: B + A.$$

The left and right equalities hold by definition. The center equality holds by the properties of addition of real numbers.

The idea is:

Matrices break down into components

All of these properties are true because the definition of addition of matrices works well with the properties of addition for real number already. To illustrate this, let's prove the commutative property:

Commutative Property of Matrix Addition.

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$ both be $n \times m$ real matrices. Then

$$A + B := [a_{ij} + b_{ij}] = [b_{ij} + a_{ij}] =: B + A.$$

The left and right equalities hold by definition. The center equality holds by the properties of addition of real numbers.

The idea is:

Matrices break down into components
which are real numbers

All of these properties are true because the definition of addition of matrices works well with the properties of addition for real number already. To illustrate this, let's prove the commutative property:

Commutative Property of Matrix Addition.

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$ both be $n \times m$ real matrices. Then

$$A + B := [a_{ij} + b_{ij}] = [b_{ij} + a_{ij}] =: B + A.$$

The left and right equalities hold by definition. The center equality holds by the properties of addition of real numbers.

The idea is:

Matrices break down into components which are real numbers which commute when added.



Let $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and A, B matrices of appropriate sizes. Then for scalar multiplication

(rs)A = r(sA).

Let $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and A, B matrices of appropriate sizes. Then for scalar multiplication

• (rs)A = r(sA). (An associativity property.)

- ▶ (rs)A = r(sA). (An associativity property.)
- (r+s)A = rA + sA.

- ightharpoonup (rs)A = r(sA). (An associativity property.)
- (r+s)A = rA + sA. (A distributive property.)

- ightharpoonup (rs)A = r(sA). (An associativity property.)
- (r+s)A = rA + sA. (A distributive property.)
- r(A+B)=rA+rB.

- ▶ (rs)A = r(sA). (An associativity property.)
- (r+s)A = rA + sA. (A distributive property.)
- ightharpoonup r(A+B)=rA+rB. (Another distributive property.)

- ▶ (rs)A = r(sA). (An associativity property.)
- (r+s)A = rA + sA. (A distributive property.)
- ightharpoonup r(A+B)=rA+rB. (Another distributive property.)
- ▶ 1A = A.

- ightharpoonup (rs)A = r(sA). (An associativity property.)
- (r+s)A = rA + sA. (A distributive property.)
- ightharpoonup r(A+B)=rA+rB. (Another distributive property.)
- ▶ 1A = A. (Duh.)

Let $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and A, B matrices of appropriate sizes. Then for scalar multiplication

- ▶ (rs)A = r(sA). (An associativity property.)
- (r+s)A = rA + sA. (A distributive property.)
- ightharpoonup r(A+B)=rA+rB. (Another distributive property.)
- ▶ 1A = A. (Duh.)

Note: These properties along with those from matrix addition make $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ a(n abstract) **vector space**.

Let $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and A, B matrices of appropriate sizes. Then for scalar multiplication

- ightharpoonup (rs)A = r(sA). (An associativity property.)
- (r+s)A = rA + sA. (A distributive property.)
- ightharpoonup r(A+B)=rA+rB. (Another distributive property.)
- ▶ 1A = A. (Duh.)

Note: These properties along with those from matrix addition make $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ a(n abstract) **vector space**.

Summary: Scalar multiplication also works the way that you expect.

Properties of Scalar Multiplication

Let $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and A, B matrices of appropriate sizes. Then for scalar multiplication

- ightharpoonup (rs)A = r(sA). (An associativity property.)
- (r+s)A = rA + sA. (A distributive property.)
- ightharpoonup r(A+B)=rA+rB. (Another distributive property.)
- ▶ 1A = A. (Duh.)

Note: These properties along with those from matrix addition make $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ a(n abstract) **vector space**.

Summary: Scalar multiplication also works the way that you expect.

The reason, again, is that the component-wise definition of scalar multiplication agrees with the standard multiplicative properties of the real numbers.

Transposition has some interesting properties worth noting. There is no real analog to these in the real numbers, since transposition depends on the 2-D nature of the matrix.

Transposition has some interesting properties worth noting. There is no real analog to these in the real numbers, since transposition depends on the 2-D nature of the matrix. Here r is a real number and A,B are both appropriately sized matrices.

$$(A^T)^T = A.$$

Transposition has some interesting properties worth noting. There is no real analog to these in the real numbers, since transposition depends on the 2-D nature of the matrix. Here r is a real number and A,B are both appropriately sized matrices.

• $(A^T)^T = A$. You could say that transposition is its own inverse.

Transposition has some interesting properties worth noting. There is no real analog to these in the real numbers, since transposition depends on the 2-D nature of the matrix. Here r is a real number and A,B are both appropriately sized matrices.

- $(A^T)^T = A$. You could say that transposition is its own inverse.
- $(A+B)^T = A^T + B^T.$

Transposition has some interesting properties worth noting. There is no real analog to these in the real numbers, since transposition depends on the 2-D nature of the matrix. Here r is a real number and A,B are both appropriately sized matrices.

- $(A^T)^T = A$. You could say that transposition is its own inverse.
- ▶ $(A+B)^T = A^T + B^T$. Transposition "distributes."

Transposition has some interesting properties worth noting. There is no real analog to these in the real numbers, since transposition depends on the 2-D nature of the matrix. Here r is a real number and A, B are both appropriately sized matrices.

- $(A^T)^T = A$. You could say that transposition is its own inverse.
- $(A+B)^T = A^T + B^T$. Transposition "distributes."
- $(rA)^T = rA^T$

Transposition has some interesting properties worth noting. There is no real analog to these in the real numbers, since transposition depends on the 2-D nature of the matrix. Here r is a real number and A,B are both appropriately sized matrices.

- $(A^T)^T = A$. You could say that transposition is its own inverse.
- $(A+B)^T = A^T + B^T$. Transposition "distributes."
- $(rA)^T = rA^T$ Scalar multiplication "factors" out of transposition.

Transposition has some interesting properties worth noting. There is no real analog to these in the real numbers, since transposition depends on the 2-D nature of the matrix. Here r is a real number and A,B are both appropriately sized matrices.

- $(A^T)^T = A$. You could say that transposition is its own inverse.
- $(A+B)^T = A^T + B^T$. Transposition "distributes."
- $(rA)^T = rA^T$ Scalar multiplication "factors" out of transposition.

These last two properties show that transposition is a **linear** operation.

Exercise Break

Compute the following:

1.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc}1&2\\2&4\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}4&-6\\-2&3\end{array}\right]$$

2.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 3 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{array}\right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 2 & 3 \\
1 & 2 & -1
\end{array}\right]
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 \\
3 & -1 \\
2 & 3
\end{array}\right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 4 & -6 \\ -2 & 3 \end{array}\right] =$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 4 & -6 \\ -2 & 3 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right].$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 4 & -6 \\ -2 & 3 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]. \quad \text{Weird}.$$

1.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 4 & -6 \\ -2 & 3 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]. \quad \text{Weird}.$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 3 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{array}\right] =$$

1.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 4 & -6 \\ -2 & 3 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]. \quad \text{Weird}.$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 3 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 12 & 5 \\ 7 & -3 \end{array}\right]$$

1.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 4 & -6 \\ -2 & 3 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]. \quad \text{Weird}.$$

2.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 3 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 12 & 5 \\ 7 & -3 \end{array}\right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{array}\right] =$$

1.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 4 & -6 \\ -2 & 3 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]. \quad \text{Weird}.$$

2.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 3 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 12 & 5 \\ 7 & -3 \end{array}\right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 12 & 7 \\ 5 & -3 \end{array}\right].$$

1.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 4 & -6 \\ -2 & 3 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]. \quad \text{Weird}.$$

2.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 3 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 12 & 5 \\ 7 & -3 \end{array}\right]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 7 \\ 5 & -3 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 Neat.

From our examples, it appears that $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$. Let's prove it:

From our examples, it appears that $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$. Let's prove it:

Proof.

Our goal is to show that the (i,j)-th element of $(AB)^T$ is the same as the (i,j)-th element of B^TA^T .

From our examples, it appears that $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$. Let's prove it:

Proof.

Our goal is to show that the (i,j)-th element of $(AB)^T$ is the same as the (i,j)-th element of B^TA^T . Note that:

$$\left((AB)^T \right)_{ij}$$

From our examples, it appears that $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$. Let's prove it:

Proof.

Our goal is to show that the (i,j)-th element of $(AB)^T$ is the same as the (i,j)-th element of B^TA^T . Note that:

$$\left((AB)^T\right)_{ij} = (AB)_{ji}$$

From our examples, it appears that $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$. Let's prove it:

Proof.

Our goal is to show that the (i,j)-th element of $(AB)^T$ is the same as the (i,j)-th element of B^TA^T . Note that:

$$\left((AB)^{T}\right)_{ij} = (AB)_{ji} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_{jk} b_{ki}$$

From our examples, it appears that $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$. Let's prove it:

Proof.

Our goal is to show that the (i,j)-th element of $(AB)^T$ is the same as the (i,j)-th element of B^TA^T . Note that:

$$((AB)^T)_{ij} = (AB)_{ji} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_{jk} b_{ki}$$

and

$$(B^T A^T)_{ij}$$

From our examples, it appears that $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$. Let's prove it:

Proof.

Our goal is to show that the (i,j)-th element of $(AB)^T$ is the same as the (i,j)-th element of B^TA^T . Note that:

$$\left((AB)^{T}\right)_{ij} = (AB)_{ji} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_{jk} b_{ki}$$

and

$$\left(B^T A^T\right)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} b_{ik}^T a_{kj}^T$$

From our examples, it appears that $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$. Let's prove it:

Proof.

Our goal is to show that the (i,j)-th element of $(AB)^T$ is the same as the (i,j)-th element of B^TA^T . Note that:

$$\left((AB)^{T}\right)_{ij} = (AB)_{ji} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_{jk} b_{ki}$$

and

$$(B^T A^T)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} b_{ik}^T a_{kj}^T = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} b_{ki} a_{jk}.$$

Let A, B, C be appropriately sized matrices and r a scalar.

Let A, B, C be appropriately sized matrices and r a scalar.

Let A, B, C be appropriately sized matrices and r a scalar.

We have some "good" properties:

IA = AI = A.

Let A, B, C be appropriately sized matrices and r a scalar.

- ▶ IA = AI = A. I is a multiplicative identity.
- A(BC) = (AB)C.

Let A, B, C be appropriately sized matrices and r a scalar.

- ▶ IA = AI = A. I is a multiplicative identity.
- ightharpoonup A(BC) = (AB)C. Associative.

Let A, B, C be appropriately sized matrices and r a scalar.

- ▶ IA = AI = A. I is a multiplicative identity.
- ▶ A(BC) = (AB)C. Associative.
- (rA)B = r(AB).

Let A, B, C be appropriately sized matrices and r a scalar.

- ▶ IA = AI = A. I is a multiplicative identity.
- ▶ A(BC) = (AB)C. Associative.
- ▶ (rA)B = r(AB). Plays nice with scalar mult.

Let A, B, C be appropriately sized matrices and r a scalar.

- ▶ IA = AI = A. I is a multiplicative identity.
- ▶ A(BC) = (AB)C. Associative.
- ▶ (rA)B = r(AB). Plays nice with scalar mult.
- (A+B)C = AC + BC and C(A+B) = CA + CB.

Let A, B, C be appropriately sized matrices and r a scalar.

- ▶ IA = AI = A. I is a multiplicative identity.
- ▶ A(BC) = (AB)C. Associative.
- ▶ (rA)B = r(AB). Plays nice with scalar mult.
- ► (A + B)C = AC + BC and C(A + B) = CA + CB. Distributive.

Let A, B, C be appropriately sized matrices and r a scalar.

We have some "good" properties:

- ▶ IA = AI = A. I is a multiplicative identity.
- ▶ A(BC) = (AB)C. Associative.
- ▶ (rA)B = r(AB). Plays nice with scalar mult.
- ► (A + B)C = AC + BC and C(A + B) = CA + CB. Distributive.

But why do we have two distributive laws?

Let A, B, C be appropriately sized matrices and r a scalar.

We have some "good" properties:

- ▶ IA = AI = A. I is a multiplicative identity.
- ▶ A(BC) = (AB)C. Associative.
- ▶ (rA)B = r(AB). Plays nice with scalar mult.
- ► (A + B)C = AC + BC and C(A + B) = CA + CB. Distributive.

But why do we have two distributive laws?

Because there are also some "not nice" properties:

► *AB* need not be equal to *BA*!

Let A, B, C be appropriately sized matrices and r a scalar.

We have some "good" properties:

- ▶ IA = AI = A. I is a multiplicative identity.
- ightharpoonup A(BC) = (AB)C. Associative.
- ▶ (rA)B = r(AB). Plays nice with scalar mult.
- ► (A + B)C = AC + BC and C(A + B) = CA + CB. Distributive.

But why do we have two distributive laws?

Because there are also some "not nice" properties:

- ► AB need not be equal to BA!
- ▶ AB = 0 does not mean that one of A or B is 0!

Let A, B, C be appropriately sized matrices and r a scalar.

We have some "good" properties:

- ▶ IA = AI = A. I is a multiplicative identity.
- ightharpoonup A(BC) = (AB)C. Associative.
- ▶ (rA)B = r(AB). Plays nice with scalar mult.
- ► (A + B)C = AC + BC and C(A + B) = CA + CB. Distributive.

But why do we have two distributive laws?

Because there are also some "not nice" properties:

- AB need not be equal to BA!
- ▶ AB = 0 does not mean that one of A or B is 0!
- ▶ AB = AC even if $B \neq C!$

Let A, B, C be appropriately sized matrices and r a scalar.

We have some "good" properties:

- ▶ IA = AI = A. I is a multiplicative identity.
- ightharpoonup A(BC) = (AB)C. Associative.
- ▶ (rA)B = r(AB). Plays nice with scalar mult.
- ► (A + B)C = AC + BC and C(A + B) = CA + CB. Distributive.

But why do we have two distributive laws?

Because there are also some "not nice" properties:

- AB need not be equal to BA!
- ▶ AB = 0 does not mean that one of A or B is 0!
- ▶ AB = AC even if $B \neq C!$

The last two properties are a little troublesome! In general we cannot cancel/divide/etc.!



What's the big deal about not being able to cancel?

What's the big deal about not being able to cancel? Recall that a linear system can be represented in the form

$$Ax = b$$

Where A and b are known and x is an unknown.

What's the big deal about not being able to cancel? Recall that a linear system can be represented in the form

$$Ax = b$$

Where A and b are known and x is an unknown. "Solving" the linear system is the same as finding the value of the vector x.

What's the big deal about not being able to cancel? Recall that a linear system can be represented in the form

$$Ax = b$$

Where A and b are known and x is an unknown. "Solving" the linear system is the same as finding the value of the vector x.

Now suppose we know a vector v so that

$$Av = b$$
.

What's the big deal about not being able to cancel? Recall that a linear system can be represented in the form

$$Ax = b$$

Where A and b are known and x is an unknown. "Solving" the linear system is the same as finding the value of the vector x.

Now suppose we know a vector v so that

$$Av = b$$
.

What we want to say is that

$$Ax = Av$$

What's the big deal about not being able to cancel? Recall that a linear system can be represented in the form

$$Ax = b$$

Where A and b are known and x is an unknown. "Solving" the linear system is the same as finding the value of the vector x.

Now suppose we know a vector v so that

$$Av = b$$
.

What we want to say is that

$$Ax = Av \Rightarrow x = v!$$

So if we could cancel then we would know that x = v.



What's the big deal about not being able to cancel? Recall that a linear system can be represented in the form

$$Ax = b$$

Where A and b are known and x is an unknown. "Solving" the linear system is the same as finding the value of the vector x.

Now suppose we know a vector v so that

$$Av = b$$
.

What we want to say is that

$$Ax = Av \Rightarrow x = v!$$

So if we could cancel then we would know that x = v. That is: a solution *exists* and is *unique*!



No cancellation ⇔ bad solution sets

The lack of a cancellation law is exactly the reason why linear systems can have no solution or an infinite number of solutions!

(And vice versa.)

Summary

- Matrix addition and scalar multiplication: Behaves well. "Vector space".
- ► Transposition: Is novel. "Linear".
- Multiplication: Has some issues. Be aware of them! No cancellation law! Makes "solving" an issue.
- Proving properties is not too rough. Reduce operation to the component definitions.