



**ANASTASIA LABS**

## **Proof of Achievement - Milestone 1**

Upgradable Multi-Signature Smart Contract

**Project Number:** 1100025

**Project Manager:** Jonathan Rodriguez

**Project Name:** Anastasia Labs X Maestro - Plug 'n Play 2.0

**URL:** Catalyst Proposal

# Contents

<b>1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Secure Spending of Assets .....</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1. Detailed Test Analysis .....	3
2.1.1. Test Case: Successful Transaction .....	3
2.1.2. Test Case: Rejected Insufficient Signatures .....	5
<b>3. Seamless Adjustment of Signer Thresholds .....</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1. Threshold Adjustment Workflow .....	6
3.2. Detailed Test Analysis .....	7
3.2.1. Test Case: Successful Threshold Adjustment .....	7
<b>4. Dynamic Addition and Removal of Signers .....</b>	<b>8</b>
4.1. Dynamic Signer Addition Process .....	8
4.1.1. Detailed Test Analysis .....	9
4.2. Dynamic Signer Removal Process .....	10
4.3. Detailed Test Analysis .....	11
4.3.1. Test Case Scenario: Successful Removal of Signer .....	11
4.4. Security Considerations .....	12
<b>5. Conclusion .....</b>	<b>13</b>

## 1. Introduction

Our project aims to develop an upgradable multi-signature contract for secure transactions on the Cardano blockchain. This contract requires multiple signatures to authorize transactions, with adjustable thresholds based on signatory requirements.

Key features include:

- Setting up a multi-signature wallet with authorized signatories
- Defining and adjusting the threshold for required signatures
- Updating the list of signatories
- Enforcing spending limits for transactions

This report demonstrates our progress in implementing Secure Spending of Assets, Seamless Adjustment of Signer Thresholds, and Dynamic Addition or Removal of Signers.

## 2. Secure Spending of Assets

Our upgradable multi-signature smart contract has been rigorously tested to ensure that asset transactions are executed only by authorized members. The contract enforces a multi-signature requirement, necessitating approval from a predefined number of signatories before any transaction can be executed. Our comprehensive testing suite has validated the contract's ability to manage secure asset spending effectively

## 2.1. Detailed Test Analysis

### 2.1.1. Test Case: Successful Transaction

We've implemented a test case to validate the contract's ability to execute transactions when properly authorized and the implementation can be viewed on the [test success sign code](#)

```

1                                                                    bash
2  Testing ...
3
4  └─ multisig _____
5    | PASS [mem: 589296, cpu: 274524061] success_sign
6    | · with traces
7    | | Test: Successful Transaction Signing
8    | | Total number of signatories in the multisig
9    | | 4
10   | | Required threshold of signatures
11   | | 3
12   | | Number of signatories actually signing this transaction
13   | | 3
14   | | Total amount in the contract (in lovelace)
15   | | 100000000000
16   | | Maximum spending limit per transaction (in lovelace)
17   | | 1000000000
18   | | Amount being withdrawn in this transaction (in lovelace)
19   | | 1000000000
20   | | Remaining amount in the contract after withdrawal (in lovelace)
21   | | 99000000000
22   | | Result: Transaction successfully signed and executed!
23   └────────────────── 1 tests | 1 passed | 0 failed

```

This test validates the contract's ability to execute transactions when properly authorized. It demonstrates a successful transaction where:

- 3 out of 4 signatories approved the transaction (meeting the threshold).
- The withdrawal amount was within the spending limit.
- The contract balance was correctly updated after the transaction.

The test confirms that the contract correctly processes transactions when the required number of signatories (3 out of 4) approve and the withdrawal amount is within the specified limit.

### 2.1.2. Test Case: Rejected Insufficient Signatures

To demonstrate the contract's security measures, we've implemented a test case for rejecting transactions with insufficient signatures with the implementation on the `test_reject_insufficient_signatures` code

```
1  Testing ... bash
2
3  └─ multisig _____
4  | PASS [mem: 410732, cpu: 207334934] reject_insufficient_signatures
5  |   · with traces
6  |   | Test: Rejecting Transaction with Insufficient Signatures
7  |   | Total number of signatories in the multisig
8  |   | 4
9  |   | Required threshold of signatures
10 |   | 3
11 |   | Number of signatories actually signing this transaction
12 |   | 2
13 |   | Total amount in the contract (in lovelace)
14 |   | 100000000000
15 |   | Maximum spending limit per transaction (in lovelace)
16 |   | 1000000000
17 |   | Attempted withdrawal amount (in lovelace)
18 |   | 1000000000
19 |   | Contract amount if withdrawal were allowed (in lovelace)
20 |   | 99000000000
21 |   | Result: Transaction rejected due to insufficient signatures!
22 |   | signed_within_threshold ? False
23 └─ 1 tests | 1 passed | 0 failed
```

This test demonstrates the contract's robust security measures by successfully rejecting a transaction with insufficient signatures (2 provided, 3 required), thereby protecting assets from unauthorized spending.

### 3. Seamless Adjustment of Signer Thresholds

The smart contract features a robust and user-friendly mechanism that allows authorized members to adjust the signer thresholds without compromising security. This functionality is crucial for adapting to evolving security requirements and organizational changes. The process ensures that any adjustments to the number of required signatures for transaction approval are carried out smoothly and securely. Authorized members can modify the threshold with ease, while the contract's built-in security measures maintain the integrity of the approval process. This adaptability is vital for maintaining both the flexibility and security of the multi-signature system as conditions and needs change.

#### 3.1. Threshold Adjustment Workflow

1. **Initiate Threshold Change:** Users can propose new threshold values through an intuitive interface.
2. **Review Proposed Changes:** The system clearly presents current and proposed thresholds for easy comparison.
3. **Collect Required Signatures:** Authorized signers can efficiently review and sign the proposal.
4. **Confirmation of Update:** Upon collecting the required signatures, the system promptly updates the threshold.

This streamlined process ensures that threshold adjustments are both secure and user-friendly.



### 3.2. Detailed Test Analysis

### 3.2.1. Test Case: Successful Threshold Adjustment

We've implemented a test case to verify the contract's ability to adjust the signer threshold the following link: [test\\_success\\_adjust\\_threshold code](#)

```

1      Testing ...
2
3      └─ multisig _____
4      | PASS [mem: 640878, cpu: 267881842] success_adjust_threshold
5      |   · with traces
6      | | Test: Successfully Adjusting Signature Threshold
7      | | Original signature threshold
8      | | 2
9      | | Total number of signatories in the multisig
10     | | 4
11     | | Current contract value (in lovelace)
12     | | { _ h'': { _ h'': 100000000000 } }
13     | | User clicks on action Redeemer: Update
14     | | 122([])
15     | | New threshold value
16     | | 3
17     | | Number of signatories approving this change
18     | | 4
19     | | Contract value after threshold adjustment (should be unchanged)
20     | | { _ h'': { _ h'': 100000000000 } }
21     | | Result: Signature threshold successfully updated!
22     └────────── 1 tests | 1 passed | 0 failed
23
24     Summary 1 check, 0 errors, 0 warnings

```

This test verifies the contract's ability to adjust the signer threshold from 2 to 3 without errors, demonstrating the flexibility of the contract's security parameters.

## 4. Dynamic Addition and Removal of Signers

Showcase of the smart contract's capability to dynamically add or remove signers as needed. The contract allows for flexible management of the signer pool, adapting to organizational changes while maintaining security.

### 4.1. Dynamic Signer Addition Process

1. **Proposal Initiation:** An authorized user proposes a new signer and provides the necessary credentials.
2. **Collective Review:** Existing signers review the proposal details.
3. **Approval Gathering:** The system collects the required signatures for the addition.
4. **Execution and Verification:** Upon approval, the new signer is added, and the updated list is displayed for confirmation.

### 4.1.1. Detailed Test Analysis

#### 4.1.1.1. Test Case: Successful Signer Addition

We've implemented a test case to confirm the contract's ability to add a new signer on the [test\\_success\\_add\\_signer](#) code

```
1 Testing ... bash
2
3 └─ multisig _____
4 | PASS [mem: 596818, cpu: 258625029] success_add_signer
5 | · with traces
6 | | Test: Successfully Adding a New Signer to the Multisig Contract
7 | | Number of signatories before addition
8 | | 4
9 | | Current contract value (in lovelace)
10 | | 1000000000000
11 | | Current signature threshold
12 | | 3
13 | | Number of signatories approving this change
14 | | 4
15 | | Redeemer used for this operation
16 | | 122([])
17 | | Number of signatories after addition
18 | | 5
19 | | Signature threshold after addition (unchanged)
20 | | 3
21 | | Contract value after adding signer (should be unchanged)
22 | | 1000000000000
23 | | Result: New signer successfully added to the multisig!
24 |_____ 1 tests | 1 passed | 0 failed
```

This test confirms the contract's ability to dynamically add a new signer, increasing the total number of signatories from 4 to 5.

## 4.2. Dynamic Signer Removal Process

The Multi-sig Contract is the primary contract responsible for managing the list of authorized signers, validating transactions, and ensuring the proper execution of multi-sig operations.

- **Removal Proposal:** An authorized user initiates the removal of a specific signer.
- **Proposal Review:** Remaining signers assess the removal proposal.
- **Consensus Building:** The system gathers necessary approvals, excluding the signer in question.
- **Execution and Confirmation:** Post-approval, the signer is removed, and the system displays the updated list for verification.

### 4.3. Detailed Test Analysis

#### 4.3.1. Test Case Scenario: Successful Removal of Signer

The test case code can be found on the [test success\\_remove\\_signer](#) code

```
1 Testing ...
2
3 └─ multisig _____
4 | PASS [mem: 525925, cpu: 233410760] success_remove_signer
5 | · with traces
6 | | Test: Successfully Removing a Signer from the Multisig Contract
7 | | Current contract value (in lovelace)
8 | | 100000000000
9 | | Number of signatories before removal
10 | | 4
11 | | Signature threshold before removal
12 | | 3
13 | | Number of signatories approving this change
14 | | 4
15 | | Redeemer used for this operation
16 | | 122([])
17 | | Number of signatories after removal
18 | | 3
19 | | Signature threshold after removal and adjustment (Can be changed)
20 | | 2
21 | | Contract value after removing signer (should be unchanged)
22 | | 100000000000
23 | | Result: Signer successfully removed and threshold adjusted
24 |_____ 1 tests | 1 passed | 0 failed
```

This test verifies the contract's capability to remove signers and automatically adjust the threshold, demonstrating its adaptability to changing organizational needs while maintaining security.

#### **4.4. Security Considerations**

The contract maintains a minimum signer threshold to prevent security vulnerabilities. Threshold adjustments may be required before certain signer removals to maintain contract integrity.

## 5. Conclusion

Our upgradable multi-signature contract successfully meets all the acceptance criteria:

1. Secure Spending of Assets: We've demonstrated that only authorized members can execute transactions, with robust checks for signature thresholds and spending limits.
2. Seamless Adjustment of Signer Thresholds: Our contract allows for easy adjustment of signature thresholds while maintaining security, as shown in our threshold adjustment test.
3. Dynamic Addition or Removal of Signers: We've implemented and tested functionality for both adding and removing signers, showcasing the contract's flexibility in managing the signer pool.

All documentation, including detailed test cases and explanations, is available in our [Aiken Upgradable Multisig Github Repo](#)

This upgradable multi-signature contract provides a secure, flexible, and user-friendly solution for managing shared assets on the Cardano blockchain.